

RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON
MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 215

10 APRIL 2014

PAGES 26454 TO 26595



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg
P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037
Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335
E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za
Web Address: <http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278>



Page 26454

1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 10 APRIL 2014]
 2 [09:29] CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I'm sad to have
 3 to say, as every morning, housekeeping matters intervened
 4 so we start later than I'd expected. I apologise to those
 5 who were kept waiting. The Commission resumes.
 6 Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation.
 7 SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (affirms
 8 further).
 9 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, we're going to go
 10 on with the fascinating discussion about the fences but
 11 before we do that, I was told that Colonel Vermaak may wish
 12 to say something. Am I right?
 13 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.
 14 I just want to put the request to the Commission, if it is
 15 possible that we can continue with cross-examination with
 16 the SAPS. The reason why is that you are still fresh in
 17 your memory with all the facts and if you have to stand off
 18 for a week or two or longer, you might be missing something
 19 that you are now knowing and then you forgot about specific
 20 things. So that is why I put the request that we just can
 21 continue with it, without any delay if possible but it is
 22 for the Commission to decide. Thank you, Chair.
 23 CHAIRPERSON: Well, if it's possible
 24 obviously one will have regard to that but obviously if we
 25 do have to have some delay, that'll be borne in mind in

Page 26455

1 assessing your evidence. One would take into account the
 2 fact that there was this interruption which may have caused
 3 difficulties and may not lead to adverse inferences being
 4 otherwise drawn, but Mr Semenya how do you respond to what
 5 the Colonel says?
 6 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I personally have
 7 sympathy for the concern that the Colonel is expressing.
 8 Ideally one would have wanted to do the cross-examination.
 9 We had almost prepared ourselves to get there but there are
 10 reputational risks associated with that. We have consulted
 11 with the witness. We think it might compromise, as I say,
 12 ethical issues and – but in mitigation we have also been
 13 informed that a separate counsel has been found and we have
 14 on our side prepared excerpts of the evidence material to
 15 the cross-examination of the witness, we have identified
 16 the exhibits that are relevant to that aspect, we have
 17 lined witnesses which that counsel would be able to confer
 18 with and, but we're unable to do that cross-examination
 19 ourselves.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, I see. In other
 21 words, what you're telling us is, for the reasons you've
 22 given, you don't think it's possible or appropriate for
 23 those of you who have consulted with the witness, who have
 24 been members of the SAPS team from the beginning, to cross-
 25 examine the witness, despite the fact that he's prepared to

Page 26456

1 waive any rights he may have to object to your cross-
 2 examining him.
 3 MR SEMENYA SC: Indeed, Chair.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: But if that is so, can you
 5 give us the assurance that you will endeavour to impress
 6 upon those instructing you and the counsel concerned that
 7 the Commission would appreciate it if this cross-
 8 examination could proceed as soon as possible for the
 9 reasons which the witness has very appropriately stated and
 10 which clearly carry considerable weight.
 11 MR SEMENYA SC: I will certainly do so,
 12 Chair, I will certainly do so.
 13 CHAIRPERSON: I think that's the best we
 14 can do, Colonel. I mean we can't, we can take them to
 15 water but we can't make them drink in the circumstances
 16 which have been outlined. There are broader issues but as
 17 I've said, two points, one, we've asked them to hurry up
 18 with it for the reasons you've mentioned and if you find
 19 when you are being cross-examined that you haven't got the
 20 fingers, the matters at your fingertips as much as you
 21 would have them now, that's something we will bear – we
 22 will bear in mind the delay and what the probable or
 23 possible consequences of the delay may be. So you don't
 24 have to worry that you will be prejudiced in any way.
 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Chair.

Page 26457

1 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, are we ready to
 2 solve the fencing problem this morning?
 3 MR GOTZ: Chair, I think that the fencing
 4 problem –
 5 CHAIRPERSON: You've given us some more
 6 documents –
 7 MR GOTZ: - may have been solved.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: - which I only saw this
 9 morning but –
 10 MR GOTZ: Yes, Chair. Overnight we have
 11 prepared an additional set of slides relating to the
 12 subject of fences. Chair, we have given a copy to the
 13 witness –
 14 CHAIRPERSON: Have or haven't?
 15 MR GOTZ: We have indeed, Chair.
 16 CHAIRPERSON: You have?
 17 MR GOTZ: We have given a copy.
 18 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, you have? Oh, good,
 19 alright.
 20 MR GOTZ: But in the interim, Chair,
 21 we've also had, through the evidence leaders, an acceptance
 22 by the SAPS team that the line that we mark from A to B
 23 both in exhibit OOO34 as well as this document, indeed is a
 24 fence. SAPS, I understand, says it's not a particularly
 25 good fence but they do concede that it is a fence. And

