

RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON
MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 298

12 NOVEMBER 2014

PAGES 39239 TO 39429



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg
P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037
Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335
E-mail: realtime@mweb.co.za
Web Address: <http://www.realtimesa.co.za>



<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39239</p> <p>1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 12 NOVEMBER 2014] 2 [09:04] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. 3 Yes, Mr Mpofu. 4 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson and 5 the two Commissioners, Commissioner Hemraj, Commissioner 6 Tokota. I'm going to divide my argument, Chairperson, into 7 two broad categories. The first category deals with what I 8 call preliminary issues, or introductory issues, and the 9 second one deals with the merits, so to speak, and under 10 the merits the topics that I'm going to cover will be the 11 issue of the 11th, or what I call the absolution or 12 exculpation of the NUM. I won't spend a lot of time on 13 that because it has been discussed and most of the issues 14 that I was going to cover have been covered. 15 Then I will deal with the availability of 16 putative self-defence as postulated by the evidence 17 leaders, which is the joint heads that were referred to. 18 Then, Chairperson, I'm going to deal with the 19 issue of criminal liability involving various stakeholders 20 and persons. A subheading under that, which I'll deal with 21 separately, would be corruption, the corruption charges and 22 perjury, in other words the non-murder charges. 23 Then I'm going to deal with the issue of muti and 24 then the alleged collective of vicarious liability of the 25 strikers and then a broad rubric of selected</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39241</p> <p>1 we have seen all three of you doing your best to ensure 2 that the parties, balancing all our various and sometimes 3 conflicting interests, and we hope that you will discharge 4 your duties with the same determination that we have 5 observed in the past two years or so. 6 I think I might also thank my colleagues here and 7 also, you know, although sometimes we were on different 8 sides of the divide we managed to keep the spirits going, 9 but most importantly, Chairperson, I think we must thank 10 the victims of the Marikana massacre or tragedy who have 11 stayed with us for such a long time, and the victims are 12 many, Chairperson. Victims are the people primarily who 13 lost their loved ones, those who were injured, but there 14 are many other victims that have lost their lives even 15 subsequent to the 16th of August. Some their cases were 16 covered in the media, some were brutally killed on various 17 parts of the divide. 18 There's a mother of one of the deceased, Mr 19 Sokanyile I think, who upon hearing the news of the death 20 of her son died immediately and with the result that there 21 were two funerals in that family. 22 When the families were presenting you might have 23 heard that one of the widows was pregnant and I was 24 informed when upon enquiring after hearing that story that 25 the child died immediately, no doubt associated with the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39240</p> <p>1 recommendations which are in my heads or in other people's 2 heads or which are not in any of those which we are going 3 present. So on the merits those are the key issues, 4 Chairperson. 5 I thought just by way of lightening the 6 atmosphere, Chairperson, seeing that you almost, or not 7 almost, you did miss out on the praises that Mr Ramphela 8 was planning for you – 9 CHAIRPERSON: I probably deserve to. 10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, and seeing that the 11 reason was because of your probing questions and since I 12 expect nothing less than I might as well expend the praises 13 now so that they cannot be withdrawn later. 14 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, 15 inaudible]. I notice we always get applauded when we come 16 in when we start, we never get applauded as we go out. 17 Obviously we do something in the course of the day which 18 causes difficulty. 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thanks, Chairperson. 20 So yes, Chair, thank you. No, Chairperson, on a serious 21 note I think that it's appropriate to acknowledge the role 22 that you have played and your two Commissioners. This 23 cannot be a very easy task. It certainly is an unenviable 24 task to have to deal with a question of this magnitude 25 being placed on your shoulders, and in the past two years</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39242</p> <p>1 trauma of what had happened. 2 You know about two of the people that I 3 represented who allegedly committed suicide, Mr Mpofana and 4 Mr Mabotyana. Mr Mabotyana you met because he was one of 5 the people who had been subpoenaed, and of course there's 6 Mr Mehlomkomo or Bhai who was killed most recently, 7 probably related to the evidence of Mr X. 8 So the victims are many, Chairperson, and I'm 9 sure at secondary levels there are many other victims and 10 they're all looking upon you and your Commissioners to do 11 the best you can with the material in your hands to 12 alleviate their suffering. 13 This matter, Chairperson, is about, at face value 14 it's about the right to life which is quite obvious because 15 the right to life is what was taken, but in my estimation 16 it's mostly about the right to dignity. The right to human 17 dignity is one of the values that's enshrined in our 18 Constitution. In the Makwanyana case it was said that the 19 right to life and the right to human dignity are the most 20 important rights which rank above all others, and of course 21 there's the right to physical integrity and respect of 22 those who were injured. 23 Why is the right to dignity the most important 24 issue to be looked at here? It is because my analysis, 25 Chairperson, is going to be based on looking at what</p>

1 happened, because that is important, how it happened –
 2 that's also important – but most importantly why it
 3 happened and I venture to say that when we look at the
 4 question why it happened we will come back to this question
 5 of human dignity.

6 The reason the question why is important,
 7 Chairperson, is because as you have said many times, your
 8 task is not merely to expose the facts and the chronology
 9 of what happened but hopefully, and maybe the most
 10 important task is to ensure that this doesn't happen again.
 11 We can't bring back their lives and limbs that were lost,
 12 but we can prevent this from happening again, hopefully,
 13 and we will never prevent it from happening again if we
 14 don't ask why it happened because it would mean whatever
 15 solutions we prescribe we will be solving the wrong
 16 problem. So the question why is of the most paramount
 17 importance.

18 Another issue that I want to raise by way of
 19 introduction is that we are dealing here by and large with
 20 people who are poor, who are uneducated relatively to
 21 different degrees. Sometimes you know the legalese and all
 22 these technicalities might escape them, but they know the
 23 truth when they see it and that is what they seek and all
 24 of us in our different capacities have busied ourselves
 25 with the idea of getting it out.

1 But we must never underestimate people simply
 2 because they are poor and underestimate their intelligence
 3 and their powers of perception. If I had time I – if I
 4 told you the stories of the interactions we've had with our
 5 clients which have sometimes affected many of the decisions
 6 we have taken, you would have thought that they were law
 7 professors, but that's because they take this matter very
 8 seriously and they really want to get the best out of it,
 9 and there's a tendency, which I will refer to later,
 10 sometimes to underestimate that or to even brush aside some
 11 of the evidence that they give simply because it's not
 12 coming from someone who's wearing a tie or has a big title,
 13 and sometimes we even miss out on important details.

14 Then I'm going to talk about what I call the
 15 tools of analysis that I'm going to use, Chairperson, in
 16 this address. Those tools are set out in my heads of
 17 argument and, Chairperson, of course at the risk of stating
 18 the obvious, it's something that you have said, I'm not
 19 going to regurgitate what is in my heads. I will choose
 20 certain sections which I feel are important and hopefully
 21 engage with the panel on some of the more grey areas.

22 I've used the methodology of what I call game
 23 changers. That's one of the tools that I'm going to use.
 24 That goes hand in hand with what I've said about the why
 25 question because game changers by definition means these

1 are things which are significant turning points which led
 2 us to the disaster that we're here about, and as you know
 3 I've identified three of such game changers and each one of
 4 them have their own little children.

5 But the key to game changers are what happened on
 6 the odd-numbered days of that week, the 11th, the 13th and
 7 the 15th. On the 11th, as we know, was what we refer as the
 8 NUM attack. On the 13th was the skirmish near the railway
 9 line and on the 15th was the culmination of the political
 10 pressure and our view is that what happened on the 16th was
 11 simply a culmination of all those factors and other related
 12 factors, but these three played a significant role.

13 Then of course I'll be making references to the
 14 terms of reference where, because that after all is what we
 15 are here about, and then the themes, there are 10 themes
 16 that we set out in our opening address. You know one of my
 17 colleagues here asked me very, a flattering question, which
 18 was how did we know all these things in October 2012 which
 19 we stated in our opening address and which have been proven
 20 to be true, and my answer was there's no magic in it. The
 21 mere fact that we are the only party which represents
 22 eyewitnesses, people who were there, because the other
 23 people unfortunately lost their lives so they can't speak
 24 for themselves, and then of course it's only SAPS, the
 25 police and the government agencies that were there. But

1 the people we represent were there. To them this is, it's
 2 no mystery about what happened at this point and that point
 3 because they were there. They lived through it, every
 4 minute. Long before the Commission started we had a clear
 5 view of what had happened because we had gone through the
 6 bail applications and so on, and we had consulted with the
 7 people.

8 I just want to mention one thing, Chairperson, to
 9 counterpose it with what I'm going to say were attempts by
 10 other parties to pull the wool over the eyes of this
 11 Commission deliberately, which is unforgiveable, and it is
 12 this; despite the fact that the people we represent don't
 13 have resources to organise a nine-day conference at Roots
 14 and prepare a version, so to speak, or millions and
 15 millions of rands to ensure that, you know, to delete
 16 entries from this and that book, speaking for myself I was
 17 very satisfied that the version that they gave us before
 18 the Commission started was foolproof and it was, there were
 19 checks and balances that one was able to apply to see that
 20 more or less this is what occurred on that day. I'll give
 21 you a small example, Chairperson. On the question of where
 22 the people were going, that when they left koppie 1 their
 23 hundred percent intention was to go to Nkaneng, I have
 24 never had any doubt and the reason for that is simple.
 25 When we went to consult with the people, I think around the

Page 39247

1 20 something of August - as you know they were kept in
 2 three different police stations - because we were talking
 3 to people who were not talking to each other, so the
 4 chances of them having a meeting to conspire about this
 5 were eliminated, it was clear to us that there is
 6 consistency. But to make it – let's assume those ones had
 7 managed to conspire in the Canters about this issue, we
 8 then went to visit those people who were in the hospitals,
 9 who had not been with this group who are in the cells, like
 10 Mr Phatsha, I think there's actually a transcript of an
 11 interview that was done with Mr Phatsha and other people in
 12 one hospital when they were being visited by the President
 13 and in that transcript - I'll give you the reference,
 14 Chairperson – those people there sitting in that hospital
 15 were saying exactly the same thing; we were going home to
 16 Nkaneng. We went around the kraal. We were boxed into a
 17 gap and we were shot, and they had not had any contact with
 18 the other people that we were talking to in the police
 19 stations, and so for other people this might have been a
 20 big revelation. We, by the time the Commission started we
 21 knew that the people had never intended to attack the
 22 police and they might have left in different groups or
 23 different modes; others were walking, others were running,
 24 but they were ambushed when they were trying to escape to
 25 the township.

Page 39248

1 [09:23] And that's just one example of the advantage that
 2 we have as a party of dealing with eyewitnesses as it were
 3 as opposed to trying to piece together objective evidence
 4 which has been the unfortunate burden of some of the
 5 parties. So those are the tools of analysis and then of
 6 course we'll talk about legal parameters that are
 7 applicable, Chairperson. We've made the point in our heads
 8 that one should not be overly or too legalistic about this.
 9 This is not a court of law, but the reason why we have a
 10 judge and two senior advocates and all of us here is
 11 because the method that we're going to use to get to the
 12 outcomes that we seek is inevitably legalistic and
 13 therefore we can't avoid that although we mustn't elevate
 14 it to the be and end all. So those are just the remarks
 15 that I wanted to make by way of introduction. Maybe the
 16 last point in that line is that I'm going to focus in
 17 between what I'm going to say and what I've called the
 18 merits on the three organisations that in my respectful
 19 submission where at the centre of the causal chain as
 20 exemplified by the game changers and that is the National
 21 Union of Mineworkers, Lonmin and SAPS and the various
 22 relationships, bilateral and trilateral relationships among
 23 them which in the past we have called toxic collusion and
 24 the unholy alliance. And as it happens, Chairperson, a
 25 person of your experience knows a case like this which is

Page 39249

1 of this magnitude you literally find something new every
 2 day and so there are one or two theories which I will
 3 advance which admittedly are not even in our heads or were
 4 canvassed with witnesses but where I think there would be
 5 sufficient, objective factors to support. Again let me
 6 make an example. It is my view, Chairperson, that the role
 7 of the National Union of Mineworkers in this saga is maybe
 8 larger than we all think and by that I don't mean that the
 9 moving around in the quantum that Mr Tip and I always talk
 10 about or that they kill people or anything like that. I
 11 just mean that it is very clear that at the centre of the
 12 political motives and all the forces at play that brought
 13 about this disaster was this desire to save the NUM from
 14 what the Chairperson called yesterday bleeding of
 15 membership or weakening status in the platinum belt and so
 16 on related to what had happened in Impala. And I'll
 17 develop that theory when I talk about the collusion or the
 18 third game changer. That is every time you relook at this
 19 it seems to be looming very large as something where there
 20 was some kind of consensus among the parties that I say
 21 were the cause of these events. Now let's then go to the
 22 first issue and the merit. It is the issue of the
 23 justification of the actions of the NUM on the 11th and I'm
 24 not going to approach it now from the point of view of
 25 criminal liability and so on because I think that has been

Page 39250

1 canvassed. All I can say about that, Chairperson, is that
 2 firstly the law in Van Wyk is of doubtful applicability.
 3 Well firstly I think the law in Van Wyk's case is not
 4 helpful to the NUM because of what has already been stated
 5 that those people who were shot by members of the NUM
 6 clearly when they were fleeing and not in the course of any
 7 imminent attack .
 8 CHAIRPERSON: Even if they were shot
 9 before they fled, they could have got a warning as I saw
 10 it.
 11 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely.
 12 CHAIRPERSON: And if they'd got a
 13 warning, a spoken warning as well as a warning shot or two
 14 in the air for all we know they might well have decided it
 15 was unwise to persist in advancing towards the NUM office
 16 and turn back and turn around and gone back to where they
 17 came from. And if a warning had been given before shots
 18 were fired then it would have entitled or may well have
 19 entitled those who fired the shots to rely on defence of
 20 self defence.
 21 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
 22 CHAIRPERSON: Or even defence of
 23 property, but absent the warning then it may well be, this
 24 is obviously a matter for the DPP to decide initially and
 25 then the courts, but it may well be that they might be

Page 39251

1 found guilty of either attempted murder or perhaps assault
 2 with the intention of grievously bodily harm.
 3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you,
 4 Chairperson.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: That seems to be the case.
 6 In other words you don't have to say they were shot at
 7 while they were fleeing, they might even have been shot
 8 while they were advancing. But in the circumstances
 9 obviously this is something I take it Mr Tip will deal with
 10 when he argues, but this is a prima facie view which I'm
 11 putting.
 12 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct,
 13 Chairperson, yes maybe my sequencing was not correct. The
 14 point I was making is that there are various factors. One
 15 of them being the fact that they were shot at the back
 16 while they were fleeing, but the Chairperson is quite
 17 correct. The issue that arises even before that is the
 18 issue of the warning. There's only probabilities alone,
 19 Chairperson, the reaction of the crowds to the shots that
 20 were fired would suggest that if those shots were fired in
 21 the air the reaction would have been the same because
 22 clearly that reaction was simply from the fact that shots
 23 were ringing. Whether they were ringing on peoples' bodies
 24 or in the air the reaction on the probabilities would have
 25 been the same. So the absence of the warning is

Page 39252

1 significant, thanks, Chairperson. But also the fact that
 2 these were people who – well the law paraphrased now is
 3 that in defence of property the taking of a life or
 4 attempted murder or something like that in terms of
 5 proportionality can only be done in exceptional
 6 circumstances. And again, without canvassing the blow by
 7 blow, just the mere geography of where each of the groups
 8 were would suggest that these were not yet emergency or
 9 exceptional circumstances. The fact that they had been
 10 warned, the fact that they actually took the advice of
 11 taking the quantum away and even if one says okay well they
 12 might not have had the means to take the fires away and so
 13 on. Not even asking, they were told in no uncertain terms,
 14 in so many words by Julius Mutlefelo that this is a rumour
 15 and they didn't even take steps to ascertain whether the
 16 rumour was indeed so or not. And again one doesn't take an
 17 armchair approach to these things, but on the totality of
 18 the circumstances it would seem that the – or at the least
 19 let's say the exceptional circumstances have not been
 20 established. And the latest case of Walters in the
 21 Constitutional Court would suggest that if the Van Wyk case
 22 which is an old case of 40 years ago were to come before
 23 our courts now it might not have the same result. At least
 24 some of the authors suggest that Van Wyk would not pass
 25 constitutional muster. But one doesn't have to go into all

Page 39253

1 that. Chairperson, the issue at this stage is prima facie,
 2 we know that as a matter of fact the police actually
 3 charged or had a list of suspects although they didn't
 4 action it.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: They opened a docket didn't
 6 they? Attempted murder I think it was and it seems from
 7 the evidence that investigation stopped in respect of they
 8 docket while the Commission was sitting and I take it
 9 nobody, least of all us imagined for a moment that the
 10 Commission would take as long as it did.
 11 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct. So let's
 12 put that question aside. It's very clear that the
 13 Commission in our submission must recommend that the
 14 members of the NUM who and NUM itself must shoulder the
 15 blame for those injuries on Mr Mabuyakhulu and Mr Ngema and
 16 be held accountable and investigated for charges for at
 17 least attempted murder and assault to do grievous bodily
 18 harm as was suggested by my colleagues yesterday. But the
 19 importance of that event is not in that arena, Chairperson,
 20 the importance of that event is that particularly in view
 21 of the evidence that was dealt with, of the timing dealt
 22 with by Mr Gotz yesterday. It seems clear now that two of
 23 the most important decision which led us to the tragedy
 24 were taken as a result of that event. And that is so,
 25 whatever view one takes about the intentions of the

Page 39254

1 strikers and so on and one has to – this idea that marching
 2 or being dissatisfied with something suggests violent
 3 intentions is something that I don't understand,
 4 Chairperson. Let's assume that the strikers were annoyed
 5 by the fact that management had said they only talk to them
 6 through the NUM, let's assume they were also annoyed by the
 7 fact that the NUM had taken steps to break the strike.
 8 Let's assume that they were annoyed by the people driving
 9 around in the quantum and all the other reasons that have
 10 been suggested, that does not mean that they are marching
 11 in protest of all those things necessarily meant that they
 12 had violent intentions. And the reason for that is we
 13 know, as Mr Mabuyakhulu said this crowd it was exactly the
 14 same as he constantly put it, it was the same as the 10th,
 15 as the previous day. So just as they marched to the LPD to
 16 air their grievances about wages, they were marching to the
 17 NUM to air their grievances about whatever. But as to
 18 their disposition it was exactly – they were in the same
 19 space as they were on the 10th and the importance of those
 20 shootings is that and the reason why we call it a game
 21 changer is that clearly the two very crucial decisions that
 22 were taken that day. One was that they should no longer be
 23 meeting near the stadium because they would be vulnerable
 24 to further attacks and somebody said because also it was
 25 mine property. Two was that they took a decision to arm

Page 39255

1 themselves. As we now know with the benefit of hindsight
 2 that those two decisions played a crucial part, very
 3 crucial part to get us where we are now. Even if someone
 4 can postulate to say well they could still have been shot
 5 near the stadium three days or four days later. Nobody
 6 with a straight face can deny the mere fact that they were
 7 at that koppie, in that particular terrain, near that
 8 particular kraal and all that played a significant role in
 9 the dynamics of the outcome that we now know.
 10 And that decision can be traced directly to the
 11 NUM attack. Again the decision to arm themselves, in the
 12 light of the analysis that Mr Gotz gave yesterday it's
 13 quite clear that the rush to get dangerous arms which must
 14 have followed at hot pursuit and ended among other places
 15 at Mr Cassim's shop was also instigated by that attack.
 16 And so we have two crucial factors that gets us to the
 17 disaster. The terrain and let's call it the motive of the
 18 police for raiding the place in the first place which is
 19 the fact that people had dangerous weapons. And the police
 20 were obviously entitled to be concerned about that. And I
 21 daresay, Chairperson, that absent those two, that motive or
 22 the reason for the raid which is the weapons and the
 23 terrain that we have we would either not be here at all or
 24 we would have been here later or earlier or whatever. So
 25 those were crucial milestones, hence we refer to them as a

Page 39256

1 game changer.
 2 And Chairperson, insofar as that game changer is
 3 accompanied by criminality then the Commission, we would
 4 suggest should give a stern warning to the people because
 5 the first signs remember, if our version is correct,
 6 Chairperson, it would mean the first signs of pangas and
 7 guns and all that was now. At least that we know of, of
 8 course we know somewhere in the still of the night there
 9 were marauding crowds and so on. But from what we know and
 10 what we have seen on the visuals the first signs of pangas
 11 and spears are the spears and pangas that are held by those
 12 NUM people. And that it must have played a role in the
 13 rush as it were to say well if they managed to chase us
 14 away with pangas and guns and spears we'll get our own and
 15 the rest, as we say, is history. So it's crucial game
 16 changer, but let's not forget that that game changer is
 17 directly related to the culpability of Lonmin because if Mr
 18 Mabuyakhulu is correct that the main reason was to say –
 19 was a helpless plea to NUM to say we've marched to our
 20 employer, they've said – they've referred us to you, what
 21 do you expect us to do. Let's assume that that's all was
 22 going to happen then that march in the first place let
 23 alone the shootings and what have you would not have taken
 24 place had Lonmin not been intransigent about talking to the
 25 workers on the 10th. And so that's why I was saying some of

Page 39257

1 these game changers have their own brother game changers as
 2 it were. Maybe the real game changer is what happened on
 3 the 10th or what did not happen on the 10th which, was we
 4 know, was really a decision that cannot be justified.
 5 Forget about whether exhibit XXX8 was binding or was signed
 6 by this one or not signed by that one. The point of the
 7 matter is does it make sense, does it make sense just out
 8 of common sense that if you are a manager and you find that
 9 your workers today did not start work, they are sitting
 10 outside, that you must go and listen to them irrespective
 11 of whether they've complied with the Labour Relations Act
 12 and so on. That's just a natural common sense reaction.
 13 So what is contained in XXX8 is what a reasonable employer
 14 should do anyway.
 15 [09:43] Which is to talk to the people and ask them in
 16 proper circumstances to have, select a committee, have a
 17 counter-committee on the side of management and so on, but
 18 Lonmin did not do that despite the fact that there was an
 19 expectation from the employees that they would be spoken
 20 to, given the Da Costa history, and the only reason that
 21 Lonmin did not talk to the workers, Chairperson, is what
 22 I've called in the heads of argument the floodgates
 23 argument. If you summarise all the reasons that they give
 24 it's that, well, if you talk to these people, well, then
 25 other groups of people will come and ultimately it's about

Page 39258

1 money because so what's wrong with floodgates? The only
 2 thing that's wrong with the floodgates is the fact that,
 3 well, those ones will also be asking for more money and
 4 then we'll open a precedent and then another group will
 5 come and ask for more money. So for these financial
 6 considerations we will do something unnatural and refuse to
 7 speak to our own employees.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: There seemed to be another
 9 factor at play and that was their belief that because of
 10 the two-year agreement nothing could happen in between, a
 11 view which was of course shared by the local branch of NUM.
 12 That also I think prima facie again played a role in
 13 stiffening the backs as it were of Lonmin and encouraging
 14 them to adopt the intransigent attitude they did.
 15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. No, that is so,
 16 Chairperson. Well, my only submission in respect of that
 17 is that in respect of both of those parties it was grossly
 18 unreasonable for them to believe that. NUM is an
 19 experienced union, they've been entering into agreements
 20 for the past 30 years or so. Lonmin has at its disposal
 21 some of the best legal brains that money can buy. So it's
 22 unreasonable for them not to know that there's a clause in
 23 their own agreements, both of them, that gives them this
 24 leeway, and you'll remember, Chairperson – I don't have the
 25 reference, I think it was Mr Zokwana or Mr Gcilitshana, but

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39259</p> <p>1 one of them said that on a previous occasion it had 2 occurred that, I think because there was some inflation – 3 unexpected – 4 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Zokwana gave an incident 5 that dated back to something like 2005 - 6 MR MPOFU SC: Sure. 7 CHAIRPERSON: - where there was a two- 8 year agreement or a three-year agreement, an agreement over 9 a period which provided for an inflationary increase. 10 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. 11 CHAIRPERSON: And the rate of inflation 12 jumped dramatically during the period, so the inflationary 13 increase was totally inadequate and despite a clause worded 14 the same way as the clause we have here NUM went to the 15 employer, raised the point, negotiated for an increase. 16 The agreement was amended and the increase was given. So 17 Mr Zokwana was quite clear – 18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON: - that the view taken by 20 the local branch at Marikana was incorrect. 21 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, so Chairperson, it can 22 be assumed that that ignorance was not genuine. It's 23 either they did know that they can negotiate waiver, or if 24 they didn't they should have, they ought to have known, 25 just from this discussion that we have had, both of them.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39261</p> <p>1 raise the point that Lonmin was less than consistent in 2 their approach towards whether they do or do not talk to 3 the strikers. 4 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Commissioner Hemraj, 5 remember we're not just talking only about XXX8 where 6 there's this debate. There is a principle in Lonmin which 7 is not, whose applicability is not debated by anybody, 8 which was called the line of sight strategy that Mr Da 9 Costa explained. Mr Da Costa in engaging with the workers, 10 talking to them, whatever word one chooses, was guided by 11 this line of sight strategy. So when it comes to the terms 12 of reference which says that did Lonmin conform with their 13 own policies and so on, you Commissioners must look at XXX8 14 and decide its applicability, but the Commissioners will be 15 able to say even if XXX8 is not applicable, the line of 16 sight strategy that was explained by Mr Da Costa, which was 17 never disowned by anybody, as you put it, Commissioner 18 Hemraj, is what had guided the actions of Da Costa and 19 which leads to the inconsistent actions in this instance of 20 the 10th of August, yes. So it covers one of the terms of 21 reference, but it also covers the factual sequence. So the 22 finding must be made against Lonmin on the adherence to its 23 own policies and practices and so on, without any doubt on 24 that basis alone. 25 Chairperson, if I can just move to the question</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39260</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Well, there's another point 2 I suppose that the point was, again these are all prima 3 facie points I'm putting to you; we may hear response from 4 others that they're not sound, but the other point of 5 course is that regard being had to the importance of the 6 matter it may well be argued that the local branch should 7 have at least contacted head office and said are we correct 8 in thinking that nothing could be done because of this 9 clause, in which case I take it they would have got the 10 answer no, you're wrong. 11 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson. Let me 12 just summarise it by saying, Chairperson, there cannot be 13 any valid reason really for the stance taken by Lonmin on 14 the 10th, except as I say this floodgates argument which on 15 its own has its own deficiencies, and one is not suggesting 16 of course, Chairperson, that well, at that stage they would 17 have foreseen that there's going to be a disaster and all 18 that. I'm not there, because on the 10th clearly nobody was 19 in that zone. But just judged from the reaction of a 20 reasonable employer, what they did on the 10th certainly led 21 to the disaster and it cannot be justified. So what I'm 22 trying to do, Chairperson, is to say the game changer of 23 the 11th is directly married to the conduct of Lonmin on the 24 10th. 25 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofo, you also</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39262</p> <p>1 of putative self-defence – 2 CHAIRPERSON: You can move to it, but I 3 mean it's a bit out of sequence at the moment, isn't it? 4 It comes up later on. 5 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. It does. Well, it 6 comes up later on. Yes, in fact in terms of the – 7 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, but I mean – 8 MR MPOFU SC: No, no – 9 CHAIRPERSON: I won't dictate to you the 10 order of your argument, but it occurred to me it does come 11 up later, but if you think it important to get it across 12 now we will obviously receive the argument on the point. 13 MR MPOFU SC: No, I suppose, Chairperson, 14 what's weighing on my shoulders is the fact that that 15 issue, I'm arguing it on behalf of other people. So, but – 16 CHAIRPERSON: Is there any reason to 17 think the other people have to leave early and won't be 18 able to hear the argument later on? 19 MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, but they 20 might be thinking when is he going to get to our issue. 21 But in terms of sequence, Chairperson, you're quite right. 22 I think the next game changer in that sequence really is 23 the 13th. 24 CHAIRPERSON: May I put a problem to you 25 about that? It might be contended that the next game</p>

Page 39263

1 changer – I understand your point about the NUM, the events
 2 at the NUM office was a game changer, there may have been
 3 other game changers before that too, but it might be argued
 4 that the next game changer was a decision by the strikers
 5 not simply to use the weapons that they had for defence,
 6 but for attack, that clearly – you conceded this, I think
 7 correctly and sensibly – that the murders on the morning of
 8 the 12th and the murders on the night of the – the murder on
 9 the night of the 12th and the early morning of the 13th,
 10 those were done by strikers. We don't know who they are,
 11 unless we can accept Mr X's evidence and that's a whole
 12 chapter on its own we don't have to go into. But it might
 13 well be contended that the decision by the strikers, or
 14 some of the strikers to move over from possessing their
 15 weapons, if this was their intention before that, to move
 16 over from possessing the weapons solely to defend
 17 themselves, to move over to what I've described at times in
 18 the Commission as murder and mayhem, to violence directed
 19 at life of individuals in order to intimidate others so
 20 that they would take part in an unprotected strike that
 21 they weren't willing to do, it might be contended that that
 22 in itself was a game changer –
 23 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
 24 CHAIRPERSON: - because it brought other
 25 important consequences in its –

Page 39264

1 MR MPOFU SC: Sure.
 2 CHAIRPERSON: I must put that to you
 3 because I'd like to hear your submission.
 4 MR MPOFU SC: No, that's quite correct,
 5 Chairperson. I have three submissions to make in regard to
 6 that. The first one is one cannot deny in honestly
 7 speaking the fact that the gruesome killings of the 12th in
 8 a way changed the game, particularly from the point of view
 9 of the law enforcement agencies and so on, can't deny that.
 10 The issue here, Chairperson, is this - and again
 11 it's one of those issues that as you delve into the matter
 12 come to you - the march at the 12th, at the risk of being
 13 fired by my attorneys, in my honest view the march of the
 14 12th, Chairperson, was the march that SAPS actually want us
 15 to believe was the march of the 11th, or even NUM. Given
 16 what had transpired, given the bona fide belief, which
 17 seems that it was bona fide that two people had been killed
 18 and so on, we know that whole story, I would find it very
 19 difficult to say to you, Chairperson, that the march of the
 20 12th was not a march that was motivated by an intention to
 21 retaliate and all that. So –
 22 CHAIRPERSON: Would revenge be an
 23 appropriate word?
 24 MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson?
 25 CHAIRPERSON: Would revenge be an

Page 39265

1 appropriate word?
 2 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson. So
 3 because it's clear, undeniable that what happened on the
 4 11th had engendered this animosity and that there's no other
 5 way that one can explain the brutality of what happened on
 6 the 12th, and so if someone was to say to me what do you
 7 think would have happened if the strikers had not been
 8 stopped on the 11th I would probably say nothing, I don't
 9 think anything would have happened. They might have been
 10 signing around there and, or whatever, maybe exchanged
 11 words, but on the 12th I wouldn't be able to answer that
 12 question.
 13 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off,
 14 inaudible].
 15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, so I'm just saying,
 16 Chairperson, in agreement with you, I must not be read to
 17 underestimate what happened on the 12th.
 18 CHAIRPERSON: The other question that
 19 flows from that is, I could understand the argument that
 20 whatever may have been the position prior to the events of
 21 the 11th, I think we get a slightly different interpretation
 22 of those facts from Mr Tip, but we're not going there at
 23 the moment. Certainly whether there was hostility before
 24 or not, the hostility either became more serious or arose –
 25 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.

Page 39266

1 CHAIRPERSON: - hostility from the side
 2 of the strikers towards NUM –
 3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: And that would go quite far
 5 to explain the, not to justify but explain what happened on
 6 the morning of the 12th.
 7 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely, yes.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: But we're get a further
 9 rising of the temperature on, at K4 on the night of the
 10 12th.
 11 MR MPOFU SC: Correct.
 12 CHAIRPERSON: And it goes even higher
 13 than that I think, or something can be said of the
 14 proposition that this remained at a very high level on the
 15 early morning of the 13th when Mr Langa was murdered.
 16 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.
 17 CHAIRPERSON: Now that's quite an
 18 important aspect of what happened from then on, isn't it?
 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, it is, Chairperson,
 20 except for this; the activities of the 12th I think are in a
 21 class of their own because on the 12th, as the Chairperson
 22 correctly points out, whatever, we have various estimates
 23 of the numbers, but nobody can deny the fact that there you
 24 are dealing with the crowd, so to speak. I'm sure it was
 25 not everybody, there was other people who remained at the

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39267</p> <p>1 koppie, but it was a sizeable crowd, whereas on the night 2 of the 12th I don't even think we have an estimate of how 3 many people were there, but it was clearly a minority, a 4 tiny minority of what we might call "the crowd." 5 On the killing of Mr Langa it's also possible it 6 could even have been one or two people, nobody knows, but 7 one can safely say it was not 3000 people walking around 8 and they found Mr Langa walking. So there is that slight 9 distinction. It doesn't make them better killings or 10 whatever, but it simply places them at a particular level 11 where they can't even by any stretch of the imagination be 12 attributed to "the strikers," as it were, whereas the 12th 13 is different and the 12th, as the Chairperson has said, is 14 clearly emanating from what happened on the 11th. So even 15 if you accept everything that you and I have agreed on, 16 Chairperson, it still makes the 11th a game changer because 17 the march of the 12th was to retaliate or revenge or 18 whatever it is, for the shootings and the killings, alleged 19 killings which had happened on the 11th. So it's a build-up 20 and a further raising of the temperature that I have to 21 concede, but it emanates from these two important things, 22 the refusal by Lonmin to speak on the 10th, the shootings of 23 the 11th and the word "revenge" itself presupposes that 24 something else has happened before. 25 While we are there, Chairperson, I might as well</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39269</p> <p>1 at the time, that didn't work, at the hands of the 2 strikers. That doesn't mean if those strikers are – 3 CHAIRPERSON: At the hands of some 4 strikers. 5 MR MPOFU SC: Of some strikers, yes, of – 6 thank you, that's even better. 7 CHAIRPERSON: Certainly this conduct 8 can't be, blame for what happened – 9 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. 10 CHAIRPERSON: - can't be attributed to 11 all 3000 or 4000 strikers. 12 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. That's the 13 crucial point. 14 CHAIRPERSON: That's the point you're – 15 MR MPOFU SC: That's the crucial point. 16 Thank you very much, Chairperson. 17 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofo, when you 18 say the march on the 12th was motivated by revenge, the – 19 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 20 MR MPOFU SC: I must be careful, so that 21 I can keep my job. I'm just saying that – 22 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Might be – 23 MR MPOFU SC: Ja, one cannot – I have no 24 evidence that it was – 25 CHAIRPERSON: That's not to say you can't</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39268</p> <p>1 do this now. It's very important to understand that where 2 the injured and arrested come from, and as it was said by 3 Mr Nzuzza, it's a bit of an exaggeration the way it was put 4 by the evidence leaders that they have almost kind of 5 pleaded guilty to murder or what not. That's not what was 6 said. What was said is that – and this was said in a very 7 serious note, it's something that we had to consult about 8 and get sufficient consensus, as they say – that this is a 9 Commission of Inquiry where the country needs to hear the 10 truth. If we were in a court of law we would have taken a 11 different stance altogether. We would have said well, if 12 you can't prove it you can't prove it. Who knows, Mr Langa 13 could have been met with some robbers and so on. Who 14 knows, Mr Twala could have had an argument about football 15 with one of the strikers and got killed there, and so on 16 and so on. But we can't in a process like this adopt those 17 kinds of positions and that is why they took the position 18 which is to say evidence or no evidence, the killings that 19 were clearly linked to the strike must have happened at the 20 hands of one or two strikers, 20, 30, it doesn't matter, 21 but the point is that those were – we want to acknowledge 22 so that the Commission doesn't have to grapple with all 23 those issues of fanciful propositions, that for the sake of 24 this process we acknowledge that those killings happened 25 related to the strikers, or as I put it carefully I thought</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39270</p> <p>1 exclude it. 2 MR MPOFU SC: I can't exclude it, yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON: And it might even be a 4 probability it was a factor, but you didn't put it any 5 higher than that. 6 MR MPOFU SC: On the whole, yes, it's 7 reasonably possible on the test that was adduced here. 8 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And then the 9 incidents at K4 Shaft, what do you say was behind that? 10 Would it be intimidation, trying to enforce the strike? 11 What do you say about that? 12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes – 13 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Is that not the 14 crowd – 15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 16 [10:03] Let's take a simple example. You know, I've been 17 a striker before. When you are in a strike, Chairperson 18 and Commissioners, you are bound to have these kinds of 19 dynamics. That's why these things, if they can be 20 prevented, they should be prevented. As Mr Ramaphosa said 21 the history of strikes in the mining industry in this 22 country is well catalogued and it's full of many corpses 23 and killings of non-strikers and all that. In fact I 24 remember specifically, I think it was in the eighties, when 25 there was a campaign by the NUM to save some of its members</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39271</p> <p>1 who were facing the death sentence, you know. So these 2 things are not, it's no secret, it's in the Butler book, 3 it's there and I canvassed it with Mr Ramaphosa and he 4 candidly admitted that yes, there were – there was a lot of 5 violence, some of it on non-strikers and so on. So it's – 6 let's not even go to that history. Let's just look at 7 Impala. We know that there's that kind of phenomenon was 8 happening a few months before this disaster. So no, one 9 doesn't have to be a genius to realise that in this – and 10 that's why the culpability of Lonmin becomes quite serious 11 because by letting this keg to be festering, knowing, you 12 know it's one thing if you are doing that, there's some 13 strike at Pick n Pay where there is precedent of violence 14 but you know the milieu, you know that non-strikers are 15 vulnerable and as it's been said by other parties, you 16 probably have a duty to even take steps to say I am closing 17 the shaft and so on. So all I am saying, Commissioner 18 Hemraj, is that given all that we know, that's why I am 19 saying I can't come here and say, oh well, we don't know 20 why these people – why people went to K4. Obviously there 21 was a minority group of however many people that felt that 22 it must enforce the strike by unlawful means. There's no 23 doubt about that. Remember, Mr Nzuzi's involvement, the 24 history of Mr Nzuzi's involvement in the strike is quite 25 interesting because he himself, not even knowing that there</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39273</p> <p>1 them as you know, it's a confession or they've now admitted 2 to murder and they are not honest about their expressions 3 of condolences which is very unfortunate but that's, I have 4 to put on record that if it was done in that spirit. I 5 know for sure because I was involved in ensuring that that 6 is done. So the short answer is Chairperson, through this 7 discussion one cannot underestimate what happened on the 8 12th and so on. 9 But what happened on the 13th after Mr Langa's 10 brutal killing then placed another crucial role in getting 11 us to – you see, Chairperson, let me put this bluntly like 12 this, if anybody believes that Lonmin, Mr Ramaphosa and all 13 these people really were running around and bringing up all 14 these thousands of bullets and whatnot because of their 15 concern for Mr Fundi and Mr Mabelane and so on, well, 16 anyone who believes that will believe anything. That was 17 not the point. Of course we are all appalled at deaths, 18 you know. The ten people who died are human beings but if 19 anyone really believes that that is what is moving was 20 creating this hullabaloo well, then we have – have to 21 think again. Firstly, there's this, the story that gets 22 bandied about is that well, the strikers had killed ten 23 people before, so what do they expect? 24 CHAIRPERSON: Even a wrong number isn't 25 it?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39272</p> <p>1 was a strike was just going to nightshift and met two or 2 three people in the dark and so on, and asked him questions 3 and they threw stones at him and when he got to his shack 4 he asked the person he stays with, who is an RDO because he 5 was not an RDO, what's going on? And then that's the first 6 time that – so there's no doubt even from our own version 7 that there were those sporadic incidents of intimidation. 8 We can't deny that. 9 Now the – and the issue about accepting that 10 these deaths were part of the strike to put it at its 11 lowest is really because it's stretching the hands of 12 reconciliation. Remember when we are all finished here and 13 done, these people have to live together and one of the 14 purposes of this Commission is to foster reconciliation 15 because without reconciliation again to say this will not 16 happen again would be further and further away, and that is 17 why we appreciated that Mr Ramphole when he finished his 18 address, acknowledged that gesture and the families of the 19 victims acknowledged that gesture because it will go a long 20 way in alleviating. In fact when we make the 21 recommendations we will specifically say that the 22 Commission should without being too prescriptive suggest 23 that there should be a reconciliation programme of some 24 sort in those communities, and really this is something 25 that was done in that spirit that it was turned against</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39274</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU: It's wrong. 2 CHAIRPERSON: Three of those were 3 strikers who were killed on the 13th - 4 MR MPOFU: Absolutely. 5 CHAIRPERSON: - in the hands of the 6 police. 7 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson, 8 yes. But in the media until today you will find that no – 9 forgetting exactly what the Chairperson is saying, three of 10 the people were strikers themselves, were killed but at 11 least on our version as expressed in Mr Boo's statement 12 five of those ten deaths must be placed squarely in the 13 hands of the police and that includes Warrant Officer 14 Monene and Warrant Officer Lepaaku. We know the story, we 15 will get to that. So this thing about ten deaths, ten 16 deaths and so on is just one of these flags that get flown, 17 but there's no controversy about the other five which is 18 what we have just discussed. 19 Now I was saying that the – even, let's even 20 assume that these bigwigs were worried about those five 21 deaths, with the greatest respect Chairperson, it will die 22 I think in Soweto alone you have every weekend ten, 15 23 people who die, who never seen all these people running 24 around and bringing thousands of – and the STF and what 25 have you. So it's a lie, it's a lie that they were moved</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39275</p> <p>1 by that, by that – I am not saying they were so inhuman as 2 to be unmoved but I am saying the hive of activity that we 3 saw there was not driven by that. That's why the 13th is in 4 a league of its own. The 13th Chairperson, happens when all 5 the objective evidence that we see is that there were those 6 strikers were not attached to anybody. Maybe I am 7 overstating the proposition because we know that they were 8 holding dangerous weapons but apart from that, Chairperson, 9 these are people who, a small group of about a hundred or 10 so that on all the visual material we see is as described 11 by Mr Motlagewa of the very respectful very submissive and 12 so, and even if one thinks that those terms maybe are too 13 glowing, at the very minimum they get stopped by Lonmin 14 security. They are told, you must turn back, they turn 15 back. We see them on the video. They turn back. They 16 even say, okay we will turn back but please tell our 17 management to come and address us and Mr Motlagewa says 18 thank you, we will do that, we will tell management to 19 come, but for now, you must go back. And they go back. 20 They are stopped by Major-General Mpmembe. They sit down. 21 There's still a sign of respect. They talk to them. 22 There's disagreement and so on, but what is it that they 23 are saying Chairperson? They are saying, we are not 24 fighting, more than ten times in I think it's 222 that 25 transcript and the videos is Z1. We are not fighting, we</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39277</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Which is why he decided to 2 apply the doctrine of situation appropriate. 3 MR MPOFU: Mm. That's correct, 4 Chairperson, yes. Yes, no doubt that he wanted to avert a 5 disaster but I am saying in addition, surely he must have 6 also been - to this proposition of being able to disarm the 7 whole crowd. 8 CHAIRPERSON: I think there's some 9 evidence that he was a bit sceptical about whether it would 10 happen but in any event the fact of the matter was that if 11 he tried to disarm them there, there would have been – 12 MR MPOFU: Absolutely, at that Tatane - 13 CHAIRPERSON: - a substantial amount of 14 bloodshed and I don't say 34 people would have been killed 15 but a significant number might well have been – 16 MR MPOFU: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON: - and he very sensibly 18 decided to do what he did. 19 MR MPOFU: Yes. Thank you, Chairperson. 20 So really what we have is a small crowd of submissive 21 respectful people who, if you don't want to believe the 22 body language, then you must believe what they say that we 23 are not fighting, we have no problem with the police and so 24 on and so on. And a very significant thing happened which 25 you see in videos that one which has a bearing on the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39276</p> <p>1 are not fighting, we just want management to come and talk 2 to us. We are holding these arms. Remember one of them 3 even did this, we are holding these arms because we are 4 attacked by the NUM. And eventually what is the deal? 5 They say, okay, escort us to the mountain and you will get 6 all the arms. Now you might say, oh well, maybe it was a 7 trap or whatever, they didn't mean it. We don't know. But 8 here was an opportunity for a voluntary disarmament of the 9 strikers offered by them. They say escort us, then you 10 will get all the weapons, not just these hundred, you will 11 get all. What more must they do? And General Mpmembe very 12 sensibly if I was in his shoes, I would have done the same. 13 If I accept, I am coming to collect 100 pangas and it looks 14 like I might get 2 000. Why not? And that is why General 15 Mpmembe acceded to that, and reached an agreement as Mr Booi 16 called it, a non-verbalised agreement. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Well, there was quasi- 18 mutual assent. 19 MR MPOFU: Absolutely. 20 CHAIRPERSON: But what Major-General 21 Mpmembe did say, that's a factor one can't ignore was he 22 realised that if he tried to disarm them there, there would 23 have been as he put it, another Tatane incident or one 24 worse than that. 25 MR MPOFU: Sure.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39278</p> <p>1 massacre itself. When they defied Major-General Mpmembe as 2 it were, and he allowed them to go, that is, I've called it 3 in my heads the mirroring, if you mirror that moment with 4 what happened on the 16th it's actually very instructive 5 because here are these people, they are doing exactly what 6 they did on the 16th when they were massacred. They are 7 singing, they are doing this with their arms, they are 8 crouching and these were seen as big scenes as it were on 9 the 16th. And what's more, they go – there's a line of 10 armed policemen in front of them and they go towards that 11 line, exactly what happened on the 16th, but those policemen 12 didn't open fire on them, and they let them through and the 13 strikers, surprise, surprise, did not attack them. They 14 walked. They were escorted by the police exact, a mirror 15 image of what happened on the 16th. And until we know what 16 happened then until the teargas came. So let's for now 17 factor away the teargas and the stun grenades. What 18 happened in that 500 metres or so is exactly what should 19 have happened on the 16th, that if the people wanted to go 20 to a particular destination which is Nkaneng on the 16th, 21 and the koppie on the 13th, and the police were concerned 22 about the safety of other people, then escort them or 23 whatever, particularly in view of the fact that remember, 24 with Major-General Mpmembe, even worse, he doesn't have a 25 plan at all. He is just improvising as he's going along.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39279</p> <p>1 On the 16th, we have a plan and the plan says 2 don't worry, if you don't get weapons today, you will get 3 them phase 6. But the people were massacred exactly as I 4 say on the same – so the 13th is significant for two 5 reasons, there's a game change in the sense that it 6 obviously led to further revenge, even going back to what 7 the Chairperson and I had spoken about which I'll get into 8 now. But it provides as I say, this golden opportunity of 9 a mirror image of what could have been done if you had 10 proper leadership on the 16th, and the proper situation 11 appropriateness had been – I am sorry, Commissioner. 12 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, what do you 13 say about this criticism by the evidence leaders against 14 General Mzembe that he didn't have a plan or things like 15 that? Do you fault him in any way in his actions? 16 MR MPOFU: No. Chairperson, I must say 17 the dynamics among ourselves has a – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Different contentions put 19 up by different parties, that's to be expected. 20 MR MPOFU: No, I – 21 CHAIRPERSON: You, I won't get into 22 trouble for saying what I am now going to say, but you have 23 adopted a, as I see it a very realistic approach to a 24 number of the matters, made concessions which others 25 weren't prepared to make or not as readily as you made them</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39281</p> <p>1 thing without a plan and so on, those where one can talk 2 about. And if indeed it was him who gave the – which is 3 unlikely in my estimation, if it was him who gave the 4 instruction for the teargas then of course he must be 5 faulted. But that would have been so inconsistent with 6 what he had just done up to that point but you know, you 7 never know. But General Mzembe I must say – but also, 8 Chairperson let's just be real here, you know General 9 Mzembe was probably in the history CJOC he was the most 10 short lived CJOC. He was appointed at 12 and fired at 2. 11 [10:23] You know, so because after that incident it is 12 very clear that not only did the members of SAPS want to 13 murder him, but at that point he stopped being the CJOC. 14 One can say whatever they want, at that very point General 15 Mzembe was fired. He was just a figurehead, a de facto – 16 they brought in the real big guns. They brought 17 Annandale – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Constructive firing I 19 suppose – 20 MR MPOFU SC: Constructive dismissal, 21 yes, Chairperson. That is why the Annandales of this world 22 with their gung-ho approach, STF, all these people are 23 brought – the 13th is very, very important. Why do you 24 think all of a sudden we have Annandale, we have – who's 25 doing the plan? It's Scott, an STF man. Who's the hostage</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39280</p> <p>1 – 2 MR MPOFU: Thanks, Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON: You did so for a number of 4 reasons, one you've explained, and which does you credit, 5 the other is you also of course add strength to your other 6 submissions, that's a factor that – 7 MR MPOFU: Thanks Chairperson. 8 CHAIRPERSON: - hasn't escaped us. 9 MR MPOFU: Yes. No Chairperson, I must 10 confess I can't fault General Mzembe's approach. I just 11 can't even if I tried because as I say for me what he did 12 is exactly the mirror image of what should have been done 13 because here he sees a situation, he says it to you here, 14 Chairperson, as Chairperson has just correctly pointed out, 15 he refers to the Tatane – how can you fault someone who 16 applies his mind to the possibility of death. He says on 17 the 15th in my entire training, I am paraphrasing, anywhere 18 in the world I have never heard someone disarming someone 19 with an axe, with a gun. That is exactly what the police 20 did on the 16th. They went to that mountain to disarm 21 someone with an axe, with a gun. Major-General Mzembe said 22 that's a recipe for disaster. So I am afraid, the approach 23 that he took, let's assume – and that doesn't – I am not 24 absolving from the criticism what I will call the 25 institutional criticism of you can't go into that kind of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39282</p> <p>1 negotiator? It's McIntosh. So the entire picture changes; 2 it's war now. The Mzembe's of this world with their 3 situational appropriateness and what have you must move 4 out, it's war now. Scott says it in his affidavit, or in 5 his statement. I've quoted that in my replying heads. He 6 says at that point – sorry, Chairperson, he says – ja, no, 7 no, it's somebody else. I'm sorry, I have to find this. 8 It's an interesting – it's also important in another 9 context. If you'll forgive me, Chairperson. Actually this 10 quotation I got it from the LRC heads. So I suppose it's a 11 case of easy come, easy go. 12 CHAIRPERSON: Are you [microphone off, 13 inaudible] quotation in paragraph 92 of your reply. 14 MR MPOFU SC: 92 of my reply, yes, 15 probably. Yes, Chairperson, that's – I'm indebted to the 16 Chairperson. Somehow I thought it was at the beginning. 17 That's where it was supposed to be. Scott says, and I'm 18 quoting, "After hearing the briefing given by the National 19 Commissioner and by the commanders involved in the 20 conflictive incident earlier on that day, which had tragic 21 results, I formed the view that this group of strikers had 22 taken their level of willingness to achieve their goals to 23 levels beyond what the police had previously experienced in 24 labour and service delivery unrest. They had moved from 25 destruction of property and harming of non-striking</p>

Page 39283

1 employees to standing against the authority of the State
 2 vested in the police by attacking and murdering police
 3 officials when they tried to enforce the law. With the
 4 killing of police officials it was my view that the
 5 strikers had crossed a barrier where they could with
 6 greater ease take the decision to confront the police again
 7 should the police be required to resolve the situation
 8 tactically." This is the truth. You see if you read that
 9 carefully you'll see this thing of harming non-strikers is
 10 nothing. Now they've moved from harming non-strikers to
 11 standing against authority of the State, so we are in a
 12 different zone now. Authority of the State, that's war.
 13 You can kill non-strikers, but to kill the police and
 14 challenge the authority of the State is war, and that is
 15 when the war was declared.

16 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, well I understand the
 17 submission, but what might be argued on the other side, and
 18 of course we've got to weigh up arguments on all sides –

19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON: - not just both sides, is
 21 that in fact the first plan that they drew up, the inputs
 22 from Colonel Merafe, which of course couldn't be
 23 implemented because of what happened on the Wednesday
 24 night, that that plan was a significantly less risky plan
 25 and that plan might have achieved what they wanted to

Page 39284

1 achieve without bloodshed at all. It was never given a
 2 chance of course, and what Scott also did, in fairness to
 3 Scott, was he said before we even go there we really should
 4 try the road of negotiation, and you say they may have got
 5 the wrong negotiator, but there's nothing wrong – I'm not
 6 sure that's correct, but I know what you – but the
 7 principle was a good principle, wasn't it? The good
 8 principle was let's not go on to the attack, let's rather
 9 solve the problem if we can by negotiation. So that's
 10 another point, to be fair, to put on the side of Scott.