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26458</p> <p>1 Chair, we, in the light of that, don't need to cross-</p> <p>2 examine this witness on the subject of fences any further</p> <p>3 and perhaps all we can do is enter this document as an</p> <p>4 additional exhibit so that when the concession or</p> <p>5 acceptance is given, that we know what we're talking about,</p> <p>6 Chair. So if we can make this exhibit OOO36.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: So what you want to put in</p> <p>8 now as exhibit OOO36 is, what do you want to call it?</p> <p>9 MR GOTZ: The title is –</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: A document entitled</p> <p>11 "Fences."</p> <p>12 MR GOTZ: Yes.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Document containing four</p> <p>14 slides entitled "Fences."</p> <p>15 MR GOTZ: Chair, then as I understand –</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: I've marked my copy OOO34</p> <p>17 and I take it those who are – sorry, 36 – those who are</p> <p>18 looking after the exhibits, putting them on the screen and</p> <p>19 so on, will do the necessary.</p> <p>20 MR GOTZ: Then Chair, if we can just look</p> <p>21 at slide 2 which is a further photograph taken by the LCRC</p> <p>22 on the morning of the 17th of August 2012, as I understand –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: This is slide 1 –</p> <p>24 MR GOTZ: Well, yes –</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: What's on the screen, it's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26460</p> <p>1 case, Mr Semenya?</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: I confirm that, Chair.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so now we've got</p> <p>4 that on record, yes, where do we go now?</p> <p>5 MR GOTZ: Chair, in the light of that I</p> <p>6 don't need to cross-examine the witness on this subject any</p> <p>7 further.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Do you need to cross-</p> <p>9 examine him on any other subject?</p> <p>10 MR GOTZ: Yes, there are a couple of</p> <p>11 items –</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, proceed then with</p> <p>13 your cross-examination.</p> <p>14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR GOTZ (CONTD.):</p> <p>15 Lieutenant-Colonel, can we have a look at exhibit HHH20, at</p> <p>16 page 92 and if we can have that put up on screen?</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Gotz, you're</p> <p>18 looking at -</p> <p>19 MR GOTZ: I beg your pardon?</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: You were referring to an</p> <p>21 exhibit HHH?</p> <p>22 MR GOTZ: Yes, HHH20, consolidated</p> <p>23 statement by -</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: Colonel, Lieutenant-Colonel</p> <p>25 Scott –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26459</p> <p>1 the second page of the presentation.</p> <p>2 MR GOTZ: Indeed, Chair.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: But it's the first slide in</p> <p>4 the presentation.</p> <p>5 MR GOTZ: So it's slide –</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: Accuracy is a good thing,</p> <p>7 even early in the morning.</p> <p>8 MR GOTZ: It's the second page of the</p> <p>9 presentation, in other words the page after the –</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: It's the first slide in the</p> <p>11 presentation.</p> <p>12 MR GOTZ: - title page, the "Fences."</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we now can see</p> <p>14 it.</p> <p>15 MR GOTZ: Yes.</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: Who took the picture?</p> <p>17 MR GOTZ: It's a picture taken by the</p> <p>18 LCRC on the morning of the 17th of August 2012. Chair, as I</p> <p>19 understand, SAPS has accepted that the points A to B, if we</p> <p>20 can zoom in on that, is in fact or was in fact a fence on</p> <p>21 the 16th of August 2012. So the points A to B was in fact a</p> <p>22 fence. I understand that SAPS says it wasn't a</p> <p>23 particularly good fence but they do accept that it was a</p> <p>24 fence.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: You confirm that is the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26461</p> <p>1 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel Scott.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: What page?</p> <p>3 MR GOTZ: And the page that I want to</p> <p>4 refer to first is page 92 and the paragraph is 19.1.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: Alright.</p> <p>6 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, you'll see</p> <p>7 in that paragraph that Lieutenant-Colonel Scott – well,</p> <p>8 let's start with paragraph 19, in other words the main</p> <p>9 paragraph 19 rather than the sub-paragraph 19.1. He says</p> <p>10 that he was at the JOC and he was standing next to the</p> <p>11 radio listening to the operation as things were</p> <p>12 communicated through the radio. He indicates in paragraph</p> <p>13 19.1 that after Brigadier Calitz had initiated the</p> <p>14 operation, "Some minutes later I heard the intensity</p> <p>15 increase in the radio communication with Brigadier Calitz</p> <p>16 calling the Nyalas on their Pappa call signs into some</p> <p>17 formation and to engage. He was repeatedly calling the</p> <p>18 water cannons to come forward and engage." Now I presume</p> <p>19 that you heard the same communication from Brigadier</p> <p>20 Calitz.