11 The other point which arises is later the
 12 decision to bring the trade union presidents, that also was
 13 an attempt to go the peaceful route. So these are all
 14 factors which operate on the other side. The last point
 15 that I must put to you, and I just put these to you so you
 16 can deal with them if I'm on the wrong track, prima facie
 17 views; I know you criticise bringing in the STF, but the
 18 interesting thing about the STF is they didn't fire a shot.

19 MR MPOFU SC: Correct, and NIU.

20 CHAIRPERSON: And Colonel Gaffley, who
 21 was in charge, in fact was horrified when the K9 people
 22 started firing away into the bushes and he told his own men
 23 to duck and he ordered cease fire. So I understand on
 24 paper it sounds terrible that they brought in the STF and
 25 you think that, you get the idea of cash-in-transit heists

Page 39285

1 and the activities that go on there taking place at
 2 Marikana. In fact that didn't happen as a result of the
 3 bringing in of the STF. It may well be, and I understand
 4 this is your argument, the main point that you make and I'm
 5 just putting it to you to make sure I understand it
 6 correctly, was bringing in those people from head office
 7 brought in a different ethos, a paramilitary approach to
 8 solving a problem which should have been solved in another
 9 way. I understand that argument, but I think to be fair
 10 the points that I've put to you on the side of Colonel
 11 Scott are also points to be borne in mind, unless if you
 12 disagree, perhaps after we've taken the tea adjournment you
 13 can tell me why.

14 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson.

15 CHAIRPERSON: 15 minutes?

16 MR MPOFU SC: Ja.

17 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]

18 [10:48] CHAIRPERSON: - that was the more
 19 militaristic –

20 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely.

21 CHAIRPERSON: - what you call the STF
 22 approach, but it's really the militarised police, the
 23 remilitarisation.

24 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.

25 CHAIRPERSON: Police force attitude from

Page 39286

1 head office, which was not the attitude which you say
 2 General Mpembe adopted. That is the main thrust you –

3 MR MPOFU SC: [Inaudible] ja, he didn't –

4 CHAIRPERSON: I think I indicated to
 5 you –

6 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON: - that I didn't have a
 8 problem with that, prima facie.

9 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, but I –

10 CHAIRPERSON: The operational responses
 11 as you know, and so forth.

12 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct,
 13 Chairperson, yes, but I acknowledge the points that you
 14 make. I was simply saying this, it's a turning point in
 15 that sense alone of, as I say the constructive dismissal of
 16 Mpembe, more than constructive dismissal, he was threatened
 17 with murder and the taking over of the apartheid –

18 CHAIRPERSON: If you are thinking in
 19 terms of constructive dismissal and so forth, then again
 20 this is a point obviously that's got to be dealt with by
 21 your learned friends, but it does appear – this is based on
 22 something De Rover said actually – it does appear that if
 23 he'd been left in charge and based upon what he said on the
 24 Wednesday night to Mr Zokwana, things would have turned out
 25 differently – might well have. Let's put it that way –

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39287</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: - might well have turned</p> <p>3 out differently, and Mr De Rover made an interesting little</p> <p>4 remark somewhere about the – I forget exactly how it was</p> <p>5 put but something along the lines of Mpembe wasn't listened</p> <p>6 to or didn't have much influence, or words to that effect.</p> <p>7 I'm sure one of these clever people here will find it for</p> <p>8 us, but that effectively reinforces the point you're</p> <p>9 making.</p> <p>10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson. Yes,</p> <p>11 that's completely true, Chairperson. It shows that not –</p> <p>12 actually, Chairperson, it goes further than that. If you</p> <p>13 look at what happened on the 16th you have literally two</p> <p>14 streams of things happening. You have what you might call</p> <p>15 the Mpembe effort which culminates in, I mean 15th and 16th,</p> <p>16 evening of the 15th to the 16th, which culminates in the</p> <p>17 visit by the leaders to the koppie, the recorded</p> <p>18 conversation where he clearly says all these things about</p> <p>19 the X and all that. So you have that going on.</p> <p>20 Meanwhile –</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you.</p> <p>22 It even goes further than that. You say it goes further.</p> <p>23 I'm saying even further than you say.</p> <p>24 MR MPOFU SC: Even further.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: What he says is if you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39289</p> <p>1 figuratively is Annandale, then nobody else can be</p> <p>2 convinced.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: But again you know I'm not</p> <p>4 sure that it's quite as bad as that for Annandale.</p> <p>5 Annandale was also put in a tricky situation. You remember</p> <p>6 Annandale actually stood over Brigadier Pretorius at 3:20PM</p> <p>7 and said please record the following in the occurrence</p> <p>8 book, but the point you're making I think is that if you</p> <p>9 put yourself in Mpembe's shoes at 6:30 on the Thursday he'd</p> <p>10 expressed views the night before as to what he thought</p> <p>11 should happen, and then effectively it was D-day and that</p> <p>12 was not what he had envisaged.</p> <p>13 MR MPOFU SC: Very crucial, Chairperson,</p> <p>14 and that –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Taken out of his own – he</p> <p>16 couldn't then say no, no, no, no, it can't be D-day –</p> <p>17 MR MPOFU SC: Ja.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: - for these reasons –</p> <p>19 MR MPOFU SC: Ja.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: - because from on high the</p> <p>21 instruction had come.</p> <p>22 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. That is</p> <p>23 crucial, Chairperson, and that informs – you see, this</p> <p>24 issue of the collusion is not just a cosmetic thing. That</p> <p>25 informs the attitude, the inexplicable attitude of Lonmin.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39288</p> <p>1 leave it to me what we're going to do is we're going to –</p> <p>2 he doesn't say it in express terms, but it amounts to that</p> <p>3 – we're going to go on looking at the photographs and</p> <p>4 identifying the people with weapons. You, the people from</p> <p>5 NUM, are secretly going to tell us without attribution</p> <p>6 who's got weapons and where they are, and we're going to go</p> <p>7 and get them at the hostels. That effectively is what he</p> <p>8 says to Zokwana, as I understand him. That's my approach</p> <p>9 for dealing with the matter. The other way, going to the</p> <p>10 koppie, that's a recipe for disaster, and while he's saying</p> <p>11 that, in parallel with that other things are being said at</p> <p>12 Midrand –</p> <p>13 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. That's exactly</p> <p>14 the point, Chairperson. Almost, if it was a movie it would</p> <p>15 be on the one scene in Rustenburg you have General Mpembe</p> <p>16 planning all these nice things and interventions and so on.</p> <p>17 Meanwhile in Midrand the National Management Forum is</p> <p>18 tightening and accelerating the plan for war. That's</p> <p>19 exactly what happened. So you can't, whether you look at</p> <p>20 General Mpembe from the point of view of the 13th or from</p> <p>21 this point of view of the 15th, 16th, overnight kind of</p> <p>22 divide, you – well, if you are in doubt about his</p> <p>23 nationalisation then the mere fact that when the tragedy</p> <p>24 actually occurs he's somewhere in the air alone and, you</p> <p>25 know, and the person who's calling the shots literally and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39290</p> <p>1 Here they were in the evening, high fives were being</p> <p>2 exchanged with Mathunjwa in that Mpembe effort, and</p> <p>3 Mathunjwa comes in the morning, nobody wants to talk to</p> <p>4 him. Why? Because by then they know, they have been told</p> <p>5 obviously that it's D-day and in fact they were just about</p> <p>6 to host the D-day press conference, so to speak, then and</p> <p>7 there. That is the only reason why Mathunjwa suddenly</p> <p>8 finds different people in the morning. What happened to</p> <p>9 these people who were all hugging, saying it looks like</p> <p>10 tomorrow there's hope, and all these good things, and all</p> <p>11 of a sudden nobody wants to talk to me and nobody's going</p> <p>12 to talk to those criminal anymore?</p> <p>13 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Mpembe says I'm not</p> <p>14 in charge, go to –</p> <p>15 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely, and he says it</p> <p>16 in so many words. He says –</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: I must say that –</p> <p>18 MR MPOFU SC: In fact he washed his hands</p> <p>19 exactly –</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: A long time ago Advocate</p> <p>21 Tokota said to me that piece of evidence rings very true.</p> <p>22 MR MPOFU SC: It certainly does. There's</p> <p>23 no doubt that what Mr Mathunjwa says there is correct</p> <p>24 because it fits in with this whole narrative. In fact</p> <p>25 Mpembe, if Mpembe, my only criticism of Mpembe is that he</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39291</p> <p>1 is adhering to this nonsense of, what you call it, closing 2 the ranks, because quite frankly the evidence is against – 3 he tried, you know, say no, they didn't tried to murder me, 4 it wasn't that serious, and I was still the CJOC. That is 5 the main criticism of him, of trying not to unsettle the 6 cart – 7 CHAIRPERSON: There's another criticism 8 that one can understand exactly what happened. You 9 remember after, when you people were away trying to get 10 legal aid we went on with some evidence, particularly with 11 Colonel Scott, and then when you came back General Mpembe 12 came back and by this time we had the Scott computer, we 13 knew what the plans were and how things worked and so on, 14 and Scott himself had said that the original plan was still 15 on the table in the JOC on the Thursday morning, and then 16 he, you know all that evidence. 17 MR MPOFU SC: Correct. 18 CHAIRPERSON: And then when poor Mpembe 19 came the point was put to him and he then said no, no, no, 20 no, no, we'd already had this new plan on the Tuesday 21 afternoon, which of course was the original Roots story. 22 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 23 CHAIRPERSON: And he was as it were 24 obliged to stick to it. 25 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39293</p> <p>1 then gets doomed from the start once that attitude is 2 adopted. The Bishop Seoka – 3 CHAIRPERSON: That is not entirely 4 correct. When Mr Mathunjwa went – now admittedly the case 5 is that he didn't have much to offer the strikers, but he 6 did make quite an eloquent speech to them and advised them 7 that the way to go is the way which in fact they went 8 subsequently some years later, namely through the CCMA and 9 a protected strike and so on. He put up quite a convincing 10 argument, I must say I thought, and if he'd succeeded, now 11 the question is even though they had the plan, even though 12 the NMF had decided if they don't lay down their arms, it's 13 phase 3, tactical option, but he nearly pulled it off. 14 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Or rather, I don't know how 16 nearly he pulled it off, but he certainly tried very hard. 17 MR MPOFU SC: No, he tried hard, under 18 those difficult circumstances, Chairperson. Remember now 19 he – remember according to his version at least there is 20 some undertaking that they will be engaged, the strikers, 21 in some way or another, whether it's through a delegation 22 or whatever. Now he has to go there and offer practically 23 nothing. In fact that's what I said when, I think I was 24 cross-examining Mr Mathunjwa, anybody who knows how 25 strikers behave will appreciate the deft work of Mr</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39292</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: The poor man hadn't been 2 told, of course very properly I suppose no-one told him 3 since he was under cross-examination, but he didn't know 4 what had happened while Colonel Scott was cross-examined. 5 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON: And I must say I felt very 7 sorry for him. 8 MR MPOFU SC: I do too, Chairperson. 9 CHAIRPERSON: And I can understand 10 exactly the pressures to which he was subjected. Anyway, 11 but that's really just an aside. 12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's true, 13 Chairperson. 14 CHAIRPERSON: We must carry on with 15 the matter. 16 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. So if we accept that 17 not only that there is this inexplicable change of 18 attitude, and as Commissioner Tokota correctly points out, 19 I mean obviously Mr Mathunjwa didn't know the other 20 dynamics but that statement was very telling when he says 21 look, we agreed yesterday and all, Mbombo is now in charge 22 effectively, it's no longer my business. There can't be 23 any other explanation that the decisive NMF meeting had 24 changed the dynamics and the fate of what was likely to 25 happen, and that's crucial because the Mathunjwa effort</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39294</p> <p>1 Mathunjwa's diplomatic speeches of Amandla, Amandla, this, 2 you, and so on, and then in its slipping you must use the 3 legal structures, you must, CCMA and this, but you have to 4 be at the pace of the crowd as it were, and that's what he 5 was forced to do with literally nothing to offer. It would 6 have been better if he had come and did that speech and 7 said well, what is more is that at 3 o'clock at LPD your 8 leaders can go there or whatever, but he couldn't because 9 now he was being shunted from pillar to post, so he 10 literally had nothing to offer, and the bravery to go there 11 twice under those circumstances when you have nothing to 12 offer is something that should be – 13 CHAIRPERSON: You know it's even more 14 commendable than that really because he'd asked for this ad 15 hoc – well, on the assumption that argument is correct, he 16 asked for some kind of bargaining forum, ad hoc or 17 otherwise, and he'd been told you can't take part, and 18 there was nothing in it for him at that point. Whether he 19 was trying to get something is a matter that we have to 20 look at, but when on the most adverse reading there was 21 nothing in it for him he still went back. 22 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, he did, Chairperson, 23 but even this thing about he tried to get to the table, so 24 what? If he was the president of my union and he didn't 25 try to get a place on the table I would fire him. That's</p>

Page 39295

1 his job.

2 CHAIRPERSON: No, I'm not going there.

3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.

4 CHAIRPERSON: What I'm saying is when, he

5 was quite clear on any version there was nothing in it for

6 him, he still went back and he tried -

7 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct,

8 Chairperson.

9 CHAIRPERSON: - very hard.

10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's correct, he

11 did, and as the Chairperson, I can't put it better than the

12 Chairperson that to his eternal credit he went on his

13 bended knees to, under those circumstances without anything

14 to gain, as it were.

15 So the effect, just to go back to the issue of

16 the 13th, so the 13th is important for that purpose because

17 it brings the, what I'll call shorthand, it brings the

18 militarisation element, but the 13th is also important

19 because it introduces this issue of revenge, very, very

20 important. Let's forget about who was to blame about those

21 five deaths. Let's put that aside, but the truth is that -

22 and it was acknowledged by even Mr Ramaphosa - is that we

23 all know that, and it also comes from the extract that I

24 read from Scott, we all know that the minute there are

25 police killings, literally the game changes, and as Scott

Page 39296

1 candidly puts it there, now we have a situation where there

2 are police killings and all the evidence shows that that is

3 what drove the, what I called in one of the cross-

4 examinations, the frenzy of activity. In fact if you look

5 at the statement of Naidoo, of Annandale, they even forget

6 to mention the three strikers who died. According to them

7 there was a thing on the 13th and two policemen died and

8 that's it. In their supplementary statements they somehow

9 remember that there were also strikers.

10 So that's a very important contributor to what

11 happened on the 16th. Actually I think more than two of the

12 witnesses acknowledged that in the briefings, in the course

13 of the briefings Kidd, Captain Kidd when he was briefing

14 his TRT people on the 16th he said remember, these are

15 dangerous people, they killed two policemen and other eight

16 innocent people, another version of the misinformation.

17 But be that as it may, nobody can deny that the killing of

18 the policemen, for whatever reason, was a huge game changer

19 which influenced not only the change of guard at the top,

20 but the mood at the bottom significantly, and it literally

21 defined how the 16th was approached.

22 Captain Loest, who even says that we reminded

23 them just before, remember that, you know, we were scared

24 of what might happen. Vermaak I think says it as well, in

25 fact Vermaak says it at a crucial point, Chairperson, if

Page 39297

1 you remember. Paragraph 7 of Vermaak's statement, exhibit

2 - I think JJJ19, on that whole debate about engage, engage,

3 engage, he says in that paragraph that "When I realised

4 that these people have not heard the order from Calitz I

5 repeated it because I thought of what had happened on the

6 13th." So the order to engage was repeated literally with

7 the spectre of the 13th looming large as to what had

8 happened on the 13th. So the 13th is, but without it I

9 would go as far as to say what happened on the 16th would

10 not have happened, would not have been led by those people

11 who led it and would not have happened the way that it did.

12 So it's those two broad elements.

13 Something very quick that I must - which is

14 unrelated to what I'm saying about the 13th, and it's about

15 the pulling of the wool over the eyes of the Commission

16 issue. It is the lie that was sold about -

17 CHAIRPERSON: The pulling of the wool or

18 attempted pulling?

19 MR MPOFU SC: Attempted pulling of the

20 wool. Well, the truth, Chairperson, of course is that

21 we'll never know how much more deception there is. I think

22 the evidence leaders must also take their share of the

23 praise in unearthing and making sure that the wool was not

24 pulled as much as it was intended, but we don't know what

25 else, what else has been concealed and how much has - but

Page 39298

1 let's put it this way; it is an attempt, Chairperson,

2 because it was unsuccessful insofar as we now know that

3 stories like they were veering to the settlement and that

4 is why the teargas was fired have practically been

5 abandoned because, you know, of what came out.

6 The smoking gun in this whole Commission came out

7 of the work of the evidence leaders in getting that, the

8 hard drive, because the smoking gun in this is JJJ192.

9 Without, before JJJ192 came, remember you were made to

10 believe that, as you correctly pointed out, the decision

11 was taken on the 16th, General Phiyega did not, actually

12 underplayed very much what happened on the 15th. All the

13 phone calls, the political considerations and all that,

14 that is the smoking gun that actually unravelled some of

15 the things that we had suspected, I must confess, when we

16 made our opening statement, and so one cannot underestimate

17 that. But the truth of the matter is that it was

18 foreseeable, very foreseeable - well, General Mpembe I

19 think conceded correctly his references to Tatane could

20 have meant only, he did that on the 15th as well, on the

21 13th and the 15th he referred to the Tatane incident and

22 that's clear what that means.

23 But General Mbombo says in JJJ192 very clearly,

24 because of what happened on the 13th emotions are high on

25 both sides, and if these people are deployed, 20 people

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39299</p> <p>1 might die. Well, she was only wrong by 14, but –</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]</p> <p>3 to be fair to her there was talk about whether they should</p> <p>4 have done anything on the Tuesday and to be fair to her she</p> <p>5 said no, no, no, we can't go on Tuesday, the day after</p> <p>6 Monday, because emotions are still running high, and she</p> <p>7 says she specifically ordered that nothing should be done</p> <p>8 on the Tuesday because of the fear that the high emotions</p> <p>9 from the Monday were still there.</p> <p>10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: Of course she might be</p> <p>12 criticised for not realising that emotions were still</p> <p>13 running high after that, but that's a factor that must also</p> <p>14 be argued –</p> <p>15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you,</p> <p>16 Chairperson –</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: But to be fair to her, she</p> <p>18 did prohibit any action on the Tuesday and that was</p> <p>19 obviously a right decision.</p> <p>20 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, well Chairperson, I</p> <p>21 have two submissions to make about that. That's correct.</p> <p>22 [11:08] But quite frankly anyone who thinks that the</p> <p>23 police are angry about the killing of their colleagues on a</p> <p>24 Tuesday, but by Thursday they'll get over it is in</p> <p>25 dreamland. Surely she could never have thought that the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39301</p> <p>1 the dynamics there. Knowing that police officers have been</p> <p>2 killed on the Wednesday, I'm sorry on the Monday the 13th,</p> <p>3 yes, to actually go and pour petrol over the fire as it</p> <p>4 were and ask for concomitant action and more pointed ways</p> <p>5 and all that armed with that knowledge it's one thing if</p> <p>6 you had done it on Monday, maybe one would have said oh</p> <p>7 well you'd only referred to Impala maybe and say well he</p> <p>8 knew what had happened in the past. But now here is</p> <p>9 something fresh, fresh, fresh of police killings and you</p> <p>10 say just bring the army. Surely nobody alive could say</p> <p>11 someone who does that does not foresee even the slightest</p> <p>12 possibility of deaths ensuing. But we'll get to that. So</p> <p>13 let me the 13th, Chairperson. We then go to – well the 14th</p> <p>14 we know what happened on the 14th is mainly the JJJ192</p> <p>15 conversation which was very important as well as the</p> <p>16 unfortunate death of Mr Twala. Mr Twala's death one</p> <p>17 doesn't know when he died, but I think the proximity, the</p> <p>18 reason why we made - the concession we made was the</p> <p>19 proximity of his body to the koppie. But apart from that,</p> <p>20 Chairperson there was really no violence after the 13th for</p> <p>21 a period of – if you factor in Mr Twala's death of 48</p> <p>22 hours, if you factor it out even longer. So here you are</p> <p>23 you have a dichotomy of a situation or a paradox of a</p> <p>24 situation where throughout the week from since the Saturday</p> <p>25 you have probably the most peaceful period and obviously</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39300</p> <p>1 emotions have suddenly dissipated in a matter of 48 hours.</p> <p>2 The real explanation, Chairperson and in fact that's an</p> <p>3 aggravating factor for her is that she definitely foresaw</p> <p>4 deaths and because she succumbed to the political pressures</p> <p>5 despite the fact that she had foreseen deaths, that's</p> <p>6 really the only conclusion one can find. Because left to</p> <p>7 her own devices sense would have prevailed, she might have</p> <p>8 said look let's either make sure that these people are</p> <p>9 properly counselled or we remove them completely and bring</p> <p>10 a new lot or whatever. But she didn't have time to do</p> <p>11 those things because the political pressure was banging on</p> <p>12 them that it must done immediately. So on the question of</p> <p>13 foreseeability the dye is cast, she foresaw death, in fact</p> <p>14 we might say she even foresaw higher deaths than Mpembe,</p> <p>15 Mpembe – we're talking about Tatane, that's Sunday picnic</p> <p>16 for one person. Mbombo says 20 people might die if we go</p> <p>17 ahead and yet they still go ahead within a space of – the</p> <p>18 difference between Tuesday and Wednesday is in the context</p> <p>19 negligible. So but this issue is also crucial for another</p> <p>20 reason and hopefully it will off time. When we talk about</p> <p>21 the issue of Mr Ramaphosa later again he candidly admitted</p> <p>22 that you know one knows that once there's been police</p> <p>23 killings the temperature rises and so on. But that goes</p> <p>24 also to question of his own foreseeability or foresight</p> <p>25 because knowing what he knows about the mining industry and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39302</p> <p>1 these are relative things, there was no peaceful period</p> <p>2 there. But relatively speaking you have the quietest</p> <p>3 period and it is in that period that you get the tragedy</p> <p>4 taking place and the only explanation is provided in what I</p> <p>5 call the smoking gun of the whole Marikana Commission which</p> <p>6 is JJJ192. That conversation, Chairperson, with the</p> <p>7 greatest, is nothing else but a, you know a conspiracy to</p> <p>8 murder. Let me call it that because on the 14th General</p> <p>9 Mbombo and it's what you call a consummation of the toxic</p> <p>10 collusion. Here you have Mr Mokwena and General Mbombo,</p> <p>11 apart from saying look don't do this, let's align our</p> <p>12 plans. Don't do the pamphlets today, do them tomorrow.</p> <p>13 Sinclair says ja we must deploy the horses and so on. They</p> <p>14 obviously see themselves as one team at that stage which I</p> <p>15 think Brigadier Calitz considered it was at that stage a</p> <p>16 joint operation. But that might be defensible, you might</p> <p>17 say look if I'm a complainant and some people have to come</p> <p>18 to attack me and I'm going to show them the evidence or</p> <p>19 whatever. So there's a level at which that can be</p> <p>20 justified, but to sit there and say what we've just</p> <p>21 discussed now there's a clear possibility of deaths. Two,</p> <p>22 you Lonmin must act in such a way as to disassociate</p> <p>23 yourselves from the notion that you are favouring AMCU.</p> <p>24 Three, let us make sure that we kill this thing before Mr</p> <p>25 Malema can come and diffuse it. What is that, Chairperson,</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39303</p> <p>1 what is that? How can a police official say that I don't 2 want violent conflict to be resolved, I don't want it or if 3 I have choose between political considerations and the 4 resolution of this violence I'm going to choose the 5 political considerations. What is that, what kind of a 6 country is that? And it goes – you know if you read the – 7 it's chilling reading really because she said because, the 8 word because that is in that extract is something that I 9 just can't get over, Chairperson. This is what she says, I 10 mean this is a disgrace. Provincial Commissioner, "Now our 11 discussion with the National Commissioner was surrounding 12 this thing that says that is thing now happening again 13 that Malema come to diffuse this thing. So that it becomes 14 as if Malema has taken charge of the mines. So that it has 15 got a serious political connotation, that we need to take 16 into account. Hence I just told these guys that we need to 17 act as such to kill this thing. If today we don't find co- 18 operation these people will need to move in as such that we 19 kill it because we need to protect a situation that any 20 Jack and Jill from the political arena" blah, blah, blah. 21 Because, I mean what is it, Chairperson, what are we 22 looking for? If we don't see that word because, we must 23 kill this thing immediately because of the political 24 considerations. I don't understand it. We can't sit here 25 for two years and looking for a reason why this massacre</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39305</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct, 2 Chairperson. Yes so it's Section 199.7 of the 3 Constitution, it's the Act itself and then of course the 4 big one, Chairperson, is the Section 195 of the 5 Constitution which prescribes so to speak the impartiality 6 of the public service as it were. 195.6, yes. We would 7 say that 195.1 provides that the public administration must 8 be governed, it's at 5.10 of my heads, by democratic values 9 and principles enshrined in the Constitution including the 10 high standards of professional ethics, impartiality and so 11 on. So this is a fundamental breach of the Constitution, 12 of the Act, of anything that anywhere in the world that 13 policing is supposed to stand for. And this is the cause, 14 this is it, there's nothing else. We can look, we can 15 pretend, we can blindfold our eyes, but this is why the 16 thing had to killed and this kind of sentiment – as she has 17 correctly said, well discussed between her and the National 18 Commissioner is clearly the dominant sentiment which was 19 taken to the National Management Forum. Remember these two 20 players who were hatching this evil plot are the main 21 players in the NMF. One is the National Commissioner, she 22 obviously chairs the meeting because she's the National 23 Commissioner, the other one is the Provincial Commissioner 24 of where the thing is happening. So the other people in 25 the NMF really were just - I think someone was suggesting</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39304</p> <p>1 occurred when it is here in front of us. This is a smoking 2 gun. We can pretend and look for this and come with big 3 legal explanations and concepts and books and what have you 4 in Latin terms. Here is the reason why the massacre 5 happened because we protect a situation where any political 6 Jack and Jill can come, we must kill this thing 7 immediately. 8 CHAIRPERSON: You quoted in your heads, 9 section 199, sub-section 7 of the Police Services Act, 10 paragraph 35.14, page 22 of your main heads. 11 MR MPOFU SC: Page 22, yes. Oh yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON: This is the Police Services 13 Act where it says – I beg your pardon - 14 MR MPOFU SC: I can't find my own heads – 15 paragraph? 16 CHAIRPERSON: This is the Constitution, 17 it's 35.14, paragraph 35.14 of your heads, page 22. In the 18 Constitution it said "Neither the security services nor any 19 of their members may in the performance functions further 20 in a partisan manner any interest of a political party." 21 There's another section, I think, in the Act also which 22 made the point that the police are not to take political 23 considerations into account and not to act in a way where 24 it could benefit one political party or disadvantage 25 another.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39306</p> <p>1 that they should be charged. I don't know why they would 2 be charged because all they did was to endorse the 3 decision. 4 CHAIRPERSON: It's not as simple as that 5 either because the discussion took up to an hour, a number 6 of them took part and you remember that the Provincial 7 Commissioner Mbombo said in response to a question I asked 8 her, he conceded that her expertise was financial and there 9 were people around the table with a lot of operational 10 experience. And if any of them had raised notes of caution 11 and said look here it's not a good idea for the moment 12 because, she would have withdrawn her proposal. So it's 13 not quite as simple as you – 14 MR MPOFU SC: No, no true, Chairperson, 15 look maybe I'm contesting too strongly. The point I'm 16 making and of course we know, I think the evidence leaders 17 point this out that it was not just endorsement, they also 18 agreed to provide resources and so on. So they might not 19 be completely holy, holy. 20 CHAIRPERSON: I'd really like to find 21 what really happened at that meeting. Apart from the fact 22 that if there's a tape, even that's a questionable matter, 23 but if there's a tape we can't get our hands on it. It was 24 lost apparently, but apart from that I caused a 25 questionnaire to be sent to every member of the Management</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39307</p> <p>1 Forum who were there at that extraordinary session and the 2 two who have since left the service declined to answer. 3 The others have given answers, I don't know what the 4 English is, but 'n nikssegende aarde, non-committal and 5 when I asked whether anybody raised the risks the National 6 Commissioner said well she couldn't remember pedantic 7 detail like that. 8 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct. 9 CHAIRPERSON: I must say this is a prima 10 facie view obviously, every view I express must be prima 11 facie, I must keep an open mind, but I would have thought 12 that when things went as catastrophically wrong as they did 13 on the Thursday someone would have remembered oh dear this 14 was discussed or wasn't discussed last and why wasn't it. 15 It's very sad it wasn't or if it was it wasn't listened to. 16 And that, I would have thought, had been etched in the 17 minds of at least some of the people who were there. But 18 some of them deliberately I think I can say without being 19 accused of being unfair, deliberately evaded the questions 20 that I asked them. One of them said that it was all about 21 the North Western Province, I am from the KwaZulu Natal, it 22 doesn't concern my province and that was all she said. I 23 must say – 24 MR MPOFU SC: That was General - 25 CHAIRPERSON: I don't that's a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39309</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Something unsatisfactory 2 being hidden. 3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes and even, Chairperson – 4 CHAIRPERSON: Advocate Hemraj is 5 suggesting that there's another inference, of course and 6 that is an attempt on the part of those concerned to try to 7 evade responsibility, ultimate responsibility for being 8 parties to a decision, an endorsement remember of a 9 proposal which is one they want to run away from as far as 10 they can now. That also is not a satisfactory inference. 11 MR MPOFU SC: No it's not, Chairperson, 12 but maybe I would encourage the Commission to take it even 13 one step further which is this. Firstly let me say this, 14 it's just a technical approach of saying well I'm no longer 15 working there therefore I'm not going to respond to the 16 Commission. I meant that alone just shows the kind of 17 attitude. Then the others who gave obfuscatory material 18 were doing so because they still worked there, they had to. 19 But the point here is that as we are getting closer to the 20 heart and the meat of the issues, whatever happened there 21 we know that as far as the two people are concerned was 22 informed by the political considerations. And the 23 Chairperson is quite right, the only question might whether 24 those others were just innocent or were they also brought 25 in into the issue of political pressure. And in assessing</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39308</p> <p>1 satisfactory response to a serious question sent by this 2 Commission, but that's a matter which obviously will be 3 dealt with. 4 MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, yes I will 5 invite you just to be brief on that one, I would invite the 6 Commission to say you are entitled just as if those evasive 7 answers were given here, you are quite entitled in these 8 circumstances to draw whatever inference should be drawn 9 from the evasive answers and the non co-operation. Just 10 think about it, Chairperson. 11 CHAIRPERSON: One inference, I'm saying 12 these things out loudly and others are going to argue and 13 can respond to what I say and help us to do the right 14 thing, but the point that occurs to me is that an inference 15 can be drawn that something unsatisfactory happened at that 16 meeting which they don't want to tell us. What exactly it 17 was I'm not sure. It may simply be, of course, that they 18 said we've got to do this because if we don't do it 19 tomorrow Malema will be there on Friday which would have 20 been an unsatisfactory thing to say. And then maybe that's 21 all they're hiding, but I must at the moment, unless I'm 22 persuaded otherwise that prima facie it looks to me as if 23 something is being hidden. 24 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, 25 Chairperson.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39310</p> <p>1 that and in drawing that inference, Chairperson, one has to 2 look into the glaring, unsatisfactory manner in which 3 General Phiyega clearly wanted to shield the Minister from 4 any involvement after the fact. Remember that whole thing 5 about the missing page, I don't even want to go there. And 6 the only common denominator in all those discussions which 7 took two or three days of the Commission of trying to 8 unravel this draft and that draft and so on, the only 9 common denominator was the fact that she wanted to remove 10 any reference to the Minister or at least any reference 11 that suggested the Minister was more than at arms length in 12 this issue. So if you read the fuzziness around National 13 Management Forum and what she said under oath and tried to 14 unsay and then the inference must be irresistible that this 15 thing that you and I, Chairperson, don't know what it is 16 that everyone is trying to hide must be the political 17 pressure or the role that it played in the decisions. 18 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure that's right. 19 [11:28] Obviously that's why I am putting these things to 20 you. It may well be that the Malema point may have been 21 what they are hiding and that is certainly something that 22 is there. 23 MR MPEMBE: It is possible. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Do you remember I asked her 25 that? She said she never mentioned it at the meeting but I</p>

Page 39311

1 am not sure if one can accept that answer.
 2 MR MPOFU: Yes, no but that would be the
 3 same thing. Remember the Malema issue is not hanging in
 4 the air, Chairperson, it's related to the fact that
 5 Ramaphosa was chairing the disciplinary thing and
 6 nationalisation and all those things.
 7 CHAIRPERSON: I am aware of that but what
 8 we also would appear to know, again prima facie, is that
 9 the thinking of the Provincial Commissioner and you say
 10 also the National Commissioner, I must look at it again
 11 very carefully to see if that's right, but certainly the
 12 thinking of the Provincial Commissioner was we've got to
 13 act soon because Mr Malema's going to come, we don't want
 14 that. And I asked myself the question from the very first
 15 day of this Commission, why Thursday? And when I read that
 16 transcript I thought I had the answer. I may have, may
 17 not.
 18 MR MPOFU: Chairperson, you did have the
 19 answer. And that is – it's towards the end.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Mpofu.
 21 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you Chairperson.
 22 No, I was – I just wanted – just give me a second,
 23 Chairperson. Yes, Chairperson, I just wanted – just not to
 24 leave this point hanging about whether it was just the
 25 Provincial Commissioner or National Commissioner.

Page 39312

1 CHAIRPERSON: - I was going to relook at
 2 the transcripts again just to satisfy myself.
 3 MR MPOFU: Yes, just to –
 4 CHAIRPERSON: Is there something that you
 5 want to draw my attention to?
 6 MR MPOFU: Yes, it's a very short
 7 extract, after that long discussion, she says Chairperson,
 8 "and that ourselves as the police we managed, you know, and
 9 managed the situation after Malema came." And then she
 10 says, "Now our discussion with the National Commissioner,"
 11 this is the Provincial Commissioner saying, "was around
 12 this thing that says, is this thing now happening that
 13 again Malema should come," blah, blah. So she was –
 14 CHAIRPERSON: Prima facie it sounds if
 15 you are right.
 16 MR MPOFU: Yes.
 17 CHAIRPERSON: This desire to get in
 18 before Mr Malema could get in was a desire on the part of
 19 both the National and the –
 20 MR MPOFU: And the Provincial
 21 Commissioner, thanks Chairperson. And then the other
 22 sordid details which come out of that – of JJJ192 but again
 23 the other significant one which was canvassed I think by my
 24 learned friend Mr Budlender, was the issue of the other
 25 political consideration namely, which goes back to the

Page 39313

1 point I made earlier in the morning, namely the protection
 2 of NUM and this impression and then the ANC and all that,
 3 which Minister Shabangu very unsuccessfully tried to dodge
 4 by saying these evil forces, were not AMCU but Lonmin. I
 5 mean that's laughable.
 6 CHAIRPERSON: That's a different point.
 7 That was the speech she made at the NUM AGM.
 8 MR MPOFU: Yes. I am just – yes that is
 9 correct, Chairperson. No, I am simply saying that there
 10 was –
 11 CHAIRPERSON: Not an AGM, it was a course
 12 of some sort.
 13 MR MPOFU: Yes.
 14 CHAIRPERSON: It was a meeting anyway.
 15 MR MPOFU: Somewhere, yes. The point I
 16 am – the only connection with that is that – all I am
 17 saying Chairperson is that there was a clear view in that
 18 political camp if you like, which included the NUM, the
 19 ANC, Mr Ramaphosa, Zokwana, Mantashe, all the people
 20 mentioned in those emails about this mission to ensure that
 21 the NUM does not get overtaken which is understandable at a
 22 political level because they are allies in COSATU and so
 23 on. But the point is that all those things should not be
 24 playing their minds in the – or rather, any role in the
 25 minds of the police officials at all, they should be

Page 39314

1 foreign things that have to do with other people.
 2 I am sure we will come back to JJJ192. So that's
 3 the 14th. The 15th, we have already discussed. The
 4 important thing about the 15th is the Gwala meeting and what
 5 we have already discussed, the Mpembe meeting in the
 6 evening, the visits to the koppie, and I've already
 7 mentioned the sudden change of weather that was confronted
 8 – that Mr Mathunjwa was confronted with in the morning.
 9 But again, and the D day note, we have the D day note, the
 10 D day press conference, although that word was not used
 11 there, but I am calling that –
 12 CHAIRPERSON: Whoever gave it, was a
 13 summary of what –
 14 MR MPOFU: Absolutely.
 15 CHAIRPERSON: - he used that phrase.
 16 MR MPOFU: Yes, and the D day
 17 announcements so to speak. Now the relevance of this,
 18 Chairperson, is that once again let's forget the moral or
 19 criticisms about whether it was political or not political
 20 or what have you, the reality is that because the decision
 21 had been taken and it's D day, it then has a direct effect
 22 on how, on what happens between the morning and half past
 23 three because now it has to happen, it has to be today and
 24 so on, you know, the briefing, I mean that's one of the
 25 briefings if you look at exhibit L, actually took like ten

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39315</p> <p>1 minutes. I think it was the STF that was briefed at 15:20. 2 One of the biggest operations and most dangerous and so on, 3 and people who have never been there who have come from all 4 sorts of places are briefed for ten minutes. I mean that 5 alone, shows that even with the best will in the world the 6 operation was stillborn and it was bound to fail and to end 7 in a disaster. Even, forget about who, whether he had the 8 best, even if he had the best policemen with the best 9 equipment, all these things, how could something like this 10 be planned in such a rush and be implemented in the fashion 11 that it was. That's why we had glaring things, 12 Chairperson, such as the fact that Scott thinks that the 13 deployment of the wire is going to be simultaneous but 14 Calitz thinks that it's going to be in successive. Those 15 are the simple signs of an operation that is just being 16 rushed through for – just to meet the D day thing. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Another matter that you 18 haven't touched on yet, I hope I am not stealing your 19 thunder, if I do, if I am I apologise. We were told and 20 obviously the legal representatives of the police were 21 told, they told us, that the plan that was implemented was 22 one that had been drawn up on the Tuesday with the input 23 from Merafi and a plan was of course drawn up with the 24 input from Merafi but it wasn't this plan. And it was 25 available there to be used when it had to be, and once the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39317</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON: And counsel for the police 3 without any problem gave them to us. 4 MR MPOFU: That's correct. 5 CHAIRPERSON: And obviously they acted 6 correctly. Once shouldn't have to praise people for acting 7 correctly, but it's a practice to do so nevertheless. 8 MR MPOFU: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON: Once we had those notes, 10 then of course a lot became clearer that had been murky 11 before. There's the other problem - 12 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, those notes 13 were given to us not on request, I mean there'd been a 14 request by the Human Rights Commission several months 15 previously but those notes were given to us when Adv 16 Semenya first became aware of their existence and at a time 17 when there had been no request. 18 CHAIRPERSON: I am glad you pointed that 19 out because that then strengthens the point that I made 20 that he acted properly, we expect nothing other from him 21 but nevertheless it's appropriate to say publicly that he 22 acted very, very properly. 23 MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson, before – 24 CHAIRPERSON: There's one other point – 25 MR MPOFU: Yes?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39316</p> <p>1 – Scott was cross-examined and his computer became 2 available it was clear that why there was a contradiction 3 in the police case from the beginning. The contradiction 4 in the police case from the beginning which was obvious, 5 was that with one breath they were saying we made the 6 decision at half past one, and in another breath they said 7 it was announced at 09:30. Now it was obviously taken 8 therefore before 09:30, the question is when, and the 9 minutes of the 06:30 meeting didn't seem to tell us the 10 decision had been taken yet – 11 MR MPOFU: TT4. 12 CHAIRPERSON: If they were genuine, the 13 minutes, then something happened between that meeting and 14 09:30. That was a mystery but the fact is the police case 15 began with a contradiction and of course the contradiction 16 was later explained but the problem was always there. 17 MR MPOFU: Yes, that's correct, 18 Chairperson, because remember even before the mystery was 19 solved there was a kind of via media type of explanation 20 that actually General Mbombo made the decision before the 21 01:30 meeting. But again they didn't explain the question 22 of why the press conference – 23 CHAIRPERSON: Of course what also 24 happened to be fair, was when we asked for the handwritten 25 notes they were forthcoming.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39318</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: - the, Exhibit L, tended to 2 conceal what had happened at 09:30. How anyone could have 3 thought regard being had to the press publicity about that, 4 what was said at 09:30 that we would be misled by the 5 statement about what was said at the 09:30 press 6 conference, exhibit L, gaan my verstand te bowe, but we 7 won't go there either. 8 MR MPOFU: It's worse than wool, 9 Chairperson but before, maybe we take the break, 10 Chairperson, I just want to say that – 11 CHAIRPERSON: - to go until quarter to, I 12 see. 13 MR MPOFU: Quarter to, okay then we can. 14 CHAIRPERSON: If we reach the stage where 15 you prefer me to take the adjournment now I will. 16 MR MPOFU: I will, between now and then I 17 will indicate, thank you Chairperson. But I wanted to just 18 say this very quickly, while we are discussing this, 19 apropos my learned colleagues representing SAPS, you know 20 there have been suggestions or innuendos and so on, and I 21 just want to echo what you are saying, Chairperson, that 22 they've acted with more than appropriateness situational or 23 otherwise in the circumstances. You know, I've known Mr 24 Semenya for most of my professional life, and to have a 25 client like this, who lies to you, calls a meeting for nine</p>

Page 39319

1 days for the sole purpose of concocting a version, and then
 2 gives it to you, what are you supposed to do? You know, so
 3 I think under the circumstances and I don't expect him to
 4 acknowledge the, those remarks while he is still on the
 5 brief, but Chairperson, they were placed at various points
 6 here into the most difficult situations that any
 7 professional should be placed on. And under those
 8 circumstances they have really done they have really done
 9 us proud.

10 CHAIRPERSON: Simply, they have acted in
 11 accordance with the highest traditions of our profession
 12 and we hope those high traditions will always be
 13 maintained. Can we take the adjournment now?

14 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON: 15 minutes.

16 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]

17 [12:00] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
 18 Before you proceed with your argument, Mr Mpofo, I
 19 understand from Mr Bham that the Lonmin have obtained the
 20 AVL records relating to the vehicles that went to the NUM
 21 office on the Saturday morning which will give us objective
 22 evidence as to what time that incident took place. So Mr
 23 Bham, can you please give us the information you received?

24 MR BHAM SC: Thank you, Mr Commissioner.
 25 This has been made available, vehicle with registration

Page 39320

1 number HSC571NW, Toyota Hilux, that was the Lonmin vehicle
 2 which went to the NUM offices on the morning of 11 August
 3 2014, and the –

4 CHAIRPERSON: 2012.

5 MR BHAM SC: 2012, sorry, and the
 6 tracking record shows that it arrived at the NUM offices at
 7 8:35AM on that day.

8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you very much. I
 9 think that puts that matter beyond doubt.

10 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely, Chairperson,
 11 that's five minutes – it's in line with Mr Gotz's
 12 submissions yesterday. Thank you very much.

13 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz's submissions are
 14 vindicated.

15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's correct.

16 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

17 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Thank you,
 18 Chairperson, and no doubt the necessary adjustments will be
 19 done in the evidence leaders' heads.

20 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]

21 responses to all changes in stories that arrive that come
 22 up from time to time.

23 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct, yes,
 24 Chairperson.

25 MR CHASKALSON SC: On that note, Chair,

Page 39321

1 can I just qualify Mr Bham's submissions –

2 CHAIRPERSON: You're not allowed to talk
 3 while you're laughing, Mr Chaskalson.

4 MR CHASKALSON SC: In fact it reached the
 5 NUM office a little bit earlier, 8:23. By 8:35 it was in
 6 the position where Mr Motlogeloa speaks of having driven
 7 off to the north to turn around so that he had a view of
 8 what was to become the incident.

9 CHAIRPERSON: We'll hand that in as an
 10 exhibit.

11 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON: Ms Pillay who is keeping
 13 this running record of extra exhibits will incorporate it
 14 was well, I trust. Is that right, Ms Pillay?

15 MS PILLAY SC: That's correct, Chair.

16 CHAIRPERSON: Good.

17 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you –

18 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Do we know how soon
 19 after that warning the actual march took place?

20 MR CHASKALSON SC: What we do know is it
 21 must have happened between, probably between – the incident
 22 probably took place between 8:35 and 8:42 because by 8:42
 23 the vehicle has moved off again and is in a position on the
 24 other side of where the incident would have taken place.

25 MR GOTZ: Chair, that would accord with

Page 39322

1 our assessment as we've set it out in our speaking notes
 2 that we handed up yesterday. That's precisely the time
 3 that we estimated on the basis of various pieces of
 4 evidence.

5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you. Thank
 6 you, gentlemen.

7 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson –

8 CHAIRPERSON: Nice to be vindicated and
 9 to learn that your inferences were correct.

10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you very much,
 11 Chairperson. I spent half of my life trying to find Mr
 12 Cassims. It looks like it was not necessary. Chairperson,
 13 yes, we were discussing the 16th and I was saying that –
 14 maybe before we do that let me – no, let me do it
 15 afterwards. Again I'm not going to traverse the blow-by-
 16 blow of what happened in the morning of the 16th, the
 17 efforts of the – all the comments that I've made about the
 18 "failure," or the attitude adopted by Lonmin in respect of
 19 the Mathunjwa effort would apply also to the effort by the
 20 Bishop. There's no doubt that Bishop Seoka's efforts were
 21 also kind of ignored on the basis of the prior knowledge
 22 that, you know, D-day was happening and so on. After all
 23 the people that the Bishop was talking to were, had been at
 24 the press conference in the morning, assuming, which is
 25 unlikely, that they had not been told the night before or

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39323</p> <p>1 some other time.</p> <p>2 So as I've said before very literally with Bishop</p> <p>3 Seoka, here was a God-sent opportunity to do something, or</p> <p>4 to grab an unexpected opportunity. Let's say Mr Mathunjwa</p> <p>5 wanted a seat on the table and he was suspected to be part</p> <p>6 of the forces behind the strike and all that, but here's</p> <p>7 Bishop Seoka who has no interest whatsoever in the matter</p> <p>8 except to do the good work of the church, which is to try</p> <p>9 and avert situations like this, and yet he was also treated</p> <p>10 with nothing short of disdain and he was forced to leave.</p> <p>11 The idea of the image that haunted Bishop Seoka of somebody</p> <p>12 calling him and saying, "Bishop, Bishop, where are you? We</p> <p>13 are being killed," exactly shows the mood of the strikers</p> <p>14 that they too had seen this effort as a God-sent</p> <p>15 opportunity which might yield something and they must have</p> <p>16 seen the Bishop's arrival as an opportunity to get what</p> <p>17 they had been asking for, for days, which is just to be</p> <p>18 spoken to. And Chairperson, I can't emphasise, I spoke</p> <p>19 about human dignity; this is not just about talking,</p> <p>20 Chairperson, it is the fact that these people said they</p> <p>21 want to be spoken to because this is their employer and the</p> <p>22 indignity of being treated with such disdain, and despite</p> <p>23 what Mr Burger said, I'll refer you to extracts where Mr</p> <p>24 Magidiwana says that the employer should have come and put</p> <p>25 something on the table and they should have said we don't</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39325</p> <p>1 Anyway, water under the bridge. The</p> <p>2 opportunities have been lost now. What actually happens at</p> <p>3 the mountain? For me one of the biggest omissions in this</p> <p>4 whole saga is the failure of Brigadier Calitz to give, as</p> <p>5 he is prescribed to do by all the SAPS regulations, to give</p> <p>6 some kind of warning before the barbed wire is drawn out.</p> <p>7 That is so crucial, Chairperson, because if you go through</p> <p>8 the evidence of Magidiwana he says we did not know what</p> <p>9 these people were doing; were they going to arrest us?</p> <p>10 Were they going to kill us, as Mathunjwa had said? Were</p> <p>11 they just going to beat us up? What? And the obligation</p> <p>12 which is in 262, for you it actually asks to give two</p> <p>13 warnings. The first one, we went through this, and the</p> <p>14 second one I think Brigadier Calitz said after 20 minutes,</p> <p>15 and so on. That –</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: That wasn't a warning in</p> <p>17 respect of the wire. That was –</p> <p>18 MR MPOFU SC: Oh, yes.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: - supposed to be a warning</p> <p>20 in respect of the dispersal.</p> <p>21 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: And in fact as I read the</p> <p>23 act that's required under section 9(2) of the Regulation of</p> <p>24 Gatherings Act –</p> <p>25 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39324</p> <p>1 have this amount, we have this amount, and so on. So</p> <p>2 really all they wanted – and that's what they said to</p> <p>3 General Mpmembe, that's what they said to anybody who cared</p> <p>4 to listen, that's what they said to Mathunjwa, that's what</p> <p>5 they said to the Bishop. To the Bishop they asked this</p> <p>6 strange combination of things; we want water and the</p> <p>7 employer, and the desperation that must have been in the,</p> <p>8 when they realised that Mathunjwa's efforts had not yielded</p> <p>9 anything, the desperation of phoning to say "Bishop, where</p> <p>10 are you?" and the Bishop in his testimony says he could</p> <p>11 hear the gunshots as they were ringing, shows us very</p> <p>12 clearly that yet another opportunity was left to go by,</p> <p>13 because this is D-day.</p> <p>14 Remember, Chairperson, you know if one has to</p> <p>15 look for another smoking gun, maybe smaller than the bigger</p> <p>16 one, what did General Mbombo say in the morning, "Today we</p> <p>17 are going to end the strike." She didn't say today we are</p> <p>18 going to end the violence, today we are going to make sure</p> <p>19 nobody, another non-striker is going to get killed. "Today</p> <p>20 we are going to end the strike." Now, and we still wonder</p> <p>21 what was going on there. It is very, very clear that the</p> <p>22 mood was that come what may, Bishop or no Bishop, today we</p> <p>23 are ending the strike and we are moving in with all the</p> <p>24 equipment and bullets and mortuary vans and what have you</p> <p>25 at our disposal.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39326</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: - before the dispersal</p> <p>2 action can take place.</p> <p>3 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely.</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: He was going to do that,</p> <p>5 but this is something different. This is the wire.</p> <p>6 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Of course Brigadier Calitz</p> <p>8 does say that he was asked by Mr Noki about the wire and he</p> <p>9 said he told him, and there's of course the debate whether</p> <p>10 if that is correct, whether that would have been known to</p> <p>11 the others.</p> <p>12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson, yes, that</p> <p>13 evidence unfortunately cannot help SAPS. I mean you can't</p> <p>14 say I have an obligation to give a warning to the crowd and</p> <p>15 I happened to give it to somebody and I was hoping that</p> <p>16 he'll just spread it around.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, to be fair, the other</p> <p>18 argument of the police is it was a defensive measure and</p> <p>19 262 doesn't require notice of that, and of course that</p> <p>20 raises the question if it's a defensive measure in the eyes</p> <p>21 of the police it might not necessarily be seen as a</p> <p>22 defensive measure in the eyes of the strikers, but anyway,</p> <p>23 these are matters we have to –</p> <p>24 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson, I stand</p> <p>25 corrected, but my own recollection is that even 262 says</p>

Page 39327

1 that before you even take the defensive measures you must
 2 give a warning in many languages and so on, but I'll find
 3 the reference.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: I must confess, I don't
 5 think that's right, but anyway, but we'll deal with it –
 6 MR MPOFU SC: We'll find it, yes. Thank
 7 you, yes. No, we'll find the reference, but – and
 8 Brigadier Calitz conceded this, but the point about this is
 9 not just technical compliance. The point about this is
 10 that surely the people upon whom you are administering your
 11 policing methods, such as this, must be told. I've said –
 12 CHAIRPERSON: Mr White dealt with that
 13 very fully in his –
 14 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, very much,
 15 Chairperson. I think I put it to Brigadier Calitz that
 16 even the apartheid police in my experience would pretend to
 17 give you a warning. I remember very, many times where they
 18 say you have five minutes, and then they move in or throw
 19 teargas after two minutes. That's fine, but at least there
 20 was a pretence that, you know, you must disperse or else.
 21 You can't just "sommer" arrive there and do this and do
 22 what was done here with a volatile crowd like this, and
 23 draw up wire and you know, others are queuing up, others
 24 are putting their protective material, others are cocking
 25 their guns. Nobody knows what is going on. A simple thing

Page 39328

1 of just telling the people you must leave – we saw there
 2 were loudhailers which had just been used by the strikers a
 3 few minutes before, or by Mr Mathunjwa. Somebody should
 4 have grabbed a loudhailer there and say you must go, or
 5 whatever, or put your arms down and only those who have put
 6 their arms down will be allowed to go, whatever, whatever,
 7 but must say something. You can't just mount an operation
 8 of this nature.
 9 The second one – there are three areas where this
 10 is crucial, Chairperson. It is at the point of drawing the
 11 wire and then, and there's no explanation whatsoever there
 12 because there's no sign of anything that – if indeed the
 13 wire is being drawn successively over a period nine minutes
 14 or whatever, why don't you use that opportunity? And the
 15 means are there.
 16 Then let's assume there was some explanation for
 17 that, then you go to the gap next to the kraal. Again
 18 nothing is said next to the kraal about the, giving a
 19 warning. The means and the opportunity, definitely there
 20 because if you are able to open your mouth and say "Media,
 21 go away," surely you must be able to open your mouth and
 22 say drop your spears, or whatever. Again that, so you've
 23 got the method, you've got the loudhailer to chase away the
 24 media, but you don't say anything. Of course this time – I
 25 mean the first warning would have been done according to

Page 39329

1 the regulations in languages, what have you. This one, one
 2 would understand if there was no niceties of translation
 3 and so on would not have been there, but at the very least
 4 somebody should have said if you go any further, or
 5 whatever, you know, something is going to happen to you.
 6 None of that is done.
 7 But what takes the cake is the third opportunity.
 8 After all that, okay, the 16 or 18 people have been killed
 9 now at scene 1. There is seven minutes between the time
 10 they move in at scene 2 and the people are huddled in the
 11 inside that bushy area and nobody, Chairperson, thinks of
 12 just taking a loudhailer and saying you people there in
 13 that bushy area, come out otherwise we're going to shoot
 14 you, or whatever. Nobody. They go in there and they kill
 15 them. Nobody has said if you come out on this side or
 16 whatever, now that we've seen that the water that we have
 17 thrown – and the water was actually just a joke because how
 18 do you throw in that water when you've surrounded the place
 19 with armed policemen? What must they do? But the simplest
 20 obligation is to say to them - you have these helicopters
 21 hovering about, is to say get out, or if you come out with
 22 your hands up you'll go to the left, if you want to fight
 23 go to the right, whatever, you know, but you can't just go
 24 in there with guns blazing literally, to do what? To shoot
 25 them for what? For not doing what? Because you have not

Page 39330

1 even communicated anything.
 2 If you read through all the prescripts,
 3 Chairperson, of SAPS, you will see the pivotal role – and I
 4 put this to both General Annandale and Brigadier Calitz –
 5 the pivotal role of communication. It's –
 6 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, it goes further than
 7 that. He was now busy with the dispersal operation under
 8 section 9 of the Regulation of Gatherings Act, and section
 9 9(2) as I recall it – if I'm wrong I hope I'll be
 10 corrected, I'm sure I'll be corrected – section 9(2) says
 11 before you do that you've got to give a warning in
 12 languages and what have you, so he was obliged under the
 13 act - and one assumes he would have known what the act said
 14 - before he was starting with the dispersal operation to
 15 give a warning. So whatever happened at scene 1, this is
 16 now scene 2, according to the evidence the plan is back on
 17 track. They're now busy doing what they set out to do.
 18 That's what General Mpembe said and Colonel Scott said as
 19 well at some point. So, and the act made it clear, he
 20 should have given a warning and that wasn't explained as
 21 far as I can recall.
 22 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely, there was no
 23 explanation at all.
 24 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: What was the
 25 answers –

Page 39331

1 MR MPOFU SC: Mr Chaskalson tried his
 2 best to get that answer.
 3 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: What was the
 4 answers when you posed those questions, Mr Mpofo?
 5 MR MPOFU SC: Well, it was first posed by
 6 Mr Chaskalson. I'm not sure of the order, but when I
 7 raised it Brigadier Calitz said, well firstly he said they
 8 didn't think of it, or something like that, or they didn't
 9 have the means and I think, I'm not sure, I did put to him
 10 that they could have even used the speakers of the people
 11 in the helicopters, or something, some, but something. But
 12 he certainly acknowledged that it was a period, there was a
 13 lull of about seven minutes during which this could have
 14 been done if someone had –
 15 CHAIRPERSON: I seem to remember Mr Bizos
 16 was the first one to raise the matter and then it was taken
 17 up by you.
 18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's correct. Thank
 19 you, Chairperson. So at all those three stages, whether
 20 you're looking at before the drawing out of the wire or
 21 before the shooting at the gap, or most glaringly before
 22 scene 2 takes place, there's a momentous failure of
 23 complying with the most basic of the prescripts, which is
 24 simply to communicate, because these are human beings that
 25 you are dealing with here, you can say to them if you do

Page 39332

1 this or don't do this or don't go to this side because this
 2 side – whatever. I have no doubt if someone had said to
 3 them well, those who want to leave must not leave in the
 4 direction of Nkaneng for arguments sake.
 5 [12:20] They must go to the other side towards Marikana
 6 and those who remained we'll assume that you'll want to
 7 fight or something of that nature. You know some kind of
 8 communication so that some of the people like Mr Gwelani
 9 who was there to bring food and so on I'm sure would have
 10 taken that invitation. But it's quite clear that if one
 11 looks at criminal liability, you don't have to go beyond
 12 that. As an omission, but for that omission or rather to
 13 borrow Mr Burger's counter factual analysis, it must be
 14 clear that had that been done at least some of the people,
 15 if not all, would have made a choice at least. So by the
 16 time the people are shot at and so on it's already a
 17 botched operation. But again let's go to – and again I
 18 want to go back to that issue of the fact that the version
 19 of the strikers was so consistent. Mr Magidiwana, I had
 20 not even consulted him on this, was asked, during cross-
 21 examination, to draw the path that they followed. And that
 22 path is clearly consistent with the path that we found
 23 recently from, I think it's UUUU10, which shows very
 24 clearly that they were avoiding the police. Because
 25 remember the police version was that there incident 1 next

Page 39333

1 to the pole and so on and so on which is like a direct – if
 2 you look at that slide with the arrows. The first one and
 3 it makes sense if you had to follow that theory of SAPS.
 4 The first doesn't go above, it's a direct attack to the
 5 pole, but Mr Magidiwana came with this round about thing
 6 which wouldn't make sense to any person, why would they,
 7 when they are going to Nkaneng go around this way and then
 8 into the front of the kraal. It was clear that they were
 9 avoiding contact with the police all along. Before we even
 10 get anywhere near the kraal they are walking in such a way
 11 as to ensure that there is no contact. But what is more
 12 which is made clear in that animated exhibit UUUU10 is that
 13 you can actually see, if I had the time I would play it,
 14 you will see policemen, there are two in camouflage and
 15 then there are others. As the mass of people is walking
 16 you see them getting out of their vehicles, if anyone
 17 wanted to attack they would have attacked them. But the
 18 importance of that is not the fact that they didn't attack
 19 them, the importance of that fact is the fact that the
 20 police, those police officers knew that these people are
 21 not going to attack them, subjectively. They would never
 22 have been milling around in such proximity. Before the
 23 barriers came if they did not know in their heads
 24 subjectively that these people are not making this walk in
 25 order to attack us and that becomes important later for of

Page 39334

1 putative self defence. So you have a group of people who,
 2 as the evidence leaders have pointed out, have really been
 3 beaten to submission. Mr Mathunjwa has just told them that
 4 you are going to be killed, they are singing a lament
 5 [vernacular] and they are walking, understanding that
 6 whatever stand off they were trying to create it's over.
 7 And they ensure that they avoid the police, they go past
 8 unarmed policemen, don't attack them, they are following
 9 other people who are using the path to Nkaneng, nothing has
 10 happened to those people. And all of a sudden what
 11 happens, Nyala 4 accelerates, accelerates to close that
 12 path and they have no other option, so the kraal becomes –
 13 it's part of the barbed wire in real effect. It's just
 14 another barrier. So what do they do? They have to
 15 negotiate this other barrier of the kraal to go to the path
 16 to Nkaneng which they had been going to. It's very, very
 17 simple. According to Captain Loest, he said even one or
 18 two, when they were blocked by Nyala 4, one or two made it
 19 through. So let's assume there's this theory that if they
 20 had gone through, if Nyala 4 didn't close them off they
 21 would have attacked. He says no, one or two went through
 22 and I asked him did they attack anybody, no. Again
 23 putative self defence. How would anybody, anybody
 24 reasonable or unreasonable think that these people who have
 25 been walking in this fashion, who have not attacked anybody

Page 39335

1 and that is why, Chairperson, this thing of pulling the
 2 wool is crucial. It is because the police must have known,
 3 Chairperson, must have known that according to the correct
 4 path that was followed by these people there were no signs
 5 of attack. Why else would they invent incident 1 and
 6 incident 2 and this, that and the other? It's because they
 7 just knew that on the objective facts there was no attack.
 8 So you have to create it and fool the whole world and this
 9 Commission with bright yellow arrows which signify nothing.
 10 Everybody knew that these people are trying to access that
 11 road. And this brings me to the other issue, Chairperson.
 12 I said one – you read this thing 1000 times until issues
 13 come up. I and I've never put this to anybody so I'll only
 14 have to rely on the snippets of evidence that are there, I
 15 would invite the Commissioners to look for signs of this,
 16 that the TRT were under instructions not to allow those
 17 people to go to Nkaneng, the so-called militant group.
 18 I'll explain why and that instruction must have been, if
 19 you read Captain Loest's evidence, that by any means
 20 necessary they must not be allowed to go through. That is
 21 shown if you look at UUUU10 again and even exhibit EEE16
 22 you'll see that before the actual shooting the basic line
 23 is not where we always find it which is just across the
 24 road. There's a point at which it straddles the road and
 25 almost comes to the fence of the – the southern fence –

Page 39336

1 CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible].
 2 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, the southern fence
 3 that's covering the shack. And that's literally blocking
 4 the road, I mean there's no other way of explaining it.
 5 It's there in both of those exhibits and they are standing
 6 there. And then, of course, Captain Loest says their
 7 instruction was that they must stand firm and not move
 8 back. That is consistent with the theory that I'm
 9 projecting now which is that their instruction was that you
 10 can let all these other people go, but these militant ones
 11 must not, by any means necessary must not be allowed to go
 12 home. Or if someone hadn't told these people that there's
 13 a phase 6 that might happen and so on and so on and they
 14 were under the impression that it had to be done now. And
 15 one of the reasons I'm saying that is that you'll remember,
 16 I think it was General Naidoo, there was just this
 17 disjoint, because I kept on saying to him why did you have
 18 to refer to section 49 of the Criminal Procedure Act and I
 19 think I gave up that line of cross-examination because it
 20 was clear that we're just not talking on the same page.
 21 But the mere fact that somebody said to these members of
 22 the police you must remember section 49 again accords with
 23 this theory that really what these people were told to do
 24 is that you must arrest these people and don't let them go.
 25 And if it looks like they're going to evade the arrest

Page 39337

1 which is implied in section 49.2, you must kill them. Not
 2 in so many words, but that evidence of saying oh yes please
 3 don't forget section 49.2 shows that the primary purpose
 4 was that these ones must not be allowed to go through. And
 5 that really would explain this whole notion of TRT must
 6 come, so what it means, to cut a long story short,
 7 Chairperson, is that to go back to the discussion that you
 8 had, I think, with the evidence leaders, or one of my
 9 learned colleagues is that Brigadier Calitz had three
 10 options to block that gap. Either by using barbed wire or
 11 by using the block method which has been discussed here or
 12 by lining up the firing squad. And of those three he chose
 13 the third one. There's no other explanation because as
 14 Magidiwana kept on saying why did they not allow us to get
 15 to the road. If they'd allowed us to get to the road then
 16 they would have seen, he didn't say, I've quoted it in my
 17 replying heads, he says if when we got to the road, we had
 18 crossed the road then I would I say then they must shoot
 19 us because then what we'd be doing, we would be attacking
 20 them. But if we turned left as we intended to do then they
 21 obviously must do something else, but they shot us before
 22 we reached the road, before they can tell whether we are
 23 going to cross and attack them or turn left and go home.
 24 And the reason why that happened, Chairperson, is simply
 25 again another breach of the rules. The rules had said very

Page 39338

1 clearly that the TRT line must be at least 100 metres
 2 behind the POP line and again, we don't have to be
 3 technical, let's say because of the dynamics of the scene
 4 they felt that they must reduce that distance, but you
 5 can't reduce it to 12 metres, to a situation where by your
 6 own voluntary act you are now placing yourself into a
 7 situation where you know you must defend yourself so to
 8 speak. It just puts paid to this theory of self defence.
 9 All they needed to do is to even move back and make that
 10 distance 20 metres, whatever is the allowable distance.
 11 Remember I put it to both Captain Loest and Thupe the
 12 attack such as you conceived was an attack of pangas and
 13 spears and they conceded that. So it was that attack
 14 because these are hand instruments, a distance of 20 or 30
 15 metres would have allowed them, as I say, to make this –
 16 rather to both of them, the strikers to make the election
 17 of turning left or attacking and also the police to see
 18 what is going on. There's no evidence whatsoever which
 19 suggest that they didn't have room to do that. In fact the
 20 evidence points to the exact opposite because they ran to
 21 that position of basic line as it is shown in the objective
 22 evidence. So if they could run forward to close the gap
 23 surely they could move a little bit back to open it up so
 24 that they can see what's going to happen. So the
 25 explanation once again can only be that their instruction

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39339</p> <p>1 was to close that gap and block it as it were so that the 2 so-called militant group must either be arrested or shot if 3 they don't comply or something of that nature. 4 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, when was 5 that theory do you say that that instruction was given to 6 the TRT because the original plan was for them to be 7 dispersed from the north west? 8 MR MPOFU SC: No, yes that's why I'm 9 giving it in a broad term such as by any means necessary 10 because it could have been in the north west or east, but 11 what seems to have happened, Commissioner and I admit that 12 this is speculation drawn from these including the 13 reference to section 49 and so on. What seems to have 14 happened is Brigadier – remember there is common cause that 15 Brigadier Calitz gave the – or at least gave Captain Loest 16 the instruction that they must move towards the gap. 17 Captain Loest agrees – it is common cause that he gave the 18 instruction to go there. It's common cause that somebody, 19 Loest or someone else gave the further instruction for 20 basic line, basic line, what we hear on the videos. 21 Stretch it out, stretch it out, so this was no coincidence. 22 In fact it's common cause that everything that happened 23 there happened on command except the shooting. 24 Miraculously the shooting is the only thing that is denied. 25 The basic line, the running forward there, stretch it out,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39341</p> <p>1 channel? That would prevent them from proceeding to 2 Nkaneng or towards the police towards the police, whichever 3 – 4 MR MPOFU SC: Anywhere, yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Then, of course, we've got 6 the fact that – well it was too late as far as the front 7 people were concerned, teargas was fired and I think 8 teargas was fired and water canon were used and stun 9 grenades, I think, were fired as well. And the argument is 10 that the front group then divided into three, the back 11 turned around and went back eventually I suppose towards to 12 scene 2. The front section were the ones who were in the 13 immediate fire and then there were the ones against the 14 kraal. Now one of the things that's been puzzling me is 15 why was the water canon used, teargas and stun grenades. I 16 understand it was done too late and I understand the 17 consequences of that because it had the effects of driving 18 the front group forward towards the human block. Now is it 19 possible, you know you put this as a new point, I'm must 20 responding, is it the police intention was to have a block, 21 to drive the people back, but because the actual teargas 22 was fired and stun grenades and the water canon too late 23 there was this unfortunate consequence that the front lot 24 were sent forward, in many cases to their deaths. And the 25 rear portion went backwards. If that's a possibility, even</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39340</p> <p>1 media go away, cease fire, so suddenly jump the shooting 2 and then cease fire, again we were back to the command mode 3 as it were. So within the realm of that speculation I'm 4 saying that instruction must have been given at the latest, 5 Commissioner Hemraj, at the time – you remember there's a 6 photo and also on that video there's a time when the TRT is 7 basically standing where it should have been, about 100 8 metres away. And they are standing on a line between the 9 Nyalas and my suspicion is that they were being briefed at 10 that stage. And it must be soon thereafter because after 11 Nyala 4 closes then they run towards the gap and that can't 12 be spontaneous, someone have said run towards the gap. And 13 within that series of instructions the blocking and Loest – 14 at least what Loest gives us is that was an instruction 15 that we must stand firm. Don't retreat and even that, tell 16 me what is that to say – because once they are there and 17 you give them the instruction to stand firm then it means 18 you are blocking that space as opposed to protecting it 19 which is what they were supposed to do. So the answer is I 20 don't know, but on the probabilities that instruction would 21 at the very least have come when the instruction to run 22 towards – to man the gap so to speak was given. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Are you suggesting that 24 what was envisaged on the police side was that there would 25 be what one can call a human block across the mouth of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39342</p> <p>1 a probability, that would indicate that the police didn't 2 intend to mow them down. And that would, I suppose, be 3 confirmed by the fact that it was all done before TV 4 camera, video cameras of the world media. And you know one 5 does find it rather improbable that the police would have 6 intended to do, to mow them down in front of the TV camera 7 as well. Sending a shock of horror which is not entirely 8 anticipated. 9 [12:40] So is it possible that what I'd put to you – I 10 know I put a long question, I apologise, but it's difficult 11 to put it more briefly – that what they intended was a 12 human block, drive them back, but unfortunately the act of 13 dispersal which was done by the water and the stun grenade 14 and teargas, that took place too late, with this 15 unfortunate splitting with the consequences. How do you 16 respond to that? 17 MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, I think you've 18 hit the nail on the block. The issue here is this; you 19 see, the good thing about this falsification of evidence is 20 that it gives us a very good counterfactual, so to speak. 21 The mere fact that the police pretend that those measures 22 were taken earlier shows, agrees exactly with what you are 23 saying, Chairperson, namely that they know that properly 24 those measures, the teargas, the water and so on, should 25 have been done at the stage when they were going towards</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39343</p> <p>1 the first gap. Mr –</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]</p> <p>3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, the western gap, ja.</p> <p>4 The lie that it was done there vindicates exactly what the</p> <p>5 Chairperson is saying because what seems to have happened</p> <p>6 is very clear; it's almost like reacting after the fact,</p> <p>7 you think oh well, before we shoot we must have teargas and</p> <p>8 water, so boom, boom, boom, quickly, you know, eight</p> <p>9 seconds before the shooting. But the effect of that is</p> <p>10 discussed very in detail in the families' heads, which come</p> <p>11 to the conclusion that the teargas and the stun grenade and</p> <p>12 so on happened behind this group and that explains what the</p> <p>13 Chairperson has actually said correctly. For those people</p> <p>14 to whom it happened in front of them, they turn back. For</p> <p>15 those to whom it happened behind them, they moved forward,</p> <p>16 simple, because the – remember, it was not just the effect</p> <p>17 of the teargas and the stun grenade, there were also those</p> <p>18 POP people who were shooting between the gaps of those two</p> <p>19 Nyalas.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: The rubber balls.</p> <p>21 MR MPOFU SC: The rubber balls, yes, and</p> <p>22 Magidiwana, answering to a question from the Chair, said</p> <p>23 exactly that, that after the – you can see Magidiwana in</p> <p>24 exhibit L206 looking at the POP members and according to</p> <p>25 his evidence he was screaming. Obviously that didn't come</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39345</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Effect, it –</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: So that –</p> <p>3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that might be so –</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: - to the intend of the</p> <p>5 people.</p> <p>6 MR MPOFU SC: That might be so,</p> <p>7 Chairperson, but remember they were not killed by those</p> <p>8 people. They were killed by the TRT line. If the TRT line</p> <p>9 was, as I've tried to postulate, had the instruction that</p> <p>10 whatever the cause is, these people must not – these</p> <p>11 particular people, because remember Magidiwana also said</p> <p>12 they were targeted – these particular people must not move</p> <p>13 through. Block that road, hou vas, whatever was said,</p> <p>14 don't move, don't retreat, remember section 49, and all</p> <p>15 those things, then there was no other result which could</p> <p>16 eventuate apart from intentionally, but thinking you're</p> <p>17 acting under section 49(2), killing them to, at the best</p> <p>18 for the police to effect their arrest.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: It looks though as if they</p> <p>20 – again one will have to look at the videos again very</p> <p>21 carefully. It looks as if initially there were warning</p> <p>22 shots fired, sand, dust going up and so forth. Of course</p> <p>23 we know that thereafter – or let me say, I think I'm</p> <p>24 correct in saying thereafter the shooting continued, they</p> <p>25 were no longer warning shots.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39344</p> <p>1 out in exhibit L. He's screaming, being shot at by those</p> <p>2 people and I think the Chair then asked him after that,</p> <p>3 both to escape what was happening and the gap, as it were,</p> <p>4 you accelerated forward, and he said yes, accelerated</p> <p>5 forward, and he says as you turned then we were shot at.</p> <p>6 So, and again I measured that distance in Mpofo paces – I</p> <p>7 forgot when we went for the in loco inspection. No, 23 was</p> <p>8 the gap, the actual gap. I'm talking about the line which</p> <p>9 would be perpendicular to the gap between where Magidiwana</p> <p>10 was when, in L206, which is measured by that tree. You</p> <p>11 remember when we were at the inspection I pointed to this</p> <p>12 tree and said this is the tree against which Magidiwana was</p> <p>13 in L206. The distance between that and where they were</p> <p>14 ultimately killed is in Mpofo paces about 10, 10 paces or</p> <p>15 so, give or take, and that is the crucial distance because</p> <p>16 if they were being propelled, because these defensive</p> <p>17 measures were being applied from behind, as well as being</p> <p>18 shot at by the POP, which had the effect of turning the one</p> <p>19 group back and propelling the others forward, then what the</p> <p>20 Chairperson is saying is correct.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: If that is so then that</p> <p>22 means that the intention of the people who were using these</p> <p>23 defensive measures, as you call them, was presumably not to</p> <p>24 drive them forward but to drive them back, but because it</p> <p>25 was done too late it had the effect which you described.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39346</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Ja. No, Chairperson, I'm</p> <p>2 afraid the warning shots really we must just discount.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: No, but if there were</p> <p>4 warning shots the – I'm trying to get into the minds of –</p> <p>5 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, the sequence.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: - of the TRT people, fire</p> <p>7 warning shots and they see the people still coming on.</p> <p>8 They then think they've got to fire, the consequences</p> <p>9 follow from that. Of course the reason why – I'm just</p> <p>10 putting it to you to see how you react - the reason why the</p> <p>11 people don't respond to the warning shots of course is</p> <p>12 they're being attacked from behind because of the teargas</p> <p>13 and so forth. This is part of their being driven – the</p> <p>14 front lot being driven forward instead of back –</p> <p>15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: - by the defensive</p> <p>17 measures. Is it –</p> <p>18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson –</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Is it possible – I ask the</p> <p>20 question no more accurately than that – is it possible that</p> <p>21 that's what happened?</p> <p>22 MR MPOFU SC: It's possible, Chairperson,</p> <p>23 but what I'm saying is this - look at it again now from the</p> <p>24 minds of the strikers, of a reasonable citizen; if all</p> <p>25 those forces are propelling you in that way, how on earth</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39347</p> <p>1 are you going to think that in a democratic South Africa 2 these people standing in front of you with R5s are going to 3 mow you down? You – 4 CHAIRPERSON: I understand that. The 5 question I'm putting to you doesn't relate to that at all. 6 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 7 CHAIRPERSON: No, I understand the point. 8 I understand the strikers are moving forward. 9 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON: They can't move back 11 because of what's happening behind. 12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, but what is – 13 CHAIRPERSON: So there's the front group 14 that, most of whom are killed. 15 MR MPOFU SC: Advances, yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON: There's the kraal edge 17 group, a number of whom are killed as well. 18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON: And then there are the – 20 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON: But one has got to put 22 oneself into the, try to put oneself into the shoes of the 23 advancing strikers and why they continued to advance. 24 MR MPOFU SC: Sure. 25 CHAIRPERSON: You've also got to put</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39349</p> <p>1 an actual attack by the advancing strikers. 2 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct. 3 CHAIRPERSON: If we can't be satisfied 4 that's so, alternatively if we can be satisfied it's not 5 so – 6 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 7 CHAIRPERSON: - based on the video 8 material and – 9 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON: - then self-defence goes 11 out of the window. 12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON: But, of course self-defence 14 is a matter of legality. 15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON: We then move on to putative 17 defence – 18 MR MPOFU SC: Correct. 19 CHAIRPERSON: - which is a culpability – 20 MR MPOFU SC: Which is, yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON: And there, there are rules 22 and cases – 23 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 24 CHAIRPERSON: - which have been analysed. 25 I haven't had a chance to read them, but I assume regard</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39348</p> <p>1 yourself in the mind of the TRT people and what they, if 2 they were forming a human block, why they didn't say right, 3 we're the human block, they can't come through us, why they 4 fired. 5 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON: If you find that they fired 7 warning shots first but they appeared to have no effect, 8 then of course some of them did things that are singularly 9 inappropriate, they put their rifles on automatic – 10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON: - they fire into the dust 12 cloud, all those facts which have been covered in the 13 evidence, but if that's the basic explanation of what I 14 stated – 15 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON: - or reasonably possible 17 explanation, then I take it that fits what we see on the 18 videos. Is that right? 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, it does, Chairperson. 20 Let me deal with that because that brings us closer to this 21 question of putative self-defence. I'm assuming at my own 22 risk that nobody can sustain - the case for self-defence 23 proper is really, there's no way that the – 24 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 25 clarity. Self-defence proper, as you call it, depends upon</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39350</p> <p>1 being had to my knowledge of the people who I think were 2 involved in it, I'm sure it's a very conscientious and 3 thorough job. 4 MR MPOFU SC: It is, Chairperson, and 5 I'll try to summarise it because the heads are there. But 6 let me start by saying this – 7 CHAIRPERSON: May I ask you an awkward 8 question? We only got this, this morning. 9 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON: These heads. Have your 11 learned friends for the SAPS had them earlier or will they 12 – of course they're only arguing tomorrow anyway. 13 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON: So they'll gave an 15 opportunity to look at them overnight, but have they 16 actually had longer than that? 17 MR MPOFU SC: No, they haven't, 18 Chairperson, and I must confess, they've had them later 19 than I promised them because I had, as I indicated on the 20 record, I had a discussion with Mr Semenya and I think I'd 21 promised that they would get them on Monday. They only got 22 them with everybody else. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Well, may I say this; that 24 we – I hesitate to say this, but it's true, we've only got 25 to give the President the report by the 31st of March. I</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39351</p> <p>1 hope we will have it drafted by sometime towards the end of 2 February because there will be a big process of checking 3 and proofreading and all that kind of thing. But if the 4 police find they can't respond in the time they have by say 5 tomorrow, then obviously they will have the right to file 6 the written answer sometime next week or shortly 7 thereafter – 8 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson, we would 9 agree with that. 10 CHAIRPERSON: - because this isn't a case 11 for strict timelines. 12 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON: Time limits – 14 MR MPOFU SC: And this is an important 15 point – 16 CHAIRPERSON: - which you forfeit if you 17 can't comply. We've been perhaps a bit too relaxed about 18 that up to now, but anyway, our purpose is to get the 19 truth. 20 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, 21 Chairperson. 22 CHAIRPERSON: And if we've got to wait a 23 week for extra heads from the police to get the truth, 24 we'll do it. 25 MR MPOFU SC: That's it –</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39353</p> <p>1 shooter. I explored that with him. He agreed that, you 2 know, and surely it must be so even if one looks at the 3 objective evidence that firstly that striker at that stage 4 was obscured from the TRT, and secondly unless if there was 5 nothing else happening, no stun grenades, no fire balls and 6 so on, then one would have said well, even if they were 7 obscured they would have heard, just heard the shot. But 8 that shot was happening in the middle of all sorts of – 9 this is the highest point of the pandemonium of, it's 10 exactly in that zone of the water and the teargas and the 11 stun grenades and the shotguns, so if they didn't see that, 12 put aside the fact that that striker clearly is 13 retaliating, rightly or wrongly, to being shot on the foot 14 by one of those POP people – 15 CHAIRPERSON: POP. 16 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, put that aside. But 17 that shot certainly did not play any role in the minds at 18 least, which is what we are exploring now, of the TRT line 19 for the reasons that I've – 20 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, Mr Mpofu, would 21 it be fair to put the proposition this way, that if – 22 remember we're now trying to get into the heads of the TRT 23 people, assessing their culpability. If they had seen him 24 fire or alternatively heard him fire, then that would have 25 been a factor which would have influenced them and what</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39352</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: But anyway, the point is 2 you say the legal principles in relation to putative 3 defence have been distilled – 4 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: - by you and your learned 6 friends in this note? 7 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's correct, 8 Chairperson, and this is a crucial point of the Commission, 9 so clearly we agree that SAPS, if they're inclined to have 10 answering heads, must be given that opportunity. 11 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Mr Mpofu, before 12 you get to the issue of self-defence can you help me with 13 an issue? The one striker that fires live ammunition is 14 part of the group that's being propelled forward. He does 15 that and the exchange with the POPS personnel on the side – 16 MR MPOFU SC: Correct, yes. 17 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Do you say that 18 presents no threat whatsoever to the TRT? 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, and I'll explain why. 20 The, I made a point of asking this question to Captain 21 Loest. Remember Captain Loest is the only shooter who 22 didn't shoot – 23 CHAIRPERSON: He was the only shooter who 24 was a non-shooter. 25 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, the only non-shooting</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39354</p> <p>1 they were doing because there were people approaching, one 2 shot being fired and who knows how many other shots. 3 MR MPOFU SC: Ja. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Your answer to that is I 5 take it yes, that would be so, but they couldn't have seen 6 it – 7 MR MPOFU SC: On the evidence. 8 CHAIRPERSON: - because of the 9 pandemonium point. 10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON: And therefore it's 12 irrelevant. 13 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON: That's your – 15 MR MPOFU SC: That's what I'm saying, 16 yes, Chairperson, and Loest confirmed that much, because I 17 was worried about that point. And in any event, again 18 without being facetious, that point, the relevance of that 19 point would be related to the fact that they themselves had 20 closed that gap to, on the basis that whatever attack might 21 come would be from these handheld instruments, let's 22 assume, up to that stage. But the most important thing is 23 that even Magidiwana's evidence, which was unchallenged, 24 was that he didn't even see, he himself who was part of the 25 group, simply because he was in the front group, as the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39355</p> <p>1 Chairperson has explained, you see, because this happened 2 behind him. So he himself could not see it. He says he 3 saw it on television, meaning on the monitors here when we 4 were in Rustenburg, or when we were consulting with him. 5 So if Magidiwana couldn't see it that presents two things; 6 firstly that the TRT could not have seen it, or the 7 Magidiwana group would have blocked the, whatever was 8 happening at the back anyway. So that has to be factored 9 out. It's all very well for all of us to have slow motion 10 and look at the thing on monitors now, but at that point 11 the evidence would be slanted to the idea that this didn't 12 play any role with the TRT.</p> <p>13 But let's go to the putative self-defence issue – 14 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Mpofo, it's now 4 15 minutes to 1. I don't think you'll be able to do the 16 putative defence in four minutes. If there's a discrete 17 little portion you can deal with in four minutes, fine, 18 otherwise if you prefer to deal with it after lunch we'll 19 take the adjournment. I'm not quite sure how you're doing 20 in relation to time.</p> <p>21 MR MPOFU SC: That's my concern. 22 CHAIRPERSON: If I have to start again at 23 half past 1, will that – because we've got to allow for Mr 24 Tip who's going to follow you. 25 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Yes, Chairperson, no,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39357</p> <p>1 with unlawfulness, despite the name "putative self- 2 defence" – 3 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 4 MR MPOFU SC: It's about intention, yes, 5 culpability, in that sense, and therefore if you're going 6 to meet a charge of murder with putative self-defence then 7 the question is dolus because there must be the congruency 8 of the principle. Now once you have dolus it means you are 9 dealing with the subjective intention of those people, and 10 all the formulation say if they genuinely or honestly 11 believe and so on, and so on, and you can't, with the 12 greatest respect, infer the intention of the shooters when 13 the shooters are not here. That's the first point. 14 Put aside the fact that you can't draw an adverse 15 inference because there was an arrangement and so on, that 16 I'll accept, but the truth of the matter is that they're 17 not here, and there is a case which I had, I was going to 18 use for another – it's S versus Kola, 1966 (4) – 19 CHAIRPERSON: Kola? 20 MR MPOFU SC: Kola's case, yes. S versus 21 Kola, 1966 (4) SA 322. 22 CHAIRPERSON: What court? 23 MR MPOFU SC: AD, and one of those of 24 quoting what is in the case, but at 327 of that case the 25 following is said –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39356</p> <p>1 I'd rather if the Chairperson allows me, I'll do my best to 2 do the putative self-defence in the 15 minutes that remains 3 because I want to deal with something else after lunch. I 4 want to deal with the Ramaphosa, the criminal – 5 CHAIRPERSON: Where do you get 15 6 minutes? Four minutes, or three minutes really. 7 MR MPOFU SC: Four? Oh well, the other 8 thing is I had made a deal with Mr Tip about the time, but 9 after what I said this morning about NUM I'm not sure if 10 the deal – 11 MR TIP SC: No, I'm a man of honour, 12 Chair, and nothing that my learned friend says disturbs our 13 arrangements – 14 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson - 15 MR TIP SC: [Inaudible] detail. 16 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. 17 Well then, Chairperson, I'll take your offer, but can I 18 just put the principle and then I'll discuss it when we get 19 there. Firstly, Chairperson, the approach taken by the 20 evidence leaders on putative self-defence is just wrong in 21 law and in the approach that they take. They say that they 22 base their claim for putative self-defence on what they say 23 was a reasonable reaction of the TRT basic line, now let's 24 call it that. Putative self-defence, and the mistake that 25 they make is that putative self-defence has nothing to do</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39358</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Did you say 332? 2 MR MPOFU SC: I said 322, three double 3 two, sorry, Chairperson. At 327 against the letter H the 4 following extract from another case, R versus Deetlefs, is 5 endorsed, "Intention is an operation of the will directing 6 an overt act. Motive is the feeling which prompts the 7 operation of the will, the ulterior object of the person 8 willing. A person kills another," or rather, "If a person 9 kills another intention directs the act which causes death 10 and motive is the object which the person had in view, the 11 satisfaction of some desire or revenge. 12 [13:00] The important issue, Chairperson, here is that 13 what is said is that the intention of a person can only be 14 measured by what that person – it is self evident by what 15 that person says. You can't just take it from the air. So 16 as far as dolus is contained, neither the evidence leaders 17 nor yourselves, Chairperson, nor SAPS can say what the 18 subjective intention of the – 19 CHAIRPERSON: But of course you can in 20 the absence of direct evidence, there's a reason why 21 there's direct evidence isn't forthcoming and there's no 22 adverse inference to be drawn from the lack of direct 23 evidence, you can on a circumstantial evidence basis in 24 some cases, not in all, but in some cases get the answer. 25 MR MPOFU: Probably, generally, okay, let</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39359</p> <p>1 me then preface what I've said with generally speaking but 2 remember that's not what the evidence leaders are doing. 3 What they are saying, they are saying those people 4 reasonably thought that they were being attacked. In other 5 words, once you bring the element of reasonableness then 6 you are in another terrain of culpa. So, and that is not 7 wrong in principle because the leading case in S v 8 D'Oliviera makes it very clear, S v D'Oliviera it's 1993 9 (2) SACR 59A, another AD, at page 63 and 64, and I just 10 read out the extract, and I will analyse it when we get 11 back. It says, "A person who acts in private defence acts 12 lawfully provided his conduct satisfies the requirements 13 laid down for such a defence and does not exceed its 14 limits," we've dealt with that. "The test for private 15 defence is objective. Would a reasonable man in the 16 position of the accused have acted in the same way?" It 17 refers to S v Ntuli. "In putative private defence, it is 18 not lawfulness that is in issue but culpability. If an 19 accused honestly believes his life or property to be in 20 danger but objectively viewed they are not, the defensive 21 steps he takes cannot constitute private defence. If in 22 those circumstances he kills someone his conduct is 23 unlawful. His erroneous belief that his life or property 24 was in danger may well, depending on the precise 25 circumstances," which must underline, "exclude dolus in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39361</p> <p>1 alternative defence of self defence, so the court enquires 2 on self defence and says, no, it's not there, but in any 3 event let's now look at culpa, or rather dolus, and after 4 dolus it looks at intent. 5 CHAIRPERSON: We will adjourn now, we 6 will resume at quarter to, I think. The point simply is if 7 he gets through on self defence basis, then his conduct was 8 not unlawful, and that's the total answer to the charge. 9 MR MPOFU: That's correct. 10 CHAIRPERSON: If he doesn't succeed on 11 excluding unlawfulness, then you look at his culpability. 12 If there was dolus you find him guilty of – 13 MR MPOFU: Murder. 14 CHAIRPERSON: If however you feel there's 15 only culpa, culpable homicide, and if you find no 16 culpability at all then he is still acquitted. 17 MR MPOFU: That's correct. 18 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you for 19 explaining the law as clearly as you have. We will 20 continue with the expedition, but I take it some of your 21 learned friends who are smiling broadly helped the 22 expedition at quarter to two. 23 MR MPOFU: Thank you Chairperson. 24 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 25 [13:54] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39360</p> <p>1 which case liability of the person's death will also be 2 excluded." I am sorry, it goes further, which is 3 important, but – 4 CHAIRPERSON: Even if you exclude dolus, 5 that's not the end of it. 6 MR MPOFU: Absolutely, it's not quoted 7 here but what – 8 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: The accused did not 9 testify in that matter. 10 MR MPOFU: Correct in D'Oliviera, 11 correct. The accused did not testify which was making the 12 point that I am making. 13 CHAIRPERSON: But it was a case where 14 there was no basis for excusing his failure to testify. 15 MR MPOFU: Yes that's correct, 16 Chairperson. But the full quote goes further, it says, 17 what the Chairperson has just said "that however the 18 inquiry does not stop there." You then have to enquire 19 into culpable homicide which is where the issue of 20 reasonableness comes. Yes, it says, after the word 21 "excluded. At worse for him he can then be convicted of 22 culpable homicide for the. So it's a three stage thing. 23 Firstly, and that ties up with another point that putative 24 self defence again generally speaking is not a primary 25 defence so to speak. It's a fall back. It's an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39362</p> <p>1 Thank you very much. I have been handed the judgment in 2 Koller. 3 MR MPOFU: Yes, Chairperson – 4 CHAIRPERSON: Hang on, is this the right 5 case? 6 MR MPOFU: Yes. Oh, for the proposition 7 that I was making. No, Chairperson, if I may explain two 8 things. One is that, it looks like I needed the lunch more 9 than everyone else. The extracts that I read it's just 10 above, rather below the one. I've highlighted on your 11 copies the extracts that I should have read, which I will 12 read out just for the record. 13 CHAIRPERSON: You have quoted us the 14 passage 327. 15 MR MPOFU: Against H. Yes, I wanted to 16 quote against F which is the one I've highlighted on your 17 copy. And it says – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. 19 MR MPOFU: It says, "Where a question of 20 the state of mind of an accused person is in issue it is 21 not easy for a court to come to a conclusion favourable to 22 the accused as to his state of mind unless he has himself 23 given evidence on that subject." 24 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so that's – 25 MR MPOFU: That's more like –</p>

Page 39363

1 CHAIRPERSON: Or self-evident -
 2 MR MPOFU: Correct.
 3 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, thank you. Thank you
 4 for the copy.
 5 MR MPOFU: Thank your Chairperson. And
 6 Chairperson, because of exigencies of time, what I am going
 7 to do is I am just going to round off five propositions
 8 very quickly around this issue of putative self defence and
 9 for the rest I will rely on the heads. Well, four, the
 10 first one was just to clarify this, the quotation. The
 11 second one, Chairperson, very briefly deals with the
 12 question that you raised about warning shots and all I want
 13 to say about that is that from the – my cross-examination
 14 of Captain Loest, it became clear 1) that although he
 15 called it warning shots, it's not warning shots as we all
 16 know the term, because I put it to him that when you do a
 17 warning shot properly defined, you obviously must create an
 18 opportunity to see what happens and he considered that that
 19 opportunity was never created. So it can't be a warning
 20 shot as we know the term to suggest because on his evidence
 21 there was no break, and I think the Chairperson put the
 22 question to him more clearer, much clearer by saying would,
 23 if someone wanted to drop the arm, the weapons or run away
 24 or whatever, or say, look I give up, would they have had
 25 that option, and it was clear from the cross-examination

Page 39364

1 that that would not have happened. So you know, just to
 2 use the words "warning shots" doesn't make it a warning
 3 shot. A warning shot must be accompanied with an
 4 opportunity to do something.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: To heed the warning.
 6 MR MPOFU: Absolutely yes, otherwise it's
 7 not a warning, it is just a shot or it's what Minister
 8 Shabangu would call a kill shot. The second, the third
 9 point Chairperson, is – and that point by the way is
 10 aggravated by something else which the Chairperson
 11 discussed with one of my colleagues about I think it was Ms
 12 Le Roux but in any event after the first four seconds any
 13 shots that were fired thereafter could not have been –
 14 could not even fall remotely under putative.
 15 CHAIRPERSON: The order to cease fire was
 16 given, perhaps you have got to allow for a bit of reaction
 17 time to that.
 18 MR MPOFU: Yes.
 19 CHAIRPERSON: Certainly for significant
 20 time in our context shots to be fired after cease fire had
 21 been given right down the line.
 22 MR MPOFU: Yes. And remember,
 23 Chairperson, that the captain said, I put this proposition
 24 to him, you yourself never felt, you yourself subjectively
 25 now never felt that your life was threatened? And he said,

Page 39365

1 no. And then I coupled that question with the - saying to
 2 him, in terms of the basic line would it be fair to say, I
 3 am paraphrasing, to say that you were standing at the most
 4 vulnerable point? In other words, closest to the strikers
 5 than the other people down the line. And he made that
 6 concession which would mean that if he never felt
 7 subjectively that his life was under threat, and he was at
 8 the closest point than as you go further down the line, so
 9 to speak, then you can't say those people would have – but
 10 it goes back to the answer obviously would be that you know
 11 subjectivity is subjectivity, and it might differ but I am
 12 just saying on the face of it, if he was at the most
 13 vulnerable point and he never felt that his life was
 14 threatened then the Commission is entitled to assume that
 15 none of the other people could have had even putatively
 16 thought that.
 17 CHAIRPERSON: I've got a little bit of
 18 difficulty with that because he was one of those who
 19 shouted "cease fire" after four seconds.
 20 MR MPOFU: Sure.
 21 CHAIRPERSON: Which implies that he
 22 thought before four seconds expired that there was a need.
 23 So I am not quite sure –
 24 MR MPOFU: No.
 25 CHAIRPERSON: - what the value for that

Page 39366

1 answer is but clearly it's an answer that assists you some
 2 –
 3 MR MPOFU: Yes, thank you very much
 4 Chairperson. And again, I won't put my head on the block
 5 but my memory is that when I put that question to him, it
 6 was on the basis that at any stage you never felt that your
 7 - but the record will speak for itself. The third point,
 8 Chairperson, is the order to engage, engage, engage. That
 9 again, fortunately it's not your job, Chairperson, to
 10 resolve that with certainty in relation to what we are
 11 discussing but I will only go as far as to say that there
 12 is sufficient clarity or lack thereof around that issue
 13 that it cannot be said that that command to engage was not
 14 given, 1). 2) It cannot be said that it was not given as a
 15 trigger to the TRT shooting because remember we have two
 16 independent sources of this. Vermaak says, and the Chair
 17 and I had a debate about this, Vermaak says I heard Calitz
 18 saying "engage," I thought he was talking – I thought those
 19 people were POP because I was in the air, I couldn't see
 20 properly. It turned out that they were TRT, so when I
 21 repeated the engage sign, maybe either in response to
 22 Calitz or myself they shot. But that's a bit of a long
 23 shot. The second one is Botes. Botes was very clear that
 24 when he heard the "engage, engage, engage" and again in
 25 response to a question that I put which was clarified by

Page 39367

1 the Chairperson, it was a matter of seconds before he heard
 2 the volley. So again, just on those bases alone there is
 3 sufficient evidence to suggest that far from putative self
 4 defence or any defence, putative or otherwise that the
 5 shooters were shooting in response to the engage command.
 6 And I am say a conspectus of all these factors crowned by
 7 the fact that the people whose subjective minds were busy
 8 inspecting now did not come and say anything in relation to
 9 what was said in Koller's case, must do away with any
 10 notion of putative self defence. But before I do the next
 11 two factors, let me quickly say this. The analysis of the
 12 evidence leaders is wrong for another reason, and that
 13 reason is simply this, it is not for the Commission to find
 14 out whether or not there is a valid defence that might have
 15 operated in the minds of the shooters, I mean that, we
 16 can't expect the Commission to do that. The point here is
 17 that in relation the self-defence of any description the
 18 onus as we defined it in relation to the Commission is on
 19 SAPS, because prima facie people were killed. They died,
 20 they were shot everyone saw it on television. Prima facie
 21 it's murder, it's an unlawful killing an intentional
 22 killing and so on. And so I think the Chairperson called
 23 it the duty of non-persuasion. Yes, the risk of non-
 24 persuasion.
 25 CHAIRPERSON: Remember this inquiry

Page 39368

1 proceeds on two levels, there's the civil level which
 2 involves various SAPS as an organisation or the Minister I
 3 suppose really technically and a civil claim brought
 4 against the Minister for deaths sustained at the hands of
 5 members of the police service acting in the course and
 6 scope of their duties. There the onus clearly, a civil
 7 case, Mabaso versus Felix the onus on the police to
 8 justify, if they can't, they fail. The, I think it was Ms
 9 Le Roux who pointed it out in her heads, of course it's
 10 different where the, you are dealing with criminal
 11 liability and there we are dealing with individuals and
 12 there of course the onus would be on the State and negative
 13 the defence and one the factors one would bear in mind
 14 before recommending a prosecution perhaps or – we are not
 15 going to recommend prosecution, we recommend that the cases
 16 where we do make recommendations in this regard that the
 17 matter be investigated and the Provincial DPP –
 18 MR MPOFU: I am going to try and persuade
 19 you otherwise, Chairperson.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: But consider you know, give
 21 careful consideration to whether. But as far as the
 22 criminal level is concerned, of course there the onus isn't
 23 – wouldn't be on the accused, and one has to bear that in
 24 mind as well. But certainly on the civil side Mabasa v
 25 Phoenix I would imagine occupies all the ground you need.

Page 39369

1 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. Yes,
 2 so I just wanted to get that out of the way. The next of
 3 the points is Ntamo's case. It's quite I think in our – in
 4 one of our heads, it does apply or the – but the citation
 5 just in case is 2001 (1) SA 830 TKH Transkei High Court.
 6 And that was the case that was referred to by my learned
 7 friend, Mr Chaskalson, a judgement of Madlanga AJP I think
 8 at the time. And that case is authority for the following
 9 for the following proposition and I am not going to canvass
 10 the facts or what happened there. Simply that when you are
 11 looking at the state of mind of police officers, you can't
 12 apply the objective standard of the reasonable man so to
 13 speak or person but must apply the reasonable police
 14 officer and I would go as far to say in this case the
 15 reasonable TRT police officer, knowing that you have legal
 16 force in your hands must obviously impose certain extra
 17 duties. And apropos that, Chairperson, let me say this, if
 18 you are a TRT person and you think that the strikers with
 19 pangas or whatever, might attack you, you have your side
 20 arm with you but in anticipation you bring a machine gun,
 21 automatic rifle, and you cock it, before the attack
 22 materialises then I am afraid from another point of view of
 23 proportionality again whether it's putative or self-defence
 24 proper you are out of the – of either those, or either one
 25 of those two.