</p> <p>21 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, that is correct.</p> <p>22 Maybe I just want to put a request regarding the fence,</p> <p>23 there's just something that I want to point out to the</p> <p>24 Commission. If you will give me the permission to only</p> <p>25 look at a photo on the 16th, 4522 –</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26462</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Well, that seems a fair 2 request. Let's look at that. 3 MR GOTZ: 4522. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Now it's on the screen, 5 what do you want us to see? 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, this is the 7 altitude that we were flying and if you look at the picture 8 now you see no fence. If you zoom in then you can see 9 there's something like a fence but if you look at the 10 altitude that we are flying and what we must observe on the 11 ground, it is clear that you can't see any fence there. 12 CHAIRPERSON: It would be fair to say 13 that in the light of the police admission that there was a 14 fence but it was a poor fence, you say that you were, you 15 can't be criticised or blamed for not thinking there was – 16 for thinking there wasn't a fence there, regard being had 17 to the poor nature of the fence which we now know there was 18 there. That's basically what you're saying. 19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 20 CHAIRPERSON: That sounds fair because 21 you were how high at the time when this picture was taken? 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: This was about 500 23 feet. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, alright. So the poorer 25 the fence, the more difficult to see that it is a fence.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26464</p> <p>1 where he called the water cannons to come forward. 2 MR GOTZ: Well, in fact what Lieutenant- 3 Colonel Scott is describing in this paragraph is the events 4 before the TRT opened fire because you'll see in paragraph 5 19.2 and 19.3 and following that he then goes on to 6 describe the, describe you providing situational reporting 7 and then the reorganisation which occurs after the report 8 that you give that bodies are down. And so what he's 9 describing in paragraph 19.1 is the call for the water 10 cannon to come forward and engage before the TRT opens 11 fire. Did you hear that? 12 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I said again if 13 we can go back to the video or to the transcription, there 14 is places where Brigadier Calitz called for the water 15 cannon to come forward. There was even at one stage that 16 he called and said that the one water cannon did have now a 17 radio and I can communicate with the water cannon there. 18 Engage, yes, that is true but I think Colonel Scott gets 19 confused with the times when the specific instructions were 20 given. 21 MR GOTZ: I'm not sure that he's confused 22 at all. At a certain point in time the water cannon would 23 have needed to have been called forward from their starting 24 position to the point where the Nyala 4 intercepted with 25 the kraal, correct? Somebody would have had to have called</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26463</p> <p>1 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. 2 CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 3 MR GOTZ: Thank you for that, Lieutenant- 4 Colonel. Can we go back to exhibit HHH20 at page 92? I 5 understood you to say that you heard exactly what 6 Lieutenant-Colonel Scott says he heard when he describes 7 the radio communications in paragraph 19.1. 8 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. I have 9 testified that I repeat the instruction from Brigadier 10 Calitz for the members on the ground to engage. 11 MR GOTZ: Yes, of course what the 12 Lieutenant-Colonel does say is two other things that he 13 heard. He first of all heard Brigadier Calitz calling the 14 Nyalas on their Pappa call signs into some formation, did 15 you hear that? 16 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no. I only 17 heard the words "Engage." 18 MR GOTZ: And the other thing he says he 19 heard was the water cannons repeatedly being called to come 20 forward and engage – 21 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair – 22 MR GOTZ: - heard that. 23 COLONEL VERMAAK: - the water cannons 24 that were called was when they move into koppie 3 and I 25 think you can hear that instruction on the video of Lonmin</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26465</p> <p>1 them forward. 2 COLONEL VERMAAK: I don't know if anybody 3 called them to go forward. They can also act on their own 4 from where they were standing and they saw something is 5 going to happen, they knew they can use the water cannon 6 but what I heard is that Brigadier Calitz there said 7 "Engage" and where he called the Pappa Nyalas was to form 8 up in a line, that is also on one of the photos, and where 9 he was talking to the water cannons that we have heard on 10 the chopper was at koppie 3. So that is my testimony. 11 What Scott says, I cannot stand in for. 12 MR GOTZ: You're saying you personally 13 heard no instruction for the water cannon to come forward 14 prior to when they were told to come forward at koppie 3? 15 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. As I 16 said, you can see it, or heard it on the video from Lonmin. 