Page 39370

1 CHAIRPERSON: Isn't, I haven't studied
 2 the cases, it's one of the things I shall do when I go home
 3 over the weekend, isn't the proposition essentially upheld
 4 by Madlanga AJP as he then was, that in deciding what –
 5 whether a defence of self-defence is made out or excluded,
 6 you don't just look at the events that happened during the
 7 so-called attack. If you did things beforehand which
 8 indicated you planned incorrectly for the situation, and
 9 it's in line to some extent with the rights here in the
 10 McCann case. Now the police seem to contend that McCann is
 11 internationally human rights, European human rights - is
 12 not part, the ratio is not part of the domestic aw of South
 13 Africa. And that's one of the matters we have to grapple
 14 with as well, and you remember, I put the example of the
 15 homicidal criminal who is loose in the building, say a
 16 police headquarters and he's running down the passage and
 17 you can get him to go, and there's a T-junction, you can
 18 get him to left or right. If he goes right, left, you lock
 19 him up in a room, he can't do anything. You then go right,
 20 you will be in an office with people who have got guns.
 21 It's foreseeable that there will be a shoot out. It's
 22 foreseeable that people who will be under attack and will
 23 be defending themselves. They will be as the people who
 24 were in McCann's case, they will be free of liability but
 25 that's not the end of the matter because the person who

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39371</p> <p>1 decided to allow the person, the homicidal criminal to go 2 right when he was able to arrange for him to go left would 3 have liability. That's the example that I invented. 4 MR MPOFU: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Thought of. If that's the 6 law, there is no clear authority on it, if that's the law 7 and it seems to be sensible, then the McCann principle 8 would be part of our domestic law. 9 MR MPOFU: Absolutely. 10 CHAIRPERSON: And it would be strange if 11 it wasn't. 12 MR MPOFU: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON: So – 14 MR MPOFU: That's correct, Chairperson. 15 CHAIRPERSON: I am not surprisingly, you 16 support that proposition? 17 MR MPOFU: I do. 18 CHAIRPERSON: Maybe Mr Semenya will be 19 able to show the logical fallacy to it. 20 MR MPOFU: Thank you, Chairperson. And 21 just for the sake of completion this is what Madlanga said 22 briefly, having canvassed the facts. "The point of the 23 matter is that if the police had not acted wrongfully in 24 the first place for all we know, there might not have been 25 any life-threatening shooting at all. One cannot simply</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39373</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: That's the McCann 2 principle. 3 MR MPOFU: That's the McCann principle. 4 In other words, on the McCann principle my argument now is 5 that the police will fail on both legs, because McCann says 6 the mere fact that there might be a defence of private 7 defence for the individuals does not mean that the state or 8 the institution of SAPS in this case is – 9 CHAIRPERSON: To apply that principle to 10 the facts of this case are possible application of that 11 principle to the facts if this case, might involve the 12 following approach. I just point – I am not saying it's 13 correct, I am just putting it up for people to consider, 14 that if they, the police had stuck to the first plan, where 15 Scott had done, had compiled with the benefit of Merafi, 16 there was a lot of public order policing inputs and carried 17 it out on the Friday, waited until the Friday morning and 18 done it, they might have disarmed the people, dispersed 19 them, arrested the criminals without any bloodshed at all. 20 Because however it was decided not to adhere to that plan, 21 for reasons we know and to go into another plan which 22 arguably was a foolhardy risky plan, which may have been 23 the only one that Scott could have thought of in the time 24 available, that's another matter. Even if the people who 25 were caught up in the situation created by the second plan,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39372</p> <p>1 ignore this fact and so to speak start on a clean slate. 2 The preceding unreasonable conduct of the police cannot 3 provide an acceptable excuse for the subsequent use of 4 lethal force. I again emphasise that the police bore the 5 onus to prove" – okay, well, that doesn't apply here. 6 "That they failed to do. I am well aware of the caution 7 against adopting the armchair approach," and so on, and 8 then importantly after that, he says, "If I am wrong on the 9 proposition put forth above, I must consider the question 10 of proportionality," which is the point that I've just 11 made. Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON: The first section would 13 appear to deal directly with the example I put up. 14 MR MPOFU: Absolutely. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Of the man who is running 16 down a passage to a T junction. He's sent right instead of 17 left. 18 MR MPOFU: Yes. 19 CHAIRPERSON: And people in the room 20 correctly justifiably defend themselves. 21 MR MPOFU: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON: But that's not the end of 23 the inquiry. 24 MR MPOFU: Yes. That's correct, thank 25 you Chairperson.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39374</p> <p>1 were able to – their shooting could be justified, even that 2 was so. 3 MR MPOFU: Fine. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Then it doesn't get the 5 police off the hook, on the McCann principle it would 6 apply? 7 MR MPOFU: Yes. That's exactly a summary 8 of the McCann principle, Chairperson. The last point is 9 maybe a technical point but one that we have raised in the 10 heads and that is that if you read the terms of reference, 11 the applicable part says that the Commission must find 12 whether the conduct of SAPS, I am paraphrasing, was 13 reasonable and justifiable. In other words, it doesn't say 14 "and/or." So in theory if that is correct, the – even if 15 one were to find that it was justifiable under what we've 16 called self-defence proper, it still has to be reasonable 17 and vice versa. Even if one were to find that the mens rea 18 element was missing under putative self-defence it still 19 has to be justifiable as the terms of reference read now, 20 we can't have it both ways as it were. 21 [14:14] So even on the most benevolent approach which can 22 be taken it would be that SAPS then has not shown that 23 their conduct was justifiable and reasonable. At best they 24 could show one of those two. Certainly not justifiable 25 because self-defence proper is, on our submission at least</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39375</p> <p>1 is out, but maybe, depending on what the Commission finds 2 on putative self-defence, maybe reasonable in the sense 3 postulated by the evidence leaders. 4 That in a nutshell, Chairperson, is – the big 5 point really is that all this pales into insignificance if 6 one looks at the objective evidence. The objective 7 evidence shows us that any policeman who was there with his 8 eyes seeing these people avoiding and, you know, running 9 away and trying to, and coming towards, and with the – 10 that's what the world saw, Chairperson. The world wants to 11 know what happened at that crucial point. The world saw 12 people who were, the world didn't know about these things 13 about that there's teargas behind them and so on, but what 14 they did see were people who were huddled up with blankets 15 and they're covering themselves from the, whatever, the 16 teargas and the – 17 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, yes, and that is what 19 a policeman there saw. So there's no ways that 20 subjectively or reasonably or otherwise, that that 21 policeman, having seen these people for the past nine 22 minutes walking about there and being able more than all of 23 us sitting here to read their demeanour, to see what we now 24 see on the television screen, how could they ever have 25 thought that they were under attack? They couldn't under</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39377</p> <p>1 Ramaphosa & Others. The crucial thing why we say Mr 2 Ramaphosa should be accused number 1 in a murder case is 3 this, Chairperson – let me put the proposition broadly 4 first. In fact I'll use the LRC, the LRC has two lists of 5 accused persons. The one is of the police accused, let's 6 call it that. It starts with Minister Mthethwa, and the 7 other one is of the Lonmin accused, it starts with Mr 8 Mokwena, and my learned colleague Ms Barnes amended the one 9 list by adding Mr Ramaphosa on the one and my argument is 10 going to be that he should be added on both lists, that 11 whichever way you look at it he is the first accused on 12 both lists, and I'll explain why. If you look at it from 13 the point of view of the commission of a crime, then he 14 must certainly be on the SAPS list of accused as the first 15 accused. If you look at it from an alternative point of 16 view of the omission to do something and so on, then he 17 must be accused number 1 on the Lonmin list, and those are 18 two separate legal bases – obviously you can't charge him 19 twice for the same thing, so it would be an alternative 20 charge as it were. 21 But putting that aside, the very idea, 22 Chairperson, that you can – and that's why I go as far as 23 to say in my heads if you're not going to charge Mr 24 Ramaphosa don't charge anybody, really, because you cannot 25 have a situation where the source of the political</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39376</p> <p>1 those circumstances. So just to round off that point. 2 So the last vestige of any justification, using 3 that word broadly, of the shooting of the people, which was 4 the putative self-defence, must fall away and the 5 consequence that follow is that both SAPS and the shooters 6 must be found to be criminally liable for their actions. 7 CHAIRPERSON: SAPS being criminally 8 liable? 9 MR MPOFU SC: Well ja – 10 CHAIRPERSON: Will we prosecute the South 11 African Police Services? 12 MR MPOFU SC: No well, section 332 13 doesn't apply to them, it applies only to Lonmin, yes, but 14 as Burchell says, that would bring a situation where the 15 State would be the prosecutor and the accused, so we can't 16 have that. 17 CHAIRPERSON: It sounds like a divorce 18 case, the State versus the State. 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, State versus the 20 State, ja. Now moving to the question, I'm not going to 21 deal with scene 2, any of those, Chairperson. That's been 22 canvassed. Let's now go to the question of criminal 23 liability, starting broadly but also dealing with the 24 question of the criminal liability of Mr Ramaphosa. Again 25 to avoid the State problem we'll just say the People versus</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39378</p> <p>1 pressure, the person who ignited the whole, what I've 2 called the chain of causality which resulted in the 3 massacre, where the Provincial Commissioner says we must do 4 this in this way, in this fuddled way, or befuddled way, 5 because we are responding to the political pressure. And I 6 don't want to belabour that point because my understanding 7 of what the evidence leaders are saying is that there's no 8 quarrel between us, and I am sure not anybody, that Mr 9 Ramaphosa was the factual cause of the massacre to that 10 extent. 11 Where we seem to part ways with the evidence 12 leaders, because they say, there's a contradictory part of 13 what they say; at first they say it's inexplicable why the 14 decision of the NMF was taken, but in another section of 15 the heads they say it can only be explained through the 16 political pressure. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Probably different authors 18 at work. 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's what I 20 suspected. But be that as it may, and I plead guilty to 21 that as well because it was the timelines were quite tight, 22 but the point is this, Chairperson, let's talk about this 23 legal causation. Firstly, even if they were right on legal 24 causation, which they are not, it would not resolve the 25 question of whether or not there's a prima facie case</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39379</p> <p>1 because let's postulate a case where, Chairperson, given 2 the test that we've all now agreed on, because yours is not 3 to find anyone guilty or all those things. If I say to 4 you, Chairperson, I've presented now a case here which 5 shows that Mr Chaskalson unlawfully and intentionally did 6 and caused the death, but for now I can only show you that 7 he was the factual cause because I saw him there and I saw 8 the dead body, but I can't tell you whether he was also the 9 legal cause and so on, surely that alone satisfies the 10 prima facie case because the legal niceties of legal 11 causation are not for you to determine, Chairperson. Those 12 niceties are for the criminal court to determine.</p> <p>13 But that's just to show that it's inescapable 14 that these criminal charges have to be recommended, but 15 let's take them at face value on the legal causation.</p> <p>16 Legal causation, Chairperson, is one of these refugees of 17 lawyers. Legal causation, in fact one of the authors, 18 Bobeck, even goes as far as to say it does not exist. I 19 don't go that far.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: I must say that I've always 21 been unhappy about the expression. In civil law I prefer 22 the expression "remoteness of damages."</p> <p>23 MR MPOFU SC: Absolutely, yes.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: But there's high authority, 25 including the Appellate Division, who uses, in no lesser</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39381</p> <p>1 must accept that there must be limitation of liability. 2 That's really the topic. That's what it should be called, 3 limitation of liability. You can't simply say all the 4 other factual cause, therefore – ja, just from common 5 sense, because then it would mean any factual causation of 6 anything is – so the point that the authors make, but the 7 most important distinction is that is legal causation, as 8 the Chairperson correctly puts, like Professor Zeffert 9 says, it's like the holy Roman empire, which is neither 10 holy nor Roman nor an empire. So it's neither legal nor 11 causation. It's actually a question of negligence and 12 limitation of liability. We're using whatever legal 13 instrument, and that is why its evaluation is based on 14 policy considerations, which is what I've just described, 15 that you can't say all factual consequences of something 16 are either civilly or criminally, or creates a 17 responsibility.</p> <p>18 So the real issue is intention, it's 19 foreseeability because remoteness, the only way you can 20 test remoteness is about whether something was foreseeable 21 or not, and remoteness, whether you – I've quoted Snyman 22 who says that legal causation straddles both intention and 23 causation, explaining what I've just said. Remoteness must 24 feature only like this, that the consequences of your 25 actions were so remote that from a public policy point of</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39380</p> <p>1 person than Chief Justice Corbett who uses the expression, 2 so I'm happy to defer to a jurist of that eminence.</p> <p>3 MR MPOFU SC: Me too, Chairperson.</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: But legal causation is 5 almost a contradicting term.</p> <p>6 MR MPOFU SC: It is.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: And one of the items I 8 wrote I quoted a German judge that said as long as one 9 realises that it's nothing to do with causation at all, 10 it's remoteness of damage really. It's the, that something 11 that happened was so remote that the factual causal chain 12 is cut.</p> <p>13 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, absolutely.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: But let's not get 15 involved –</p> <p>16 MR MPOFU SC: Into that, yes.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: - in fights about 18 terminology.</p> <p>19 MR MPOFU SC: No, we know what they 20 mean –</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: It's the concept we have to 22 apply.</p> <p>23 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, 24 Chairperson, we know what they mean. Actually the way to 25 put it, Chairperson, is whatever you call it, any lawyer</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39382</p> <p>1 view you should not suffer the obvious consequences of 2 those consequences, if you know what I mean, and that's all 3 there is to it.</p> <p>4 And what does the law then do to look at that? 5 The basic statement is that foreseeable consequences cannot 6 be remote. It's just, it's another contradiction. So in 7 the eyes of the law what you can foresee is, must be in the 8 mix, and that's why we come with notions such as dolus 9 eventualis and so on, because dolus eventualis for all its 10 justifications creates a situation where it's 50/50 as to 11 whether the intention was there, but from the eyes of the 12 law it's a fiction, so to speak, that is created so that in 13 the eyes of the law, whether I am the man or the woman who 14 sets alight my shop because I want insurance money, if I 15 can foresee that there's somebody there then I'm as much of 16 a murderer as the other person who, a serial killer who 17 goes out with full intention to go and kill, and all the 18 other questions will be questions of sentence and so on and 19 so on.</p> <p>20 But the foreseeability – another serious mistake 21 that is made by the evidence leaders in their effort to 22 exculpate Mr Ramaphosa is mixing up again mens rea with 23 motive. The law is very clear on this, I've quoted it in 24 my heads; the motives of a person have absolutely nothing 25 to do from a legal point of view with the consequences. I</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39383</p> <p>1 again give this example; if I set my house alight because I 2 want to claim for insurance, that's my motive, but if I 3 foresee that there's a human being, some hobo who happened 4 to be there and I don't check whether he's there today or 5 not, then the intention – you see, in normal English when 6 we talk in the street that statement would be like 7 ridiculous, what do you mean intention has nothing to do 8 with motive. But the law separates those two very clearly 9 and that is also dealt with in the case of Kola and 10 whatever other case I've quoted in my heads. So even 11 accepting, which I don't at all, even accepting that Mr 12 Ramaphosa was motivated by this civic duty because he was 13 worried about Mr Fundi and all the others, even accepting 14 that, that may well have been his motive but his intention 15 legally speaking is only tested according to the following 16 principle; was it foreseeable that deaths would occur? Was 17 it foreseeable that deaths would occur and did he, was he 18 reckless as to whether that occurs and did he not do 19 whatever needs to be done, the whole dolus eventualis 20 formulation, if you take it at its minimum. So there's 21 only one question, foreseeability, whether you approach it 22 from dolus eventualis point, whether you approach it as so- 23 called legal causation, it doesn't matter; the issue is was 24 it, were the consequences foreseeable. 25 Now, Chairperson, with the greatest respect, here</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39385</p> <p>1 President at the time anyway, so – 2 MR MPOFU SC: Well, he is now. 3 CHAIRPERSON: I know, but that's 4 irrelevant. 5 MR MPOFU SC: No, he was – exactly. No, 6 no, I'm saying we must forget now because in, so that he 7 can face the – 8 CHAIRPERSON: I mean our officials can be 9 charged with offences while they're in office – 10 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON: There's a judge president 12 somewhere in the country whose been charged with some – 13 MR MPOFU SC: I know, in the Eastern 14 Cape. That's correct, Chairperson. No, no, all I'm 15 saying - 16 CHAIRPERSON: The law has no respect to a 17 person. 18 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson. 19 Yes, with all due respect, but again here, here's the 20 person, this is not just any person, Chairperson. This is 21 somebody – Mr Ntsebeza yesterday spoke about all the 22 massacres that have happened before. Well, let me tell you 23 this, speaking for myself, this is my second massacre. I 24 was in the Bisho massacre as one of the marchers there and 25 the soldiers shot people and killed them and who was</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39384</p> <p>1 is a man who I say to him under cross-examination – and as 2 I've said earlier in the morning, you were aware that there 3 was this volatile situation, yes; you were aware that 4 people had been killed, yes; you were aware that they 5 included police officers; you were aware of, let's call it 6 the standoff, yes. Now if there's a standoff, Chairperson, 7 with a group of 3000 miners who to your knowledge at least, 8 mistaken or otherwise, have killed 10 people, as what was 9 being bandied about, and are refusing to leave a koppie and 10 your solution to that is that they must bring another 11 thousand policemen and the army and all that, how on earth 12 can it be said that you do not foresee if you are a South 13 African – well, whatever, even if you are not a South 14 African – that there's a possibility of people dying there? 15 Surely, let alone if you are a person who knows the mining 16 industry more than all of us, who knows the temperament of 17 miners, of what once they've decided on something and all 18 those things, and you know what happened at Impala, you 19 know all these things, how – I don't understand how it can 20 ever be argued that you do not foresee the eventuation of 21 death. You cannot. Here's a man, Chairperson, and here we 22 must not forget about the fact that this is a Deputy 23 President and so on, in the eyes of the law he must be 24 treated like everybody else. 25 CHAIRPERSON: He wasn't the Deputy</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39386</p> <p>1 leading that march? It was Mr Ramaphosa. He knows, 2 Chairperson, he knows that if you bring the army into a 3 situation like that, or these specialised units or many 4 policemen and so on, death – I won't say is almost certain, 5 but it's definitely foreseeable. 6 So here is somebody who armed with all this 7 knowledge goes along, and I accept that the army was not 8 brought and so on, but goes along with a sentiment that 9 says this thing can only be resolved by bringing the police 10 or the army, and we are told that he thought – I don't know 11 what, the army is just going to come and hug these miners 12 there and, or, I don't know, give them a lift to go home. 13 The army is a machine that kills people, and as the 14 Chairperson corrected me when we were in Rustenburg, that's 15 not its only function. 16 [14:34] But you know, we all know that once you say look, 17 this situation, here are these people sitting here in the 18 Farlam Commission, they are refusing to come out of the 19 council chamber, let's bring in the army, you can't, you 20 can't then say you're not foreseeing the loss of life. 21 What you are doing is to say whatever – and that's where 22 motive maybe becomes important – whatever the risk of loss 23 of life to those people sitting in that auditorium, there 24 is some other important consideration which supersedes that 25 loss of life, and in the case of Mr Ramaphosa that</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39387</p> <p>1 consideration was his financial interest at worst. At best 2 it was what I referred to in the morning, the desire to 3 shield the NUM from its fortunes of dwindling membership. 4 It doesn't matter which one it is, or both. The point of 5 the matter is that no-one – and this is not again, 6 Chairperson, this is the chairperson of, ironically the 7 previous day on the 15th of August, on the day that he's 8 sending these emails that they must send the army and the 9 police, he was flying to Cape Town for the adoption of the 10 National Development Plan, on that day, literally the – 11 when he saw Minister Shabangu he was there for the National 12 Development Plan. What does the National Development Plan 13 say? It says this militarised police that we have here is 14 brutal, is – I can't remember the expression used, of 15 trying to use, solve every problem by use of force and so 16 on and so on, and hence it should be demilitarised. So 17 he's the last person to say he doesn't know that he was 18 sending a brutal police force into what, at least let's 19 give him this, a brutal group of people. So he was 20 orchestrating this clash of brutality because he – again 21 let's give him the due that he was rightfully shocked by 22 what had happened and so on and so on, but that alone is 23 aggravation because it shows that you are now saying these 24 brutal people must go and confront these other brutal 25 people.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39389</p> <p>1 bring you into the realm of dolus eventualis, and this is 2 what was said by Holmes JA in De Bruin, "Secondly, dolus 3 eventualis" - or he was explaining the difference, "the 4 accused foresees the possibility, however remote, of his 5 act resulting in death to another, yet he persists in it 6 reckless whether death ensues or not, on analysis the 7 multiple characteristics of dolus," and then he talks about 8 subjectivity and so on, but the key issue that I'm 9 communicating now is that this case, and many other 10 subsequent cases – ja, that's at 510, what I've just read 11 is at 510 of the judgment, Chairperson. The citation, I'm 12 sure it's in my heads, but just in case, it's 1968 (4) SA 13 498 (AD) and it has been approved subsequently in S v Shaik 14 & Others, which is also an AD case, that is 1983 (4) SA 57 15 (A) at page 62.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: Page? 17 MR MPOFU SC: 62, six-two, Chairperson. 18 There's another case, S v Van Aardt, I'll find the 19 citation.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 21 authorities for the same proposition. 22 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 23 CHAIRPERSON: And you've got at least two 24 Appellate Division authorities – 25 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that should be</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39388</p> <p>1 So with the greatest respect, any suggestion of 2 not foreseeing death there is just fanciful, and I'm not 3 saying he, he might not have foreseen it in the same terms 4 as the people on the ground, the 20 deaths or Tatane or all 5 the other people who clearly foresaw deaths, but in his own 6 space he certainly foresaw death. And remember, 7 Chairperson, there's law on the question of – the evidence 8 leaders say that well, he certainly didn't foresee that 34 9 people are going to die. It doesn't matter; whether he 10 foresaw five or eight or 34, that's irrelevant. And then 11 there's the other point which gets bandied about; oh well, 12 then why did he arrange a meeting with the NUM people on 13 the 17th? It does not matter. He does not have to foresee 14 that the people are going to be killed on the 16th at 4 15 o'clock near the kraal. That's not what foreseeability is.</p> <p>16 There is ample law which shows that you do not 17 have to foresee the causal sequence of your actions. You 18 have – and I've cited the cases in my heads, the mere fact 19 that even authorities which come from S versus De Bruin 20 which it's probably referred to in my heads, yes, say this, 21 Chairperson, and I just want to make this point before I 22 read this because it's just a quick point.</p> <p>23 Those 34 and the 17th go out of the way because 24 what the law says is that if you see the foreseeability of 25 death, even if it's remote or slight, that is sufficient to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39390</p> <p>1 sufficient, yes. Thank you, Chairperson. And the 2 principle there is quite simple and it is that – I don't 3 want to restate the principle, but let's come back to the 4 facts here. What that means therefore, Chairperson, is 5 that – and the reason why there's this huge concealment - 6 going back to the, all the things that have been concealed 7 from us here – can be that it was obvious even to the 8 Minister, the police and the National Commissioner that had 9 you, Commissioners, known that the decision to rush the 10 operation on the 16th was as a result of this political 11 pressure, then you would have naturally wanted to probe as 12 to how far it went and so what had to be done was to 13 deceive you into thinking that the decision was taken on 14 the 16th.</p> <p>15 The reality, and that is why, as I said earlier 16 General Phiyega has to remove all references to the 17 Minister and so on, because it's just a scheme to deceive 18 the Commission into believing that this was an operational 19 decision and not a political one, and that is why even the 20 Minister in his own affidavit, Minister Mthethwa, makes 21 what would otherwise look like an innocuous "mistake" when 22 he says when I received the call from Mr Ramaphosa I phoned 23 the National Commissioner. When I cross-examined him it's 24 clear that nothing of the sort happened. He phoned the 25 Provincial Commissioner. Why is he deceiving the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39391</p> <p>1 Commission again on that? Because he knows that if the 2 truth is told it will be clear that he jumped the National 3 Commissioner for whatever reason – maybe she was not 4 answering, I don't know, there might be a valid reason, but 5 that he jumped the National Commissioner and delved into 6 the operational area, that's how much the pressure was, and 7 spoke to General Mbombo. The conversation that General 8 Mbombo in JJJ192 is relating is the conversation that was 9 direct, that happened between her and Mr Ramaphosa, but she 10 also refers to a separate discussion which happened between 11 the Minister and the National Commissioner. That's the one 12 that says who are the shareholders and so on and so on. 13 The National Commissioner says, "Oh, I get it." Ja, so 14 that means there are two separate discussions, one between 15 Ramaphosa and Mbombo, which is reinforced by another 16 discussion between Mthethwa and Phiyega, all of which 17 culminates in the same thing, which is that there is 18 pressure from a politically high person and hence we must 19 kill this thing, and so on and so on and so on. 20 So you can't, with the greatest respect, if 21 you're going to charge Minister Mthethwa, who really his 22 crime is that he was the conduit for the political 23 pressure, or if you're going to charge National 24 Commissioner Phiyega, I dare say that of all these people 25 if anyone could get away with it, it would be the National</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39393</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Sorry, Chairperson? 2 CHAIRPERSON: Are you still dealing with 3 Mr Ramaphosa? 4 MR MPOFU SC: Oh yes, the answer is yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: You said yes. I didn't 6 turn my machine on, that's why I repeated it. 7 MR MPOFU SC: Oh yes, thank you, 8 Chairperson. Yes, and I'm not going to be long. I just 9 want to deal with the flipside, the omission, list of 10 omission accused, so to speak. Again what have we got 11 here, Chairperson? We have a person who has made – I can't 12 even count them – concessions, rightfully so, to myself, to 13 my learned colleagues, that – and to you, Chairperson, and 14 to the Sunday Times and to everybody, where he says, I take 15 personal responsibility for what happened. The 16 Chairperson, in fact when I questioned him on that article 17 the Chairperson took it further and said, used the word 18 "personal," "We hear this thing about collective 19 responsibility and what have you, but do you take your 20 share of the personal responsibility?" He said yes. If 21 the reference is not in – 22 CHAIRPERSON: I remember the – 23 MR MPOFU SC: You remember that, 24 Chairperson, yes. 25 CHAIRPERSON: I remember the passage.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39392</p> <p>1 Commissioner because the National Commissioner, I think his 2 problems arise from the National Management Forum, but in 3 this connection she clearly did not – I mean she, the 4 innocence with which she must have asked that question, she 5 says the Minister says he's being pressurised by the 6 shareholders, who are these shareholders, it just shows 7 that she didn't even know who was the shareholder, and 8 Mbombo says it's Cyril, and Mokwena – very interesting 9 discussion – Mokwena, before, all she had to say was 10 "There's a politically high," and he says "It's Cyril." He 11 knows, because everybody knows who is the exerter of the 12 political pressure. 13 So with the greatest respect, Chairperson, it can 14 never be said by any stretch of the imagination that a 15 person who clearly foresees the deaths of other human 16 beings and acts in that way and those deaths actually to 17 eventuate in whatever way on whatever date did not foresee 18 that happening. So that's the side of, as I say topping 19 the police list as accused number 1. 20 If we go to the omission – 21 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 22 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson. Yes, on 23 the alternative charge. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Still with Mr Ramaphosa – I 25 hadn't turned my machine on – the answer is yes?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39394</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, you'll find – 2 CHAIRPERSON: He talked about collective 3 responsibility and I said, including himself, and I said – 4 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: - well, what personal 6 responsibility do you take and he then answered – 7 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thank you, 8 Chairperson. But that's just one reference. Every time 9 this question was put to him, "Do you accept 10 responsibility?" "Yes." And I even went further and said, 11 "Do you accept that had you not omitted to do what a person 12 in your position should have done, all the 44 people, not 13 just the 34, all the 44 people might still be alive today?" 14 He says yes. So on what possible basis can somebody who 15 has come here under cross-examination and gave a confession 16 on that score, so to speak, or at best an admission and we 17 are told that there's not even a prima facie - prima facie, 18 Chairperson, not beyond reasonable doubt, not on balance of 19 probabilities, but on a prima facie basis, that that 20 omission is not criminally actionable? Then why must we 21 charge anyone else, why must we even charge Lonmin for 22 omitting to speak to the strikers? Because it is that very 23 same, self same omission that Mr Ramaphosa, who's a skilled 24 negotiator, who's a former trade unionist, who knows the 25 importance of intervention by negotiation, he's just, as I</p>

Page 39395

1 pointed out in my heads, he's intervening by negotiation
 2 right now as speak in Lesotho. He did not say there no,
 3 shoot each other first and then we'll negotiate afterwards.
 4 He knew that but you have to go there and to get those
 5 people around the table to avoid the deaths. You can't say
 6 kill each other and then stabilise the situation when half
 7 of the people of Lesotho have killed each other, then that
 8 will stabilise the situation and then – it doesn't work
 9 that way.

10 To his knowledge, and once again Scott – and this
 11 is a rare moment where I must say this good thing about the
 12 police plan, such as it was, that Mr Ramaphosa's
 13 intervention actually had the effect of subverting the
 14 plan. According to what Scott says in the passage that
 15 I've quoted in my replying heads their position, and I
 16 think the police have pushed that case successfully, was
 17 Lonmin, go and talk to the people and we'll deal with the
 18 security situation and so on, and they were fobbed off for
 19 various reasons that they know. But that was their outlook
 20 on the sequence of events; the Lonmin people must talk to
 21 the workers and they will deal with the rest. It is only
 22 through the intervention of Mr Ramaphosa that that sequence
 23 – and Jamieson and all the others – that that sequence is
 24 suddenly subverted, no, now you must "stabilise" with
 25 pointed action, concomitant action, and then he says in

Page 39396

1 some pages, and then maybe after that there would have been
 2 an opportunity to negotiate. That cannot be. That cannot
 3 be the mindset of a person who just on the basis of that
 4 omission does not foresee the obvious consequences of that
 5 approach, the disastrous - in any situation, you know war,
 6 as I've said in the heads, does not create peace.

7 Peace is created by the negotiating table. The
 8 fact that sometimes peace eventuates after people have had
 9 war is unfortunate. The correct situation is to avoid the
 10 war and you can't say let's have the war and then the peace
 11 will follow, which is exactly what was being done, because
 12 now it was the gung-ho thing, it is not a labour dispute.
 13 Why? Why this big emphasis, it's not a labour dispute? It
 14 is so that do not even think about saying they must go to
 15 the table; bring in the army, bring in the police. It's
 16 concomitant action all the way.

17 The only reason why that message was being sent
 18 so forcefully was – in fact we don't even have to infer;
 19 Jamieson says, the opening line he says "The Minister this
 20 morning, Minister Shabangu, was on the radio saying this
 21 thing must, we must resolve it around the table, and that,
 22 although it's not too damaging, it's not helpful." How can
 23 it even be remotely damaging to say people must talk and
 24 resolve a situation? Because that's exactly what should be
 25 done instead of twisting the logic and pressuringing

Page 39397

1 everybody around who has decision-making powers to change
 2 their characterisation and call it criminal so that they
 3 can bring the big guns (literally) in.

4 So whether you look at it from the point of view
 5 of what he did or from the point of view of what he did not
 6 do, then it's very clear that, as I say he's accused number
 7 1 on both the State list, so to speak, and also the Lonmin
 8 list. If by some miracle I'm wrong on that, then surely on
 9 the – he should be charged, as we know that culpable
 10 homicide is a competent verdict, but let's assume it
 11 doesn't even come through that route, then how can it be
 12 said that there's no prima facie case that a reasonable
 13 person, a reasonable skilled negotiator, a reasonable
 14 former unionist, a reasonable former general secretary of
 15 NUM, in his position would not have foreseen that deaths
 16 were almost certainly going to eventuate? Everybody did,
 17 Chairperson. Let's be blunt about it. The reason why
 18 there was Reuters and CNN and all these other people there
 19 is because everyone knew that there's a standoff there and
 20 one of the ways in which it's going to be resolved is
 21 something quite violent. Nobody would have said okay, well
 22 we know that there are going to be 34 people around the
 23 kraal and all that, but the reason they were there, it was
 24 not just because there's some other old strike in South
 25 Africa, it's because everyone knew that there is a major

Page 39398

1 standoff where there's likely to be bloodshed, as everyone
 2 said, bloodshed as Mpembe said, bloodshed as Mbombo said,
 3 and bloodshed as anyone else surely must have foreseen, let
 4 alone one who is you know, one of the authors of the
 5 constitution, Chairperson.

6 [14:54] Really this Constitution says very clearly that
 7 the police must not be involved in making political
 8 considerations and one of the people who wrote that
 9 Constitution causes that to happen, causes the Minister to
 10 cross the line, causes the National Commissioner to cross
 11 the line, causes everyone to cross the lines that are set
 12 by our Constitution. And we are told – oh I don't know,
 13 but it was inexplicable or words to that effect. It's not
 14 inexplicable, it's directly linked, it is directly to the
 15 NMF decision as we explained in the morning. It is
 16 directly linked to the D day announcement, it is directly
 17 linked to the botched operation that followed it. And it
 18 is directly linked to the deaths of those 34 people and it
 19 is the omission side, that's the Commissioner, what he did
 20 on the 15th, but the omission which starts much earlier is
 21 directly linked to all the 44 deaths. Because remember,
 22 Chairperson this is not some innocent as he tried to make
 23 us believe, a non-executive director, this is a person who
 24 was in the board meeting of the 25th of July, JJJ10 where
 25 the decision was taken that the strikers must not be

Page 39399

1 engaged. So he's part of a decision that says the strikers
 2 must not be engaged, why, because it's going to cost us a
 3 lot of money because other people will come and also want
 4 to negotiate. This is said by an organisation that knows
 5 as we know from their slides that the NUM has lost ground.
 6 Technically yes they are still the recognised union and so
 7 on, but they know that these are not the people that have
 8 the confidence of the workers. And yet they adopt in a
 9 meeting a few weeks before the massacre a resolution that
 10 says they must not be spoken to. That decision clearly was
 11 what informed the Jamiesons and the Mokwenas of this world
 12 on the 10th of August from saying we're not talking to these
 13 people. And as I said this morning from that point on we
 14 were on a road to nowhere. The list is endless,
 15 Chairperson and that list endless as it is some of it is in
 16 my replying heads. But the point of the matter is that on
 17 all these nobody's asking you to convict the person and if
 18 the Chairperson is inclined not – my own submission would
 19 be that the Chairperson is quite empowered to recommend a
 20 prosecution. But, if for some reasons, Chairperson is
 21 inclined only to recommend an investigation and so on –
 22 CHAIRPERSON: If we recommend an
 23 investigation we don't know what the investigation will
 24 produce. I can then say whatever the investigation
 25 produces you must still prosecute them.

Page 39400

1 MR MPOFU SC: It produces, yes. No, no I
 2 think that's sensible, Chairperson, but the only subject to
 3 this – for that approach the test is even lower because if
 4 I was banging my hand on the table to say well,
 5 Chairperson, I want you to recommend no investigation, go
 6 straight to the MPA's office and so on then I would have to
 7 satisfy you that there is a prima facie case on the basis
 8 that a reasonable prospect of a successful prosecution and
 9 so on. But if as the Chairperson has postulated all you
 10 are saying is that there is sufficient in front of us or as
 11 the Human Rights Commissioners called it, a reasonable
 12 suspicion of a crime being committed here. And DPP then
 13 surely that has been imminently, but imminently satisfied
 14 in this case. And we must resist the temptation,
 15 Chairperson, of creating the impression that there are, and
 16 I'm not saying there's any suggestion of that on your part,
 17 Chairperson, but in the public eye that there are separate,
 18 different rules for different folks. Because I am saying
 19 now anybody, if it was Mr Magidiwana or Mr Phatsha and we
 20 say we now know for sure that Mr Magidiwana or Mr Phatsha
 21 is the person who the day before Mr Twala was killed was
 22 sitting in some room and saying this Twala chap you know I
 23 saw him doing this and that. I think you know we must do
 24 this, that and the other. Whether he was there when the
 25 trigger was pulled that would have been enough at least for

Page 39401

1 the Commission to say he must be investigated for a
 2 criminal offence. And it's not for the Commission as to
 3 whether he's an accomplice or part of a common purpose and
 4 all these nice things. Those are considerations that will
 5 be taken by the DPP. But let's postulate, let's say ground
 6 is found for accomplice liability or even common purpose
 7 then what was said, I think, by Mr Gotz yesterday about the
 8 clear foreseeability on the part of Mr Jamieson where
 9 there's no question, he says look we foresaw that things
 10 might happen. But maybe we thought the police might – that
 11 part of the law would say that you don't need causation
 12 legal or otherwise because common purpose doctrine is meant
 13 exactly for these situations where you don't have to
 14 attribute the causality for each of the participants. So I
 15 don't want to go down that line, I'm simply saying,
 16 Chairperson, we don't want a theoretical discussion as to
 17 whether he's corporate perpetrator or a perpetrator or an
 18 accomplice or whatever. The point of the matter is that
 19 there is sufficient evidenced in front of this Commission
 20 for him to be charged with a crime of murder and
 21 alternatively culpable homicide. Just as it would happen
 22 with anyone else who's not a Deputy President.
 23 CHAIRPERSON: It's 3 o'clock now.
 24 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson, well my –
 25 CHAIRPERSON: We've got your written

Page 39402

1 heads, both your main heads and your replying heads.
 2 MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson the
 3 arrangement is –
 4 CHAIRPERSON: You were arguing the aspect
 5 in respect of Mr Ramaphosa on the basis that a lot of what
 6 you said would apply mutatis mutandis to the others.
 7 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, yes, no there's no
 8 doubt, Chairperson, let me just explain something about the
 9 time. The arrangement is that I have – because there's no
 10 other time on the table, I'm going to eat into my reply
 11 time on the space or the hope that it will be recovered
 12 either through Mr Tip's or Mr Semenya's brevity or
 13 respective brevities. But if that doesn't materialise then
 14 I'll eat into my own time. But I will try not to eat all
 15 of it up.
 16 CHAIRPERSON: May I suggest then that
 17 before you eat some of your reply time up we drink some
 18 tea. We'll adjourn for 15 minutes.
 19 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson.
 20 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]
 21 [15:21] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.
 22 Yes, Mr Mpofu.
 23 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, Chairperson, I'm going
 24 to quickly round off the points. As I was saying, if the
 25 recommendations of the evidence leaders are followed on

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39403</p> <p>1 both putative self-defence and the issue of Mr Ramaphosa, 2 what is really being said is that we are back to what Mr 3 Bizos called "nobody is to blame." So you must let the 4 shooters, the people who shot the people go off, and also 5 let the ringleader, the kingpin of the murderous scheme to 6 also get off. 7 Well, this is all I have to say that pressure, 8 which Mr Ramaphosa has admitted to having exerted and which 9 was put into context by Mr Jamieson, in scientific terms – 10 and if I'm wrong on this Mr Chaskalson will share the blame 11 because both of us fancied ourselves as students of 12 physics, but pressure is nothing else but force over area, 13 and here the area was very clear; it was the higher 14 echelons of the police, it was the decision-making apex, so 15 to speak, of the whole structure which went down to the 16 shooters and all that, and applying that pressure at the 17 top was almost as good as applying the force because in 18 real life that it cascaded down, down to the shooters, and 19 therefore for the reasons that we have articulated both in 20 relation to the shooters and the applier of the pressure it 21 cannot be said that that pressure was not the factual legal 22 cause, or whatever cause of the eventuation of the deaths, 23 and that's – 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, sorry to 25 interrupt Mr Mpofo's argument, but I should make clear that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39405</p> <p>1 made that concession, and that's at page 34701. 2 He conceded that he was at the meeting of 25 July 3 when there was discussions which probably led to the 4 adoption of the no-talks stance. He conceded that the – he 5 was aware of the issues of police brutality in South 6 Africa, that's 34736. We've already covered that. He also 7 conceded that he acted as a messenger for Lonmin senior 8 executive in influencing the changing of the 9 characterisation from labour unrest to criminal nature, and 10 he conceded that in reality the root cause of the dispute 11 was a labour dispute, and I think probably one of the last 12 questions I put to him where he conceded that placing of 13 political pressure that results in the killing of people 14 would be unlawful. 15 So as I've said, we have a person who himself 16 really basically says look, I take personal responsibility, 17 I concede that had I done this, and yet somehow the 18 evidence leaders find something to say no, no, no, no, 19 despite whatever he's saying and whatever his concessions 20 on the basis of legal causation or whatever, there's no 21 evidence, something like that, there's no facts which have 22 been put to suggest that he could foresee, no facts. All 23 these things that we were saying in the cross-examination 24 about the army and what-what just don't exist. Well, that 25 can't be, Chairperson. The job of the evidence leaders is</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39404</p> <p>1 my contribution to the argument was limited to the 2 scientific formula. 3 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. Yes, yes, no that is 4 true, and now that there's an accomplice then I'm – 5 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 6 accomplice without mens rea. 7 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct, 8 Chairperson, just on one element, ja. But, Chairperson, 9 I'll just read out just some of the concessions that I rely 10 on, some of which are cited in the heads. Let's start with 11 the omission case, so to speak. He conceded, Mr Ramaphosa, 12 that Lonmin should have engaged with the strikers, that had 13 they done so it was possible that the violence would not 14 have occurred, and that's at page 34693 of the record. He 15 conceded that he knew that all the employees wanted was to 16 be spoken to. That's at page 34697. 17 He conceded under cross-examination by Mr 18 Budlender that had the approach adopted by Mr Da Costa been 19 followed further deaths could have been avoided, and linked 20 to that he conceded, in my cross-examination I put it, I 21 even put it like this, Chairperson, to say, "Let's assume 22 the Fundi and Mabelane deaths were the trigger" - the 23 discussion you and I had this morning – "of the horror, 24 even at that stage do you concede that the further eight 25 deaths would have been avoided had you intervened?" and he</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39406</p> <p>1 to analyse the evidence, that's why they're called evidence 2 leaders, and the evidence is there. They might say they 3 disagree with the factors that we put, but they mustn't say 4 they're not there. They are there. We raised those issues 5 in cross-examination and the valuation of those points 6 might differ from person to person, but it cannot be said 7 they're not there. They are there. They were put to the 8 witness. In fact Mr Unterhalter interjected exactly when 9 after putting all these things I was saying to Mr 10 Ramaphosa, because of all those things I'm going to argue 11 at the end that it was foreseeable, that deaths were 12 foreseeable. So it was put in so many words that that was 13 the purpose of the – and it can't help to say well, when it 14 was said to him it's foreseeable he said no, it's not. It 15 doesn't work that way. If a witness simply says, you know, 16 I was not there, that has never been a reason for – what 17 happens is that the inference of foresight, or the 18 foresight is taken from the conspectus of the issues, which 19 I've already enumerated. 20 So in all the circumstances, Chairperson, the 21 recommendation for those criminal charges is inevitable. 22 It would take more hard work to find that he should not be 23 charged criminally under the current circumstances and if 24 it was found that way it will cause the biggest, it will 25 discredit the legal, the whole legal process really because</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39407</p> <p>1 it will simply mean that, I don't know what needs to be 2 done for somebody to, not to be convicted but to be made to 3 pay. That's what society expects, Chairperson. The 4 criminal law is meant for that, that there's certain 5 conduct that society has decided must be punished, to put 6 it bluntly and briefly, and what is called the legal 7 convictions of the community, the expectations, is that the 8 community says okay, for these and these and these things 9 you must be civilly liable, it's a private matter between 10 those who wronged each other, but for this and that, and 11 that includes murder and the violation of the most 12 important rights, as is the right to life and other related 13 rights, there must be criminal consequences, and this is 14 the classical case of that.</p> <p>15 Very quickly, if I can move to the issue of – 16 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, before you 17 move – 18 MR MPOFU SC: Sorry. 19 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Can you just debate 20 this criminal liability of Mr Ramaphosa? 21 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Do I understand you 23 correctly to be saying that because Mr Jamieson phoned him 24 to say look, these are the incidents, we've been trying to 25 get the police, can't get them, and because he phoned the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39409</p> <p>1 take that approach, as I've said in terms of the 2 sequencing, the foreseeable consequences of that approach, 3 given the volatile situation, which is why, Commissioner, I 4 was talking about adding petrol to the fire – remember 5 there's already a fire, so if you add petrol to nothing, 6 nothing will happen, but if you add the petrol to a fire 7 that's already there of this nature, then we know what's 8 going to happen. So it's the factual complex of these 9 particular circumstances. As I say, let's agree with him 10 to say these people were brutal, barbaric and what have you 11 and so on, that alone is what the fire is. So if the fire 12 is like that you don't then aggravate it by bringing 13 another gung-ho brutalised militarised bunch and mix it 14 with this other group of people. That is where the 15 criminal liability arises, and therefore, that's why the 16 reference I was making to Mr Jamieson is just instructive. 17 I'm saying postulate that they were charged together in a 18 common purpose, for argument sake, let's say it was Mr 19 Ramaphosa and Mr Jamieson, then the fact that he has 20 virtually conceded foreseeability, and that's the point you 21 were debating with my learned colleague, means that as far 22 as causation is concerned it's enough that one of the 23 parties to that common purpose would have foreseen 24 something. That's what the whole doctrine of common 25 purpose is, to cure that so that you don't have to find it</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39408</p> <p>1 Minister for the police to intervene he must have foreseen, 2 or he foresaw that once the police come in to intervene 3 death would ensue, or is it wider than that? 4 MR MPOFU SC: No, it's much, much wider 5 than that. To go back to the Chairperson's example of the 6 farmers, if all he had done is what you are postulating 7 now, all he had done is said listen, there is this trouble, 8 can you just make this phone call and just, we can't find 9 the local station commander, and so on, of course that's 10 not criminal liability. The criminal liability arises from 11 applying pressure, this is his words, it's not mine, he, Mr 12 Ramaphosa says "Let us put pressure on them and let them 13 act in a pointed way," and so on and so on, and it also 14 arises from the words that are used in the communication 15 because by communicating you're not just committing a 16 crime, it's the content of the words that you are using and 17 those words are saying emphatically, with underlying or 18 capital letters, let us ensure that the characterisation is 19 changed and we label these people purely as criminals. 20 Remember that whole debate about the hybrid and so on and 21 so on. 22 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Yes. 23 MR MPOFU SC: And the only, only way this 24 situation can be resolved is by bringing the police and the 25 army. Now those, apart from the fact that that is wrong to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39410</p> <p>1 in respect of each participant. 2 So yes, that's the best answer I can give. So it 3 arises from foreseeing the possibility of death, and I'm 4 prepared to say you're not foreseeing 34 deaths, you're not 5 foreseeing, you know, big Nyalas up and down and 6 helicopters, but if you are saying when – and the 7 Chairperson asked this question in a very pointed way, if 8 you'll excuse the pun, in a pointed way, ja, because he 9 said, the Chairperson said well, explain this; if Mr 10 Jamieson is saying there's already 800 police there, so 11 it's not a situation which we all know which was 12 unacceptable of earlier in the week, what is this more 13 pointed way that – it can't be anything else except what we 14 saw, which was a massive show of force, bringing 15 paramilitary units and all that what we know. So I'm 16 saying that has got to be foreseeable, or rather death, 17 any, some death must be foreseeable at the lowest. 18 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Okay, the other 19 aspect I don't understand is the liability based on 20 omission. Is that criminal liability you're talking, or 21 civil liability? 22 MR MPOFU SC: No, it's criminal – 23 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: What is it? 24 MR MPOFU SC: - criminal liability, and 25 again thank you for raising that because what I wanted to</p>

Page 39411

1 say actually is I'm not going to address on civil liability
 2 and so on because a fortiori, if I succeed to convince you
 3 on the criminal liability the civil liability of all the
 4 parties mentioned follows. But what I'm – no, it's
 5 criminal liability, Commissioner Tokota, because the law on
 6 omissions is simply this; the only difference between
 7 criminal liability of a commission of an offence and the
 8 omission offence is just in the nature of the actus reus,
 9 the nature of the human conduct part. The law, in the eyes
 10 of the law it does not matter whether that conduct is done
 11 by doing something or failing to do something, subject to
 12 the following. If it is the latter, if it is an omission
 13 it must only be where there's a legal duty to act.
 14 Now the duty here - Mr Ramaphosa, we canvassed
 15 this with him extensively - on the omission part arises not
 16 only, it's not the same duty that a General Mbombo would
 17 have, because that's clear, she has a duty as a police
 18 officer to protect the community, blah, blah, blah. Here
 19 we are talking about firstly his fiduciary duties, his
 20 duties as a person in his position so to speak to whom –
 21 remember, these workers are entitled to expect that a non-
 22 executive director of Lonmin must have their best interests
 23 at heart. They can't be expected to think that that person
 24 is going to be biased towards the NUM and want to do things
 25 and phone this one, phone Mantashe and phone Baleni,

Page 39412

1 Zokwana and so on. They can't. They must expect that as,
 2 or to use the expression qua employees, all these directors
 3 are here to protect our interests. So there's a legal duty
 4 to act from that point of view alone, but again from the
 5 person that he is, he is a member of the National Executive
 6 Committee of the ruling party - I canvassed the connection
 7 between the ruling party and the government with him, which
 8 he conceded - a senior person, and as I've said a person
 9 who is known as a negotiator, a former unionist, all those
 10 put together must but must create a legal duty not to
 11 commit the kind of omission. In other words what I'm
 12 saying, Commissioner Tokota, is that let's say for argument
 13 sake there was another non-executive director, Mr Jones,
 14 who was also a supporter that they mustn't talk to the
 15 people, he might say look, under the – I don't know, this
 16 was my first strike, I don't even know what this thing is
 17 about, I've never read the Constitution in my life, I don't
 18 know what the army does between, I don't know the
 19 difference between the army and the police, so sorry, maybe
 20 I made a mistake, we would believe him. But not Mr
 21 Ramaphosa. We can't. His special position as a non-
 22 executive director, as a shareholder and as a leader –
 23 remember I said to him when you were phoning Mr Mthethwa,
 24 Mr Mthethwa would not have known whether you're calling him
 25 as an NEC member or as a director, and he said yes, and

Page 39413

1 when I asked Minister Shabangu the same question, when you
 2 were interacting with Mr Ramaphosa was it clear whether it
 3 was as an NEC member, she said no, she could not tell.
 4 Ja, and that's the nature of what I call the
 5 incestuous relationships, because remember there is – to
 6 put it nicely, there's a, not a gang, but there's a group
 7 of people in this whole mix who are on the side of Minister
 8 Shabangu, Minister Mthethwa, Minister – or rather Deputy
 9 President, and so on and so on, whose mission in life is to
 10 ensure that AMCU is not given the upper hand for the
 11 reasons that we canvassed in the evidence, and that is what
 12 I was trying to explain in the, the conflict of interest
 13 arises from that, that with all these fiduciary duties you
 14 are at the same time associated with the parties who are
 15 "against" the same workers to whom you owe that duty for
 16 political reasons, because you don't want AMCU to advance
 17 and so on and so on. That's a clear conflict because the
 18 workers are entitled to expect that you will look after
 19 them as everyone –
 20 [15:41] So thank you, so on the omission that's where the
 21 legal duty arises, which takes us very quickly to the
 22 question of the corruption charges that –
 23 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]
 24 corruption charges can I ask you a question about the
 25 suggested prosecution of Mr Ramaphosa for –

Page 39414

1 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, thanks, Chairperson.
 2 CHAIRPERSON: What you said was it arises
 3 from the foreseeability of death. That was the test that
 4 you propounded, but is it also not necessary to have regard
 5 to the question as to whether he foresaw that the death
 6 would result from illegal action? Now we know the police
 7 have got power to seize objects from people, so therefore
 8 they have the power to disarm. They have the power to
 9 disperse people if they comply with the requirements of
 10 section 9(2) of the Regulation of Gatherings Act, and they
 11 have a power to arrest if they have the necessary
 12 reasonable suspicion under the Criminal Procedure Act. So
 13 it may well have been foreseeable that death might result
 14 as a result of police action, but it doesn't follow
 15 automatically that those deaths would result from illegal
 16 action. So is it not also a part of the test that it was
 17 foreseeable that the process he was setting in motion would
 18 bring about deaths by illegal action by the police?
 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, I've got two answers
 20 to that, Chairperson. The first one is no, it's not part
 21 of the requirement, and let's go back to the example that I
 22 made. If I set my shop or my house alight and I can
 23 reasonably foresee that there's a hobo who is trespassing
 24 on it, then I'm guilty of murder. The fact that the hobo
 25 is there illegally cannot absolve me of my criminal

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39415</p> <p>1 liability. Intention in the legal sense doesn't work like 2 that. I know what the Chairperson is saying – 3 CHAIRPERSON: Your example with respect 4 isn't a good one because the death doesn't result from – 5 your example, from illegal action. It's, the hobo happens 6 to be there illegally – 7 MR MPOFU SC: Fair enough - 8 CHAIRPERSON: - but that's a different 9 matter. 10 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, okay – 11 CHAIRPERSON: You see, can I just put an 12 example to you then? 13 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON: Unless you want to give me 15 your second answer first. 16 MR MPOFU SC: No. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Assuming there's a robber 18 in my apartment – 19 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON: - and he's a dangerous 21 robber and I phone the police and I say, would you please 22 come and deal with the situation, I want you to come 23 because I've got a robber on my premises and the police 24 come, now I will surely foresee that if there's a shootout 25 between the armed robber and the police either the police</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39417</p> <p>1 you've even gone to court and you've heard all your rights, 2 the new Constitution says that you should not be killed. 3 Why? Because, to come to your example, as the 4 Constitutional Court said even the worst among us, the 5 worst, think of serial rapists, serial killers or serial 6 whatever, even the worst among us have the right to life. 7 So the fact that there might have been illegal gathering, 8 what have you, with the greatest respect can never have any 9 bearing on the question as to whether someone who foresees 10 the death of another human being must proceed on the basis 11 that well, they asked for it because they were involved in 12 an illegal activity. That I'm afraid is not – 13 CHAIRPERSON: That's not the basis of my 14 question. 15 MR MPOFU SC: Well – 16 CHAIRPERSON: The basis of my question is 17 whether it's foreseeable that the death would be brought 18 about by illegal conduct. 19 MR MPOFU SC: No, Chairperson, with 20 respect, yes I hear that. Well, then that goes to another, 21 to the second issue that I had raised, that one only has to 22 foresee the death of a human being. You don't have to 23 foresee the sequence, the causal sequence and all the – 24 CHAIRPERSON: The example I gave you of 25 the robber in my house, armed robber in my house, I can't</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39416</p> <p>1 or the robber may die, but must I foresee in those 2 circumstances that the police may act illegally? Now if 3 that's correct then whenever there's a robber in my 4 apartment, armed robber in my apartment, I dare not phone 5 the police and ask them to come if your test is the correct 6 test because then I'll find myself in the dock on a murder 7 charge. 8 MR MPOFU SC: No, no – 9 CHAIRPERSON: Can that be right? 10 MR MPOFU SC: No, no, certainly not, 11 Chairperson. You see, Chairperson, a constitutional 12 democracy is something that we have to appreciate. Those 13 kinds of arguments were advanced in the case of State 14 versus Mankwanyane on the death sentence case and if you 15 look at all the judgments, particularly the judgment of 16 Chaskalson, it says that – 17 CHAIRPERSON: I take it you mean 18 Chaskalson P. 19 MR MPOFU SC: P, yes, that's correct, 20 Chairperson. Not my fellow conspirator in physics. The 21 whole emphasis of that judgment, Chairperson, is that the 22 reason why we do not have the death sentence, because 23 that's all that happened to these people, they were 24 executed extra judicially, so it was applying the death 25 sentence to them without even going to court. Let's assume</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39418</p> <p>1 call the police? 2 MR MPOFU SC: No – 3 CHAIRPERSON: Because I could, if the 4 policeman comes and there's a shootout between the two of 5 them and either the policeman or the robber gets killed I 6 will be on the basis of the principle that you argued – 7 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, okay let – 8 CHAIRPERSON: - I'd be sitting in the 9 dock in the criminal court. 10 MR MPOFU SC: Let me explain to you, 11 Chairperson – 12 CHAIRPERSON: That doesn't sound right, I 13 mean – 14 MR MPOFU SC: No, it's very simple. If, 15 the question is again you have to postulate the reality, if 16 you know, or you're the owner of the house, I'm the robber, 17 and you know that the local police are so sick and tired of 18 robbers that every time they see a robber they kill them, 19 you know this, or you have just done a study that shows 20 that these police are so averse to robbery that you'll be 21 killed, and knowing that, as you do, Chairperson, when you 22 see me in your yard, you call the police, then how can you 23 say you did not foresee that there would be a death? You 24 can't. There might be another basis upon which you might 25 escape criminal liability, but it most certainly cannot be</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39419</p> <p>1 that you did not foresee the death of a human being. It 2 can't. It's not –</p> <p>3 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: No, in his example 4 he does foresee.</p> <p>5 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>6 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: He does foresee 7 death.</p> <p>8 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, he does foresee, but 9 the difference with the Chairperson's example is that one 10 can, it's almost like the weighing of two evils. You can 11 say well, he does foresee, so either he doesn't call the 12 police or he allows his house to be robbed, as it were, 13 he's in a Hobson's Choice situation. Mr Ramaphosa was not, 14 it's not as if, if he didn't call the army and the police 15 the world was going to come to an end. If he didn't call 16 the army and the police the negotiations were going to take 17 – so that example is not a good one, with respect, because 18 here there would have been an element, one might be 19 sympathetic, in fact as they say difficult cases make good 20 law. The –</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: I think the expression is 22 hard cases make –</p> <p>23 MR MPOFU SC: Make good law, yes. Hard 24 cases, yes.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: Bad law.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39421</p> <p>1 if you foresaw the death of a human being, let alone in a 2 constitutional democracy where the right to life is the 3 most paramount, then the worst that can happen is that you 4 must be charged. We're not asking for the death sentence 5 here or the gallows or anything like that. We're saying 6 charge. The fact that he might be acquitted in the 7 criminal trial is, as it is said in the heads, is no skin 8 off the nose of the Commission. He might be. He might be 9 convicted, just like the assailants of Mr Langa might be 10 charged and they might be acquitted. We can't then say oh, 11 Judge Farlam was wrong, look now these people have been 12 acquitted, because that's not the point.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: No, I think I understand 14 your submission.</p> <p>15 MR MPOFU SC: Thank you, Chairperson.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: You've got by my watch 17 about eight minutes left, so I'd like you to use them 18 profitably.</p> <p>19 MR MPOFU SC: I will, and by coincidence 20 those eight minutes I'll use it for muti, so maybe they 21 might expand. Chairperson, before we do that, I just 22 wanted to say the submissions on corruption and so on are 23 in the heads, so I won't – suffice to say that it's related 24 to the discussion I was having with Commissioner Tokota 25 about the conflicts and so on. The –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39420</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, bad law. The issue, 2 Chairperson, is that what the Chairperson is postulating is 3 a moral difficulty. That case would be like S v Hartmann, 4 it will be one of those cases where you would find the 5 Chairperson guilty and probably say that till the rising of 6 the court, that's what happened in S v Hartmann because S v 7 Hartmann it was said that here's a person who killed 8 somebody else because of sympathy and euthanasia and all 9 that. On the strict test of the law they're guilty of 10 murder. You can't escape that just because, you know, it 11 was a sympathy vote and all that. But what you then do is 12 having charged the person, having convicted the person, you 13 then say till the rising of the court. That happened in S 14 v Engelbrecht as well where Judge Satchwell said an abused 15 spouse has a right to retaliate, even if the threat is no 16 longer imminent in the classical sense of the word, because 17 of the historical abuse, and Judge Satchwell had no choice, 18 I'm sure she would have wanted to acquit the accused 19 because if you read the tenor of the judgement, but she 20 said but applying the law as a judge I have to find you 21 guilty of murder and then sentenced her to five minutes, or 22 whatever it is.</p> <p>23 So the law is the law. It cannot have all these 24 byways. The issue is that if you foresee the death of a 25 human being, more so even before constitutional democracy</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39422</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: You did cross-examine Mr 2 Ramaphosa on –</p> <p>3 MR MPOFU SC: On that, yes I did, 4 Chairperson, yes, and I can – unfortunately you can't use 5 the kind of argument that I was one of the authors of the 6 Corruption Act, so I know exactly what it was meant to 7 prevent and it was this kind of thing, but I suppose that 8 won't stand in any court of law. But be that as it may.</p> <p>9 Chairperson, the issue of muti, again with the 10 greatest respect, the muti thing really should not even 11 take the eight minutes because again let's come to the 12 evidence. The evidence is very clear, nobody is denying 13 that muti was used because that was done in broad daylight.</p> <p>14 The only people who deny –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]</p> <p>16 MR MPOFU SC: Oh, well let me address the 17 memory that I've just had. There are people like Mr 18 Magidiwana, Mr Phatsha, who say that they did not see the 19 muti being used. That does not mean that they are saying 20 it was not used by anybody else. You see what, and there 21 are yet other people like Mr Nzuzza who say they saw muti 22 being used. So, and in fact if all we needed to do quite 23 frankly would have been to call Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh 24 as a witness and he would have –</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: He was called as a witness.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39423</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: No, I'm saying just on 2 the – 3 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 4 MR MPOFU SC: - on this point, ja. We 5 would have just said to him go to what happened on the 14th, 6 he will say my van was standing there and right in front of 7 me within 20 metres there were men who were using a watery 8 substance, and so on. It was not a secret. It was done in 9 broad daylight in front of the koppie, you know, and it was 10 done at the best count on the papers by something like 50 11 people and then I think the other – no, 50 is probably the 12 lowest and then there was another suggestion of 200. Let's 13 take either one of those, it doesn't matter. The point of 14 the matter is that the evidence of all the strikers, 15 including Mr Phatsha, that the majority of the people there 16 were not believers in muti, were people who believed in 17 Christian beliefs, like Mr Phatsha, and so on, on any 18 version of anybody it is a tiny minority and the most 19 important thing is that they are entitled to it. We cannot 20 judge people by our own upper class standards and say that 21 the mere fact that they believe that that muti must be used 22 or is going to protect them is different from other people 23 who believe that prayer is going to protect them. It's the 24 same thing and so the question of whether muti was used is 25 quite frankly irrelevant to the inquiry that is being</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39425</p> <p>1 was used. The question is simply whether because, because 2 SAPS is looking for a reason why the people were killed and 3 because there's no apparent reason and all the objective 4 evidence shows that the people were killed unlawfully, they 5 have to find some supernatural explanation because how else 6 would you explain? If these people were using muti that 7 made them believe that they are invisible, why did they 8 stop when General Mpembe stopped them? Why didn't they 9 just walk along thinking he can't see them? I mean why did 10 they walk on that path avoiding the police towards Nkaneng 11 if they thought they were invisible? Why did they do this 12 and put up and try and duck those bullets? And as 13 Magidiwana said, if you are not scared of bullets, why 14 would you run away from water? Because SAPS's version is 15 that they were thrown with the water and rubber bullets and 16 you can see Magidiwana in L206 screaming at the person 17 who's shooting at him from the rubber balls, as we 18 discussed earlier. If he thought ah well, firstly he can't 19 see me, secondly the bullet won't penetrate me, he would 20 have "sommer" strolled through to the slaughter. That's – 21 really, please, Chairperson, I don't care however many 22 documents SAPS can submit on this, I'm not going to read 23 them because they do not, they will not answer the 24 question - 25 CHAIRPERSON: You're not a commissioner</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39424</p> <p>1 postulated here. As to – 2 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, while you're talking 3 about muti, may I say we've been given a document this 4 morning headed "Analysis of Mr X's statement from an 5 indigenous and psychological perspective," compiled by Ms 6 Jane Mufamadi. Now I don't know who gave us this document. 7 Is it SAPS? 8 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, it must be. 9 CHAIRPERSON: SAPS document, alright. 10 MR MPOFU SC: I think under the doctrine 11 of elimination it must be SAPS. 12 CHAIRPERSON: No, I didn't know that. I 13 didn't know whether the doctrine would apply on the police 14 side. 15 MR MPOFU SC: Chairperson, with the 16 greatest – 17 CHAIRPERSON: [Inaudible]- 18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, with the greatest 19 respect, you see there is – I don't want to sound arrogant, 20 Chairperson, but I don't care what that document says. I 21 don't read these documents. I've not even read that other 22 report of Professor Lamlo or whatever, because so what? 23 Any old professor can come and give us the analysis of Mr 24 X's statement. The question here is not what Mr X 25 believed, or as I've just explained, whether or not muti</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39426</p> <p>1 so you don't have to read them. 2 MR MPOFU SC: Unfortunately yes, I have 3 that luxury, Chairperson. You don't have that luxury. 4 Because the, ja, those documents are never, that I can put 5 my mind on, those documents are never going to say that 6 there is a case that the strikers, let alone those who were 7 there, believed that they were invisible or invincible or 8 whatever it is. 9 CHAIRPERSON: You said you were going to 10 spend eight minutes on muti. I think you've spent your 11 eight minutes. Are you happy that the muti is not 12 invincible and it's not invulnerable? 13 MR MPOFU SC: I'm not happy, Chairperson. 14 Obviously the muti is not working because you were supposed 15 to give me more time. But Chairperson, to round off, most 16 of the submissions are, the only important recommendation 17 that I want to highlight is the issue, I think it was 18 raised by the evidence leaders, of assisting in building 19 some kind of monument at the site, I'd like to endorse that 20 recommendation. You know in the two commemoration services 21 that have taken place that issue was raised quite sharply. 22 CHAIRPERSON: The Bishop raised it also 23 in his evidence, remember. 24 MR MPOFU SC: Yes. 25 CHAIRPERSON: Long ago, two years ago.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39427</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: He suggested that a</p> <p>3 memorial should be erected at the site.</p> <p>4 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: It would be a site which</p> <p>6 forever would remind people of what happened, encourage</p> <p>7 people to ensure that it wouldn't happen again.</p> <p>8 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct. And the</p> <p>9 last one, half a minute –</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: So that the deceased can be</p> <p>11 remembered.</p> <p>12 MR MPOFU SC: That's correct,</p> <p>13 Chairperson, and again one has to acknowledge the spirit of</p> <p>14 reconciliation which the Chairperson commented upon, which</p> <p>15 was displayed in the most recent commemoration where all</p> <p>16 the victims were invited, and I understand some of the</p> <p>17 other victims, not of the 34, actually attended. I didn't</p> <p>18 see them, but they told me later.</p> <p>19 The last point is the recommendation on the</p> <p>20 International Criminal Court. I know that the Chairperson</p> <p>21 is not inclined to recommend prosecutions, but I just want</p> <p>22 to say this; it is done only in this context, in the</p> <p>23 context that in terms of the Rome Statute, and again it</p> <p>24 goes to the point of saying we mustn't underestimate the</p> <p>25 intelligence of people who are uneducated because they</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39429</p> <p>1 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, that's correct,</p> <p>2 Chairperson, but whatever theoretical possibilities were,</p> <p>3 because of a recall or whatever, but the point of the</p> <p>4 matter is that all things being equal you would have the</p> <p>5 person that we are recommending to be accused number 1</p> <p>6 being the one who has to decide who has got to be accused</p> <p>7 number 1. I mean it's very clear, Chairperson, that that</p> <p>8 is why the clients were quite keen to say what are the</p> <p>9 safeguards given the dynamics of power of the people</p> <p>10 involved, high officials, ministers and so on. It's only</p> <p>11 in relation to those kinds of people. Obviously I don't</p> <p>12 know where the cut-off line would be, but it would be</p> <p>13 obviously at that level, and so it's only postulated in</p> <p>14 those circumstances. Chairperson, thank you very much, I</p> <p>15 appreciate the extra two minutes.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Mpofu.</p> <p>17 Tomorrow morning we will begin with the argument of Mr Tip.</p> <p>18 MR MPOFU SC: Yes, I also want to thank</p> <p>19 my learned friend Mr Tip, thank you.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you for the</p> <p>21 suggestion, Mr Tip, and we'll hear from you at 9 tomorrow.</p> <p>22 The Commission will adjourn.</p> <p>23 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]</p> <p>24 .</p> <p>25 .</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39428</p> <p>1 raised this with me and at first I also thought it was</p> <p>2 farfetched, but they kept on saying what if there is</p> <p>3 reluctance because of Mr Ramaphosa's position or whoever</p> <p>4 else of the high people, the State versus the State kind of</p> <p>5 argument, and we then had to do research and so that</p> <p>6 recommendation is raised in the alternative.</p> <p>7 [16:01] In other words even in terms of the Rome Statute</p> <p>8 the domestic court, there would have to be a refusal to</p> <p>9 prosecute in the domestic courts before it is referred</p> <p>10 there, and I want to leave you with this thought,</p> <p>11 Chairperson; if God forbid something were to happen to</p> <p>12 President Zuma, then your report will go to Mr Ramaphosa,</p> <p>13 all things being equal. So the chances of that prosecution</p> <p>14 ever –</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: That's only in the short</p> <p>16 period after the death that you talked about that you –</p> <p>17 MR MPOFU SC: Yes.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: - hope won't happen.</p> <p>19 MR MPOFU SC: No well, it could be</p> <p>20 something else, a recall –</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: - [inaudible] but the</p> <p>22 Deputy President doesn't automatically become the</p> <p>23 President. He acts as President I think in the place of an</p> <p>24 absent President for whatever reason until a new election I</p> <p>25 think takes place in the national assembly.</p>	

A				
aarde 39307:4	achieve 39282:22 39284:1	adherence 39261:22	ago 39252:22 39290:20 39426:25,25	39406:1
Aardt 39389:18	achieved 39283:25	adhering 39291:1	agree 39351:9 39352:9 39409:9	analysed 39349:24
abandoned 39298:5	acknowledge 39240:21 39268:21,24	adjourn 39361:5 39402:18 39429:22	agreed 39267:15 39292:21 39306:18 39353:1 39379:2	analysis 39242:24 39244:15 39248:5 39255:12 39332:13 39367:11 39389:6 39424:4,23
able 39246:19 39261:15 39262:18 39265:11 39277:6 39328:20,21 39355:15 39371:2,19 39374:1 39375:22	39286:13 39319:4 39427:13	ADJOURNED 39429:23	agreement 39258:10 39259:8,8,8,16 39265:16 39276:15 39276:16	ANC 39313:2,19
absence 39251:25 39358:20	acknowledged 39272:18,19 39295:22 39296:12 39331:12	adjournment 39285:12 39318:15 39319:13 39355:19	agreements 39258:19 39258:23	and/or 39374:14
absent 39250:23 39255:21 39428:24	acquit 39420:18	ADJOURNS 39285:17 39319:16 39361:24 39402:20	agrees 39339:17 39342:22	angry 39299:23
absolutely 39250:11 39259:10 39266:7 39269:9,12 39274:4 39276:19 39277:12 39285:20 39287:1 39288:13 39289:22 39290:15 39291:25 39293:14 39314:14 39320:10 39326:3 39330:22 39360:6 39364:6 39371:9 39372:14 39379:23 39380:13 39382:24	acquitted 39361:16 39421:6,10,12	adjustments 39320:18	ah 39425:18	animated 39333:12
absolution 39239:11	act 39257:11 39302:22 39303:17 39304:9,13 39304:21,23 39305:3 39305:12 39311:13 39325:23,24 39330:8 39330:13,13,19 39336:18 39338:6 39342:12 39358:6,9 39389:5 39408:13 39411:13 39412:4 39414:10,12 39416:2 39422:6	administering 39327:10	ahead 39300:17,17	animosity 39265:4
absolve 39414:25	acted 39317:5,20,22 39318:22 39319:10 39359:16 39371:23 39405:7	administration 39305:7	aid 39291:10	Annandale 39281:17 39281:24 39289:1,4,5 39289:6 39296:5 39330:4
absolving 39280:24	acting 39317:6 39345:17 39368:5	admission 39394:16	air 39250:14 39251:21 39251:24 39254:16 39254:17 39288:24 39311:4 39358:15 39366:19	Annandales 39281:21
abuse 39420:17	action 39253:4 39299:18 39301:4 39326:2 39395:25,25 39396:16 39414:6,14 39414:16,18 39415:5	admit 39339:11	align 39302:11	announced 39316:7
abused 39420:14	actionable 39394:20	admitted 39271:4 39273:1 39300:21 39403:8	alive 39301:10 39394:13	announcement 39398:16
acceded 39276:15	actions 39249:23 39261:18,19 39279:15 39376:6 39381:25 39388:17	admittedly 39249:3 39293:4	alight 39382:14 39383:1 39414:22	announcements 39314:17
accelerated 39344:4,4	act 39257:11 39302:22 39303:17 39304:9,13 39304:21,23 39305:3 39305:12 39311:13 39325:23,24 39330:8 39330:13,13,19 39336:18 39338:6 39342:12 39358:6,9 39389:5 39408:13 39411:13 39412:4 39414:10,12 39416:2 39422:6	adopt 39258:14 39268:16 39399:8	align 39302:11	annoyed 39254:4,6,8
accelerates 39334:11 39334:11	acted 39317:5,20,22 39318:22 39319:10 39359:16 39371:23 39405:7	adopted 39279:23 39286:2 39293:2 39322:18 39404:18	alight 39382:14 39383:1 39414:22	answer 39245:20 39260:10 39265:11 39273:6 39307:2 39311:1,16,19 39331:2 39340:19 39351:6 39354:4 39358:24 39361:8 39365:10 39366:1,1 39392:25 39393:4 39410:2 39415:15 39425:23
accelerating 39288:18	acting 39317:6 39345:17 39368:5	adopting 39372:7	alleged 39239:24 39267:18	answered 39394:6
accept 39263:11 39267:15 39276:13 39292:16 39311:1 39357:16 39381:1 39386:7 39394:9,11	action 39253:4 39299:18 39301:4 39326:2 39395:25,25 39396:16 39414:6,14 39414:16,18 39415:5	adoption 39387:9 39405:4	allegedly 39242:3	answering 39343:22 39352:10 39391:4
acceptable 39372:3	actionable 39394:20	Adv 39317:15	alleviate 39242:12	answers 39307:3 39308:7,9 39330:25 39331:4 39414:19
accepting 39272:9 39383:11,11,13	actions 39249:23 39261:18,19 39279:15 39376:6 39381:25 39388:17	advance 39249:3 39347:23 39413:16	alleviating 39272:20	anticipated 39342:8
access 39335:10	activities 39266:20 39285:1	advanced 39416:13	alliance 39248:24	anticipation 39369:20
accompanied 39256:3 39364:3	activity 39275:2 39296:4 39417:12	Advances 39347:15	allies 39313:22	anybody 39261:7,17 39273:12 39275:6 39293:24 39307:5 39324:3 39334:22,23 39334:23,25 39335:13 39377:24 39378:8 39400:19 39422:20 39423:18
accomplice 39401:3,6 39401:18 39404:4,6	acts 39359:11,11 39392:16 39428:23	advancing 39250:15 39251:8 39347:23 39349:1	allow 39335:16 39337:14 39355:23 39364:16 39371:1	answers 39307:3 39308:7,9 39330:25 39331:4 39414:19
accord 39321:25	actual 39321:19 39335:22 39341:21 39344:8 39349:1	advantage 39248:1	allowable 39338:10	anticipated 39342:8
accords 39336:22	actus 39411:8	adverse 39294:20 39357:14 39358:22	allowed 39278:2 39321:2 39328:6 39335:20 39336:11 39337:4,15 39338:15	anticipation 39369:20
account 39303:16 39304:23	ad 39294:14,16 39357:23 39359:9 39389:13,14	advice 39252:10	allows 39356:1 39419:12	anyway 39257:14 39292:10 39313:14 39325:1 39326:22 39327:5 39350:12 39351:18 39352:1 39355:8 39385:1
accountable 39253:16	adds 39280:5 39409:5,6	advised 39293:6	alright 39361:18 39424:9	apart 39275:8 39301:19 39302:11 39306:21,24 39345:16 39408:25
accurately 39346:20	added 39377:10	Advocate 39290:20 39309:4	alternative 39361:1 39377:15,19 39392:23 39428:6	apartheid 39286:17 39327:16
accused 39307:19 39359:16,19 39360:8 39360:11 39362:20 39362:22 39368:23 39376:15 39377:2,5,5 39377:7,11,14,15,17 39389:4 39392:19 39393:10 39397:6 39420:18 39429:5,6	adding 39377:9 39409:4	advocates 39248:10	altogether 39268:11	apartment 39415:18 39416:4,4
	addition 39277:5	affidavit 39282:4 39390:20	Amandla 39294:1,1	apex 39403:14
	address 39244:16 39245:16,19 39272:18 39275:17 39411:1 39422:16	afraid 39280:22 39346:2 39369:22 39417:12	ambushed 39247:24	
	adduced 39270:7	Africa 39347:1 39370:13 39397:25 39405:6	AMCU 39302:23 39313:4 39413:10,16	
	adhere 39373:20	African 39376:11 39384:13,14	amount 39277:13 39324:1,1	
		afternoon 39291:21	amounts 39288:2	
		agencies 39245:25 39264:9	ample 39388:16	
		aggravate 39409:12	analyse 39359:10	
		aggravated 39364:10		
		aggravating 39300:3		
		aggravation 39387:23		
		AGM 39313:7,11		

<p>apologise 39315:19 39342:10</p> <p>appalled 39273:17</p> <p>apparent 39425:3</p> <p>apparently 39306:24</p> <p>appear 39286:21,22 39311:8 39372:13</p> <p>appeared 39348:7</p> <p>Appellate 39379:25 39389:24</p> <p>applauded 39240:15,16</p> <p>applicability 39250:2 39261:7,14</p> <p>applicable 39248:7 39261:15 39374:11</p> <p>application 39373:10</p> <p>applications 39246:6</p> <p>applied 39344:17</p> <p>applier 39403:20</p> <p>applies 39280:16 39376:13</p> <p>apply 39246:19 39277:2 39322:19 39369:4,12,13 39372:5 39373:9 39374:6 39376:13 39380:22 39402:6 39424:13</p> <p>applying 39403:16,17 39408:11 39416:24 39420:20</p> <p>appointed 39281:10</p> <p>appreciate 39293:25 39416:12 39429:15</p> <p>appreciated 39272:17</p> <p>approach 39249:24 39252:17 39261:2 39279:23 39280:10 39280:22 39281:22 39285:7,22 39288:8 39309:14 39356:19 39356:21 39372:7 39373:12 39374:21 39383:21,22 39396:5 39400:3 39404:18 39409:1,2</p> <p>approached 39296:21</p> <p>approaching 39354:1</p> <p>appropriate 39240:21 39264:23 39265:1 39277:2 39317:21</p> <p>appropriateness 39279:11 39282:3 39318:22</p> <p>approved 39389:13</p> <p>apropos 39318:19 39369:17</p> <p>area 39329:11,13 39391:6 39403:12,13</p> <p>areas 39244:21 39328:9</p> <p>arena 39253:19 39303:20</p> <p>arguably 39373:22</p> <p>argue 39308:12 39406:10</p>	<p>argued 39260:6 39263:3 39283:17 39299:14 39384:20 39418:6</p> <p>argues 39251:10</p> <p>arguing 39262:15 39350:12 39402:4</p> <p>argument 39239:6 39244:17 39257:22 39257:23 39260:14 39262:10,12,18 39265:19 39268:14 39285:4,9 39293:10 39294:15 39319:18 39326:18 39341:9 39373:4 39377:9 39403:25 39404:1 39409:18 39412:12 39422:5 39428:5 39429:17</p> <p>arguments 39283:18 39332:4 39416:13</p> <p>arises 39251:17 39284:11 39408:10 39408:14 39409:15 39410:3 39411:15 39413:13,21 39414:2</p> <p>arm 39254:25 39255:11 39363:23 39369:20</p> <p>armchair 39252:17 39372:7</p> <p>armed 39278:10 39301:5 39329:19 39386:6 39415:25 39416:4 39417:25</p> <p>arms 39255:13 39276:2 39276:3,6 39278:7 39293:12 39310:11 39328:5,6</p> <p>army 39301:10 39384:11 39386:2,7 39386:10,11,13,19 39387:8 39396:15 39405:24 39408:25 39412:18,19 39419:14,16</p> <p>arose 39265:24</p> <p>arrange 39371:2 39388:12</p> <p>arrangement 39357:15 39402:3,9</p> <p>arrangements 39356:13</p> <p>arrest 39325:9 39336:24,25 39345:18 39414:11</p> <p>arrested 39268:2 39339:2 39373:19</p> <p>arrival 39323:16</p> <p>arrive 39320:21 39327:21</p> <p>arrived 39320:6</p> <p>arrogant 39424:19</p> <p>arrows 39333:2 39335:9</p>	<p>article 39393:16</p> <p>articulated 39403:19</p> <p>ascertain 39252:15</p> <p>aside 39244:10 39253:12 39292:11 39295:21 39353:12 39353:16 39357:14 39377:21</p> <p>asked 39245:17 39272:2,4 39294:14 39294:16 39306:7 39307:5,20 39310:24 39311:14 39316:24 39324:5 39326:8 39332:20 39334:22 39344:2 39392:4 39410:7 39413:1 39417:11</p> <p>asking 39252:13 39258:3 39323:17 39352:20 39399:17 39421:4</p> <p>asks 39325:12</p> <p>aspect 39266:18 39402:4 39410:19</p> <p>assailants 39421:9</p> <p>assault 39251:1 39253:17</p> <p>assembly 39428:25</p> <p>assent 39276:18</p> <p>assessing 39309:25 39353:23</p> <p>assessment 39322:1</p> <p>assisting 39426:18</p> <p>assists 39366:1</p> <p>associated 39241:25 39413:14</p> <p>assume 39247:6 39254:4,6,8 39256:21 39274:20 39280:23 39328:16 39332:6 39334:19 39349:25 39354:22 39365:14 39397:10 39404:21 39416:25</p> <p>assumed 39259:22</p> <p>assumes 39330:13</p> <p>assuming 39322:24 39348:21 39415:17</p> <p>assumption 39294:15</p> <p>atmosphere 39240:6</p> <p>attached 39275:6</p> <p>attack 39245:8 39247:21 39250:7 39255:11,15 39263:6 39278:13 39284:8 39302:18 39333:4,17 39333:18,21,25 39334:8,22 39335:5,7 39337:23 39338:12 39338:12,13 39349:1 39354:20 39369:19 39369:21 39370:7,22 39375:25</p> <p>attacked 39276:4 39333:17 39334:21</p>	<p>39334:25 39346:12 39359:4</p> <p>attacking 39283:2 39337:19 39338:17</p> <p>attacks 39254:24</p> <p>attempt 39284:13 39298:1 39309:6</p> <p>attempted 39251:1 39252:4 39253:6,17 39297:18,19</p> <p>attempts 39246:9</p> <p>attended 39427:17</p> <p>attention 39312:5</p> <p>attitude 39258:14 39285:25 39286:1 39289:25,25 39292:18 39293:1 39309:17 39322:18</p> <p>attorneys 39264:13</p> <p>attribute 39401:14</p> <p>attributed 39267:12 39269:10</p> <p>attribution 39288:5</p> <p>auditorium 39386:23</p> <p>August 39241:15 39247:1 39261:20 39320:2 39387:7 39399:12</p> <p>authorities 39388:19 39389:21,24</p> <p>authority 39283:1,11 39283:12,14 39369:8 39371:6 39379:24</p> <p>authors 39252:24 39378:17 39379:17 39381:6 39398:4 39422:5</p> <p>automatic 39348:9 39369:21</p> <p>automatically 39414:15 39428:22</p> <p>availability 39239:15</p> <p>available 39315:25 39316:2 39319:25 39373:24</p> <p>averse 39418:20</p> <p>avert 39277:4 39323:9</p> <p>AVL 39319:20</p> <p>avoid 39248:13 39334:7 39376:25 39395:5 39396:9</p> <p>avoided 39404:19,25</p> <p>avoiding 39332:24 39333:9 39375:8 39425:10</p> <p>aw 39370:12</p> <p>aware 39311:7 39317:16 39372:6 39384:2,3,4,5 39405:5</p> <p>awkward 39350:7</p> <p>axe 39280:19,21</p>	<p>39251:15 39259:5 39275:14,15,15,16,19 39275:19 39279:6 39291:11,12 39294:21 39295:6,15 39312:25 39314:2 39330:16 39332:18 39336:8 39337:7 39338:9,23 39340:2 39341:10,11,21 39342:12 39343:14 39344:19,24 39346:14 39347:10 39355:8 39359:11 39360:25 39365:10 39390:3,6 39403:2 39408:5 39414:21</p> <p>backs 39258:13</p> <p>backwards 39341:25</p> <p>bad 39289:4 39419:25 39420:1</p> <p>bail 39246:6</p> <p>balance 39394:18</p> <p>balances 39246:19</p> <p>balancing 39241:2</p> <p>Baleni 39411:25</p> <p>balls 39343:20,21 39353:5 39425:17</p> <p>banded 39273:22 39384:9 39388:11</p> <p>banging 39300:11 39400:4</p> <p>barbaric 39409:10</p> <p>barbed 39325:6 39334:13 39337:10</p> <p>bargaining 39294:16</p> <p>Barnes 39377:8</p> <p>barrier 39283:5 39334:14,15</p> <p>barriers 39333:23</p> <p>base 39356:22</p> <p>based 39242:25 39286:21,23 39349:7 39381:13 39410:19</p> <p>bases 39367:2 39377:18</p> <p>basic 39331:23 39335:22 39338:21 39339:20,20,25 39348:13 39356:23 39365:2 39382:5</p> <p>basically 39340:7 39405:16</p> <p>basis 39261:24 39322:3 39322:21 39354:20 39358:23 39360:14 39361:7 39366:6 39394:14,19 39396:3 39400:7 39402:5 39405:20 39417:10 39417:13,16 39418:6 39418:24</p> <p>bear 39368:13,23</p> <p>bearing 39277:25 39417:9</p> <p>beat 39325:11</p>
B				
back 39243:4,11 39250:16,16				

<p>beaten 39334:3 bee 39255:24 befuddled 39378:4 beg 39304:13 began 39316:15 beginning 39282:16 39316:3,4 behalf 39262:15 behave 39293:25 beings 39273:18 39331:24 39392:16 belabour 39378:6 belief 39258:9 39264:16 39359:23 beliefs 39423:17 believe 39258:18 39264:15 39273:16 39277:21,22 39298:10 39357:11 39398:23 39412:20 39423:21,23 39425:7 believed 39423:16 39424:25 39426:7 believers 39423:16 believes 39273:12,16 39273:19 39359:19 believing 39390:18 belt 39249:15 bended 39295:13 benefit 39255:1 39304:24 39373:15 benevolent 39374:21 best 39241:1 39242:11 39244:8 39258:21 39315:5,8,8,8 39331:2 39345:17 39356:1 39374:23 39387:1 39394:16 39410:2 39411:22 39423:10 better 39267:9 39269:6 39294:6 39295:11 beyond 39282:23 39320:9 39332:11 39394:18 Bham 39319:19,23,24 39320:5 Bham's 39321:1 Bhayi 39242:6 biased 39411:24 big 39244:12 39247:20 39278:8 39281:16 39304:2 39305:4 39351:2 39375:4 39396:13 39397:3 39410:5 bigger 39324:15 biggest 39315:2 39325:3 39406:24 bigwigs 39274:20 bilateral 39248:22 binding 39257:5 Bisho 39385:24 Bishop 39293:2 39322:20,20,23 39323:2,7,11,12,12</p>	<p>39324:5,5,9,10,22,22 39426:22 Bishop's 39323:16 bit 39262:3 39268:3 39277:9 39321:5 39338:23 39351:17 39364:16 39365:17 39366:22 Bizos 39331:15 39403:3 blah 39303:20,20,20 39312:13,13 39411:18,18,18 blame 39253:15 39269:8 39295:20 39403:3,10 blankets 39375:14 blazing 39329:24 bleeding 39249:14 blindfold 39305:15 block 39337:10,11 39339:1 39340:25 39341:18,20 39342:12,18 39345:13 39348:2,3 39366:4 blocked 39334:18 39355:7 blocking 39336:3 39340:13,18 bloodshed 39277:14 39284:1 39373:19 39398:1,2,2,3 blow 39252:6,7 39322:16 blow-by 39322:15 blunt 39397:17 bluntly 39273:11 39407:6 board 39398:24 Bobeck 39379:18 bodies 39251:23 bodily 39251:2 39253:17 body 39277:22 39301:19 39379:8 bona 39264:16,17 Booi 39276:15 Booi's 39274:11 book 39246:16 39271:2 39289:8 books 39304:3 boom 39343:8,8,8 bore 39372:4 borne 39285:11 borrow 39332:13 botched 39332:17 39398:17 Botes 39366:23,23 bottom 39296:20 bound 39270:18 39315:6 bowe 39318:6 boxed 39247:16 brains 39258:21 branch 39258:11</p>	<p>39259:20 39260:6 bravery 39294:10 breach 39305:11 39337:25 break 39254:7 39318:9 39363:21 breath 39316:5,6 brevities 39402:13 brevity 39402:12 bridge 39325:1 brief 39308:5 39319:5 briefed 39315:1,4 39340:9 briefing 39282:18 39296:13 39314:24 briefings 39296:12,13 39314:25 briefly 39342:11 39363:11 39371:22 39407:6 Brigadier 39289:6 39302:15 39325:4,14 39326:7 39327:8,15 39330:4 39331:7 39337:9 39339:14,15 bright 39335:9 bring 39243:11 39284:12 39300:9 39301:10 39332:9 39359:5 39369:20 39376:14 39384:10 39386:2,19 39389:1 39396:15,15 39397:3 39414:18 bringing 39273:13 39274:24 39284:17 39285:3,6 39386:9 39408:24 39409:12 39410:14 brings 39295:17,17 39335:11 39348:20 broad 39239:7,25 39297:12 39339:9 39422:13 39423:9 broadly 39361:21 39376:3,23 39377:3 brother 39257:1 brought 39249:12 39263:24 39281:16 39281:16,23 39284:24 39285:7 39309:24 39368:3 39386:8 39417:17 Bruin 39388:19 39389:2 brush 39244:10 brutal 39273:10 39387:14,18,19,24,24 39409:10 brutalised 39409:13 brutality 39265:5 39387:20 39405:5 brutally 39241:16 Budlender 39312:24 39404:18 building 39370:15</p>	<p>39426:18 build-up 39267:19 bullet 39425:19 bullets 39273:14 39324:24 39425:12 39425:13,15 bunch 39409:13 Burchell 39376:14 burden 39248:4 Burger 39323:23 Burger's 39332:13 bushes 39284:22 bushy 39329:11,13 busied 39243:24 business 39292:22 busy 39330:7,17 39367:7 Butler 39271:2 buy 39258:21 byways 39420:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>cake 39329:7 Calitz 39297:4 39302:15 39315:14 39325:4,14 39326:7 39327:8,15 39330:4 39331:7 39337:9 39339:15 39366:17 39366:22 call 39239:8,11 39244:14,22 39254:20 39255:17 39267:4 39280:24 39285:21 39287:14 39291:1 39295:17 39302:5,8,9 39340:25 39344:23 39348:25 39356:24 39364:8 39377:6 39380:25 39384:5 39390:22 39397:2 39408:8 39413:4 39418:1,22 39419:11,14,15 39422:23 called 39248:17,23 39249:14 39257:22 39261:8 39276:16 39278:2 39296:3 39363:15 39367:22 39374:16 39378:2 39381:2 39383:23 39400:11 39403:3 39406:1 39407:6 39422:25 calling 39288:25 39314:11 39323:12 39412:24 calls 39298:13 39318:25 camera 39342:4,6 cameras 39342:4 camouflage 39333:14 camp 39313:18 campaign 39270:25 candidly 39271:4</p>	<p>39296:1 39300:21 canon 39341:8,15,22 Canter's 39247:7 canvass 39369:9 canvassed 39249:4 39250:1 39271:3 39312:23 39371:22 39376:22 39411:14 39412:6 39413:11 canvassing 39252:6 capacities 39243:24 Cape 39385:14 39387:9 capital 39408:18 captain 39296:13,22 39334:17 39335:19 39336:6 39338:11 39339:15,17 39352:20,21 39363:14 39364:23 care 39424:20 39425:21 cared 39324:3 careful 39269:20 39368:21 carefully 39268:25 39283:9 39311:11 39345:21 carried 39373:16 carry 39292:14 cart 39291:6 cascaded 39403:18 case 39242:18 39248:25 39250:3 39251:5 39252:20,21 39252:22 39260:9 39282:11 39293:4 39316:3,4,14 39348:22 39351:10 39357:17,20,24,24 39358:4 39359:7 39360:1,13 39362:5 39367:9 39368:7 39369:3,5,6,8,14 39370:10,24 39373:8 39373:10,11 39376:18 39377:2 39378:25 39379:1,4 39379:10 39383:9,10 39386:25 39389:9,12 39389:14,18 39395:16 39397:12 39400:7,14 39404:11 39407:14 39416:13 39416:14 39420:3 39426:6 cases 39241:15 39341:24 39349:22 39358:24,24 39368:15 39370:2 39388:18 39389:10 39419:19,22,24 39420:4 cash-in-transit 39284:25 Cassims 39322:12 Cassim's 39255:15</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>cast 39300:13 catalogued 39270:22 catastrophically 39307:12 categories 39239:7 category 39239:7 caught 39373:25 causal 39248:19 39380:11 39388:17 39417:23 causality 39378:2 39401:14 causation 39378:23,24 39379:11,15,16,17 39380:4,9 39381:5,7 39381:11,22,23 39383:23 39401:11 39405:20 39409:22 cause 39249:21 39305:13 39339:14 39339:17,18,22 39345:10 39378:9 39379:7,9 39381:4 39403:22,22 39405:10 39406:24 caused 39306:24 39379:6 causes 39240:18 39358:9 39398:9,9,10 39398:11 caution 39306:10 39372:6 CCMA 39293:8 39294:3 cease 39284:23 39340:1 39340:2 39364:15,20 39365:19 cells 39247:9 centre 39248:19 39249:11 certain 39244:20 39369:16 39386:4 39407:4 certainly 39240:23 39260:20 39265:23 39269:7 39290:22 39293:16 39310:21 39311:11 39331:12 39353:17 39364:19 39368:24 39374:24 39377:14 39388:6,8 39397:16 39416:10 39418:25 certainty 39366:10 chain 39248:19 39378:2 39380:11 Chair 39240:20 39280:2 39317:12 39320:25 39321:15 39321:25 39343:22 39344:2 39356:12 39366:16 39403:24 chairing 39311:5 Chairperson's 39408:5 39419:9 chairs 39305:22</p>	<p>challenge 39283:14 chamber 39386:19 chance 39284:2 39349:25 chances 39247:4 39428:13 change 39279:5 39292:17 39296:19 39314:7 39397:1 changed 39264:8 39292:24 39408:19 changer 39249:18 39254:21 39256:1,2 39256:16,16 39257:2 39260:22 39262:22 39263:1,2,4,22 39267:16 39296:18 changers 39244:23,25 39245:3,5 39248:20 39257:1,1 39263:3 changes 39282:1 39295:25 39320:21 changing 39405:8 channel 39341:1 chap 39400:22 chapter 39263:12 characterisation 39397:2 39405:9 39408:18 characteristics 39389:7 charge 39284:21 39286:23 39290:14 39292:21 39303:14 39357:6 39361:8 39377:18,20,23,24 39391:21,23 39392:23 39394:21 39394:21 39416:7 39421:6 charged 39253:3 39306:1,2 39385:9,12 39397:9 39401:20 39406:23 39409:17 39420:12 39421:4,10 charges 39239:21,22 39253:16 39379:14 39406:21 39413:22 39413:24 chase 39256:13 39328:23 Chaskalson 39317:12 39320:25 39321:3,4 39321:20 39331:1,6 39369:7 39379:5 39403:10,24 39416:16,18 check 39383:4 checking 39351:2 checks 39246:19 Chief 39380:1 child 39241:25 children 39245:4 chilling 39303:7 choice 39332:15 39419:13 39420:17 choose 39244:19</p>	<p>39303:3,4 chooses 39261:10 chose 39337:12 Christian 39423:17 chronology 39243:8 church 39323:8 circumstances 39251:8 39252:6,9,18,19 39257:16 39293:18 39294:11 39295:13 39308:8 39318:23 39319:3,8 39359:22 39359:25 39376:1 39406:20,23 39409:9 39416:2 39429:14 circumstantial 39358:23 citation 39369:4 39389:11,19 cited 39388:18 39404:10 citizen 39346:24 civic 39383:12 civil 39368:1,3,6,24 39379:21 39410:21 39411:1,3 civilly 39381:16 39407:9 CJOC 39281:9,10,13 39291:4 claim 39356:22 39368:3 39383:2 clarified 39366:25 clarify 39363:10 clarity 39348:25 39366:12 clash 39387:20 class 39266:21 39423:20 classical 39407:14 39420:16 clause 39258:22 39259:13,14 39260:9 clean 39372:1 clear 39246:4 39247:5 39249:11 39253:12 39253:22 39255:13 39259:17 39265:3 39281:12 39295:5 39298:22 39302:21 39313:17 39316:2 39324:21 39330:19 39332:10,14 39333:8 39333:12 39336:20 39343:6 39359:8 39363:14,25 39366:23 39371:6 39382:23 39390:24 39391:2 39397:6 39401:8 39403:13,25 39411:17 39413:2,17 39422:12 39429:7 clearer 39317:10 39363:22,22 clearly 39250:6 39251:22 39254:21</p>	<p>39260:18 39263:6 39267:3,14 39268:19 39287:18 39298:23 39305:18 39310:3 39324:12 39332:22 39332:24 39338:1 39352:9 39353:12 39361:19 39366:1 39368:6 39383:8 39388:5 39392:3,15 39398:6 39399:10 clever 39287:7 client 39318:25 clients 39244:5 39429:8 close 39334:11,20 39338:22 39339:1 closed 39354:20 closer 39309:19 39348:20 closes 39340:11 closest 39365:4,8 closing 39271:16 39291:1 cloud 39348:12 CNN 39397:18 cock 39369:21 cocking 39327:24 coincidence 39339:21 39421:19 colleague 39377:8 39409:21 colleagues 39241:6 39245:17 39253:18 39299:23 39318:19 39337:9 39364:11 39393:13 collect 39276:13 collective 39239:24 39393:18 39394:2 collusion 39248:23 39249:17 39289:24 39302:10 Colonel 39283:22 39284:20 39285:10 39291:11 39292:4 39330:18 combination 39324:6 come 39240:15 39243:4 39252:22 39257:25 39258:5 39262:10 39264:12 39268:2 39271:19 39275:17 39275:19 39276:1 39282:11 39289:21 39294:6 39302:17,25 39303:13 39304:2,6 39311:13 39312:13 39312:22 39314:2 39315:3 39320:21 39323:24 39324:22 39329:13,15,21 39335:13 39337:6 39340:21 39343:10 39343:25 39348:3 39354:21 39362:21 39367:8 39382:8</p>	<p>39386:11,18 39388:19 39390:3 39394:15 39397:11 39399:3 39408:2 39415:22,22,24 39416:5 39417:3 39419:15 39422:11 39424:23 comes 39261:11 39262:4,6 39290:3 39295:23 39335:25 39360:20 39418:4 coming 39244:12 39276:13 39346:7 39375:9 command 39339:23 39340:2 39366:13 39367:5 commander 39408:9 commanders 39282:19 commemoration 39426:20 39427:15 commendable 39294:14 commented 39427:14 comments 39322:17 commission 39239:2 39246:4,11,18 39247:20 39253:8,10 39253:13 39256:3 39263:18 39268:9,22 39272:14,22 39285:17,17 39297:15 39298:6 39302:5 39308:2,6 39309:12,16 39310:7 39311:15 39317:14 39319:16,16,17 39335:9 39352:8 39361:24,24,25 39365:14 39367:13 39367:16,18 39374:11 39375:1 39377:13 39386:18 39390:18 39391:1 39401:1,2,19 39402:20,21 39411:7 39421:8 39429:22,23 commissioner 39239:5 39239:5 39260:25 39261:4,17 39269:17 39269:22 39270:8,13 39271:17 39279:11 39279:12 39282:19 39290:13 39292:18 39303:10,11 39305:18,21,23,23 39306:7 39307:6 39311:9,10,12,25,25 39312:10,11,21 39319:24 39321:18 39322:5 39330:24 39331:3 39339:4,11 39340:5 39352:11,17 39360:8 39378:3 39390:8,23,25</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>39391:3,5,11,13,24 39392:1,1 39398:10 39398:19 39407:16 39407:19,22 39408:22 39409:3 39410:18,23 39411:5 39412:12 39419:3,6 39421:24 39425:25</p> <p>Commissioners 39239:5 39240:22 39242:10 39261:13 39261:14 39270:18 39335:15 39390:9 39400:11</p> <p>COMMISSSION 39402:20</p> <p>commit 39412:11</p> <p>committed 39242:3 39400:12</p> <p>committee 39257:16 39412:6</p> <p>committing 39408:15</p> <p>common 39257:8,12 39310:6,9 39339:14 39339:17,18,22 39381:4 39401:3,6,12 39409:18,23,24</p> <p>communicate 39331:24</p> <p>communicated 39330:1</p> <p>communicating 39389:9 39408:15</p> <p>communication 39330:5 39332:8 39408:14</p> <p>communities 39272:24</p> <p>community 39407:7,8 39411:18</p> <p>competent 39397:10</p> <p>compiled 39373:15 39424:5</p> <p>complainant 39302:17</p> <p>completely 39287:11 39300:9 39306:19</p> <p>completion 39371:21</p> <p>complex 39409:8</p> <p>compliance 39327:9</p> <p>complied 39257:11</p> <p>comply 39339:3 39351:17 39414:9</p> <p>complying 39331:23</p> <p>computer 39291:12 39316:1</p> <p>conceal 39318:2</p> <p>concealed 39297:25 39390:6</p> <p>concealment 39390:5</p> <p>concede 39267:21 39404:24 39405:17</p> <p>conceded 39263:6 39298:19 39306:8 39327:8 39338:13 39404:11,15,17,20 39405:2,4,7,10,12 39409:20 39412:8</p> <p>conceived 39338:12</p> <p>concept 39380:21</p>	<p>concepts 39304:3</p> <p>concern 39273:15 39307:22 39355:21</p> <p>concerned 39255:20 39278:21 39309:6,21 39341:7 39368:22 39409:22</p> <p>concession 39301:18 39365:6 39405:1</p> <p>concessions 39279:24 39393:12 39404:9 39405:19</p> <p>conclusion 39300:6 39343:11 39362:21</p> <p>concocting 39319:1</p> <p>concomitant 39301:4 39395:25 39396:16</p> <p>condolences 39273:3</p> <p>conduct 39260:23 39269:7 39359:12,22 39361:7 39372:2 39374:12,23 39407:5 39411:9,10 39417:18</p> <p>conduit 39391:22</p> <p>conference 39246:13 39290:6 39314:10 39316:22 39318:6 39322:24</p> <p>confess 39280:10 39298:15 39327:4 39350:18</p> <p>confession 39273:1 39394:15</p> <p>confidence 39399:8</p> <p>confirmed 39342:3 39354:16</p> <p>conflict 39303:2 39413:12,17</p> <p>conflicting 39241:3</p> <p>conflictive 39282:20</p> <p>conflicts 39421:25</p> <p>conform 39261:12</p> <p>confront 39283:6 39387:24</p> <p>confronted 39314:7,8</p> <p>congruency 39357:7</p> <p>connection 39313:16 39392:3 39412:6</p> <p>connotation 39303:15</p> <p>conscientious 39350:2</p> <p>consensus 39249:20 39268:8</p> <p>consequence 39341:23 39376:5</p> <p>consequences 39263:25 39341:17 39342:15 39346:8 39381:15,24 39382:1,2,5,25 39383:24 39396:4 39407:13 39409:2</p> <p>consider 39368:20 39372:9 39373:13</p> <p>consideration 39312:25 39368:21 39386:24 39387:1</p> <p>considerations 39258:6</p>	<p>39298:13 39303:3,5 39303:24 39304:23 39309:22 39381:14 39398:8 39401:4</p> <p>considered 39302:15 39363:18</p> <p>consistency 39247:6</p> <p>consistent 39261:1 39332:19,22 39336:8</p> <p>conspectus 39367:6 39406:18</p> <p>conspiracy 39302:7</p> <p>conspirator 39416:20</p> <p>conspire 39247:4,7</p> <p>constantly 39254:14</p> <p>constitute 39359:21</p> <p>constitution 39242:18 39304:16,18 39305:3 39305:5,9,11 39398:5 39398:6,9,12 39412:17 39417:2</p> <p>constitutional 39252:21,25 39416:11 39417:4 39420:25 39421:2</p> <p>constructive 39281:18 39281:20 39286:15 39286:16,19</p> <p>consult 39246:25 39268:7</p> <p>consulted 39246:6 39332:20</p> <p>consulting 39355:4</p> <p>consummation 39302:9</p> <p>contact 39247:17 39333:9,11</p> <p>contacted 39260:7</p> <p>contained 39257:13 39358:16</p> <p>contend 39370:10</p> <p>contended 39262:25 39263:13,21</p> <p>content 39408:16</p> <p>contentions 39279:18</p> <p>contesting 39306:15</p> <p>context 39282:9 39300:18 39364:20 39403:9 39427:22,23</p> <p>continue 39361:20</p> <p>continued 39345:24 39347:23</p> <p>contradicting 39380:5</p> <p>contradiction 39316:2 39316:3,15,15 39382:6</p> <p>contradictory 39378:12</p> <p>contribution 39404:1</p> <p>contributor 39296:10</p> <p>controversy 39274:17</p> <p>conversation 39287:18 39301:15 39302:6 39391:7,8</p> <p>convict 39399:17</p> <p>convicted 39360:21 39407:2 39420:12</p>	<p>39421:9</p> <p>convictions 39407:7</p> <p>convince 39411:2</p> <p>convinced 39289:2</p> <p>convincing 39293:9</p> <p>copies 39362:11</p> <p>copy 39362:17 39363:4</p> <p>Corbett 39380:1</p> <p>corporate 39401:17</p> <p>corpses 39270:22</p> <p>correct 39251:12,13,17 39253:11 39256:5,18 39260:7 39264:4 39266:11 39277:3 39284:6,19 39286:12 39290:23 39291:17 39293:4 39294:15 39295:7,10 39299:21 39305:1 39307:8 39313:9 39316:17 39317:4 39320:15,23 39321:15 39322:9 39326:10 39331:18 39335:3 39344:20 39345:24 39349:2,18 39352:7,16 39360:10 39360:11,15 39361:9 39361:17 39363:2 39371:14 39372:24 39373:13 39374:14 39385:14 39396:9 39404:7 39416:3,5,19 39427:8,12 39429:1</p> <p>corrected 39326:25 39330:10,10 39386:14</p> <p>correctly 39263:7 39266:22 39280:14 39285:6 39292:18 39298:10,19 39305:17 39317:6,7 39343:13 39372:20 39381:8 39407:23</p> <p>corruption 39239:21 39239:21 39413:22 39413:24 39421:22 39422:6</p> <p>COSATU 39313:22</p> <p>cosmetic 39289:24</p> <p>cost 39399:2</p> <p>Costa 39257:20 39261:9,9,16,18 39404:18</p> <p>couldn't 39283:22 39289:16 39294:8 39307:6 39354:5 39355:5 39366:19 39375:25</p> <p>council 39386:19</p> <p>counsel 39317:2</p> <p>counselled 39300:9</p> <p>count 39393:12 39423:10</p> <p>counter 39332:13</p> <p>counterfactual 39342:20</p>	<p>counterpose 39246:9</p> <p>counter-committee 39257:17</p> <p>country 39268:9 39270:22 39303:6 39385:12</p> <p>coupled 39365:1</p> <p>course 39240:17 39242:5,20 39244:17 39245:13,24 39248:6 39250:6 39256:8 39258:11 39260:5,16 39273:17 39280:5 39281:4 39283:18,22 39284:2 39291:21 39292:2 39296:12 39297:20 39299:11 39305:3 39306:16 39308:17 39309:5 39313:11 39315:23 39316:15,23 39317:10 39326:7,9 39326:19 39328:24 39336:6 39341:5 39345:22 39346:9,11 39348:8 39349:13 39350:12 39358:19 39368:5,9,12,22 39408:9</p> <p>court 39248:9 39252:21 39268:10 39357:22 39361:1 39362:21 39369:5 39379:12 39416:25 39417:1,4 39418:9 39420:6,13 39422:8 39427:20 39428:8</p> <p>courts 39250:25 39252:23 39428:9</p> <p>cover 39239:10,14</p> <p>covered 39239:14 39241:16 39348:12 39405:6</p> <p>covering 39336:3 39375:15</p> <p>covers 39261:20,21</p> <p>co-operation 39308:9</p> <p>create 39334:6 39335:8 39363:17 39396:6 39412:10</p> <p>created 39363:19 39373:25 39382:12 39396:7</p> <p>creates 39381:16 39382:10</p> <p>creating 39273:20 39400:15</p> <p>credit 39280:4 39295:12</p> <p>crime 39377:13 39391:22 39400:12 39401:20 39408:16</p> <p>criminal 39239:19 39249:25 39290:12 39332:11 39336:18 39356:4 39368:10,22</p>
---	--	--	--	---