17 That is the only place where Brigadier Calitz called the 18 water cannons to come forward. You also remember that is 19 also where I talked the water cannons in to koppie 3 and I 20 stand by it. When he called the Pappa vehicles was when, 21 after the shooting incident when they lined up close to 22 koppie 2. 23 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, can I ask 24 you to look at your consolidated statement which is LLL8 25 and in fact can I, if you don't mind, look at the English</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26466</p> <p>1 translation which Mr Mahlangu has provided us, which will 2 be LLL8.1 and to look at paragraph 11 on page 7 of the 3 document. Can we read from where you say, "Again Brigadier 4 Calitz gave an order." You say, "Again Brigadier Calitz 5 gave an order that the members take action." And then you 6 say, "It almost seemed as though the members did not hear 7 him. Due to the incident on Monday, 13 august, where two 8 policemen were killed under the helicopter by being 9 chopped, I realised that the members would have to act to 10 protect themselves. I again repeated Brigadier Calitz's 11 instruction from the helicopter, whereupon I saw the 12 members act." Now Lieutenant-Colonel, I just want to get 13 some sense of timing. When you say that you saw the 14 members act, what exactly are you seeing? Is that the TRT 15 opening fire? 16 [09:48] COLONEL VERMAAK: No, that is where I saw 17 the dust and everything before that, before the incident 18 there when the people were or the protesters approaching 19 that corner, that is when I give the instruction that the 20 Brigadier had given and that nowhere I mentioned water 21 cannons to come forward. 22 CHAIRPERSON: I think you said you simply 23 said "Engage." He said he'd given an order "Engage." 24 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 25 CHAIRPERSON: You repeated that order, is</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26468</p> <p>1 it is very difficult to see which units or members are 2 acting or are lined up in specific lines. 3 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, did I hear 4 you correctly that you repeated the instruction when you 5 saw the strikers approach the corner of the kraal, of the 6 small kraal? 7 COLONEL VERMAAK: I repeated every time 8 the instruction from Brigadier Calitz. 9 MR GOTZ: No, but the instruction that 10 you gave to them to engage, in other words the final 11 instruction prior to seeing the members act, as you put it 12 in your statement. 13 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 14 MR GOTZ: That was given when you saw the 15 strikers approach the corner of the kraal, is that correct? 16 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct. 17 MR GOTZ: Can you just give us a sense of 18 what exactly you said? You used the word "engage" but with 19 what force? Can you give us a demonstration of how you – 20 COLONEL VERMAAK: Just as I said – 21 MR GOTZ: - demonstrate the instruction. 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: Just as I said, the 23 instruction from Brigadier Calitz, "engage." As I said to 24 you, that you keep your conversations on the radio very 25 short so I said, "Instruction from Brigadier Calitz,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26467</p> <p>1 that right? Did you say anything else? 2 COLONEL VERMAAK: Nothing, Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON: When you say you saw the 4 members act, you say you saw dust and so on, so presumably 5 you saw the signs of some kind of activity – 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. I 7 didn't see anybody - 8 CHAIRPERSON: - but you didn't know what 9 the activity was. 10 COLONEL VERMAAK: - shooting, but there 11 was a lot of dust and I took it that there were some 12 actions taken by the police. 13 CHAIRPERSON: You don't know whether – 14 you say you saw dust which was a sign of action or 15 activity. 16 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 17 CHAIRPERSON: On the part of the police. 18 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Do you know or were you 20 able to see which section of the police was taking the 21 action – 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair. 23 CHAIRPERSON: - being involved in the 24 activity. 25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, from the altitude</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26469</p> <p>1 engage." 2 MR GOTZ: Did you repeat that? Did you 3 say it once? 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: The first and the 5 second time. 6 MR GOTZ: You said it twice? 7 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. It was 8 when I saw there's not really action from the police, they 9 were standing still and when the people came closer to them 10 I repeated it again. 11 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, you actually 12 didn't put it quite accurately, if I may say so. You said, 13 you talked about the witness giving the instruction. I 14 understand the witness's case to be, he never gave 15 instructions except when he was acting as a kind of traffic 16 policeman. He was simply repeating the instructions which 17 Brigadier Calitz had given and from what he now tells us it 18 appears he made that clear by introducing what he said by 19 the words "Instruction from Brigadier Calitz, engage." 20 MR GOTZ: Yes, Chair. 21 CHAIRPERSON: Am I understanding you 22 correctly, Colonel, or have I got it wrong? 23 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair. 24 MR GOTZ: I apologise if I mis-phrased, I 25 wasn't seeking to suggest that he had given the</p>