39370:15 39371:1 39376:22,24 39379:12,14 39397:2 39401:2 39405:9 39406:21 39407:4,13 39407:20 39408:10 39408:10 39409:15 39410:20,22,24 39411:3,5,7 39414:12 39414:25 39418:9,25 39421:7 39427:20 criminality 39256:3 criminally 39376:6,7 39381:16 39394:20 39406:23 criminals 39373:19 39408:19 criticise 39284:17 criticised 39299:12 criticism 39279:13 39280:24,25 39290:25 39291:5,7 criticisms 39314:19 cross 39296:3 39332:20 39337:23 39398:10 39398:10,11 crossed 39283:5 39337:18 cross-examination 39292:3 39336:19 39363:13,25 39384:1 39394:15 39404:17 39404:20 39405:23 39406:5 cross-examine 39422:1 cross-examined 39292:4 39316:1 39390:23 cross-examining 39293:24 crouching 39278:8 crowd 39254:13 39266:24 39267:1,4 39270:14 39277:7,20 39294:4 39326:14 39327:22 crowds 39251:19 39256:9 crowned 39367:6 crucial 39254:21 39255:2,3,16,25 39256:15 39269:13 39269:15 39273:10 39289:13,23 39292:25 39296:25 39300:19 39325:7 39328:10 39335:2 39344:15 39352:8 39375:11 39377:1 culminates 39287:15 39287:16 39391:17 culmination 39245:9 39245:11 culpa 39359:6 39361:3 39361:15 culpability 39256:17	39271:10 39349:19 39353:23 39357:5 39359:18 39361:11 39361:16 culpable 39360:19,22 39361:15 39397:9 39401:21 cure 39409:25 current 39406:23 cut 39337:6 39380:12 cut-off 39429:12 Cyril 39392:8,10 <hr/> D <hr/> D 39314:9,9,10,16,21 39315:16 39398:16 Da 39257:20 39261:8,9 39261:16,18 39404:18 damage 39380:10 damages 39379:22 damaging 39396:22,23 danger 39359:20,24 dangerous 39255:13,19 39275:8 39296:15 39315:2 39415:20 dare 39391:24 39416:4 daresay 39255:21 dark 39272:2 date 39392:17 dated 39259:5 day 39240:17 39246:20 39249:2 39254:15,22 39282:20 39299:5 39311:15 39314:9,9 39314:10,16,21 39315:16 39320:7 39387:7,7,10 39398:16 39400:21 daylight 39422:13 39423:9 days 39245:6 39255:5,5 39310:7 39319:1 39323:17 de 39281:15 39286:22 39287:3 39388:19 39389:2 dead 39379:8 deal 39239:15,18,20,23 39240:24 39251:9 39276:4 39284:16 39327:5 39348:20 39355:17,18 39356:3 39356:4,8,10 39372:13 39376:21 39393:9 39395:17,21 39415:22 dealing 39243:19 39248:2 39266:24 39288:9 39331:25 39357:9 39368:10,11 39376:23 39393:2 deals 39239:7,9 39363:11 dealt 39253:21,21 39286:20 39308:3	39327:12 39359:14 39383:9 dear 39307:13 death 39241:19 39271:1 39280:16 39300:13 39301:16 39301:16,21 39358:9 39360:1 39379:6 39384:21 39386:4 39388:2,6,25 39389:5 39389:6 39408:3 39410:3,16,17 39414:3,5,13 39415:4 39416:14,22,24 39417:10,17,22 39418:23 39419:1,7 39420:24 39421:1,4 39428:16 deaths 39272:10 39273:17 39274:12 39274:15,16,21 39295:21 39300:4,5 39300:14 39301:12 39302:21 39341:24 39368:4 39383:16,17 39388:4,5 39392:15 39392:16 39395:5 39397:15 39398:18 39398:21 39403:22 39404:19,22,25 39406:11 39410:4 39414:15,18 debate 39261:6 39297:2 39326:9 39366:17 39407:19 39408:20 debated 39261:7 debating 39409:21 deceased 39241:18 39427:10 deceive 39390:13,17 deceiving 39390:25 deception 39297:21 decide 39250:24 39261:14 39429:6 decided 39250:14 39277:1,18 39293:12 39371:1 39373:20 39384:17 39407:5 deciding 39370:4 decision 39253:23 39254:25 39255:10 39255:11 39257:4 39263:4,13 39283:6 39284:12 39298:10 39299:19 39306:3 39309:8 39314:20 39316:6,10,20 39378:14 39390:9,13 39390:19 39398:15 39398:25 39399:1,10 decisions 39244:5 39254:21 39255:2 39310:17 decision-making 39397:1 39403:14	decisive 39292:23 declared 39283:15 declined 39307:2 Deetlefs 39358:4 defence 39250:19,20,22 39252:3 39263:5 39334:1,23 39338:8 39349:17 39352:3 39355:16 39357:2 39359:11,13,15,17,21 39360:24,25 39361:1 39361:1,2,7 39363:8 39367:4,4,10,14 39368:13 39370:5 39373:6,7 defend 39263:16 39338:7 39372:20 defending 39370:23 defensible 39302:16 defensive 39326:18,20 39326:22 39327:1 39344:16,23 39346:16 39359:20 defer 39380:2 deficiencies 39260:15 defied 39278:1 defined 39296:21 39363:17 39367:18 definitely 39300:3 39328:19 39386:5 definition 39244:25 deft 39293:25 degrees 39243:21 delegation 39293:21 delete 39246:15 deliberately 39246:11 39307:18,19 delivery 39282:24 delve 39264:11 delved 39391:5 demeanour 39375:23 demilitarised 39387:16 democracy 39416:12 39420:25 39421:2 democratic 39305:8 39347:1 denied 39339:24 denominator 39310:6,9 deny 39255:6 39264:6 39264:9 39266:23 39272:8 39296:17 39422:14 denying 39422:12 depending 39359:24 39375:1 depends 39348:25 deploy 39302:13 deployed 39298:25 deployment 39315:13 Deputy 39384:22,25 39401:22 39413:8 39428:22 described 39263:17 39275:10 39344:25 39381:14 description 39367:17	deserve 39240:9 desire 39249:13 39312:17,18 39358:11 39387:2 desperation 39324:7,9 despite 39246:12 39257:18 39259:13 39300:5 39323:22 39357:1 39405:19 destination 39278:20 destruction 39282:25 detail 39307:7 39343:10 39356:15 details 39244:13 39312:22 determination 39241:4 determine 39379:11,12 develop 39249:17 Development 39387:10 39387:12,12 devices 39300:7 dichotomy 39301:23 dictate 39262:9 didn't 39252:15 39253:3,5 39259:24 39269:1 39270:4 39276:7 39278:12 39279:14 39284:18 39285:2 39286:3,7 39287:6 39291:3 39292:3,19 39293:5 39294:24 39300:10 39316:9,21 39324:17 39331:8,8 39333:18 39334:20 39337:16 39338:19 39342:1 39343:25 39348:2 39352:22 39353:11 39354:24 39355:11 39375:12 39388:8 39392:7 39393:5 39419:14,15 39424:12,13 39425:8 39427:17 die 39274:21,23 39299:1 39300:16 39388:9 39416:1 died 39241:20,25 39273:18 39296:6,7 39301:17 39367:19 differ 39365:11 39406:6 difference 39300:18 39389:3 39411:6 39412:19 39419:9 different 39241:7 39243:21,24 39247:2 39247:22,23 39265:21 39267:13 39268:11 39279:18 39279:19 39283:12 39285:7 39290:8 39313:6 39326:5 39368:10 39378:17 39400:18,18 39415:8 39423:22
---	--	---	---	--

<p>differently 39286:25 39287:3</p> <p>difficult 39264:19 39293:18 39319:6 39342:10 39419:19</p> <p>difficulty 39240:18 39365:18 39420:3</p> <p>diffuse 39302:25 39303:13</p> <p>dignity 39242:16,17,19 39242:23 39243:5 39323:19</p> <p>diplomatic 39294:1</p> <p>direct 39314:21 39333:1,4 39358:20 39358:21,22 39391:9</p> <p>directed 39263:18</p> <p>directing 39358:5</p> <p>direction 39332:4</p> <p>directly 39255:10 39256:17 39260:23 39372:13 39398:14 39398:14,16,16,18,21</p> <p>director 39398:23 39411:22 39412:13 39412:22,25</p> <p>directors 39412:2</p> <p>directs 39358:9</p> <p>disadvantage 39304:24</p> <p>disagree 39285:12 39406:3</p> <p>disagreement 39275:22</p> <p>disarm 39276:22 39277:6,11 39280:20 39414:8</p> <p>disarmament 39276:8</p> <p>disarmed 39373:18</p> <p>disarming 39280:18</p> <p>disassociate 39302:22</p> <p>disaster 39245:2 39249:13 39255:17 39260:17,21 39271:8 39277:5 39280:22 39288:10 39315:7</p> <p>disastrous 39396:5</p> <p>discharge 39241:3</p> <p>disciplinary 39311:5</p> <p>discount 39346:2</p> <p>discredit 39406:25</p> <p>discrete 39355:16</p> <p>discuss 39356:18</p> <p>discussed 39239:13 39274:18 39302:21 39305:17 39307:14 39307:14 39314:3,5 39337:11 39343:10 39364:11 39425:18</p> <p>discussing 39318:18 39322:13 39366:11</p> <p>discussion 39259:25 39273:7 39303:11 39306:5 39312:7,10 39337:7 39350:20 39391:10,16 39392:9 39401:16 39404:23 39421:24</p>	<p>discussions 39310:6 39391:14 39405:3</p> <p>disdain 39323:10,22</p> <p>disgrace 39303:10</p> <p>disjoint 39336:17</p> <p>dismissal 39281:20 39286:15,16,19</p> <p>disowned 39261:17</p> <p>dispersal 39325:20 39326:1 39330:7,14 39342:13</p> <p>disperse 39327:20 39414:9</p> <p>dispersed 39339:7 39373:18</p> <p>displayed 39427:15</p> <p>disposal 39258:20 39324:25</p> <p>disposition 39254:18</p> <p>dispute 39396:12,13 39405:10,11</p> <p>dissatisfied 39254:2</p> <p>dissipated 39300:1</p> <p>distance 39338:4,10,10 39338:14 39344:6,13 39344:15</p> <p>distilled 39352:3</p> <p>distinction 39267:9 39381:7</p> <p>disturbs 39356:12</p> <p>divide 39239:6 39241:8 39241:17 39288:22</p> <p>divided 39341:10</p> <p>Division 39379:25 39389:24</p> <p>divorce 39376:17</p> <p>dock 39416:6 39418:9</p> <p>docket 39253:5,8</p> <p>doctrine 39277:2 39401:12 39409:24 39424:10,13</p> <p>document 39424:3,6,9 39424:20</p> <p>documents 39424:21 39425:22 39426:4,5</p> <p>dodge 39313:3</p> <p>doesn't 39243:10 39252:16,25 39267:9 39268:20,22 39269:2 39271:9 39278:24 39280:23 39288:2 39301:17 39307:22 39326:19 39333:4 39347:5 39361:10 39364:2 39372:5 39374:4,13 39376:13 39383:23 39387:4,17 39388:9 39395:8 39397:11 39402:13 39406:15 39414:14 39415:1,4 39418:12 39419:11 39423:13 39428:22</p> <p>doing 39241:1 39271:12 39278:5,7 39281:25 39309:18</p>	<p>39325:9 39329:25 39330:17 39337:19 39354:1 39355:19 39359:2 39386:21 39400:23 39411:11</p> <p>dolus 39357:7,8 39358:16 39359:25 39360:4 39361:3,4,12 39382:8,9 39383:19 39383:22 39389:1,2,7</p> <p>domestic 39370:12 39371:8 39428:8,9</p> <p>dominant 39305:18</p> <p>doomed 39293:1</p> <p>double 39358:2</p> <p>doubt 39241:25 39246:24 39261:23 39271:23 39272:6 39277:4 39288:22 39290:23 39320:9,18 39322:20 39332:2 39394:18 39402:8</p> <p>doubtful 39250:2</p> <p>DPP 39250:24 39368:17 39400:12 39401:5</p> <p>draft 39310:8,8</p> <p>drafted 39351:1</p> <p>dramatically 39259:12</p> <p>draw 39308:8 39312:5 39327:23 39332:21 39357:14</p> <p>drawing 39310:1 39328:10 39331:20</p> <p>drawn 39308:8,15 39315:22,23 39325:6 39328:13 39339:12 39358:22</p> <p>dreamland 39299:25</p> <p>drew 39283:21</p> <p>drink 39402:17</p> <p>drive 39298:8 39341:21 39342:12 39344:24 39344:24</p> <p>driven 39275:3 39321:6 39346:13,14</p> <p>driving 39254:8 39341:17</p> <p>drop 39328:22 39363:23</p> <p>drove 39296:3</p> <p>duck 39284:23 39425:12</p> <p>due 39385:19 39387:21</p> <p>dust 39345:22 39348:11</p> <p>duties 39241:4 39368:6 39369:17 39411:19 39411:20 39413:13</p> <p>duty 39271:16 39367:23 39383:12 39411:13,14,16,17 39412:3,10 39413:15 39413:21</p> <p>dwindling 39387:3</p> <p>dye 39300:13</p>	<p>dying 39384:14</p> <p>dynamics 39255:9 39270:19 39279:17 39292:20,24 39301:1 39338:3 39429:9</p> <p>D'Oliviera 39359:8,8 39360:10</p> <p>D-day 39289:11,16 39290:5,6 39322:22 39324:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>earlier 39255:24 39282:20 39313:1 39321:5 39342:22 39350:11 39384:2 39390:15 39398:20 39410:12 39425:18</p> <p>early 39262:17 39263:9 39266:15</p> <p>earth 39346:25 39384:11</p> <p>ease 39283:6</p> <p>east 39339:10</p> <p>Eastern 39385:13</p> <p>easy 39240:23 39282:11,11 39362:21</p> <p>eat 39402:10,14,14,17</p> <p>echelons 39403:14</p> <p>echo 39318:21</p> <p>edge 39347:16</p> <p>EEE16 39335:21</p> <p>effect 39287:6 39295:15 39314:21 39334:13 39343:9,16 39344:18,25 39345:1 39345:18 39348:7 39395:13 39398:13</p> <p>effectively 39287:8 39288:7 39289:11 39292:22</p> <p>effects 39341:17</p> <p>effort 39287:15 39290:2 39292:25 39322:19,19 39323:14 39382:21</p> <p>efforts 39322:17,20 39324:8</p> <p>eight 39296:15 39343:8 39388:10 39404:24 39421:17,20 39422:11 39426:10 39426:11</p> <p>eighties 39270:24</p> <p>either 39251:1 39255:23 39259:23 39265:24 39300:8 39306:5 39318:7 39337:10 39339:2 39366:21 39369:24 39369:24 39381:16 39402:12 39415:25 39418:5 39419:11 39423:13</p> <p>election 39338:16</p>	<p>39428:24</p> <p>element 39295:18 39359:5 39374:18 39404:8 39419:18</p> <p>elements 39297:12</p> <p>elevate 39248:13</p> <p>eliminated 39247:5</p> <p>elimination 39424:11</p> <p>eloquent 39293:6</p> <p>emails 39313:20 39387:8</p> <p>emanates 39267:21</p> <p>emanating 39267:14</p> <p>emergency 39252:8</p> <p>eminence 39380:2</p> <p>emotions 39298:24 39299:6,8,12 39300:1</p> <p>emphasis 39396:13 39416:21</p> <p>emphaise 39323:18 39372:4</p> <p>emphatically 39408:17</p> <p>empire 39381:9,10</p> <p>employees 39257:19 39258:7 39283:1 39404:15 39412:2</p> <p>employer 39256:20 39257:13 39259:15 39260:20 39323:21 39323:24 39324:7</p> <p>empowered 39399:19</p> <p>encourage 39309:12 39427:6</p> <p>encouraging 39258:13</p> <p>ended 39255:14</p> <p>endless 39399:14,15</p> <p>endorse 39306:2 39426:19</p> <p>endorsed 39358:5</p> <p>endorsement 39306:17 39309:8</p> <p>enforce 39270:10 39271:22 39283:3</p> <p>enforcement 39264:9</p> <p>engage 39244:21 39297:2,2,3,6 39366:8,8,8,13,18,21 39366:24,24,24 39367:5</p> <p>engaged 39293:20 39399:1,2 39404:12</p> <p>engaging 39261:9</p> <p>Engelbrecht 39420:14</p> <p>engendered 39265:4</p> <p>English 39307:4 39383:5</p> <p>enquire 39360:18</p> <p>enquires 39361:1</p> <p>enquiring 39241:24</p> <p>enshrined 39242:17 39305:9</p> <p>ensue 39408:3</p> <p>ensues 39389:6</p> <p>ensuing 39301:12</p> <p>ensure 39241:1 39243:10 39246:15</p>
--	--	---	--	--

<p>39313:20 39333:11 39334:7 39408:18 39413:10 39427:7 ensuring 39273:5 entering 39258:19 entire 39280:17 39282:1 entirely 39293:3 39342:7 entitled 39250:18,19 39255:20 39308:6,7 39365:14 39411:21 39413:18 39423:19 entries 39246:16 enumerated 39406:19 envisaged 39289:12 39340:24 equal 39428:13 39429:4 equipment 39315:9 39324:24 erected 39427:3 erroneous 39359:23 escape 39243:22 39247:24 39344:3 39418:25 39420:10 escaped 39280:8 escort 39276:5,9 39278:22 escorted 39278:14 essentially 39370:3 established 39252:20 estimate 39267:2 estimated 39322:3 estimates 39266:22 estimation 39242:15 39281:3 etched 39307:16 eternal 39295:12 ethics 39305:10 ethos 39285:7 European 39370:11 euthanasia 39420:8 evade 39309:7 39336:25 evaded 39307:19 evaluation 39381:13 evasive 39308:6,9 evening 39287:16 39290:1 39314:6 event 39253:19,20,24 39277:10 39354:17 39361:3 39364:12 events 39249:21 39263:1 39265:20 39370:6 39395:20 eventualis 39382:9,9 39383:19,22 39389:1 39389:3 eventually 39276:4 39341:11 eventuate 39345:16 39392:17 39397:16 eventuates 39396:8 eventuation 39384:20 39403:22</p>	<p>everybody 39266:25 39335:10 39350:22 39384:24 39392:11 39393:14 39397:1,16 evidenced 39401:19 evident 39358:14 evil 39305:20 39313:4 evils 39419:10 exact 39278:14 39338:20 exactly 39247:15 39254:13,18 39274:9 39278:5,11,18 39279:3 39280:12,19 39287:4 39288:13,19 39290:19 39291:8 39292:10 39308:16 39323:13 39342:22 39343:4,23 39353:10 39374:7 39385:5 39396:11,24 39401:13 39406:8 39422:6 exaggeration 39268:3 examination 39332:21 examinations 39296:4 example 39246:21 39248:1 39249:6 39270:16 39370:14 39371:3 39372:13 39383:1 39408:5 39414:21 39415:3,5 39415:12 39417:3,24 39419:3,9,17 exceed 39359:13 exceptional 39252:5,9 39252:19 exchange 39352:15 exchanged 39265:10 39290:2 exclude 39270:1,2 39359:25 39360:4 excluded 39360:2,21 39370:5 excluding 39361:11 exculpate 39382:22 exculpation 39239:12 excuse 39372:3 39410:8 excusing 39360:14 executed 39416:24 executive 39405:8 39411:22 39412:5,22 exemplified 39248:20 exerted 39403:8 exerter 39392:11 exhibit 39257:5 39297:1 39314:25 39318:1,6 39321:10 39333:12 39335:21 39343:24 39344:1 exhibits 39321:13 39336:5 exigencies 39363:6 exist 39379:18 39405:24</p>	<p>existence 39317:16 expand 39421:21 expect 39240:12 39256:21 39273:23 39317:20 39319:3 39367:16 39411:21 39412:1 39413:18 expectation 39257:19 expectations 39407:7 expected 39279:19 39411:23 expects 39407:3 expedition 39361:20,22 expend 39240:12 experience 39248:25 39306:10 39327:16 experienced 39258:19 39282:23 expertise 39306:8 expired 39365:22 explain 39265:5 39266:5,5 39316:21 39335:18 39337:5 39352:19 39362:7 39377:12 39402:8 39410:9 39413:12 39418:10 39425:6 explained 39261:9,16 39280:4 39316:16 39330:20 39355:1 39378:15 39398:15 39424:25 explaining 39336:4 39361:19 39381:23 39389:3 explains 39343:12 explanation 39292:23 39300:2 39302:4 39316:19 39328:11 39328:16 39330:23 39337:13 39338:25 39348:13,17 39425:5 explanations 39304:3 explored 39353:1 exploring 39353:18 expose 39243:8 express 39288:2 39307:10 expressed 39274:11 39289:10 expression 39379:21,22 39380:1 39387:14 39412:2 39419:21 expressions 39273:2 extensively 39411:15 extent 39370:9 39378:10 extra 39321:13 39351:23 39369:16 39416:24 39429:15 extract 39295:23 39303:8 39312:7 39358:4 39359:10 extracts 39323:23 39362:9,11 extraordinary 39307:1</p>	<p>eye 39400:17 eyes 39246:10 39297:15 39305:15 39326:20,22 39375:8 39382:7,11,13 39384:23 39411:9 eyewitnesses 39245:22 39248:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>F 39362:16 face 39242:13 39255:6 39365:12 39379:15 39385:7 facetious 39354:18 facie 39251:10 39253:1 39258:12 39260:3 39284:16 39286:8 39307:10,11 39308:22 39311:8 39312:14 39367:19 39367:20 39378:25 39379:10 39394:17 39394:17,19 39397:12 39400:7 facing 39271:1 facto 39281:15 factor 39258:9 39270:4 39276:21 39278:17 39280:6 39299:13 39300:3 39301:21,22 39353:25 factored 39355:8 factors 39245:11,12 39249:5 39251:14 39255:16 39284:14 39367:6,11 39368:13 39406:3 facts 39243:8 39265:22 39335:7 39348:12 39369:10 39371:22 39373:10,11 39390:4 39405:21,22 factual 39261:21 39332:13 39378:9 39379:7 39380:11 39381:4,5,15 39403:21 39409:8 fail 39315:6 39368:8 39373:5 failed 39372:6 failing 39411:11 failure 39322:18 39325:4 39331:22 39360:14 fair 39284:10 39285:9 39299:3,4,17 39316:24 39326:17 39353:21 39365:2 39415:7 fairness 39284:2 fall 39360:25 39364:14 39376:4 fallacy 39371:19 falsification 39342:19 families 39241:22</p>	<p>39272:18 39343:10 family 39241:21 fancied 39403:11 fanciful 39268:23 39388:2 far 39266:4 39297:9 39309:9,21 39330:21 39341:6 39358:16 39366:11 39367:3 39368:21 39369:14 39377:22 39379:18 39379:19 39390:12 39409:21 farfetched 39428:2 Farlam 39386:18 39421:11 farmers 39408:6 fashion 39315:10 39334:25 fate 39292:24 fault 39279:15 39280:10,15 faulted 39281:5 favourable 39362:21 favouring 39302:23 fear 39299:8 feature 39381:24 February 39351:2 feel 39244:20 39361:14 feeling 39358:6 Felix 39368:7 fellow 39416:20 felt 39271:21 39292:6 39338:4 39364:24,25 39365:6,13 39366:6 fence 39335:25,25 39336:2 festering 39271:11 fiction 39382:12 fide 39264:16,17 fiduciary 39411:19 39413:13 fight 39329:22 39332:7 fighting 39275:24,25 39276:1 39277:23 fights 39380:17 figuratively 39289:1 figurehead 39281:15 file 39351:5 financial 39258:5 39306:8 39387:1 find 39249:1 39257:8 39264:18 39274:8 39282:7 39287:7 39300:6 39303:17 39304:14 39306:20 39322:11 39327:2,6,7 39335:23 39342:5 39348:6 39351:4 39361:12,15 39367:13 39374:11 39374:15,17 39379:3 39389:18 39394:1 39405:18 39406:22 39408:8 39409:25 39416:6 39420:4,20</p>
---	---	--	--	--

39425:5 finding 39261:22 finds 39290:8 39375:1 fine 39327:19 39355:17 39374:3 finished 39272:12,17 fire 39278:12 39284:18 39284:23 39294:25 39301:3 39340:1,2 39341:13 39346:6,8 39348:11 39353:5,24 39353:24 39364:15 39364:20 39365:19 39409:4,5,6,11,11 fired 39250:18,19 39251:20,20 39264:13 39281:10 39281:15 39298:4 39341:7,8,9,22 39345:22 39348:4,6 39354:2 39364:13,20 fires 39252:12 39352:13 firing 39281:18 39284:22 39337:12 firm 39336:7 39340:15 39340:17 first 39239:7 39249:22 39255:18 39256:5,6 39256:10,22 39264:6 39272:5 39283:21 39311:14 39317:16 39325:13 39328:25 39331:5,16 39333:2,4 39343:1 39348:7 39357:13 39363:10 39364:12 39371:24 39372:12 39373:14 39377:4,11,14 39378:13 39395:3 39412:16 39414:20 39415:15 39428:1 firstly 39250:2,3 39273:21 39309:13 39331:7 39353:3 39355:6 39356:19 39360:23 39378:23 39411:19 39425:18 fits 39290:24 39348:17 five 39274:12,17,20 39295:21 39320:11 39327:18 39363:7 39388:10 39420:21 fives 39290:1 flags 39274:16 flattering 39245:17 fled 39250:9 fleeing 39250:6 39251:7,16 flipside 39393:9 floodgates 39257:22 39258:1,2 39260:14 flown 39274:16 flows 39265:19 flying 39387:9 fobbed 39395:18	focus 39248:16 folks 39400:18 follow 39333:3 39346:9 39355:24 39376:5 39396:11 39414:14 followed 39255:14 39332:21 39335:4 39398:17 39402:25 39404:19 following 39289:7 39334:8 39357:25 39358:4 39369:8,9 39373:12 39383:15 39411:12 follows 39411:4 food 39332:9 fool 39335:8 foolhardy 39373:22 foolproof 39246:18 foot 39353:13 football 39268:14 forbid 39428:11 force 39285:25 39369:16 39372:4 39387:15,18 39403:12,17 39410:14 forced 39294:5 39323:10 forcefully 39396:18 forces 39249:12 39313:4 39323:6 39346:25 foreign 39314:1 foresaw 39300:3,13,14 39388:5,6,10 39401:9 39408:2 39414:5 39421:1 foresee 39301:11 39382:7,15 39383:3 39384:12,20 39388:8 39388:13,17 39392:17 39396:4 39405:22 39414:23 39415:24 39416:1 39417:22,23 39418:23 39419:1,4,6 39419:8,11 39420:24 foreseeability 39300:13 39300:24 39381:19 39382:20 39383:21 39388:15,24 39401:8 39409:20 39414:3 foreseeable 39298:18 39298:18 39370:21 39370:22 39381:20 39382:5 39383:16,17 39383:24 39386:5 39406:11,12,14 39409:2 39410:16,17 39414:13,17 39417:17 foreseeing 39386:20 39388:2 39410:3,4,5 foreseen 39260:17 39300:5 39388:3	39397:15 39398:3 39408:1 39409:23 foresees 39389:4 39392:15 39417:9 foresight 39300:24 39406:17,18 forever 39427:6 forfeit 39351:16 forget 39256:16 39257:5 39287:4 39295:20 39296:5 39314:18 39315:7 39337:3 39384:22 39385:6 forgetting 39274:9 forgive 39282:9 forgot 39344:7 formed 39282:21 former 39394:24 39397:14,14 39412:9 forming 39348:2 formula 39404:2 formulation 39357:10 39383:20 forth 39286:11,19 39345:22 39346:13 39372:9 forthcoming 39316:25 39358:21 fortiori 39411:2 fortunately 39366:9 fortunes 39387:3 forum 39288:17 39294:16 39305:19 39307:1 39310:13 39392:2 forward 39338:22 39339:25 39341:18 39341:24 39343:15 39344:4,5,19,24 39346:14 39347:8 39352:14 foster 39272:14 found 39251:1 39267:8 39332:22 39376:6 39401:6 39406:24 four 39255:5 39355:16 39355:17 39356:6,7 39363:9 39364:12 39365:19,22 frankly 39291:2 39299:22 39422:23 39423:25 free 39370:24 frenzy 39296:4 fresh 39301:9,9,9 Friday 39308:19 39373:17,17 friend 39312:24 39356:12 39369:7 39429:19 friends 39286:21 39350:11 39352:6 39361:21 front 39278:10 39304:1 39333:8 39341:6,10	39341:12,18,23 39342:6 39343:14 39346:14 39347:2,13 39354:25 39400:10 39401:19 39423:6,9 fuddled 39378:4 full 39270:22 39360:16 39382:17 fully 39327:13 function 39386:15 functions 39304:19 fundamental 39305:11 Fundi 39273:15 39383:13 39404:22 funerals 39241:21 further 39254:24 39266:8 39267:20 39272:16,16 39279:6 39287:12,22,22,23,24 39304:19 39309:13 39329:4 39330:6 39339:19 39360:2,16 39365:8 39393:17 39394:10 39404:19 39404:24 fuzziness 39310:12	generally 39358:25 39359:1 39360:24 genius 39271:9 gentlemen 39322:6 genuine 39259:22 39316:12 genuinely 39357:10 geography 39252:7 German 39380:8 gesture 39272:18,19 getting 39243:25 39273:10 39298:7 39309:19 39333:16 give 39244:11 39246:20 39247:13 39256:4 39257:23 39311:22 39319:21,23 39325:4 39325:5,12 39326:14 39326:15 39327:2,17 39330:11,15 39340:17 39344:15 39350:25 39363:24 39368:20 39383:1 39386:12 39387:19 39387:21 39410:2 39415:14 39424:23 39426:15 given 39250:17 39257:20 39259:16 39264:15,16 39271:18 39282:18 39284:1 39307:3 39308:7 39317:13,15 39330:20 39339:5 39340:4,22 39352:10 39362:23 39364:16 39364:21 39366:14 39366:14 39379:1 39409:3 39413:10 39424:3 39429:9 gives 39258:23 39319:2 39340:14 39342:20 giving 39328:18 39339:9 glad 39317:18 glaring 39310:2 39315:11 glaringly 39331:21 glowing 39275:13 goals 39282:22 God 39428:11 God-sent 39323:3,14 goes 39244:24 39266:12 39287:12 39287:22,22 39300:23 39303:6 39312:25 39330:6 39349:10 39360:2,16 39365:10 39370:18 39379:18 39382:17 39386:7,8 39417:20 39427:24 golden 39279:8 good 39284:7,7 39290:10 39306:11 39321:16 39323:8
G				
			gaan 39318:6 Gaffley 39284:20 gain 39295:14 gallows 39421:5 game 39244:22,25 39245:3,5 39248:20 39249:18 39254:20 39256:1,2,15,16 39257:1,1,2 39260:22 39262:22,25 39263:2 39263:3,4,22 39264:8 39267:16 39279:5 39295:25 39296:18 gang 39413:6 gap 39247:17 39328:17 39331:21 39337:10 39338:22 39339:1,16 39340:11,12,22 39343:1,3 39344:3,8 39344:8,9 39354:20 gaps 39343:18 gathering 39417:7 Gatherings 39325:24 39330:8 39414:10 Gcilitshana 39258:25 general 39276:11,14 39279:14 39280:10 39281:7,8,14 39286:2 39288:15,20 39291:11 39298:11 39298:18,23 39302:8 39302:10 39307:24 39310:3 39316:20 39324:3,16 39330:4 39330:18 39336:16 39390:16 39391:7,7 39397:14 39411:16 39425:8	

39342:19,20 39395:11 39403:17 39415:4 39419:17,19 39419:23 Gotz 39253:22 39255:12 39321:25 39401:7 Gotz's 39320:11,13 governed 39305:8 government 39245:25 39412:7 grab 39323:4 grabbed 39328:4 grapple 39268:22 39370:13 greater 39283:6 greatest 39274:21 39302:7 39357:12 39383:25 39388:1 39391:20 39392:13 39417:8 39422:10 39424:16,18 grenade 39342:13 39343:11,17 grenades 39278:17 39341:9,15,22 39353:5,11 grey 39244:21 grievances 39254:16,17 grievous 39253:17 grievously 39251:2 grossly 39258:17 ground 39368:25 39388:4 39399:5 39401:5 group 39247:9 39258:4 39271:21 39275:9 39282:21 39334:1 39335:17 39339:2 39341:10,18 39343:12 39344:19 39347:13,17 39352:14 39354:25 39354:25 39355:7 39384:7 39387:19 39409:14 39413:6 groups 39247:22 39252:7 39257:25 gruesome 39264:7 guard 39296:19 guided 39261:10,18 guilty 39251:1 39268:5 39361:12 39378:20 39379:3 39414:24 39420:5,9,21 gun 39280:19,21 39298:6,8,14 39302:5 39304:2 39324:15 39369:20 gung-ho 39281:22 39396:12 39409:13 guns 39256:7,14 39281:16 39327:25 39329:24 39370:20 39397:3 gunshots 39324:11	guys 39303:16 Gwala 39314:4 Gwelani 39332:8 <hr/> H H 39358:3 39362:15 hadn't 39292:1 39336:12 39392:25 half 39314:22 39316:6 39322:11 39355:23 39395:6 39427:9 hand 39244:24,24 39321:9 39338:14 39400:4 39413:10 handed 39322:2 39362:1 handheld 39354:21 hands 39242:11 39268:20 39269:1,3 39272:11 39274:5,13 39290:18 39306:23 39329:22 39368:4 39369:16 handwritten 39316:24 Hang 39362:4 hanging 39311:3,24 happen 39243:10 39256:22 39257:3 39258:10 39272:16 39277:10 39285:2 39289:11 39292:25 39296:24 39314:23 39329:5 39336:13 39338:24 39398:9 39401:10,21 39409:6 39409:8 39421:3 39427:7 39428:11,18 happening 39243:12,13 39271:8 39287:14 39303:12 39305:24 39312:12 39322:22 39344:3 39347:11 39353:5,8 39355:8 39392:18 happens 39248:24 39275:4 39314:22 39325:2 39334:11 39363:18 39406:17 39415:5 happy 39380:2 39426:11,13 hard 39293:16,17 39295:9 39298:8 39406:22 39419:22 39419:23 harm 39251:2 39253:18 harming 39282:25 39283:9,10 Hartmann 39420:3,6,7 hasn't 39280:8 hatching 39305:20 haunted 39323:11 haven't 39315:18 39349:25 39350:17 39370:1	head 39260:7 39285:6 39286:1 39366:4 headed 39424:4 headquarters 39370:16 heads 39239:17 39240:1,2 39244:16 39244:19 39248:7 39249:3 39257:22 39278:3 39282:5,10 39304:8,10,14,17 39305:8 39320:19 39333:23 39337:17 39343:10 39350:5,10 39351:23 39352:10 39353:22 39363:9 39368:9 39369:4 39374:10 39377:23 39378:15 39382:24 39383:10 39388:18 39388:20 39389:12 39395:1,15 39396:6 39399:16 39402:1,1,1 39404:10 39421:7,23 hear 39260:3 39262:18 39264:3 39268:9 39324:11 39339:20 39393:18 39417:20 39429:21 heard 39241:23 39280:18 39297:4 39353:7,7,24 39366:17,24 39367:1 39417:1 hearing 39241:19,24 39282:18 heart 39309:20 39411:23 heed 39364:5 heists 39284:25 held 39253:16 39256:11 helicopters 39329:20 39331:11 39410:6 help 39308:13 39326:13 39352:12 39406:13 helped 39361:21 helpful 39250:4 39396:22 helpless 39256:19 Hemraj 39239:5 39260:25 39261:4,18 39269:17,22 39270:8 39270:13 39271:18 39309:4 39321:18 39322:5 39330:24 39331:3 39339:4 39340:5 39352:11,17 39360:8 here's 39323:6 39384:21 39385:19 39420:7 hesitate 39350:24 he'd 39286:23 39289:9 39293:10 39294:14 39294:17	he'll 39326:16 he's 39278:25 39288:10 39288:24 39344:1 39370:16 39372:16 39383:4 39387:7,17 39392:5 39394:25 39395:1 39397:6 39399:1 39401:3,17 39405:19 39415:20 39419:13 hidden 39308:23 39309:2 hide 39310:16 hiding 39308:21 39310:21 high 39266:14 39289:20 39290:1 39298:24 39299:6,8 39299:13 39305:10 39319:12 39369:5 39379:24 39391:18 39392:10 39428:4 39429:10 higher 39266:12 39270:5 39300:14 39403:13 highest 39319:11 39353:9 highlight 39426:17 highlighted 39362:10 39362:16 Hilux 39320:1 hindsight 39255:1 historical 39420:17 history 39256:15 39257:20 39270:21 39271:6,24 39281:9 hit 39342:18 hive 39275:2 hobo 39383:3 39414:23 39414:24 39415:5 Hobson's 39419:13 hoc 39294:15,16 holding 39275:8 39276:2,3 Holmes 39389:2 holy 39306:19,19 39381:9,10 home 39247:15 39336:12 39337:23 39370:2 39386:12 homicidal 39370:15 39371:1 homicide 39360:19,22 39361:15 39397:10 39401:21 honest 39264:13 39273:2 honestly 39264:6 39357:10 39359:19 honour 39356:11 hook 39374:5 hope 39241:3 39290:10 39315:18 39319:12 39330:9 39351:1 39402:11 39428:18	hopefully 39243:9,12 39244:20 39300:20 hoping 39326:15 horrified 39284:21 horror 39342:7 39404:23 horses 39302:13 hospital 39247:12,14 hospitals 39247:8 host 39290:6 hostage 39281:25 hostels 39288:7 hostility 39265:23,24 39266:1 hot 39255:14 hou 39345:13 hour 39306:5 hours 39300:1 39301:22 house 39383:1 39414:22 39417:25 39417:25 39418:16 39419:12 hovering 39329:21 HSC571NW 39320:1 huddled 39329:10 39375:14 hug 39386:11 huge 39296:18 39390:5 hugging 39290:9 hullabaloo 39273:20 human 39242:16,19 39243:5 39273:18 39317:14 39323:19 39331:24 39340:25 39341:18 39342:12 39348:2,3 39370:11 39370:11 39383:3 39392:15 39400:11 39411:9 39417:10,22 39419:1 39420:25 39421:1 hundred 39246:23 39275:9 39276:10 hybrid 39408:20 <hr/> I idea 39243:25 39254:1 39284:25 39306:11 39323:11 39355:11 39377:21 identified 39245:3 identifying 39288:4 ignited 39378:1 ignorance 39259:22 ignore 39276:21 39372:1 ignored 39322:21 illegal 39414:6,15,18 39415:5 39417:7,12 39417:18 illegally 39414:25 39415:6 39416:2 image 39278:15 39279:9 39280:12 39323:11
--	--	--	---	--

<p>imagination 39267:11 39392:14 imagine 39368:25 imagined 39253:9 immediate 39341:13 immediately 39241:20 39241:25 39300:12 39303:23 39304:7 imminent 39250:7 39420:16 imminently 39400:13 39400:13 Impala 39249:16 39271:7 39301:7 39384:18 impartiality 39305:5 39305:10 implemented 39283:23 39315:10,21 implied 39337:1 implies 39365:21 importance 39243:17 39253:19,20 39254:19 39260:5 39333:18,19 39394:25 important 39242:20,23 39243:1,2,6,10 39244:13,20 39253:23 39262:11 39263:25 39266:18 39267:21 39268:1 39281:23 39282:8 39295:16,18,20 39296:10 39301:15 39314:4 39333:25 39351:14 39354:22 39358:12 39360:3 39381:7 39386:22,24 39407:12 39423:19 39426:16 importantly 39241:9 39243:2 39372:8 impose 39369:16 impression 39313:2 39336:14 39400:15 improbable 39342:5 improvising 39278:25 inadequate 39259:13 inappropriate 39348:9 inaudible 39240:15 39265:14 39269:19 39282:13 39286:3 39299:2 39320:16,20 39336:1 39343:2 39348:24 39356:15 39357:3 39375:17 39389:20 39392:21 39404:5 39413:23 39422:15 39423:3 39424:17 39428:21 incestuous 39413:5 incident 39259:4 39276:23 39281:11 39282:20 39298:21 39319:22 39321:8,21</p>	<p>39321:24 39332:25 39335:5,6 incidents 39270:9 39272:7 39407:24 inclined 39352:9 39399:18,21 39427:21 included 39313:18 39384:5 includes 39274:13 39407:11 including 39305:9 39339:12 39379:25 39394:3 39423:15 inconsistent 39261:19 39281:5 incorporate 39321:13 incorrect 39259:20 incorrectly 39370:8 increase 39259:9,13,15 39259:16 indebted 39282:15 independent 39366:16 indicate 39318:17 39342:1 indicated 39286:4 39350:19 39370:8 indigenous 39424:5 indignity 39323:22 individuals 39263:19 39368:11 39373:7 industry 39270:21 39300:25 39384:16 inescapable 39379:13 inevitable 39406:21 inevitably 39248:12 inexplicable 39289:25 39292:17 39378:13 39398:13,14 infer 39357:12 39396:18 inference 39308:8,11 39308:14 39309:5,10 39310:1,14 39357:15 39358:22 39406:17 inferences 39322:9 inflation 39259:2,11 inflationary 39259:9 39259:12 influence 39287:6 influenced 39296:19 39353:25 influencing 39405:8 information 39319:23 informed 39241:24 39309:22 39399:11 informs 39289:23,25 inhuman 39275:1 initially 39250:24 39345:21 injured 39241:13 39242:22 39268:2 injuries 39253:15 innocence 39392:4 innocent 39296:16 39309:24 39398:22</p>	<p>innocuous 39390:21 innuendos 39318:20 input 39315:22,24 inputs 39283:21 39373:16 inquiry 39268:9 39360:18 39367:25 39372:23 39423:25 inside 39329:11 insignificance 39375:5 insofar 39256:2 39298:2 inspecting 39367:8 inspection 39344:7,11 instance 39261:19 instigated 39255:15 institution 39373:8 institutional 39280:25 instruction 39281:4 39289:21 39335:18 39336:7,9 39338:25 39339:5,16,18,19 39340:4,14,17,20,21 39345:9 instructions 39335:16 39340:13 instructive 39278:4 39409:16 instrument 39381:13 instruments 39338:14 39354:21 insurance 39382:14 39383:2 integrity 39242:21 intelligence 39244:2 39427:25 intend 39342:2 39345:4 intended 39247:21 39297:24 39337:20 39342:6,11 intent 39361:4 intention 39246:23 39251:2 39263:15 39264:20 39341:20 39344:22 39357:4,9 39357:12 39358:5,9 39358:13,18 39381:18,22 39382:11,17 39383:5 39383:7,14 39415:1 intentional 39367:21 intentionally 39345:16 39379:5 intentions 39253:25 39254:3,12 interacting 39413:2 interactions 39244:4 interest 39304:20 39323:7 39387:1 39413:12 interesting 39271:25 39282:8 39284:18 39287:3 39392:8 interests 39241:3 39411:22 39412:3 interjected 39406:8</p>	<p>International 39427:20 internationally 39370:11 interpretation 39265:21 interrupt 39287:21 39403:25 intervene 39408:1,2 intervened 39404:25 intervening 39395:1 intervention 39394:25 39395:13,22 interventions 39288:16 interview 39247:11 intimidate 39263:19 intimidation 39270:10 39272:7 intrinsic 39256:24 39258:14 introduces 39295:19 introduction 39243:19 39248:15 introductory 39239:8 invent 39335:5 invented 39371:3 investigated 39253:16 39368:17 39401:1 investigation 39253:7 39399:21,23,23,24 39400:5 invincible 39426:7,12 invisible 39425:7,11 39426:7 invitation 39332:10 invite 39308:5,5 39335:15 invited 39427:16 involve 39373:11 involved 39273:5 39282:19 39350:2 39380:15 39398:7 39417:11 39429:10 involvement 39271:23 39271:24 39310:4 involves 39368:2 involving 39239:19 invulnerable 39426:12 ironically 39387:6 irrelevant 39354:12 39385:4 39388:10 39423:25 irresistible 39310:14 irrespective 39257:10 isn't 39262:3 39266:18 39273:24 39351:10 39358:21 39368:22 39370:1,3 39415:4 issue 39239:11,19,23 39242:24 39243:18 39247:7 39249:22,22 39251:17,18 39253:1 39262:15,20 39264:10 39272:9 39289:24 39295:15 39295:19 39297:16 39300:19,21</p>	<p>39309:25 39310:12 39311:3 39312:24 39332:18 39335:11 39342:18 39352:12 39352:13 39355:13 39358:12 39359:18 39360:19 39362:20 39363:8 39366:12 39381:18 39383:23 39389:8 39403:1 39407:15 39417:21 39420:1,24 39422:9 39426:17,21 issues 39239:8,8,13 39240:3 39264:11 39268:23 39309:20 39335:12 39405:5 39406:4,18 items 39380:7 I'd 39264:3 39306:20 39342:9 39350:20 39356:1 39418:8 39421:17 39426:19 I'll 39239:20 39245:13 39246:20 39247:13 39249:16 39279:7 39295:17 39323:23 39327:2 39330:9,10 39335:13,18 39350:5 39352:19 39356:1,17 39356:18 39357:16 39377:4,12 39389:18 39402:14 39404:9 39416:6 39421:20 I've 39244:22,24 39245:3 39248:17 39257:22 39263:17 39270:16 39278:2 39282:5 39285:10 39314:6 39318:23 39322:17 39323:2 39327:11 39335:13 39337:16 39345:9 39353:19 39359:1 39362:10,16 39365:17 39372:10 39378:1 39379:4,20 39381:14,21,23 39382:23 39383:10 39384:2 39388:18 39389:10 39395:15 39396:6 39405:15 39406:19 39409:1 39412:8,17 39414:19 39415:23 39422:17 39424:21,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>ja 39262:7 39269:23 39282:6 39285:16 39286:3 39289:17,19 39302:13 39343:3 39346:1 39354:3 39376:9,20 39381:4 39389:2,10 39391:13 39404:8 39410:8</p>
--	--	---	--	--

39413:4 39423:4 39426:4 Jack 39303:20 39304:6 Jamieson 39395:23 39396:19 39401:8 39403:9 39407:23 39409:16,19 39410:10 Jamiesons 39399:11 Jane 39424:6 Jill 39303:20 39304:6 JJJJ10 39398:24 JJJ19 39297:2 JJJ192 39298:8,9,23 39301:14 39302:6 39312:22 39314:2 39391:8 job 39269:21 39295:1 39350:3 39366:9 39405:25 JOC 39291:15 joint 39239:17 39302:16 joke 39329:17 Jones 39412:13 judge 39248:10 39380:8 39385:11 39420:14,17,20 39421:11 39423:20 judged 39260:19 judgement 39369:7 39420:19 judgment 39362:1 39389:11 39416:15 39416:21 judgments 39416:15 judicially 39416:24 Julius 39252:14 July 39398:24 39405:2 jump 39340:1 jumped 39259:12 39391:2,5 junction 39372:16 jurist 39380:2 Justice 39380:1 justifiable 39374:13,15 39374:19,23,24 justifiably 39372:20 justification 39249:23 39376:2 justifications 39382:10 justified 39257:4 39260:21 39302:20 39374:1 justify 39266:5 39368:8	Kidd 39296:13,13 kill 39249:10 39283:13 39283:13 39302:24 39303:17,19,23 39304:6 39325:10 39329:14 39337:1 39364:8 39382:17 39391:19 39395:6 39418:18 killed 39241:16 39242:6 39264:17 39268:15 39273:22 39274:3,10 39277:14 39296:15 39301:2 39305:16 39323:13 39324:19 39329:8 39334:4 39344:14 39345:7,8 39347:14 39347:17 39367:19 39384:4,8 39385:25 39388:14 39395:7 39400:21 39417:2 39418:5,21 39420:7 39425:2,4 killer 39382:16 killers 39417:5 killing 39267:5 39273:10 39283:4 39296:17 39299:23 39345:17 39367:21 39367:22 39405:13 killings 39264:7 39267:9,18,19 39268:18,24 39270:23 39295:25 39296:2 39300:23 39301:9 kills 39358:8,9 39359:22 39386:13 kind 39249:20 39268:4 39271:7 39280:25 39288:21 39294:16 39303:5 39305:16 39309:16 39316:19 39322:21 39325:6 39332:7 39351:3 39412:11 39422:5,7 39426:19 39428:4 kinds 39268:17 39270:18 39416:13 39429:11 kingpin 39403:5 knees 39295:13 knew 39247:21 39291:13 39301:8 39333:20 39335:7,10 39395:4 39397:19,25 39404:15 knowing 39271:11,25 39300:25 39301:1 39369:15 39418:21 knowledge 39301:5 39322:21 39350:1 39384:7 39386:7 39395:10 known 39259:24	39318:23 39326:10 39330:13 39335:2,3 39390:9 39412:9,24 knows 39248:25 39267:6 39268:12,14 39293:24 39300:22 39300:25 39327:25 39354:2 39384:15,16 39386:1,2 39391:1 39392:11,11 39394:24 39399:4 Kola 39357:18,19,21 39383:9 Kola's 39357:20 Koller 39362:2 Koller's 39367:9 koppie 39246:22 39255:7 39267:1 39278:21 39287:17 39288:10 39301:19 39314:6 39384:9 39423:9 kraal 39247:16 39255:8 39328:17,18 39333:8,10 39334:12 39334:15 39341:14 39347:16 39388:15 39397:23 KwaZulu 39307:21 K4 39266:9 39270:9 39271:20 K9 39284:21	39361:19 39371:6,6,8 39379:21 39382:4,7 39382:12,13,23 39383:8 39384:23 39385:16 39388:7,16 39388:24 39401:11 39407:4 39411:5,9,10 39419:20,23,25 39420:1,9,20,23,23 39422:8 lawfully 39359:12 lawfulness 39359:18 lawyer 39380:25 lawyers 39379:17 lay 39293:12 Le 39364:12 39368:9 leader 39412:22 leaders 39239:17 39268:4 39279:13 39287:17 39294:8 39297:22 39298:7 39306:16 39320:19 39334:2 39337:8 39356:20 39358:16 39359:2 39367:12 39375:3 39378:7,12 39382:21 39388:8 39402:25 39405:18 39405:25 39406:2 39426:18 leadership 39279:10 leading 39359:7 39386:1 leads 39261:19 league 39275:4 learn 39322:9 learned 39286:21 39312:24 39318:19 39337:9 39350:11 39352:5 39356:12 39361:21 39369:6 39377:8 39393:13 39409:21 39429:19 leave 39262:17 39288:1 39311:24 39323:10 39328:1 39332:3,3 39384:9 39428:10 led 39245:1 39253:23 39260:20 39279:6 39297:10,11 39405:3 leeway 39258:24 left 39246:22 39247:22 39286:23 39300:6 39307:2 39324:12 39329:22 39337:20 39337:23 39338:17 39370:18,18 39371:2 39372:17 39421:17 legal 39248:6 39258:21 39291:10 39294:3 39304:3 39315:20 39352:2 39369:15 39377:18 39378:23 39378:23 39379:9,10 39379:10,15,16,17 39380:4 39381:7,10	39381:12,22 39382:25 39383:23 39401:12 39403:21 39405:20 39406:25 39406:25 39407:6 39411:13 39412:3,10 39413:21 39415:1 legalese 39243:21 legalistic 39248:8,12 legality 39349:14 legally 39383:15 legs 39373:5 length 39310:11 Lepaaku 39274:14 Lesotho 39395:2,7 lesser 39379:25 lethal 39372:4 letter 39358:3 letters 39408:18 letting 39271:11 let's 39247:6 39249:21 39252:19 39253:11 39254:4,6,8 39255:17 39256:16,21 39270:16 39271:6,6 39274:19 39278:16 39280:23 39281:8 39284:8,8 39286:25 39295:20,21 39298:1 39300:8 39302:11 39314:18 39323:4 39328:16 39332:17 39334:19 39338:3 39354:21 39355:13 39356:23 39361:3 39376:22 39377:5 39378:22 39379:1,15 39380:14 39384:5 39386:19 39387:18 39387:21 39390:3 39396:10 39397:10 39397:17 39401:5,5 39404:10,21 39409:9 39409:18 39412:12 39414:21 39416:25 39422:11 39423:12 level 39266:14 39267:10 39282:22 39302:19 39313:22 39368:1,22 39429:13 levels 39242:9 39282:23 39368:1 liability 39239:19,24 39249:25 39332:11 39360:1 39368:11 39370:24 39371:3 39376:23,24 39381:1 39381:3,12 39401:6 39407:20 39408:10 39408:10 39409:15 39410:19,20,21,24 39411:1,3,3,5,7 39415:1 39418:25 liable 39376:6,8 39407:9 lie 39274:25,25
K		L		
keen 39429:8 keep 39241:8 39269:21 39307:11 keeping 39321:12 keg 39271:11 kept 39247:1 39336:17 39337:14 39428:2 key 39240:3 39245:5 39389:8		39314:25 39318:1,6 39344:1 label 39408:19 labour 39257:11 39282:24 39396:12 39396:13 39405:9,11 lack 39358:22 39366:12 laid 39359:13 lament 39334:4 Lamlo 39424:22 Langa 39266:15 39267:5,8 39268:12 39421:9 Langa's 39273:9 language 39277:22 languages 39327:2 39329:1 39330:12 large 39243:19 39249:19 39297:7 larger 39249:8 late 39341:6,16,22 39342:14 39344:25 latest 39252:20 39340:4 Latin 39304:4 laughable 39313:5 laughing 39321:3 law 39244:6 39248:9 39250:2,3 39252:2 39264:9 39268:10 39283:3 39356:21	39361:19 39371:6,6,8 39379:21 39382:4,7 39382:12,13,23 39383:8 39384:23 39385:16 39388:7,16 39388:24 39401:11 39407:4 39411:5,9,10 39419:20,23,25 39420:1,9,20,23,23 39422:8 lawfully 39359:12 lawfulness 39359:18 lawyer 39380:25 lawyers 39379:17 lay 39293:12 Le 39364:12 39368:9 leader 39412:22 leaders 39239:17 39268:4 39279:13 39287:17 39294:8 39297:22 39298:7 39306:16 39320:19 39334:2 39337:8 39356:20 39358:16 39359:2 39367:12 39375:3 39378:7,12 39382:21 39388:8 39402:25 39405:18 39405:25 39406:2 39426:18 leadership 39279:10 leading 39359:7 39386:1 leads 39261:19 league 39275:4 learn 39322:9 learned 39286:21 39312:24 39318:19 39337:9 39350:11 39352:5 39356:12 39361:21 39369:6 39377:8 39393:13 39409:21 39429:19 leave 39262:17 39288:1 39311:24 39323:10 39328:1 39332:3,3 39384:9 39428:10 led 39245:1 39253:23 39260:20 39279:6 39297:10,11 39405:3 leeway 39258:24 left 39246:22 39247:22 39286:23 39300:6 39307:2 39324:12 39329:22 39337:20 39337:23 39338:17 39370:18,18 39371:2 39372:17 39421:17 legal 39248:6 39258:21 39291:10 39294:3 39304:3 39315:20 39352:2 39369:15 39377:18 39378:23 39378:23 39379:9,10 39379:10,15,16,17 39380:4 39381:7,10	39381:12,22 39382:25 39383:23 39401:12 39403:21 39405:20 39406:25 39406:25 39407:6 39411:13 39412:3,10 39413:21 39415:1 legalese 39243:21 legalistic 39248:8,12 legality 39349:14 legally 39383:15 legs 39373:5 length 39310:11 Lepaaku 39274:14 Lesotho 39395:2,7 lesser 39379:25 lethal 39372:4 letter 39358:3 letters 39408:18 letting 39271:11 let's 39247:6 39249:21 39252:19 39253:11 39254:4,6,8 39255:17 39256:16,21 39270:16 39271:6,6 39274:19 39278:16 39280:23 39281:8 39284:8,8 39286:25 39295:20,21 39298:1 39300:8 39302:11 39314:18 39323:4 39328:16 39332:17 39334:19 39338:3 39354:21 39355:13 39356:23 39361:3 39376:22 39377:5 39378:22 39379:1,15 39380:14 39384:5 39386:19 39387:18 39387:21 39390:3 39396:10 39397:10 39397:17 39401:5,5 39404:10,21 39409:9 39409:18 39412:12 39414:21 39416:25 39422:11 39423:12 level 39266:14 39267:10 39282:22 39302:19 39313:22 39368:1,22 39429:13 levels 39242:9 39282:23 39368:1 liability 39239:19,24 39249:25 39332:11 39360:1 39368:11 39370:24 39371:3 39376:23,24 39381:1 39381:3,12 39401:6 39407:20 39408:10 39408:10 39409:15 39410:19,20,21,24 39411:1,3,3,5,7 39415:1 39418:25 liable 39376:6,8 39407:9 lie 39274:25,25

<p>39297:16 39343:4 lies 39318:25 Lieutenant-Colonel 39422:23 life 39242:14,15,19 39252:3 39263:19 39318:24 39322:11 39359:19,23 39364:25 39365:7,13 39386:20,23,25 39403:18 39407:12 39412:17 39413:9 39417:6 39421:2 life-threatening 39371:25 lift 39386:12 light 39255:12 lightening 39240:5 limbs 39243:11 limitation 39381:1,3,12 limited 39404:1 limits 39351:13 39359:14 line 39245:9 39248:16 39261:8,11,15 39278:9,11 39320:11 39335:22 39336:19 39338:1,2,21 39339:20,20,25 39340:8 39344:8 39345:8,8 39353:18 39356:23 39364:21 39365:2,5,8 39370:9 39396:19 39398:10 39398:11 39401:15 39429:12 lines 39287:5 39398:11 lining 39337:12 linked 39268:19 39398:14,16,17,18,21 39404:19 list 39253:3 39377:9,14 39377:17 39392:19 39393:9 39397:7,8 39399:14,15 listen 39257:10 39324:4 39408:7 listened 39287:5 39307:15 lists 39377:4,10,12 literally 39249:1 39287:13 39288:25 39294:5,10 39295:25 39296:20 39297:6 39323:2 39329:24 39336:3 39387:10 39397:3 little 39245:4 39287:3 39321:5 39338:23 39355:17 39365:17 live 39272:13 39352:13 lived 39246:3 39281:10 lives 39241:14 39243:11 39245:23 local 39258:11 39259:20 39260:6</p>	<p>39408:9 39418:17 lock 39370:18 loco 39344:7 Loest 39296:22 39334:17 39336:6 39338:11 39339:15 39339:17,19 39340:13,14 39352:21,21 39354:16 39363:14 Loest's 39335:19 logic 39396:25 logical 39371:19 long 39241:11 39246:4 39253:10 39272:19 39290:20 39312:7 39337:6 39342:10 39366:22 39380:8 39393:8 39426:25 longer 39254:22 39292:22 39301:22 39309:14 39345:25 39350:16 39420:16 Lonmin 39248:21 39256:17,24 39257:18,21 39258:13,20 39260:13,23 39261:1 39261:6,12,22 39267:22 39271:10 39273:12 39275:13 39289:25 39302:22 39313:4 39319:19 39320:1 39322:18 39376:13 39377:7,17 39394:21 39395:17 39395:20 39397:7 39404:12 39405:7 39411:22 look 39243:3 39261:13 39271:6 39287:13 39288:19 39292:21 39294:20 39296:4 39300:8 39302:11,17 39304:2 39305:14 39306:11,15 39310:2 39311:10 39314:25 39324:15 39333:2 39335:15,21 39345:20 39346:23 39350:15 39355:10 39361:3,11 39363:24 39370:6 39377:11,12 39377:15 39382:4 39386:16 39390:21 39397:4 39401:9 39405:16 39407:24 39412:15 39413:18 39416:15 39421:11 looked 39242:24 looking 39242:10,25 39288:3 39303:22,25 39331:20 39343:24 39369:11 39425:2 looks 39276:13 39290:9 39308:22 39322:12</p>	<p>39332:11 39336:25 39345:19,21 39353:2 39361:4 39362:8 39375:6 looming 39249:19 39297:7 loose 39370:15 loss 39386:20,22,25 lost 39241:13,14 39243:11 39245:23 39306:24 39325:2 39399:5 lot 39239:12 39271:4 39300:10 39306:9 39317:10 39341:23 39346:14 39373:16 39399:3 39402:5 loudhailer 39328:4,23 39329:12 loudhailers 39328:2 loudly 39308:12 loved 39241:13 lower 39400:3 lowest 39272:11 39410:17 39423:12 LPD 39254:15 39294:7 LRC 39282:10 39377:4 39377:4 lull 39331:13 lunch 39355:18 39356:3 39362:8 luxury 39426:3,3 L206 39343:24 39344:10,13 39425:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>Mabasa 39368:24 Mabaso 39368:7 Mabelane 39273:15 39404:22 Mabotyana 39242:4,4 Mabuyakhulu 39253:15 39254:13 39256:18 machine 39369:20 39386:13 39392:25 39393:6 Madlanga 39369:7 39370:4 39371:21 magic 39245:20 Magidiwana 39323:24 39325:8 39332:19 39333:5 39337:14 39343:22,23 39344:9 39344:12 39345:11 39355:5,7 39400:19 39400:20 39422:18 39425:13,16 Magidiwana's 39354:23 magnitude 39240:24 39249:1 main 39256:18 39285:4 39286:2 39291:5 39304:10 39305:20</p>	<p>39402:1 maintained 39319:13 major 39397:25 majority 39423:15 Major-General 39275:20 39276:20 39278:1,24 39280:21 making 39245:13 39251:14 39287:9 39289:8 39297:23 39306:16 39333:24 39360:11,12 39362:7 39398:7 39409:16 Makwanyana 39242:18 Malema 39302:25 39303:13,14 39308:19 39310:20 39311:3 39312:9,13 39312:18 Malema's 39311:13 man 39281:25 39292:1 39340:22 39356:11 39359:15 39369:12 39372:15 39382:13 39384:1,21 managed 39241:8 39247:7 39256:13 39312:8,9 management 39254:5 39257:17 39275:17 39275:18 39276:1 39288:17 39305:19 39306:25 39310:13 39392:2 manager 39257:8 Mankwanyane 39416:14 manner 39304:20 39310:2 Mantashe 39313:19 39411:25 marauding 39256:9 march 39256:22 39264:12,13,14,15,19 39264:20 39267:17 39269:18 39321:19 39350:25 39386:1 marched 39254:15 39256:19 marchers 39385:24 marching 39254:1,10 39254:16 Marikana 39241:10 39259:20 39285:2 39302:5 39332:5 married 39260:23 mass 39333:15 massacre 39241:10 39278:1 39303:25 39304:4 39378:3,9 39385:23,24 39399:9 massacred 39278:6 39279:3 massacres 39385:22 massive 39410:14</p>	<p>material 39242:11 39275:10 39309:17 39327:24 39349:8 materialise 39402:13 materialises 39369:22 Mathunjwa 39290:2,3 39290:7,23 39292:19 39292:25 39293:4,24 39314:8 39322:19 39323:4 39324:4 39325:10 39328:3 39334:3 Mathunjwa's 39294:1 39324:8 matter 39242:13 39244:7 39250:24 39253:2 39257:7 39260:6 39264:11 39268:20 39277:10 39288:9 39292:15 39294:19 39298:17 39300:1 39306:22 39308:2 39315:17 39320:9 39323:7 39331:16 39349:14 39357:16 39360:9 39367:1 39368:17 39370:25 39371:23 39373:24 39383:23 39387:4,5 39388:9,13 39399:16 39401:18 39407:9 39411:10 39415:9 39423:13,14 39429:4 matters 39279:24 39326:23 39370:13 mayhem 39263:18 Mbombo 39292:21 39298:23 39300:16 39302:9,10 39306:7 39316:20 39324:16 39391:7,8,15 39392:8 39398:2 39411:16 McCann 39370:10,10 39371:7 39373:1,3,4 39375:5 39374:5,8 McCann's 39370:24 McIntosh 39282:1 39422:23 mean 39243:14 39249:8,11 39254:10 39256:6 39262:3,7 39269:2 39276:7 39287:15 39292:19 39303:10,21 39313:5 39314:24 39315:4 39317:13 39326:13 39328:25 39336:4 39365:6 39367:15 39373:7 39380:20,24 39381:5 39382:2 39383:7 39385:8 39392:3 39407:1 39416:17 39418:13 39422:19 39425:9 39429:7</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p>meaning 39355:3 means 39244:25 39252:12 39271:22 39298:22 39328:15 39328:19 39331:9 39335:19 39336:11 39337:6 39339:9 39340:17 39344:22 39357:8 39390:4 39391:14 39409:21 meant 39254:11 39298:20 39309:16 39401:12 39407:4 39422:6 measure 39326:18,20 39326:22 measured 39344:6,10 39358:14 measures 39327:1 39342:21,24 39344:17,23 39346:17 meat 39309:20 media 39241:16 39274:8 39316:19 39328:20,24 39340:1 39342:4 meet 39315:16 39357:6 meeting 39247:4 39254:23 39292:23 39305:22 39306:21 39308:16 39310:25 39313:14 39314:4,5 39316:9,13,21 39318:25 39388:12 39398:24 39399:9 39405:2 Mehlomkomo 39242:6 member 39306:25 39412:5,25 39413:3 members 39250:5 39253:14 39270:25 39281:12 39304:19 39336:21 39343:24 39368:5 membership 39249:15 39387:3 memorial 39427:3 memory 39366:5 39422:17 men 39284:22 39423:7 mens 39374:17 39382:22 39404:6 mention 39246:8 39296:6 mentioned 39310:25 39313:20 39314:7 39411:4 Merafi 39283:22 Merafi 39315:23,24 39373:15 mere 39245:21 39252:7 39255:6 39288:23 39336:21 39342:21 39373:6 39388:18 39423:21</p>	<p>merely 39243:8 merit 39249:22 merits 39239:9,10 39240:3 39248:18 message 39396:17 messenger 39405:7 met 39242:4 39268:13 39272:1 method 39248:11 39328:23 39337:11 methodology 39244:22 methods 39327:11 metres 39278:18 39338:1,5,10,15 39340:8 39423:7 microphone 39240:14 39265:13 39269:19 39282:12 39299:2 39320:16,20 39343:2 39348:24 39357:3 39375:17 39389:20 39392:21 39404:5 39413:23 39422:15 39423:3 middle 39353:8 Midrand 39288:12,17 milestones 39255:25 milieu 39271:14 militant 39335:17 39336:10 39339:2 militarisation 39295:18 militarised 39285:22 39387:13 39409:13 militaristic 39285:19 milling 39333:22 millions 39246:14,15 mind 39280:16 39285:11 39307:11 39348:1 39362:20,22 39368:13,24 39369:11 39426:5 minds 39307:17 39313:24,25 39346:4 39346:24 39353:17 39367:7,15 mindset 39396:3 mine 39254:25 39408:11 miners 39384:7,17 39386:11 mines 39303:14 Mineworkers 39248:21 39249:7 minimum 39275:13 39383:20 mining 39270:21 39300:25 39384:15 Minister 39310:3,10,11 39313:3 39364:7 39368:2,4 39377:6 39387:11 39390:8,17 39390:20,20 39391:11,21 39392:5 39396:19,20 39398:9 39408:1 39413:1,7,8 39413:8</p>	<p>ministers 39429:10 minority 39267:3,4 39271:21 39423:18 minute 39246:4 39295:24 39427:9 minutes 39285:15 39315:1,4 39316:9,13 39319:15 39320:11 39325:14 39327:18 39327:19 39328:3,13 39329:9 39331:13 39355:15,16,17 39356:2,6,6,6 39375:22 39402:18 39420:21 39421:17 39421:20 39422:11 39426:10,11 39429:15 miracle 39397:8 Miraculously 39339:24 mirror 39278:3,14 39279:9 39280:12 mirroring 39278:3 misinformation 39296:16 misled 39318:4 missing 39310:5 39374:18 mission 39313:20 39413:9 mistake 39356:24 39382:20 39390:21 39412:20 mistaken 39384:8 mix 39382:8 39409:13 39413:7 mixing 39382:22 Mm 39277:3 mode 39340:2 modes 39247:23 Mokwena 39302:10 39377:8 39392:8,9 Mokwenas 39399:11 moment 39253:9 39262:3 39265:23 39278:3 39306:11 39308:21 39395:11 momentous 39331:22 Monday 39299:6,9 39301:2,6 39350:21 Monene 39274:14 money 39258:1,3,5,21 39382:14 39399:3 monitors 39355:3,10 months 39271:8 39317:14 monument 39426:19 mood 39296:20 39323:13 39324:22 moral 39314:18 39420:3 morning 39263:7,9 39266:6,15 39290:3,8 39291:15 39313:1 39314:8,22 39319:21 39320:2 39322:16,24</p>	<p>39324:16 39350:8 39356:9 39373:17 39384:2 39387:2 39396:20 39398:15 39399:13 39404:23 39424:4 39429:17 mortuary 39324:24 mother 39241:18 motion 39355:9 39414:17 motivated 39264:20 39269:18 39383:12 motive 39255:17,21 39358:6,10 39382:23 39383:2,8,14 39386:22 motives 39249:12 39382:24 Motlagewa 39275:11 39275:17 Motlogeloa 39321:6 mount 39328:7 mountain 39276:5 39280:20 39325:3 mouth 39328:20,21 39340:25 move 39261:25 39262:2 39263:14,15 39263:17 39282:3 39303:18 39327:18 39329:10 39336:7 39338:9,23 39339:16 39345:12,14 39347:10 39349:16 39407:15,17 moved 39274:25 39282:24 39283:10 39321:23 39343:15 movie 39288:14 moving 39249:9 39273:19 39324:23 39347:8 39376:20 mow 39342:2,6 39347:3 MPA's 39400:6 Mpembe 39275:20 39276:11,15,21 39278:1,24 39279:14 39280:21 39281:7,9 39281:15 39286:2,16 39287:5,15 39288:15 39288:20 39290:2,13 39290:25,25,25 39291:11,18 39298:18 39300:14 39300:15 39310:23 39314:5 39324:3 39330:18 39398:2 39425:8 Mpembe's 39280:10 39282:2 39289:9 Mpfana 39242:3 Mpofu's 39403:25 Mthethwa 39377:6 39390:20 39391:16 39391:21 39412:23</p>	<p>39412:24 39413:8 Mufamadi 39424:6 multiple 39389:7 murder 39251:1 39252:4 39253:6,17 39263:8,18 39268:5 39273:2 39281:13 39286:17 39291:3 39302:8 39357:6 39361:13 39367:21 39377:2 39401:20 39407:11 39414:24 39416:6 39420:10,21 murdered 39266:15 murderer 39382:16 murdering 39283:2 murderous 39403:5 murders 39263:7,8 murky 39317:10 muster 39252:25 mustn't 39248:13 39406:3 39412:14 39427:24 mutandis 39402:6 mutatis 39402:6 muti 39239:23 39421:20 39422:9,10 39422:13,19,21 39423:16,21,24 39424:3,25 39425:6 39426:10,11,14 Mutlelo 39252:14 mutual 39276:18 mystery 39246:2 39316:14,18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>n 39271:13 39307:4 Naidoo 39296:5 39336:16 nail 39342:18 name 39357:1 narrative 39290:24 Natal 39307:21 national 39248:20 39249:7 39282:18 39288:17 39303:11 39305:17,19,21,22 39307:5 39310:12 39311:10,25 39312:10,19 39387:10,11,12 39390:8,23 39391:2,5 39391:11,13,23,25 39392:1,2 39398:10 39412:5 39428:25 nationalisation 39288:23 39311:6 natural 39257:12 naturally 39390:11 nature 39328:8 39332:7 39339:3 39405:9 39409:7 39411:8,9 39413:4 near 39245:8 39254:23 39255:5,7 39333:10</p>
--	---	---	---	--

<p>39388:15 nearly 39293:13,16 NEC 39412:25 39413:3 necessarily 39254:11 39326:21 necessary 39320:18 39322:12 39335:20 39336:11 39339:9 39414:4,11 need 39303:15,16,18,19 39365:22 39368:25 39401:11 needed 39338:9 39362:8 39422:22 needs 39268:9 39383:19 39407:1 negative 39368:12 negligence 39381:11 negligible 39300:19 negotiate 39259:23 39334:15 39395:3 39396:2 39399:4 negotiated 39259:15 negotiating 39396:7 negotiation 39284:4,9 39394:25 39395:1 negotiations 39419:16 negotiator 39282:1 39284:5 39394:24 39397:13 39412:9 neither 39304:18 39358:16 39381:9,10 never 39240:16 39243:13 39244:1 39246:24 39247:21 39261:17 39274:23 39280:18 39281:7 39284:1 39297:21 39299:25 39310:25 39315:3 39333:21 39335:13 39363:19 39364:24,25 39365:6 39365:13 39366:6 39392:14 39406:16 39412:17 39417:8 39426:4,5 nevertheless 39317:7 39317:21 new 39249:1 39291:20 39300:10 39341:19 39417:2 39428:24 news 39241:19 Ngema 39253:15 nice 39288:16 39322:8 39401:4 nicely 39413:6 niceties 39329:2 39379:10,12 night 39256:8 39263:8 39263:9 39266:9 39267:1 39283:24 39286:24 39289:10 39322:25 nightshift 39272:1 nikssegende 39307:4 nine 39318:25</p>	<p>39328:13 39375:21 nine-day 39246:13 NIU 39284:19 Nkaneng 39246:23 39247:16 39278:20 39332:4 39333:7 39334:9,16 39335:17 39341:2 39425:10 NMF 39292:23 39293:12 39305:21 39305:25 39378:14 39398:15 nobody's 39290:11 39399:17 Noki 39326:8 non 39308:9 39367:23 39411:21 39412:21 nonsense 39291:1 non-committal 39307:4 non-executive 39398:23 39412:13 non-murder 39239:22 non-persuasion 39367:23 non-shooter 39352:24 non-shooting 39352:25 non-striker 39324:19 non-strikers 39270:23 39271:5,14 39283:9 39283:10,13 non-striking 39282:25 non-verbalised 39276:16 normal 39383:5 north 39307:21 39321:7 39339:7,10 nose 39421:8 note 39240:21 39268:7 39314:9,9 39320:25 39352:6 notes 39306:10 39316:25 39317:9,12 39317:15 39322:1 notice 39240:15 39326:19 notion 39302:23 39337:5 39367:10 notions 39382:8 NOVEMBER 39239:1 no-one 39292:2 39387:5 no-talks 39405:4 Ntamo's 39369:3 Ntsebeza 39385:21 Ntuli 39359:17 NUM 39239:12 39245:8 39249:13,23 39250:4,5,15 39253:14,14 39254:6 39254:7,17 39255:11 39256:12,19 39258:11,18 39259:14 39263:1,2 39264:15 39266:2 39270:25 39276:4 39288:5 39313:2,7,18</p>	<p>39313:21 39319:20 39320:2,6 39321:5 39356:9 39387:3 39388:12 39397:15 39399:5 39411:24 number 39273:24 39277:15 39279:24 39280:3 39306:5 39320:1 39347:17 39377:2,17 39392:19 39397:6 39429:5,7 numbers 39266:23 nutshell 39375:4 Nyala 39334:11,18,20 39340:11 Nyalas 39340:9 39343:19 39410:5 Nzuza 39268:3 39422:21 Nzuza's 39271:23,24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>oath 39310:13 obfusatory 39309:17 object 39358:7,10 objective 39248:3 39249:5 39275:5 39319:21 39335:7 39338:21 39353:3 39359:15 39369:12 39375:6,6 39425:3 objectively 39359:20 objects 39414:7 obligation 39325:11 39326:14 39329:20 obliged 39291:24 39330:12 obscured 39353:4,7 observed 39241:5 obtained 39319:19 obvious 39242:14 39244:18 39316:4 39382:1 39390:7 39396:4 obviously 39240:17 39250:24 39251:9 39255:20 39262:12 39271:20 39279:6 39286:20 39290:5 39292:19 39299:19 39301:25 39302:14 39305:22 39307:10 39308:2 39310:19 39315:20 39316:7 39317:5 39337:21 39343:25 39351:5 39363:17 39365:10 39369:16 39377:18 39426:14 39429:11 39429:13 occasion 39259:1 occupies 39368:25 occur 39383:16,17 occurred 39246:20 39259:2 39262:10 39304:1 39404:14</p>	<p>occurrence 39289:7 occurs 39288:24 39308:14 39383:18 October 39245:18 odd-numbered 39245:6 offence 39401:2 39411:7,8 offences 39385:9 offer 39293:5,22 39294:5,10,12 39356:17 offered 39276:9 office 39250:15 39260:7 39263:2 39285:6 39286:1 39319:21 39321:5 39370:20 39385:9 39400:6 officer 39274:13,14 39369:14,15 39411:18 officers 39301:1 39333:20 39369:11 39384:5 offices 39320:2,6 official 39303:1 officials 39283:3,4 39313:25 39385:8 39429:10 oh 39271:19 39276:6 39301:6 39304:11 39307:13 39325:18 39337:2 39343:7 39356:7 39362:6 39388:11 39391:13 39393:4,7 39398:12 39421:10 39422:16 okay 39252:11 39275:16 39276:5 39318:13 39329:8 39358:25 39372:5 39397:21 39407:8 39410:18 39415:10 39418:7 old 39252:22 39397:24 39424:23 omission 39332:12,12 39377:16 39392:20 39393:9,10 39394:20 39394:23 39396:4 39398:19,20 39404:11 39410:20 39411:8,12,15 39412:11 39413:20 omissions 39325:3 39411:6 omitted 39394:11 omitting 39394:22 once 39293:1 39300:22 39314:18 39315:25 39317:6,9 39338:25 39340:16 39357:8 39359:5 39384:17 39386:16 39395:10 39408:2</p>	<p>ones 39241:13 39247:6 39258:3 39336:10 39337:4 39341:12,13 oneself 39347:22,22 onus 39367:18 39368:6 39368:7,12,22 39372:5 open 39258:4 39278:12 39307:11 39328:20 39328:21 39338:23 opened 39253:5 opening 39245:16,19 39298:16 39396:19 operate 39284:14 operated 39367:15 operation 39302:16 39303:18 39315:6,15 39328:7 39330:7,14 39332:17 39358:5,7 39390:10 39398:17 operational 39286:10 39306:9 39390:18 39391:6 operations 39315:2 opportunities 39325:2 opportunity 39276:8 39279:8 39323:3,4,15 39323:16 39324:12 39328:14,19 39329:7 39350:15 39352:10 39363:18,19 39364:4 39396:2 opposed 39248:3 39340:18 opposite 39338:20 option 39293:13 39334:12 39363:25 options 39337:10 orchestrating 39387:20 order 39262:10 39263:19 39297:4,6 39331:6 39333:25 39364:15 39366:8 39373:16 ordered 39284:23 39299:7 organisation 39368:2 39399:4 organisations 39248:18 organise 39246:13 original 39291:14,21 39339:6 ought 39259:24 outcome 39255:9 outcomes 39248:12 outlook 39395:19 outside 39257:10 overly 39248:8 overnight 39288:21 39350:15 overstating 39275:7 overt 39358:6 overtaken 39313:21 owe 39413:15 owner 39418:16 o'clock 39294:7</p>
---	---	---	---	---

39388:15 39401:23	39248:2 39304:20,24 39412:6,7	Phatsha 39247:10,11 39400:19,20 39422:18 39423:15 39423:17	39333:13 39353:17 39355:12	position 39265:20 39268:17 39321:6,23 39338:21 39359:16 39394:12 39395:15 39397:15 39411:20 39412:21 39428:3
P	pass 39252:24	phenomenon 39271:7	played 39240:22 39245:12 39255:2,8 39256:12 39258:12 39310:17	positions 39268:17 possessing 39263:14,16 possibilities 39429:2 possibility 39280:16 39301:12 39302:21 39341:25 39384:14 39389:4 39410:3
P 39416:18,19	passage 39362:14	Phiyega 39298:11 39310:3 39390:16 39391:16,24	players 39305:20,21 playing 39313:24 plea 39256:19 plead 39378:20 pleaded 39268:5 please 39275:16 39289:7 39319:23 39337:2 39415:21 39425:21	possible 39267:5 39270:7 39310:23 39341:19 39342:9 39346:19,20,22 39348:16 39373:10 39394:14 39404:13
pace 39294:4	39370:16 39372:16 39393:25 39395:14	Phoenix 39368:25	plot 39305:20 pointed 39280:14 39298:10 39301:4 39317:18 39334:2 39344:11 39368:9 39395:1,25 39408:13 39410:7,8,13	post 39294:9 postulate 39255:4 39345:9 39379:1 39401:5 39409:17 39418:15
paces 39344:6,14,14	path 39332:21,22,22 39334:9,12,15 39335:4 39425:10	phone 39298:13 39408:8 39411:25,25 39411:25 39415:21 39416:4	points 39245:1 39260:3 39266:22 39285:10 39285:11 39286:13 39292:18 39319:5 39338:20 39369:3 39402:24 39406:5	postulated 39239:16 39375:3 39400:9 39424:1 39429:13
page 39304:10,11,17 39310:5 39336:20 39359:9 39389:15,16 39404:14,16 39405:1	pay 39271:13 39407:3	phoned 39390:22,24 39407:23,25	pole 39333:1,5 policeman 39375:7,19 39375:21 39418:4,5 policemen 39278:10,11 39296:7,15,18 39315:8 39329:19 39333:14 39334:8 39384:11 39386:4	postulating 39408:6 39420:2
pages 39396:1	peace 39396:6,7,8,10	phoning 39324:9 39412:23	policies 39261:13,23 policing 39305:13 39327:11 39373:16	pour 39301:3 power 39414:7,8,8,11 39429:9
paid 39338:8	peaceful 39284:13 39301:25 39302:1	photo 39340:6	policy 39381:14,25 political 39245:9 39249:12 39298:13 39300:4,11 39303:3,5 39303:15,20,23 39304:5,20,22,24 39309:22,25 39310:16 39312:25 39313:18,22 39314:19,19 39377:25 39378:5,16 39390:10,19 39391:22 39392:12 39398:7 39405:13 39413:16	praises 39240:7,12 prayer 39423:23 precedent 39258:4 39271:13
pales 39375:5	pedantic 39307:6	photographs 39288:3 39314:15	politically 39391:18 39392:10	preceding 39372:2 precise 39359:24 precisely 39322:2
pamphlets 39302:12	penetrate 39425:19	phrase 39314:15	poor 39243:20 39244:2 39291:18 39292:1	prescribes 39305:5 prescriptive 39272:22 prescripts 39330:2 39331:23
pandemonium 39353:9 39354:9	people's 39240:1	physical 39242:21	POP 39338:2 39343:18 39343:24 39344:18 39353:14,15 39366:19	present 39240:3 presented 39379:4 presenting 39241:22 presents 39352:18
panel 39244:21	percent 39246:23	physics 39403:12 39416:20		
 pangas 39256:6,10,11 39256:14 39276:13 39338:12 39369:19	perception 39244:3	Pick 39271:13		
paper 39284:24	performance 39304:19	picnic 39300:15		
papers 39423:10	period 39259:9,12 39301:21,25 39302:1 39302:3,3 39328:13 39331:12 39428:16	picture 39282:1		
paradox 39301:23	perjury 39239:22	piece 39248:3 39290:21		
paragraph 39282:13 39297:1,3 39304:10 39304:15,17	perpendicular 39344:9	pieces 39322:3		
parallel 39288:11	perpetrator 39401:17 39401:17	pillar 39294:9		
parameters 39248:6	persist 39250:15	Pillay 39321:12,14,15		
paramilitary 39285:7 39410:15	persists 39389:5	pivotal 39330:3,5		
paramount 39243:16 39421:3	person 39248:25 39272:4 39288:25 39300:16 39333:6 39358:7,8,8,10,13,14 39358:15 39359:11 39362:20 39369:13 39369:18 39370:25 39371:1 39378:1 39380:1 39382:16,24 39384:15 39385:17 39385:20,20 39387:17 39391:18 39392:15 39393:11 39394:11 39396:3 39397:13 39398:23 39399:17 39400:21 39405:15 39406:6,6 39411:20,23 39412:5 39412:8,8 39420:7,12 39420:12 39425:16 39429:5	place 39255:18,18 39256:22,24 39285:1 39294:25 39302:4 39319:22 39321:19 39321:22,24 39326:2 39329:18 39331:22 39342:14 39371:24 39426:21 39428:23 39428:25		
paraphrased 39252:2	persists 39389:5	placed 39240:25 39273:10 39274:12 39319:5,7		
paraphrasing 39280:17 39365:3 39374:12	person 39248:25 39272:4 39288:25 39300:16 39333:6 39358:7,8,8,10,13,14 39358:15 39359:11 39362:20 39369:13 39369:18 39370:25 39371:1 39378:1 39380:1 39382:16,24 39384:15 39385:17 39385:20,20 39387:17 39391:18 39392:15 39393:11 39394:11 39396:3 39397:13 39398:23 39399:17 39400:21 39405:15 39406:6,6 39411:20,23 39412:5 39412:8,8 39420:7,12 39420:12 39425:16 39429:5	places 39255:14 39267:10 39315:4		
pardon 39304:13	personal 39393:15,18 39393:20 39394:5 39405:16	placing 39338:6 39405:12		
part 39255:2,3 39263:20 39272:10 39294:17 39306:6 39309:6 39312:18 39323:5 39334:13 39346:13 39352:14 39354:24 39370:12 39370:12 39371:8 39374:11 39378:11 39378:12 39399:1 39400:16 39401:3,8 39401:11 39411:9,15 39414:16,20	personnel 39352:15	plan 39278:25 39279:1 39279:1,14 39281:1 39281:25 39283:21 39283:24,24,25 39288:18 39291:14 39291:20 39293:11 39315:21,23,24 39330:16 39339:6 39373:14,20,21,22,25 39387:10,12,12 39395:12,14		
participant 39410:1	persons 39239:20 39377:5	planned 39315:10 39370:8		
participants 39401:14	person's 39360:1	planning 39240:8 39288:16		
particular 39255:7,8 39267:10 39278:20 39345:11,12 39409:9	perspective 39424:5	plans 39291:13 39302:12		
particularly 39253:20 39264:8 39278:23 39291:10 39416:15	persuade 39368:18	platinum 39249:15		
parties 39241:2 39246:10 39248:5 39249:20 39258:17 39271:15 39279:19 39309:8 39409:23 39411:4 39413:14	persuaded 39308:22	play 39249:12 39258:9		
partisan 39304:20	persuasion 39367:24			
parts 39241:17	petrol 39301:3 39409:4 39409:5,6			
party 39245:21	phase 39279:3 39293:13 39336:13			

<p>39355:5 president 39247:12 39294:24 39350:25 39384:23 39385:1,11 39401:22 39413:9 39428:12,22,23,23,24 presidents 39284:12 press 39290:6 39314:10 39316:22 39318:3,5 39322:24 pressure 39245:10 39300:11 39309:25 39310:17 39378:1,5 39378:16 39390:11 39391:6,18,23 39392:12 39403:7,12 39403:16,20,21 39405:13 39408:11 39408:12 pressures 39292:10 39300:4 pressurised 39392:5 pressurising 39396:25 presumably 39344:23 presupposes 39267:23 pretence 39327:20 pretend 39304:2 39305:15 39327:16 39342:21 Pretorius 39289:6 prevailed 39300:7 prevent 39243:12,13 39341:1 39422:7 prevented 39270:20,20 previous 39254:15 39259:1 39387:7 previously 39282:23 39317:15 prima 39251:10 39253:1 39258:12 39260:2 39284:16 39286:8 39307:9,10 39308:22 39311:8 39312:14 39367:19 39367:20 39378:25 39379:10 39394:17 39394:17,19 39397:12 39400:7 primarily 39241:12 primary 39337:3 39360:24 principle 39261:6 39284:7,7,8 39356:18 39357:8 39359:7 39371:7 39373:2,3,4 39373:9,11 39374:5,8 39383:16 39390:2,3 39418:6 principles 39305:9 39352:2 prior 39265:20 39322:21 private 39359:11,14,17 39359:21 39373:6 39407:9 probabilities 39251:18</p>	<p>39251:24 39340:20 39394:19 probability 39270:4 39342:1 probably 39240:9 39242:7 39265:8 39271:16 39281:9 39282:15 39301:25 39321:21,22 39358:25 39378:17 39388:20 39405:3,11 39420:5 39423:11 probe 39390:11 probing 39240:11 problem 39243:16 39262:24 39277:23 39284:9 39285:8 39286:8 39316:16 39317:3,11 39376:25 39387:15 problems 39392:2 Procedure 39336:18 39414:12 proceed 39319:18 39417:10 proceeding 39341:1 PROCEEDINGS 39239:1 proceeds 39368:1 process 39268:16,24 39351:2 39406:25 39414:17 produce 39399:24 produces 39399:25 39400:1 profession 39319:11 professional 39305:10 39318:24 39319:7 professor 39381:8 39424:22,23 professors 39244:7 profitably 39421:18 programme 39272:23 prohibit 39299:18 projecting 39336:9 promised 39350:19,21 39358:6 proofreading 39351:3 propelled 39344:16 39352:14 propelling 39344:19 39346:25 proper 39257:16 39279:10,10 39348:23,25 39369:24 39374:16 39374:25 properly 39292:2 39300:9 39317:20,22 39342:23 39363:17 39366:20 property 39250:23 39252:3 39254:25 39282:25 39359:19 39359:23 proportionality</p>	<p>39252:5 39369:23 39372:10 proposal 39306:12 39309:9 proposition 39266:14 39275:7 39277:6 39353:21 39362:6 39364:23 39369:9 39370:3 39371:16 39372:9 39377:3 39389:21 propositions 39268:23 39363:7 propounded 39414:4 prosecute 39376:10 39399:25 39428:9 prosecution 39368:14 39368:15 39399:20 39400:8 39413:25 39428:13 prosecutions 39427:21 prosecutor 39376:15 prospect 39400:8 protect 39303:19 39304:5 39411:18 39412:3 39423:22,23 protected 39293:9 protecting 39340:18 protection 39313:1 protective 39327:24 protest 39254:11 proof 39319:9 prove 39268:12,12 39372:5 proven 39245:19 provide 39306:18 39372:3 provided 39259:9 39302:4 39359:12 provides 39279:8 39305:7 province 39307:21,22 Provincial 39303:10 39305:23 39306:6 39311:9,12,25 39312:11,20 39368:17 39378:3 39390:25 proximity 39301:17,19 39333:22 psychological 39424:5 public 39305:6,7 39373:16 39381:25 39400:17 publicity 39318:3 publicly 39317:21 pull 39246:10 pulled 39293:13,16 39297:24 39400:25 pulling 39297:15,17,18 39297:19 39335:1 pun 39410:8 punished 39407:5 purely 39408:19 purpose 39295:16 39319:1 39337:3</p>	<p>39351:18 39401:3,6 39401:12 39406:13 39409:18,23,25 purposes 39272:14 pursuit 39255:14 pushed 39395:16 put 39253:12 39254:14 39261:17 39262:24 39264:2 39268:3,25 39270:4 39272:10 39273:4,11 39276:23 39279:18 39284:10 39284:15,15 39285:10 39286:25 39287:5 39289:5,9 39291:19 39293:9 39295:11,21 39298:1 39323:24 39327:15 39328:5,5 39330:4 39331:9 39335:13 39338:11 39341:19 39342:9,10,11 39347:21,22,25 39348:9 39353:12,16 39353:21 39356:18 39357:14 39363:16 39363:21 39364:23 39366:4,5,25 39370:14 39372:9,13 39377:3 39380:25 39394:9 39403:9 39404:20,21 39405:12,22 39406:3 39406:7,12 39407:5 39408:12 39412:10 39413:6 39415:11 39425:12 39426:4 putative 39239:16 39262:1 39334:1,23 39348:21 39349:16 39352:2 39355:13,16 39356:2,20,22,24,25 39357:1,6 39359:17 39360:23 39363:8 39364:14 39367:3,4 39367:10 39369:23 39374:18 39375:2 39376:4 39403:1 putatively 39365:15 puts 39296:1 39320:9 39338:8 39381:8 putting 39251:11 39260:3 39285:5 39310:19 39327:24 39346:10 39347:5 39373:13 39377:21 39406:9 puzzling 39341:14</p>	<p>39361:6,22 quasi 39276:17 question 39240:24 39243:4,4,6,16 39244:25 39245:17 39246:21 39253:12 39261:25 39265:12 39265:18 39293:11 39300:12,24 39306:7 39308:1 39309:23 39311:14 39316:8,21 39326:20 39342:10 39343:22 39346:20 39347:5 39348:21 39350:8 39352:20 39357:7 39362:19 39363:12,22 39365:1 39366:5,25 39372:9 39376:20,22,24 39378:25 39381:11 39383:21 39388:7 39392:4 39394:9 39401:9 39410:7 39413:1,22,24 39414:5 39417:9,14 39417:16 39418:15 39423:24 39424:24 39425:1,24 questionable 39306:22 questioned 39393:16 questionnaire 39306:25 questions 39240:11 39272:2 39307:19 39331:4 39382:18,18 39405:12 queuing 39327:23 quick 39297:13 39388:22 quickly 39318:18 39343:8 39363:8 39367:11 39402:24 39407:15 39413:21 quietest 39302:2 quite 39242:14 39251:16 39255:13 39259:17 39262:21 39264:4 39266:4,17 39271:10,24 39289:4 39291:2 39293:6,9 39295:5 39299:22 39306:13 39308:7 39309:23 39332:10 39355:19 39365:23 39369:3 39378:21 39390:2 39397:21 39399:19 39422:22 39423:25 39426:21 39429:8 quotation 39282:10,13 39363:10 quote 39360:16 39362:16 quoted 39282:5 39304:8 39337:16 39360:6 39362:13</p>
--	--	--	---	--

Q

39380:8 39381:21 39382:23 39383:10 39395:15 quoting 39282:18 39357:24	read 39265:16 39283:8 39295:24 39303:6 39310:12 39311:15 39325:22 39330:2 39335:12,19 39349:25 39359:10 39362:9,11,12 39374:10,19 39375:23 39388:22 39389:10 39404:9 39412:17 39420:19 39424:21,21 39425:22 39426:1	reasonable 39257:13 39260:20 39334:24 39346:24 39356:23 39359:15 39369:12 39369:13,15 39374:13,16,23 39375:2 39394:18 39397:12,13,13,14 39400:8,11 39414:12	39327:7 39339:13 39374:10,19 39393:21 39394:8 39409:16 references 39245:13 39298:19 39390:16 referred 39239:17 39256:20 39298:21 39301:7 39369:6 39387:2 39388:20 39428:9 refers 39280:15 39359:17 39391:10 refugees 39379:16 refusal 39267:22 39428:8 refuse 39258:6 refusing 39384:9 39386:18 regard 39260:5 39264:5 39318:3 39349:25 39368:16 39414:4 registration 39319:25 Regulation 39325:23 39330:8 39414:10 regulations 39325:5 39329:1 regurgitate 39244:19 reinforced 39391:15 reinforces 39287:8 relate 39347:5 related 39242:7 39245:11 39249:16 39256:17 39268:25 39311:4 39354:19 39407:12 39421:23 relating 39319:20 39391:8 relation 39352:2 39355:20 39366:10 39367:8,17,18 39403:20 39429:11 Relations 39257:11 relationships 39248:22 39248:22 39413:5 relative 39302:1 relatively 39243:20 39302:2 relaxed 39351:17 relevance 39314:17 39354:18 relook 39249:18 39312:1 reluctance 39428:3 rely 39250:19 39335:14 39363:9 39404:9 remained 39266:14,25 39332:6 remains 39356:2 remark 39287:4 remarks 39248:14 39319:4 remember 39256:5 39258:24 39261:5 39270:24 39271:23	39272:12 39276:2 39278:23 39289:5 39291:9 39293:18,19 39296:9,14,23 39297:1 39298:9 39305:19 39306:6 39307:6 39309:8 39310:4,24 39311:3 39316:18 39324:14 39327:17 39331:15 39332:25 39336:15 39336:22 39338:11 39339:14 39340:5 39343:16 39344:11 39345:7,11,14 39352:21 39353:22 39359:2 39364:22 39366:15 39367:25 39370:14 39387:14 39388:6 39393:22,23 39393:25 39398:21 39408:20 39409:4 39411:21 39412:23 39413:5 39426:23 remembered 39307:13 39427:11 remilitarisation 39285:23 remind 39427:6 reminded 39296:22 remote 39380:11 39381:25 39382:6 39388:25 39389:4 remotely 39364:14 39396:23 remoteness 39379:22 39380:10 39381:19 39381:20,21,23 remove 39300:9 39310:9 39390:16 repeated 39297:5,6 39366:21 39393:6 reply 39282:13,14 39402:10,17 replying 39282:5 39337:17 39395:15 39399:16 39402:1 report 39350:25 39424:22 39428:12 represent 39246:1,12 representatives 39315:20 represented 39242:3 representing 39318:19 represents 39245:21 request 39317:13,14,17 require 39326:19 required 39283:7 39325:23 requirements 39414:21 39414:9 research 39428:5 resist 39400:14 resolution 39303:4 39399:9
R				
R 39358:4 radio 39396:20 raid 39255:22 raiding 39255:18 railway 39245:8 raise 39243:18 39261:1 39331:16 raised 39259:15 39306:10 39307:5 39331:7 39363:12 39374:9 39406:4 39417:21 39426:18 39426:21,22 39428:1 39428:6 raises 39326:20 raising 39267:20 39410:25 Ramaphosa 39270:20 39271:3 39273:12 39295:22 39300:21 39311:5 39313:19 39356:4 39376:24 39377:1,2,9,24 39378:9 39382:22 39383:12 39386:1,25 39390:22 39391:9,15 39392:24 39393:3 39394:23 39395:22 39402:5 39403:1,8 39404:11 39406:10 39407:20 39408:12 39409:19 39411:14 39412:21 39413:2,25 39419:13 39422:2 39428:12 Ramaphosa's 39395:12 39428:3 Ramphole 39240:7 39272:17 ran 39338:20 rands 39246:15 rank 39242:20 ranks 39291:2 rapists 39417:5 rare 39395:11 rate 39259:11 ratio 39370:12 RDO 39272:4,5 rea 39374:17 39382:22 39404:6 reach 39318:14 reached 39276:15 39321:4 39337:22 react 39346:10 reacting 39343:6 reaction 39251:19,21 39251:22,24 39257:12 39260:19 39356:23 39364:16	readily 39279:25 reading 39294:20 39303:7 real 39257:2 39281:8 39281:16 39300:2 39334:13 39381:18 39403:18 realise 39271:9 realised 39276:22 39297:3 39324:8 realises 39380:9 realising 39299:12 realistic 39279:23 reality 39314:20 39390:15 39405:10 39418:15 really 39244:8 39257:4 39260:13 39262:22 39272:11,24 39273:13,19 39277:20 39284:3 39285:22 39292:11 39294:14 39300:6 39301:20 39303:7 39305:25 39306:20 39306:21 39319:8,8 39324:2 39334:2 39336:23 39337:5 39346:2 39348:23 39356:6 39368:3 39375:5 39377:24 39380:10 39381:2 39391:21 39398:6 39403:2 39405:16 39406:25 39422:10 39425:21 realm 39340:3 39389:1 rear 39341:25 reason 39240:11 39243:6 39246:24 39248:9 39254:12,20 39255:22 39256:18 39257:20 39260:13 39262:16 39290:7 39296:18 39300:20 39301:18 39303:25 39304:4 39337:24 39346:9,10 39358:20 39367:12,13 39390:5 39391:3,4 39396:17 39397:17,23 39406:16 39416:22 39425:2,3 39428:24	reasonably 39270:7 39348:16 39359:4 39375:20 39414:23 reasons 39254:9 39257:23 39279:5 39280:4 39289:18 39336:15 39353:19 39373:21 39395:19 39399:20 39403:19 39413:11,16 recall 39330:9,21 39428:20 39429:3 receive 39262:12 received 39319:23 39390:22 recipe 39280:22 39288:10 reckless 39383:18 39389:6 recognised 39399:6 recollection 39326:25 recommend 39253:13 39368:15,15 39399:19,21,22 39400:5 39427:21 recommendation 39406:21 39426:16 39426:20 39427:19 39428:6 recommendations 39240:1 39272:21 39368:16 39402:25 recommended 39379:14 recommending 39368:14 39429:5 reconciliation 39272:12,14,15,23 39427:14 record 39273:4 39289:7 39320:6 39321:13 39350:20 39362:12 39366:7 39404:14 recorded 39287:17 records 39319:20 recovered 39402:11 reduce 39338:4,5 refer 39244:9 39245:7 39255:25 39323:23 39336:18 reference 39245:14 39247:13 39258:25 39261:12,21 39310:10,10 39327:3		