Page 26470

1 instruction. I understand that he was repeating an
2 instruction that he had heard from Brigadier Calitz. Thank
3 you, Chair. Can I take you briefly to what happens in the
4 aftermath of the members taking action. I understand that
5 you have testified that you took a Blackberry photograph,
6 we don't need to go there and the timing we've been told by
7 the evidence leaders, of that Blackberry photograph is
8 15:56:29. It's that Blackberry photograph which shows
9 various bodies lying in front of the kraal. What I do want
10 to show is two further photographs which you then
11 subsequently take with your Pentax camera. Chair, I think
12 it might be appropriate to give a warning because it does
13 show rather graphically the scene in the minutes following
14 –

15 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I am informed
16 that we're now going to be shown two video clips which, or
17 photographs, photographs I think which show rather
18 graphically some of the people who were killed on the 16th
19 and I'm led to believe that those who were close to the
20 people who are depicted on the photographs may experience
21 emotional distress looking at these pictures. So I'm going
22 to ask that they not be shown until a minute has expired
23 from the time I have finished speaking, that is to say a
24 minute from now. Those who wish to leave, I think it may
25 be advisable for them to leave, must please do so now.

Page 26471

1 MR GOTZ: Chairperson, while we wait, the
2 relevant exhibit is exhibit JJJ11 and if the technician can
3 find 4541 of exhibit JJJ11 but only put it up on screen
4 after the minute has expired.

5 CHAIRPERSON: I think the slides can now
6 be shown.

7 MR GOTZ: I beg your pardon, it is JJJ10.
8 I keep on mixing these up, JJJ10.4541.

9 CHAIRPERSON: Is this the slide you want?

10 MR GOTZ: Yes.

11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright.

12 MR GOTZ: Now Lieutenant-Colonel, this is
13 a picture that was taken with your Pentax camera. The eTV
14 time is 15:56:50 which is 20 seconds after you took the
15 Blackberry photograph. Can you indicate to us, did you
16 report to the JOC after taking the Blackberry photograph or
17 after taking this photograph?

18 COLONEL VERMAAK: Are you referring to
19 the Blackberry where they approached the kraal?

20 MR GOTZ: No, I'm referring to the
21 Blackberry photograph which is taken immediately after the
22 members take action or in the minutes following it and the
23 one that you sent to the JOC to indicate that bodies were
24 down.

25 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, if I remember

Page 26472

1 correctly, I first sent the Blackberry photo and then
2 thereafter I sent the Pentax – or no, I did not be able to
3 send the Pentax, I sent the Blackberry and thereafter I
4 take photos with the Pentax.

5 CHAIRPERSON: I think what Mr Gotz wants
6 to know, when did you report to the JOC? Is that right, Mr
7 Gotz?

8 MR GOTZ: Yes, indeed.

9 CHAIRPERSON: I understand the
10 Blackberries you could send, the Pentax photographs you
11 couldn't send but you could communicate orally as it were
12 with the JOC. Now what Mr Gotz is concerned about is at
13 what stage round about the time of taking these photographs
14 did you report orally to the JOC? Is that the question?

15 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, yes. Chair, yes,
16 I did. Also on the video of Lonmin you can hear where I am
17 counting the bodies. The first time I count the bodies
18 then we did the circle and thereafter I count the bodies
19 and give it through. After, before – just after the
20 incident, we took those photos with the Blackberry, sent it
21 to the JOC and called the JOC operators to make sure that
22 they did receive, Brigadier Pretorius did receive the
23 photos on her Blackberry.

24 MR GOTZ: You then take the two Pentax
25 photographs, this one 4541 and the photograph which follows

Page 26473

1 immediately upon this, 4542, if we can have a look at that?
2 This photograph was taken at eTV time 15:27 – sorry, 57:26
3 so it's approximately 45 seconds after the photograph that
4 we saw previously. Lieutenant-Colonel, it seems to us to
5 be quite clear that there, at the very least, you could see
6 that there were a number of people who were very seriously
7 injured. If this is what you seeing and taking photographs
8 of, you can see that there are a number of people who are
9 very seriously injured at the very least, if not dead.
10 Would you agree with that?