<p>resolve 39283:7 39366:10 39378:24 39396:21,24</p> <p>resolved 39303:2 39386:9 39397:20 39408:24</p> <p>resources 39246:13 39306:18</p> <p>respect 39242:21 39253:7 39258:16,17 39274:21 39275:21 39322:18 39325:17 39325:20 39357:12 39383:25 39385:16 39385:19 39388:1 39391:20 39392:13 39402:5 39410:1 39415:3 39417:8,20 39419:17 39422:10 39424:19</p> <p>respectful 39248:18 39275:11 39277:21</p> <p>respective 39402:13</p> <p>respond 39308:13 39309:15 39342:16 39346:11 39351:4</p> <p>responding 39341:20 39378:5</p> <p>response 39260:3 39306:7 39308:1 39366:21,25 39367:5</p> <p>responses 39286:10 39320:21</p> <p>responsibility 39309:7 39309:7 39381:17 39393:15,19,20 39394:3,6,10 39405:16</p> <p>rest 39256:15 39363:9 39395:21</p> <p>restate 39390:3</p> <p>result 39241:20 39252:23 39253:24 39285:2 39345:15 39390:10 39414:6,13 39414:14,15 39415:4</p> <p>resulted 39378:2</p> <p>resulting 39389:5</p> <p>results 39282:21 39405:13</p> <p>resume 39361:6</p> <p>resumes 39239:2 39285:17 39319:16 39319:17 39361:24 39361:25 39402:20 39402:21</p> <p>retaliate 39264:21 39267:17 39420:15</p> <p>retaliating 39353:13</p> <p>retreat 39340:15 39345:14</p> <p>reus 39411:8</p> <p>Reuters 39397:18</p> <p>revelation 39247:20</p> <p>revenge 39264:22,25 39267:17,23</p>	<p>39269:18 39279:6 39295:19 39358:11</p> <p>ridiculous 39383:7</p> <p>rifle 39369:21</p> <p>rifles 39348:9</p> <p>right 39242:14,15,16 39242:16,19,19,21,23 39262:21 39299:19 39308:13 39309:23 39310:18 39311:11 39312:15 39321:14 39327:5 39329:23 39348:2,18 39351:5 39362:4 39364:21 39370:18,18,19 39371:2 39372:16 39378:23 39395:2 39407:12 39416:9 39417:6 39418:12 39420:15 39421:2 39423:6</p> <p>rightfully 39387:21 39393:12</p> <p>rightly 39353:13</p> <p>rights 39242:20 39317:14 39370:9,11 39370:11 39400:11 39407:12,13 39417:1</p> <p>ringing 39251:23,23 39324:11</p> <p>ringleader 39403:5</p> <p>rings 39290:21</p> <p>rises 39300:23</p> <p>rising 39266:9 39420:5 39420:13</p> <p>risk 39244:17 39264:12 39348:22 39367:23 39386:22</p> <p>risks 39307:5</p> <p>risky 39283:24 39373:22</p> <p>road 39284:4 39335:11 39335:24,24 39336:4 39337:15,15,17,18,22 39345:13 39399:14</p> <p>robbed 39419:12</p> <p>robber 39415:17,21,23 39415:25 39416:1,3,4 39417:25,25 39418:5 39418:16,18</p> <p>robbers 39268:13 39418:18</p> <p>robbery 39418:20</p> <p>role 39240:21 39245:12 39249:6 39255:8 39256:12 39258:12 39273:10 39310:17 39313:24 39330:3,5 39353:17 39355:12</p> <p>Roman 39381:9,10</p> <p>Rome 39427:23 39428:7</p> <p>room 39338:19 39370:19 39372:19 39400:22</p> <p>root 39405:10</p>	<p>Roots 39246:13 39291:21</p> <p>round 39333:5 39363:7 39376:1 39402:24 39426:15</p> <p>route 39284:13 39397:11</p> <p>Roux 39364:12 39368:9</p> <p>Rover 39286:22 39287:3</p> <p>rubber 39343:20,21 39425:15,17</p> <p>rubric 39239:25</p> <p>rules 39337:25,25 39349:21 39400:18</p> <p>ruling 39412:6,7</p> <p>rumour 39252:14,16</p> <p>run 39309:9 39338:22 39340:11,12,21 39363:23 39425:14</p> <p>running 39247:23 39273:13 39274:23 39299:6,13 39321:13 39339:25 39370:16 39372:15 39375:8</p> <p>rush 39255:13 39256:13 39315:10 39390:9</p> <p>rushed 39315:16</p> <p>Rustenburg 39288:15 39355:4 39386:14</p> <p>R5s 39347:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>S 39357:18,20 39359:7 39359:8,17 39388:19 39389:13,18 39420:3 39420:6,6,13</p> <p>SA 39357:21 39369:5 39389:12,14</p> <p>SACR 39359:9</p> <p>sad 39307:15</p> <p>safeguards 39429:9</p> <p>safely 39267:7</p> <p>safety 39278:22 39325:4</p> <p>saga 39325:4</p> <p>sage 39249:7</p> <p>sake 39268:23 39332:4 39371:21 39409:18 39412:13</p> <p>sand 39345:22</p> <p>SAPS 39245:24 39248:21 39264:14 39281:12 39318:19 39325:5 39326:13 39330:3 39333:3 39350:11 39352:9 39358:17 39367:19 39368:2 39373:8 39374:12,22 39376:5 39376:7 39377:14 39424:7,9,11 39425:2 39425:22</p> <p>SAPS's 39425:14</p> <p>Satchwell 39420:14,17</p>	<p>satisfaction 39358:11</p> <p>satisfactory 39308:1 39309:10</p> <p>satisfied 39246:17 39349:3,4 39400:13</p> <p>satisfies 39359:12 39379:9</p> <p>satisfy 39312:2 39400:7</p> <p>Saturday 39301:24 39319:21</p> <p>save 39249:13 39270:25</p> <p>saw 39250:9 39275:3 39328:1 39355:3 39367:20 39375:10 39375:11,19 39379:7 39379:7 39387:11 39400:23 39410:14 39422:21</p> <p>scared 39296:23 39425:13</p> <p>scene 39288:15 39329:9,10 39330:15 39330:16 39331:22 39338:3 39341:12 39376:21</p> <p>scenes 39278:8</p> <p>sceptical 39277:9</p> <p>scheme 39390:17 39403:5</p> <p>scientific 39403:9 39404:2</p> <p>scope 39368:6</p> <p>score 39394:16</p> <p>Scott 39281:25 39282:4 39282:17 39284:2,3 39284:10 39285:11 39291:11,12,14 39292:4 39295:24,25 39315:12 39316:1 39330:18 39373:15 39373:23 39395:10 39395:14</p> <p>screaming 39343:25 39344:1 39425:16</p> <p>screen 39375:24</p> <p>seat 39323:5</p> <p>second 39239:9 39311:22 39325:14 39328:9 39363:11 39364:8 39366:23 39373:25 39385:23 39415:15 39417:21</p> <p>secondary 39242:9</p> <p>secondly 39353:4 39389:2 39425:19</p> <p>seconds 39343:9 39364:12 39365:19 39365:22 39367:1</p> <p>secret 39271:2 39423:8</p> <p>secretary 39397:14</p> <p>secretly 39288:5</p> <p>section 39304:9,21 39305:2,4 39325:23 39330:8,8,10 39336:18,22 39337:1</p>	<p>39337:3 39339:13 39341:12 39345:14 39345:17 39372:12 39376:12 39378:14 39414:10</p> <p>sections 39244:20</p> <p>security 39275:14 39304:18 39395:18</p> <p>see 39243:23 39246:19 39273:11 39275:5,10 39275:15 39277:25 39279:23 39283:8,9 39289:23 39302:14 39303:22 39311:11 39318:12 39330:3 39333:13,14,16 39335:22 39338:17 39338:24 39342:19 39343:23 39346:7,10 39348:17 39353:11 39354:24 39355:1,2,5 39363:18 39366:19 39375:14,23,24 39383:5 39388:24 39415:11 39416:11 39418:18,22 39422:18,20 39424:19 39425:9,16 39425:19 39427:18</p> <p>seeing 39240:6,10 39375:8</p> <p>seek 39243:23 39248:12</p> <p>seen 39241:1 39256:10 39274:23 39278:8 39323:14,16 39326:21 39329:16 39337:16 39353:23 39354:5 39355:6 39375:21</p> <p>sees 39280:13</p> <p>seize 39414:7</p> <p>select 39257:16</p> <p>selected 39239:25</p> <p>self 39250:20 39334:1 39334:23 39338:8 39357:1 39358:14 39360:24 39361:1,2,7 39363:8 39367:3,10 39394:23</p> <p>self-defence 39239:16 39262:1 39348:21,22 39348:25 39349:10 39349:13 39352:12 39355:13 39356:2,20 39356:22,24,25 39357:6 39367:17 39369:23 39370:5 39374:16,18,25 39375:2 39376:4 39403:1</p> <p>self-evident 39363:1</p> <p>Semenya 39317:16 39318:24 39350:20 39371:18</p> <p>Semenya's 39402:12</p>
---	--	---	---	--

<p>send 39387:8 sending 39342:7 39387:8,18 senior 39248:10 39405:7 39412:8 sense 39257:7,7,8,12 39279:5 39286:15 39300:7 39333:3,6 39357:5 39375:2 39381:5 39415:1 39420:16 sensible 39371:7 39400:2 sensibly 39263:7 39276:12 39277:17 sent 39306:25 39308:1 39341:24 39372:16 39396:17 sentence 39271:1 39382:18 39416:14 39416:22,25 39421:4 sentenced 39420:21 sentiment 39305:16,18 39386:8 Seoka 39293:2 39323:3 39323:7,11 Seoka's 39322:20 separate 39377:18 39391:10,14 39400:17 separately 39239:21 separates 39383:8 sequence 39261:21 39262:3,21,22 39346:5 39388:17 39395:20,22,23 39417:23,23 sequencing 39251:13 39409:2 serial 39382:16 39417:5,5,5 series 39340:13 serious 39240:20 39265:24 39268:7 39271:10 39291:4 39303:15 39308:1 39382:20 seriously 39244:8 service 39282:24 39305:6 39307:2 39368:5 services 39304:9,12,18 39376:11 39426:20 session 39307:1 set 39244:16 39245:16 39322:1 39330:17 39383:1 39398:11 39414:22 sets 39382:14 setting 39414:17 settlement 39298:3 seven 39329:9 39331:13 Shabangu 39313:3 39364:8 39387:11 39396:20 39413:1,8</p>	<p>shack 39272:3 39336:3 shaft 39270:9 39271:17 Shaik 39389:13 share 39297:22 39393:20 39403:10 shared 39258:11 shareholder 39392:7 39412:22 shareholders 39391:12 39392:6,6 sharply 39426:21 she's 39305:22 shield 39310:3 39387:3 shock 39342:7 shocked 39387:21 shoes 39276:12 39289:9 39347:22 shoot 39329:13,24 39337:18 39343:7 39352:22 39370:21 39395:3 shooter 39352:21,23 39353:1 shooters 39357:12,13 39367:5,15 39376:5 39403:4,16,18,20 shooting 39331:21 39335:22 39339:23 39339:24 39340:1 39343:9,18 39345:24 39366:15 39367:5 39371:25 39374:1 39376:3 39425:17 shootings 39254:20 39256:23 39267:18 39267:22 shootout 39415:24 39418:4 shop 39255:15 39382:14 39414:22 short 39273:6 39281:10 39312:6 39323:10 39337:6 39428:15 shorthand 39295:17 shortly 39351:6 shot 39247:17 39250:5 39250:8,13 39251:6,7 39251:15 39255:4 39284:18 39332:16 39337:21 39339:2 39344:1,5,18 39353:7 39353:8,13,17 39354:2 39363:17,20 39364:3,3,7,8 39366:22,23 39367:20 39385:25 39403:4 shotguns 39353:11 shots 39250:17,19 39251:19,20,22 39288:25 39345:22 39345:25 39346:2,4,7 39346:11 39348:7 39354:2 39363:12,15 39363:15 39364:2,13 39364:20</p>	<p>shoulder 39253:14 shoulders 39240:25 39262:14 shouldn't 39317:6 shouted 39365:19 shout 39302:18 39371:19 39374:24 39379:6,13 39410:14 shown 39335:21 39338:21 39374:22 shows 39287:11 39296:2 39309:16 39315:5 39320:6 39323:13 39324:11 39332:23 39337:3 39342:22 39375:7 39379:5 39387:23 39388:16 39392:6 39418:19 39425:4 shunted 39294:9 sick 39418:17 side 39257:17 39266:1 39283:17 39284:10 39284:14 39285:10 39321:24 39329:15 39332:1,2,5 39340:24 39352:15 39368:24 39369:19 39392:18 39398:19 39413:7 39424:14 sides 39241:8 39283:18 39283:20 39298:25 sight 39261:8,11,16 sign 39275:21 39328:12 39366:21 signed 39257:5,6 significant 39245:1,12 39252:1 39255:8 39277:15,24 39279:4 39312:23 39364:19 significantly 39283:24 39296:20 signify 39335:9 signing 39265:10 signs 39256:5,6,10 39315:15 39335:4,15 simple 39246:24 39270:16 39306:4,13 39315:15 39327:25 39334:17 39343:16 39390:2 39418:14 simplest 39329:19 simply 39244:1,11 39245:11 39251:22 39263:5 39267:10 39286:14 39308:17 39313:9 39319:10 39331:24 39337:24 39354:25 39361:6 39367:13 39369:10 39371:25 39381:3 39401:15 39406:15 39407:1 39411:6 39425:1 simultaneous 39315:13 Sinclair 39302:13</p>	<p>singing 39278:7 39334:4 singularly 39348:8 sit 39275:20 39302:20 39303:24 site 39426:19 39427:3,5 sitting 39247:14 39253:8 39257:9 39375:23 39386:17 39386:23 39400:22 39418:8 situation 39277:2 39279:10 39280:13 39283:7 39289:5 39296:1 39301:23,24 39303:19 39304:5 39312:9 39338:5,7 39370:8 39373:25 39376:14 39377:25 39382:10 39384:3 39386:3,17 39395:6,8 39395:18 39396:5,9 39396:24 39408:24 39409:3 39410:11 39415:22 39419:13 situational 39282:3 39318:22 situations 39319:6 39323:9 39401:13 six-two 39389:17 sizeable 39267:1 skilled 39394:23 39397:13 skin 39421:7 skirmish 39245:8 slanted 39355:11 slate 39372:1 slaughter 39425:20 slide 39333:2 slides 39399:5 slight 39267:8 39388:25 slightest 39301:11 slightly 39265:21 slipping 39294:2 slow 39355:9 small 39246:21 39275:9 39277:20 smaller 39324:15 smiling 39361:21 smoking 39298:6,8,14 39302:5 39304:1 39324:15 snippets 39335:14 Snyman 39381:21 society 39407:3,5 Sokanyile 39241:19 sold 39297:16 soldiers 39385:25 sole 39319:1 solely 39263:16 solution 39384:10 solutions 39243:15 solve 39284:9 39387:15 solved 39285:8 39316:19</p>	<p>solving 39243:15 39285:8 somebody 39254:24 39282:7 39323:11 39326:15 39328:3 39329:4 39336:21 39339:18 39382:15 39385:21 39386:6 39394:14 39407:2 39420:8 sommer 39327:21 39425:20 son 39241:20 soon 39311:13 39321:18 39340:10 sordid 39312:22 sorry 39279:11,12 39282:6,7 39287:21 39292:7 39301:2 39311:20 39320:5 39330:6 39353:20 39358:3 39360:2 39393:1 39403:24 39407:16,18 39412:19 39424:2 sort 39272:24 39313:12 39390:24 sorts 39315:4 39353:8 sound 39260:4 39418:12 39424:19 sounds 39284:24 39312:14 39376:17 source 39377:25 sources 39366:16 South 39347:1 39370:12 39376:10 39384:12,13 39397:24 39405:5 southern 39335:25 39336:2 Soweto 39274:22 so-called 39335:17 39339:2 39370:7 space 39254:19 39300:17 39340:18 39388:6 39402:11 speak 39239:9 39245:23 39246:14 39258:7 39266:24 39267:22 39290:6 39305:5 39314:17 39338:8 39340:22 39342:20 39360:25 39365:9 39366:7 39369:13 39372:1 39382:12 39393:10 39394:16,22 39395:2 39397:7 39403:15 39404:11 39411:20 speakers 39331:10 speaking 39246:16 39264:7 39302:2 39322:1 39359:1 39360:24 39383:15 39385:23 speaks 39321:6</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>spears 39256:11,11,14 39328:22 39338:13</p> <p>special 39412:21</p> <p>specialised 39386:3</p> <p>specifically 39270:24 39272:21 39299:7</p> <p>spectre 39297:7</p> <p>speculation 39339:12 39340:3</p> <p>speech 39293:6 39294:6 39313:7</p> <p>speeches 39294:1</p> <p>spend 39239:12 39426:10</p> <p>spent 39322:11 39426:10</p> <p>spirit 39272:25 39273:4 39427:13</p> <p>spirits 39241:8</p> <p>splitting 39342:15</p> <p>spoke 39323:18 39385:21 39391:7</p> <p>spoken 39250:13 39257:19 39279:7 39323:18,21 39399:10 39404:16</p> <p>spontaneous 39340:12</p> <p>sporadic 39272:7</p> <p>spouse 39420:15</p> <p>spread 39326:16</p> <p>squad 39337:12</p> <p>squarely 39274:12</p> <p>stabilise 39395:6,8,24</p> <p>stadium 39254:23 39255:5</p> <p>stage 39253:1 39260:16 39302:14,15 39318:14 39340:10 39342:25 39353:3 39354:22 39360:22 39366:6 39404:24</p> <p>stages 39331:19</p> <p>stakeholders 39239:19</p> <p>stance 39260:13 39268:11 39405:4</p> <p>stand 39305:13 39326:24 39334:6 39336:7 39340:15,17 39422:8</p> <p>standard 39369:12</p> <p>standards 39305:10 39423:20</p> <p>standing 39283:1,11 39336:5 39340:7,8 39347:2 39365:3 39423:6</p> <p>standoff 39384:6,6 39397:19 39398:1</p> <p>start 39240:16 39257:9 39293:1 39350:6 39355:22 39372:1 39404:10</p> <p>started 39246:4,18 39247:20 39284:22</p> <p>starting 39330:14 39376:23</p>	<p>starts 39377:6,7 39398:20</p> <p>state 39283:1,11,12,14 39362:20,22 39368:12 39369:11 39373:7 39376:15,18 39376:18,19,20,25 39397:7 39416:13 39428:4,4</p> <p>stated 39245:19 39250:4 39348:14</p> <p>statement 39274:11 39282:5 39292:20 39296:5 39297:1 39298:16 39318:5 39382:5 39383:6 39424:4,24</p> <p>statements 39296:8</p> <p>stating 39244:17</p> <p>station 39408:9</p> <p>stations 39247:2,19</p> <p>status 39249:15</p> <p>Statute 39427:23 39428:7</p> <p>stayed 39241:11</p> <p>stays 39272:4</p> <p>stealing 39315:18</p> <p>step 39309:13</p> <p>steps 39252:15 39254:7 39271:16 39359:21</p> <p>stern 39256:4</p> <p>STF 39274:24 39281:22,25 39284:17,18,24 39285:3,21 39315:1</p> <p>stick 39291:24</p> <p>stiffening 39258:13</p> <p>stillborn 39315:6</p> <p>stones 39272:3</p> <p>stood 39289:6</p> <p>stop 39360:18 39425:8</p> <p>stopped 39253:7 39265:8 39275:13,20 39281:13 39425:8</p> <p>stories 39244:4 39298:3 39320:21</p> <p>story 39241:24 39264:18 39273:21 39274:14 39291:21 39337:6</p> <p>straddles 39335:24 39381:22</p> <p>straight 39255:6 39400:6</p> <p>strange 39324:6 39371:10</p> <p>strategy 39261:8,11,16</p> <p>streams 39287:14</p> <p>street 39383:6</p> <p>strength 39280:5</p> <p>strengthens 39317:19</p> <p>stretch 39267:11 39339:21,21,25 39392:14</p> <p>stretching 39272:11</p> <p>strict 39351:11 39420:9</p>	<p>strike 39254:7 39263:20 39268:19 39270:10,17 39271:13,22,24 39272:1,10 39293:9 39323:6 39324:17,20 39324:23 39397:24 39412:16</p> <p>striker 39270:17 39352:13 39353:3,12</p> <p>strikers 39239:25 39254:1,4 39261:3 39263:4,10,13,14 39265:7 39266:2 39267:12 39268:15 39268:20,25 39269:2 39269:2,4,5,11 39273:22 39274:3,10 39275:6 39276:9 39278:13 39282:21 39283:5 39293:5,20 39293:25 39296:6,9 39323:13 39326:22 39328:2 39332:19 39338:16 39346:24 39347:8,23 39349:1 39365:4 39369:18 39394:22 39398:25 39399:1 39404:12 39423:14 39426:6</p> <p>strikes 39270:21</p> <p>strolled 39425:20</p> <p>strongly 39306:15</p> <p>structure 39403:15</p> <p>structures 39294:3</p> <p>stuck 39373:14</p> <p>students 39403:11</p> <p>studied 39370:1</p> <p>study 39418:19</p> <p>stun 39278:17 39341:8 39341:15,22 39342:13 39343:11 39343:17 39353:5,11</p> <p>subheading 39239:20</p> <p>subject 39362:23 39400:2 39411:11</p> <p>subjected 39292:10</p> <p>subjective 39357:9 39358:18 39367:7</p> <p>subjectively 39333:21 39333:24 39364:24 39365:7 39375:20</p> <p>subjectivity 39365:11 39365:11 39389:8</p> <p>submission 39248:19 39253:13 39258:16 39264:3 39283:17 39334:3 39374:25 39399:18 39421:14</p> <p>submissions 39264:5 39280:6 39299:21 39320:12,13 39321:1 39421:22 39426:16</p> <p>submissive 39275:11 39277:20</p> <p>submit 39425:22</p>	<p>subpoenaed 39242:5</p> <p>subsequent 39241:15 39372:3 39389:10</p> <p>subsequently 39293:8 39389:13</p> <p>substance 39423:8</p> <p>substantial 39277:13</p> <p>subverted 39395:24</p> <p>subverting 39395:13</p> <p>sub-section 39304:9</p> <p>succeed 39361:10 39411:2</p> <p>succeeded 39293:10</p> <p>successful 39400:8</p> <p>successfully 39395:16</p> <p>successive 39315:14</p> <p>successively 39328:13</p> <p>succumbed 39300:4</p> <p>sudden 39281:24 39290:11 39314:7 39334:10</p> <p>suddenly 39290:7 39300:1 39340:1 39395:24</p> <p>suffer 39382:1</p> <p>suffering 39242:12</p> <p>suffice 39421:23</p> <p>sufficient 39249:5 39268:8 39366:12 39367:3 39388:25 39390:1 39400:10 39401:19</p> <p>suggest 39251:20 39252:8,21,24 39256:4 39272:22 39338:19 39363:20 39367:3 39402:16 39405:22</p> <p>suggested 39253:18 39254:10 39310:11 39413:25 39427:2</p> <p>suggesting 39260:15 39305:25 39309:5 39340:23</p> <p>suggestion 39388:1 39400:16 39423:12 39429:21</p> <p>suggestions 39318:20</p> <p>suggests 39254:2</p> <p>suicide 39242:3</p> <p>summarise 39257:23 39260:12 39350:5</p> <p>summary 39314:13 39374:7</p> <p>Sunday 39300:15 39393:14</p> <p>supernatural 39425:5</p> <p>supersedes 39386:24</p> <p>supplementary 39296:8</p> <p>support 39249:5 39371:16</p> <p>supporter 39412:14</p> <p>suppose 39260:2 39262:13 39281:19 39282:10 39292:2</p>	<p>39341:11 39342:2 39368:3 39422:7</p> <p>supposed 39282:17 39305:13 39319:2 39325:19 39340:19 39426:14</p> <p>sure 39242:9 39259:6 39264:1 39266:24 39273:5 39276:25 39284:6 39285:5 39287:7 39289:4 39297:23 39300:8 39302:24 39308:17 39310:18 39311:1 39314:2 39324:18 39330:10 39331:6,9 39332:9 39347:24 39350:2 39355:19 39356:9 39365:20,23 39378:8 39389:12 39400:20 39420:18</p> <p>surely 39277:5 39299:25 39301:10 39327:10 39328:21 39338:23 39353:2 39379:9 39384:15 39397:8 39398:3 39400:13 39415:24</p> <p>surprise 39278:13,13</p> <p>surprisingly 39371:15</p> <p>surrounded 39329:18</p> <p>surrounding 39303:11</p> <p>suspect 39298:15 39323:5 39378:20</p> <p>suspects 39253:3</p> <p>suspicion 39340:9 39400:12 39414:12</p> <p>sustain 39348:22</p> <p>sustained 39368:4</p> <p>sympathetic 39419:19</p> <p>sympathy 39420:8,11</p>
T				
<p>T 39372:16</p> <p>table 39291:15 39294:23,25 39306:9 39323:5,25 39395:5 39396:7,15,21 39400:4 39402:10</p> <p>tactical 39293:13</p> <p>tactically 39283:8</p> <p>take 39244:7 39251:9 39252:12,15,16 39253:8,10 39260:9 39263:20 39270:16 39271:16 39283:6 39294:17 39297:22 39303:15 39304:22 39309:12 39318:9,15 39319:13 39326:2 39327:1 39344:15 39348:17 39354:5 39355:19 39356:17 39356:21 39358:15 39361:20 39379:15 39383:20 39393:14</p>				

<p>39393:19 39394:6 39405:16 39406:22 39409:1 39416:17 39419:16 39422:11 39423:13 taken 39242:15 39244:6 39253:24 39254:7,22 39256:23 39259:19 39260:13 39268:10 39282:22 39285:12 39289:15 39298:11 39303:14 39305:19 39314:21 39316:7,10 39321:24 39331:16 39332:10 39342:22 39356:19 39374:22 39378:14 39390:13 39398:25 39401:5 39406:18 39426:21 takes 39253:25 39329:7 39331:22 39359:21 39413:21 39428:25 talk 39244:14 39248:6 39249:9,17 39254:5 39257:15,21,24 39261:2 39275:21 39276:1 39281:1 39290:3,11,12 39299:3 39300:20 39321:2 39378:22 39383:6 39395:17,20 39396:23 39412:14 talked 39394:2 39428:16 talking 39247:2,3,18 39256:24 39261:5,10 39300:15 39322:23 39323:19 39336:20 39344:8 39366:18 39399:12 39409:4 39410:20 39411:19 39424:2 talks 39389:7 tape 39306:22,23 targeted 39345:12 task 39240:23,24 39243:8,10 Tatane 39276:23 39277:12 39280:15 39298:19,21 39300:15 39388:4 te 39318:6 tea 39285:12 39402:18 team 39302:14 teargas 39278:16,17 39281:4 39298:4 39327:19 39341:7,8 39341:15,21 39342:14,24 39343:7 39343:11,17 39346:12 39353:10 39375:13,16 technical 39309:14 39327:9 39338:3 39374:9</p>	<p>technicalities 39243:22 technically 39368:3 39399:6 television 39355:3 39367:20 39375:24 tell 39275:16,18 39285:13 39288:5 39308:16 39316:9 39337:22 39340:15 39379:8 39385:22 39413:3 telling 39292:20 39328:1 temperament 39384:16 temperature 39266:9 39267:20 39300:23 temptation 39400:14 ten 39273:18,22 39274:12,15,15,22 39275:24 39314:25 39315:4 tended 39318:1 tendency 39244:9 tenor 39420:19 term 39339:9 39363:16 39363:20 39380:5 terminology 39380:18 terms 39245:14 39252:4,13 39261:11 39261:20 39262:6,21 39275:12 39286:19 39288:2 39304:4 39365:2 39374:10,19 39388:3 39403:9 39409:1 39427:23 39428:7 terrain 39255:7,17,23 39359:6 terrible 39284:24 test 39270:7 39359:14 39379:2 39381:20 39400:3 39414:3,16 39416:5,6 39420:9 tested 39383:15 testify 39360:9,11,14 testimony 39324:10 thank 39239:4 39240:20 39241:6,9 39251:3 39269:6,16 39274:7 39275:18 39277:19 39285:14 39299:15 39308:24 39311:21 39318:17 39319:14,24 39320:8 39320:12,17 39321:11,17 39322:5 39322:5,7,10 39327:6 39331:18 39351:20 39356:14,16 39361:18,23 39362:1 39363:3,3,5 39366:3 39369:1 39371:20 39372:11,24 39380:23 39385:10 39385:18 39390:1 39393:7 39394:7</p>	<p>39402:19 39410:25 39413:20 39421:15 39429:14,16,18,19,20 thanks 39240:19 39252:1 39280:2,7 39312:21 39414:1 themes 39245:15,15 theoretical 39401:16 39429:2 theories 39249:2 theory 39249:17 39333:3 39334:19 39336:8,23 39338:8 39339:5 39374:14 thereof 39366:12 there'd 39317:13 they'd 39250:12 39337:15 they'll 39299:24 39350:14 they're 39242:10 39260:4 39308:21 39330:17 39336:25 39346:12 39350:12 39352:9 39357:16 39375:15 39385:9 39406:1,4,7 39420:9 they've 39256:20,20 39257:11 39258:19 39273:1 39283:10 39318:22 39346:8 39350:18 39384:17 thing 39246:8 39247:15 39258:2 39271:12 39274:15 39277:24 39281:1 39283:9 39284:18 39289:24 39294:23 39296:7 39301:5 39302:24 39303:12,12,13,17,23 39304:6 39305:16,24 39308:14,20 39310:4 39310:15 39311:3,5 39312:12,12 39314:4 39315:16 39327:25 39333:5 39335:1,12 39339:24 39342:19 39351:3 39354:22 39355:10 39356:8 39360:22 39377:1,19 39386:9 39391:17,19 39393:18 39395:11 39396:12,21 39412:16 39422:7,10 39423:19,24 things 39245:1,18 39252:17 39254:11 39267:21 39270:19 39271:2 39279:14 39286:24 39287:14 39287:18 39288:11 39288:16 39290:10 39291:13 39298:15 39300:11 39302:1 39307:12 39308:12 39310:19 39311:6</p>	<p>39313:23 39314:1 39315:9,11 39324:6 39341:14 39345:15 39348:8 39355:5 39362:8 39370:2,7 39375:12 39379:3 39384:18,19 39390:6 39401:4,9 39405:23 39406:9,10 39407:8 39411:24 39428:13 39429:4 thinking 39260:8 39262:20 39286:18 39311:9,12 39345:16 39390:13 39425:9 thinks 39275:12 39299:22 39315:12 39315:14 39329:11 third 39249:18 39329:7 39337:13 39364:8 39366:7 thorough 39350:3 thought 39240:5 39244:6 39268:25 39282:16 39289:10 39293:10 39297:5 39299:25 39307:11 39307:16 39311:16 39318:3 39359:4 39365:16,22 39366:18,18 39371:5 39373:23 39375:25 39386:10 39401:10 39425:11,18 39428:1 39428:10 thousand 39384:11 thousands 39273:14 39274:24 threat 39352:18 39365:7 39420:15 threatened 39286:16 39364:25 39365:14 three 39241:1 39245:3 39245:12 39247:2 39248:18 39255:5 39264:5 39272:2 39274:2,9 39296:6 39302:24 39310:7 39314:23 39328:9 39331:19 39337:9,12 39341:10 39356:6 39358:2 39360:22 three-year 39259:8 threw 39272:3 throw 39327:18 39329:18 thrown 39329:17 39425:15 thrust 39286:2 thunder 39315:19 Thupe 39338:11 Thursday 39289:9 39291:15 39299:24 39307:13 39311:15 tie 39244:12 ties 39360:23</p>	<p>tight 39378:21 tightening 39288:18 till 39420:5,13 time 39239:12 39241:11 39244:3 39247:20 39249:18 39269:1 39272:6 39290:20 39291:12 39300:10,20 39317:16 39319:22 39320:22,22 39322:2 39323:1 39328:24 39329:9 39332:16 39333:13 39340:5,6 39351:4,13 39355:20 39356:8 39363:6 39364:17,20 39369:8 39373:23 39385:1 39394:8 39402:9,10 39402:11,14,17 39413:14 39418:18 39426:15 timelines 39351:11 39378:21 times 39243:7 39263:17 39275:24 39327:17 39335:12 39393:14 timing 39253:21 tiny 39267:4 39423:18 Tip 39249:9 39251:9 39265:22 39355:24 39356:8,11,15 39429:17,19,21 Tip's 39402:12 tired 39418:17 title 39244:12 TKH 39369:5 today 39257:9 39274:8 39279:2 39302:12 39303:17 39314:23 39324:16,17,18,19,22 39383:4 39394:13 Tokota 39239:6 39279:12 39290:13 39290:21 39292:18 39407:16,19,22 39408:22 39410:18 39410:23 39411:5 39412:12 39419:3,6 39421:24 told 39244:4 39252:13 39275:14 39284:22 39290:4 39292:2,2 39294:17 39303:16 39315:19,21,21 39322:25 39326:9 39327:11 39334:3 39336:12,23 39386:10 39391:2 39394:17 39398:12 39427:18 tomorrow 39290:10 39302:12 39308:19 39350:12 39351:5 39429:17,21</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>tools 39244:15,16,23 39248:5</p> <p>top 39296:19 39403:17</p> <p>topic 39381:2</p> <p>topics 39239:10</p> <p>topping 39392:18</p> <p>total 39361:8</p> <p>totality 39252:17</p> <p>totally 39259:13</p> <p>touched 39315:18</p> <p>Town 39387:9</p> <p>township 39247:25</p> <p>toxic 39248:23 39302:9</p> <p>Toyota 39320:1</p> <p>traced 39255:10</p> <p>track 39284:16 39330:17</p> <p>tracking 39320:6</p> <p>trade 39284:12 39394:24</p> <p>traditions 39319:11,12</p> <p>tragedy 39241:10 39253:23 39288:23 39302:3</p> <p>tragic 39282:20</p> <p>training 39280:17</p> <p>transcript 39247:10,13 39275:25 39311:16</p> <p>transcripts 39312:2</p> <p>Transkei 39369:5</p> <p>translation 39329:2</p> <p>transpired 39264:16</p> <p>trap 39276:7</p> <p>trauma 39242:1</p> <p>traverse 39322:15</p> <p>treated 39323:9,22 39384:24</p> <p>tree 39344:10,12,12</p> <p>trespassing 39414:23</p> <p>trial 39421:7</p> <p>tricky 39289:5</p> <p>tried 39276:22 39277:11 39280:11 39283:3 39291:3,3 39293:16,17 39294:23 39295:6 39310:13 39313:3 39331:1 39345:9 39398:22</p> <p>trigger 39366:15 39400:25 39404:22</p> <p>trilateral 39248:22</p> <p>trouble 39279:22 39408:7</p> <p>TRT 39296:14 39335:16 39337:5 39338:1 39339:6 39340:6 39345:8,8 39346:6 39348:1 39352:18 39353:4,18 39353:22 39355:6,12 39356:23 39366:15 39366:20 39369:15 39369:18</p> <p>true 39245:20 39287:11 39290:21</p>	<p>39292:12 39306:14 39350:24 39404:4</p> <p>trust 39321:14</p> <p>truth 39243:23 39268:10 39283:8 39295:21 39297:20 39298:17 39351:19 39351:23 39357:16 39391:2</p> <p>try 39284:4 39294:25 39309:6 39323:8 39347:22 39350:5 39368:18 39402:14 39425:12</p> <p>trying 39247:24 39248:3 39260:22 39270:10 39291:5,9 39294:19 39310:7,16 39322:11 39334:6 39335:10 39346:4 39353:22 39375:9 39387:15 39407:24 39413:12</p> <p>TT4 39316:11</p> <p>Tuesday 39291:20 39299:4,5,8,18,24 39300:18 39315:22</p> <p>turn 39250:16,16 39275:14,14,15,16 39321:7 39337:23 39343:14 39393:6</p> <p>turned 39272:25 39286:24 39287:2 39337:20 39341:11 39344:5 39366:20 39392:25</p> <p>turning 39245:1 39286:14 39338:17 39344:18</p> <p>TV 39342:3,6</p> <p>Twala 39268:14 39301:16 39400:21 39400:22</p> <p>Twala's 39301:16,21</p> <p>twice 39294:11 39377:19</p> <p>twisting 39396:25</p> <p>two 39239:5,7 39240:22,25 39241:5 39241:21 39242:2 39248:10 39249:2 39250:13 39253:22 39254:21,25 39255:2 39255:16,21 39259:7 39264:17 39267:6,21 39268:20 39272:1 39279:4 39287:13 39296:7,11,15 39297:12 39299:21 39302:21 39303:25 39305:19 39307:2 39309:21 39310:7 39325:12 39327:19 39333:14 39334:18 39334:18,21 39343:18 39355:5</p>	<p>39358:3 39361:22 39362:7 39366:15 39367:11 39368:1 39369:25 39374:24 39377:4,18 39383:8 39389:23 39391:14 39414:19 39418:4 39419:10 39426:20 39426:25 39429:15</p> <p>two-year 39258:10</p> <p>type 39316:19</p> <p>T-junction 39370:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>ulterior 39358:7</p> <p>ultimate 39309:7</p> <p>ultimately 39257:25 39344:14</p> <p>unacceptable 39410:12</p> <p>unarmed 39334:8</p> <p>uncertain 39252:13</p> <p>unchallenged 39354:23</p> <p>undeniable 39265:3</p> <p>underestimate 39244:1 39244:2,10 39265:17 39273:7 39298:16 39427:24</p> <p>underline 39359:25</p> <p>underlying 39408:17</p> <p>underplayed 39298:12</p> <p>understand 39254:3 39263:1 39265:19 39268:1 39283:16 39284:23 39285:3,5,9 39288:8 39291:8 39292:9 39303:24 39319:19 39329:2 39341:16,16 39347:4 39347:7,8 39384:19 39407:22 39410:19 39421:13 39427:16</p> <p>understandable 39313:21</p> <p>understanding 39334:5 39378:6</p> <p>undertaking 39293:20</p> <p>unearthing 39297:23</p> <p>uneducated 39243:20 39427:25</p> <p>unenviable 39240:23</p> <p>unexpected 39259:3 39323:4</p> <p>unfair 39307:19</p> <p>unforgiveable 39246:11</p> <p>unfortunate 39248:4 39273:3 39301:16 39341:23 39342:15 39396:9</p> <p>unfortunately 39245:23 39326:13 39342:12 39422:4 39426:2</p> <p>unhappy 39379:21</p> <p>unholy 39248:24</p> <p>union 39248:21</p>	<p>39249:7 39258:19 39284:12 39294:24 39399:6</p> <p>unionist 39394:24 39397:14 39412:9</p> <p>units 39386:3 39410:15</p> <p>unlawful 39271:22 39359:23 39361:8 39367:21 39405:14</p> <p>unlawfully 39379:5 39425:4</p> <p>unlawfulness 39357:1 39361:11</p> <p>unmoved 39275:2</p> <p>unnatural 39258:6</p> <p>unprotected 39263:20</p> <p>unravel 39310:8</p> <p>unravelling 39298:14</p> <p>unreasonable 39258:18 39258:22 39334:24 39372:2</p> <p>unrelated 39297:14</p> <p>unrest 39282:24 39405:9</p> <p>unsatisfactory 39308:15,20 39309:1 39310:2</p> <p>unsay 39310:14</p> <p>unsettle 39291:5</p> <p>unsuccessful 39298:2</p> <p>unsuccessfully 39313:3</p> <p>Unterhalter 39406:8</p> <p>unwise 39250:15</p> <p>upheld 39370:3</p> <p>upper 39413:10 39423:20</p> <p>use 39244:15,23 39248:11 39263:5 39294:2 39328:14 39357:18 39364:2 39372:3 39377:4 39387:15,15 39412:2 39421:17,20 39422:4</p> <p>uses 39379:25 39380:1</p> <p>UUUU10 39332:23 39333:12 39335:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>v 39359:7,8,17 39368:24 39389:13 39389:18 39420:3,6,6 39420:14</p> <p>valid 39260:13 39367:14 39391:4</p> <p>valuation 39406:5</p> <p>value 39242:13 39365:25 39379:15</p> <p>values 39242:17 39305:8</p> <p>van 39250:2,3 39252:21,24 39389:18 39423:6</p> <p>vans 39324:24</p> <p>various 39239:19 39241:2,16 39248:21 39251:14 39266:22</p>	<p>39319:5 39322:3 39368:2 39395:19</p> <p>vas 39345:13</p> <p>veering 39298:3</p> <p>vehicle 39319:25 39320:1 39321:23</p> <p>vehicles 39319:20 39333:16</p> <p>venture 39243:3</p> <p>verdict 39397:10</p> <p>Vermaak 39296:24,25 39366:16,17</p> <p>Vermaak's 39297:1</p> <p>vernacular 39334:5</p> <p>versa 39374:17</p> <p>version 39246:14,17 39256:5 39272:6 39274:11 39293:19 39295:5 39296:16 39319:1 39332:18,25 39423:18 39425:14</p> <p>verstand 39318:6</p> <p>versus 39357:18,20 39358:4 39368:7 39376:18,19,25 39388:19 39416:14 39428:4</p> <p>vested 39283:2</p> <p>vestige 39376:2</p> <p>vicarious 39239:24</p> <p>vice 39374:17</p> <p>victims 39241:10,11,12 39241:14 39242:8,9 39272:19 39427:16 39427:17</p> <p>video 39275:15 39340:6 39342:4 39349:7</p> <p>videos 39275:25 39277:25 39339:20 39345:20 39348:18</p> <p>view 39245:10 39246:5 39249:6,24 39251:10 39253:20,25 39258:11 39259:19 39264:8,13 39278:23 39282:21 39283:4 39288:20,21 39307:10,10 39313:17 39321:7 39358:10 39369:22 39377:13,16 39382:1 39382:25 39397:4,5 39412:4</p> <p>viewed 39359:20</p> <p>views 39284:17 39289:10</p> <p>vindicated 39320:14 39322:8</p> <p>vindicates 39343:4</p> <p>violation 39407:11</p> <p>violence 39263:18 39271:5,13 39301:20 39303:4 39324:18 39404:13</p> <p>violent 39254:2,12</p>
--	--	---	---	--

39303:2 39397:21 virtually 39409:20 visit 39247:8 39287:17 visited 39247:12 visits 39314:6 visual 39275:10 visuals 39256:10 volatile 39327:22 39384:3 39409:3 volley 39367:2 voluntary 39276:8 39338:6 vote 39420:11 vulnerable 39254:23 39271:15 39365:4,13	warned 39252:10 warning 39250:9,13,13 39250:13,17,23 39251:18,25 39256:4 39321:19 39325:6,16 39325:19 39326:14 39327:2,17 39328:19 39328:25 39330:11 39330:15,20 39345:21,25 39346:2 39346:4,7,11 39348:7 39363:12,15,15,17,19 39364:2,2,3,5,7 warnings 39325:13 Warrant 39274:13,14 washed 39290:18 wasn't 39284:7 39287:5 39291:4 39307:14,14,15,15 39315:24 39325:16 39330:20 39371:11 39384:25 watch 39421:16 water 39324:6 39325:1 39329:16,17,18 39341:8,15,22 39342:13,24 39343:8 39353:10 39425:14 39425:15 watery 39423:7 way 39240:5 39243:18 39248:15 39259:14 39264:8 39265:5 39268:3 39272:20 39279:15 39285:9 39286:25 39288:9 39293:7,7,21 39297:11 39298:1 39302:22 39304:23 39333:7,10 39336:4 39346:25 39348:23 39353:21 39359:16 39364:9 39369:2 39377:11 39378:4,4,4 39380:24 39381:19 39388:23 39392:16 39392:17 39395:9 39396:16 39406:15 39406:24 39408:13 39408:23 39410:7,8 39410:13 ways 39301:4 39374:20 39375:19 39378:11 39397:20 weakening 39249:15 weapons 39255:19,22 39263:5,15,16 39275:8 39276:10 39279:2 39288:4,6 39363:23 wearing 39244:12 weather 39314:7 Wednesday 39283:23 39286:24 39300:18 39301:2 week 39245:6 39301:24	39351:6,23 39410:12 weekend 39274:22 39370:3 weeks 39399:9 weigh 39283:18 weighing 39262:14 39419:10 went 39246:25 39247:8 39247:16 39259:14 39271:20 39280:20 39291:10 39293:4,7 39294:21 39295:6,12 39307:12 39319:20 39320:2 39325:13 39334:21 39341:11 39341:25 39344:7 39390:12 39394:10 39403:15 weren't 39263:21 39279:25 west 39339:7,10 western 39307:21 39343:3 we'd 39291:20 39337:19 we'll 39248:6 39256:14 39258:4 39297:21 39301:12 39321:9 39327:5,6,7 39332:6 39351:24 39355:18 39376:25 39395:3,17 39402:18 39429:21 we're 39245:2 39248:11 39261:5 39265:22 39266:8 39288:1,1,3,6 39300:15 39329:13 39336:20 39348:3 39353:22 39381:12 39399:12 39421:4,5 we've 39244:4 39248:7 39256:19 39283:18 39285:12 39302:20 39308:18 39311:12 39322:1 39329:16 39341:5 39350:24 39351:17,22 39355:23 39359:14 39374:15 39379:2 39401:25 39405:6 39407:24 39424:3 whatnot 39273:14 whatsoever 39323:7 39328:11 39338:18 39352:18 what's 39258:1 39262:14 39272:5 39278:9 39338:24 39347:11 39409:7 what-what 39405:24 whichever 39341:2 39377:11 White 39327:12 who's 39244:12 39281:24,25 39288:6 39288:25 39355:24	39394:23,24 39401:22 39425:17 wider 39408:3,4 widows 39241:23 willing 39263:21 39358:8 willingness 39282:22 window 39349:11 wire 39315:13 39325:6 39325:17 39326:5,8 39327:23 39328:11 39328:13 39331:20 39334:13 39337:10 withdrawn 39240:13 39306:12 witness 39406:8,15 39422:24,25 witnesses 39249:4 39296:12 woman 39382:13 wonder 39324:20 won't 39239:12 39262:9,17 39279:21 39318:7 39366:4 39386:4 39421:23 39422:8 39425:19 39428:18 wool 39246:10 39297:15,17,20,23 39318:8 39335:2 word 39261:10 39264:23 39265:1 39267:23 39303:8,22 39314:10 39360:20 39376:3 39393:17 39420:16 worded 39259:13 words 39239:22 39251:6 39252:14 39265:11 39287:6 39290:16 39337:2 39359:5 39364:2 39365:4 39373:4 39374:13 39398:13 39406:12 39408:11 39408:14,16,17 39412:11 39428:7 work 39257:9 39269:1 39293:25 39298:7 39323:8 39378:18 39395:8 39406:15,22 39415:1 worked 39291:13 39309:18 workers 39256:25 39257:9,21 39261:9 39395:21 39399:8 39411:21 39413:15 39413:18 working 39309:15 39426:14 world 39280:18 39281:21 39282:2 39305:12 39315:5 39335:8 39342:4 39375:10,10,11,12	39399:11 39419:15 worried 39274:20 39354:17 39383:13 worry 39279:2 worse 39276:24 39278:24 39318:8 39360:21 worst 39387:1 39417:4 39417:5,6 39421:3 wouldn't 39265:11 39333:6 39368:23 39427:7 written 39351:6 39401:25 wrong 39243:15 39258:1,2 39260:10 39273:24 39274:1 39284:5,5,16 39299:1 39307:12 39330:9 39356:20 39359:7 39367:12 39372:8 39397:8 39403:10 39408:25 39421:11 wronged 39407:10 wrongfully 39371:23 wrongly 39353:13 wrote 39380:8 39398:8 Wyk 39250:2 39252:21 39252:24 Wyk's 39250:3
<hr/> W <hr/>				
wages 39254:16 wait 39351:22 waited 39373:17 waiver 39259:23 walk 39333:24 39425:9 39425:10 walked 39278:14 walking 39247:23 39267:7,8 39333:10 39333:15 39334:5,25 39375:22 Walters 39252:20 want 39243:18 39244:8 39246:8 39264:14 39268:21 39276:1 39277:21 39281:12 39281:14 39303:2,2 39308:16 39309:9 39310:5 39311:13 39312:5 39318:10,21 39323:21 39324:6 39329:22 39332:3,6 39332:18 39356:3,4 39363:12 39378:6 39382:14 39383:2 39388:21 39390:3 39393:9 39399:3 39400:5 39401:15,16 39411:24 39413:16 39415:14,22 39424:19 39426:17 39427:21 39428:10 39429:18 wanted 39248:15 39277:4 39278:19 39283:25 39310:3,9 39311:22,23 39318:17 39323:5 39324:2 39333:17 39362:15 39363:23 39369:2 39390:11 39404:15 39410:25 39420:18 39421:22 wants 39290:3,11 39375:10 war 39282:2,4 39283:12,14,15 39288:18 39396:5,9 39396:10,10				
				<hr/> X <hr/>
				X 39242:7 39287:19 39424:24 XXX8 39257:5,13 39261:5,13,15 X's 39263:11 39424:4 39424:24
				<hr/> Y <hr/>
				yard 39418:22 year 39259:8 years 39240:25 39241:5 39252:22 39258:20 39293:8 39303:25 39426:25 yellow 39335:9 yesterday 39249:14 39253:18,22 39255:12 39292:21 39320:12 39322:2 39385:21 39401:7 yield 39323:15 yielded 39324:8 you'd 39301:7 you'll 39258:24 39282:9 39283:9 39329:22 39332:6 39335:22 39336:15 39355:15 39394:1 39410:8 39418:20 you're 39260:10 39262:21 39269:14 39287:8 39289:8 39321:2,3 39331:20 39345:16 39355:19

<p>39357:5 39377:23 39386:20 39391:21 39391:23 39408:15 39410:4,4,20 39412:24 39418:16 39424:2 39425:25 you've 39280:4 39328:22,23 39329:18 39330:11 39342:17 39347:25 39389:23 39417:1,1 39421:16 39426:10</p>	<p>39264:12,14,20 39265:6,11,17 39266:6,10,20,21 39267:2,12,13,17 39269:12 39273:8 12:00 39319:17 12:20 39332:5 12:40 39342:9 13th 39245:6,8 39262:23 39263:9 39266:15 39273:9 39274:3 39275:3,4 39278:21 39279:4 39281:23 39288:20 39295:16,16,18 39296:7 39297:6,7,8 39297:8,14 39298:21 39298:24 39301:2,13 39301:20 13:00 39358:12 13:54 39361:25 14 39299:1 14th 39301:13,14 39302:8 39314:3 39423:5 14:14 39374:21 14:34 39386:16 14:54 39398:6 15 39274:22 39285:15 39319:15 39356:2,5 39402:18 15th 39245:7,9 39280:17 39287:15 39287:16 39288:21 39298:12,20,21 39314:3,4 39387:7 39398:20 15:20 39315:1 15:21 39402:21 15:41 39413:20 16 39329:8 16th 39241:15 39245:10 39278:4,6,9 39278:11,15,19,20 39279:1,10 39280:20 39287:13,15,16 39288:21 39296:11 39296:14,21 39297:9 39298:11 39322:13 39322:16 39388:14 39390:10,14 16:01 39428:7 17th 39388:13,23 18 39329:8 195 39305:4 195.1 39305:7 195.6 39305:6 1966 39357:18,21 1968 39389:12 1983 39389:14 199 39304:9 199.7 39305:2 1993 39359:8</p>	<p>39329:10 39330:16 39331:22 39335:6 39341:12 39359:9 39366:14 39376:21 20 39247:1 39268:20 39298:25 39300:16 39325:14 39338:10 39338:14 39388:4 39423:7 200 39423:12 2001 39369:5 2005 39259:5 2012 39245:18 39320:4 39320:5 2014 39239:1 39320:3 22 39304:10,11,17 222 39275:24 23 39344:7 25 39405:2 25th 39398:24 262 39325:12 39326:19 39326:25</p>	<p>50/50 39382:10 500 39278:18 510 39389:10,11 57 39389:14 59A 39359:9</p>	<p>6 6 39279:3 39336:13 6:30 39289:9 62 39389:15,17 63 39359:9 64 39359:9</p>
<p>Z Zeffert 39381:8 Zokwana 39258:25 39259:4,17 39286:24 39288:8 39313:19 39412:1 zone 39260:19 39283:12 39353:10 Zuma 39428:12 Z1 39275:25</p>	<p>0 000 39276:14 01:30 39316:21 06:30 39316:9 09:04 39239:2 09:23 39248:1 09:30 39316:7,8,14 39318:2,4,5 09:43 39257:15</p>	<p>3 3 39293:13 39294:7 39401:23 3:20PM 39289:6 30 39258:20 39268:20 39338:14 3000 39267:7 39269:11 39384:7 31st 39350:25 322 39357:21 39358:2 327 39357:24 39358:3 39362:14 332 39358:1 39376:12 34 39277:14 39388:8,10 39388:23 39394:13 39397:22 39398:18 39410:4 39427:17 34693 39404:14 34697 39404:16 34701 39405:1 34736 39405:6 35.14 39304:10,17,17</p>	<p>7 7 39297:1 39304:9</p>	<p>8 8:23 39321:5 8:35 39321:5,22 8:35AM 39320:7 8:42 39321:22,22 800 39410:10 830 39369:5</p>
<p>1 1 39246:22 39329:9 39330:15 39332:25 39335:5 39355:15,23 39363:14 39366:14 39369:5 39377:2,17 39392:19 39397:7 39429:5,7 10 39245:15 39344:14 39344:14 39384:8 10th 39254:14,19 39256:25 39257:3,3 39260:14,18,20,24 39261:20 39267:22 39399:12 10:03 39270:16 10:23 39281:11 10:48 39285:18 100 39276:13 39338:1 39340:7 1000 39335:12 11 39320:2 11th 39239:11 39245:6 39245:7 39249:23 39260:23 39264:15 39265:4,8,21 39267:14,16,19,23 11:08 39299:22 11:28 39310:19 12 39239:1 39281:10 39338:5 12th 39263:8,9 39264:7</p>	<p>2 2 39276:14 39281:10</p>	<p>4 4 39334:11,18,20 39340:11 39355:14 39357:18,21 39388:14 39389:12 39389:14 40 39252:22 4000 39269:11 44 39394:12,13 39398:21 48 39300:1 39301:21 49 39336:18,22 39339:13 39345:14 49(2) 39345:17 49.2 39337:1,3 498 39389:13</p>	<p>9 9 39330:8 39429:21 9(2) 39325:23 39330:9 39330:10 39414:10 92 39282:13,14</p>	
<p>0 000 39276:14 01:30 39316:21 06:30 39316:9 09:04 39239:2 09:23 39248:1 09:30 39316:7,8,14 39318:2,4,5 09:43 39257:15</p>	<p>2 2 39276:14 39281:10</p>	<p>5 5.10 39305:8 50 39423:10,11</p>	<p>9 9 39330:8 39429:21 9(2) 39325:23 39330:9 39330:10 39414:10 92 39282:13,14</p>	