11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct, Chair.

12 I only saw it clearly through the Pentax because why,
13 you've got the privilege to zoom in with the Pentax but if
14 you did it with the Blackberry you are losing some pixels
15 and then the photos did not go through very clear.

16 MR GOTZ: Are you in fact zooming in at
17 this stage or is this essentially what you are seeing from

18 –

19 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes, I was zooming in
20 on this photo.

21 MR GOTZ: And does your Pentax have a
22 little screen that you can –

23 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, no, it had a screen
24 but I did not activate that screen. I'm looking through
25 the lens to take pictures. It makes it easier when you are

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26474</p> <p>1 zooming in to make sure you've got a clear picture.</p> <p>2 MR GOTZ: Nevertheless it is clear to</p> <p>3 you, using the zoom function on your Pentax, that there are</p> <p>4 a number of people who are very seriously injured, if not</p> <p>5 dead.</p> <p>6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. I have</p> <p>7 testified that the way the body was lying in an unnatural</p> <p>8 position, that is where I realised that some people might</p> <p>9 be injured seriously because why, the way they are lying</p> <p>10 and also the possibility that people can be dead and that</p> <p>11 is why I report to the JOC, bodies down.</p> <p>12 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, we've heard</p> <p>13 from a number of police witnesses that if they had known</p> <p>14 the extent of the injuries and possible deaths at scene 1,</p> <p>15 they would have halted the operation immediately. My</p> <p>16 question to you is, why did you not call for a halt to the</p> <p>17 operation?</p> <p>18 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I was not the</p> <p>19 overall commander. I was not the operational commander. I</p> <p>20 was the eye in the sky. Just seeing back to the letters</p> <p>21 that I sent in 2011 and 2012 before this incident where I</p> <p>22 expressed my concern about the handling of specific</p> <p>23 incidents where they are using TRT and other members that</p> <p>24 is not trained in POP, I think it is a fair question to ask</p> <p>25 and say, if they didn't listen to my inputs at the JOC</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26476</p> <p>1 seriously they are injured. But I must remind you, Chair,</p> <p>2 that at that scenes were senior officers, Colonels, and I</p> <p>3 think it should be their responsibility to inform the JOC</p> <p>4 there's people dead, because why, they're standing next to</p> <p>5 the bodies and if they didn't even report it through to the</p> <p>6 JOC, I don't think anybody should listen to me when I'm</p> <p>7 telling them to stop the operation.</p> <p>8 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, are you not</p> <p>9 prepared to share the responsibility for the fact that the</p> <p>10 operation was not stopped? You gave the information</p> <p>11 through but what you saw from your position as eye in the</p> <p>12 sky was that people simply ignored the fact that there was</p> <p>13 a significant number of people who were injured, if not</p> <p>14 dead, and you never said, come on guys, there are people</p> <p>15 injured, possibly dead, let's call a halt to the operation</p> <p>16 or let's consider calling a halt to the operation. You</p> <p>17 never say that.</p> <p>18 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair –</p> <p>19 MR GOTZ: Do you not think that you</p> <p>20 should share some of the responsibility?</p> <p>21 COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm not going to share</p> <p>22 the responsibility that I didn't stop the operation. I was</p> <p>23 the eye in the sky, I give feedback to them, I give</p> <p>24 feedback of people who have been shot and might be dead.</p> <p>25 To blame me that I should have stopped the operation, I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26475</p> <p>1 where they were warned about these people's actions, and I</p> <p>2 refer to the message that I sent to Brigadier Calitz where</p> <p>3 I mentioned, according to me, even the task force will get</p> <p>4 some difficulty in this situations, just imagine if I tell</p> <p>5 them now to stop the operation. If they didn't listen to</p> <p>6 me prior, why would they listen now to me to stop an</p> <p>7 operation where I already had objected against?</p> <p>8 MR GOTZ: Well, Lieutenant-Colonel, I'm</p> <p>9 not sure that it's that easy for you. You don't even make</p> <p>10 an attempt at this stage. You see that the operation</p> <p>11 continues but you don't even make the attempt to say,</p> <p>12 listen guys, there are people seriously injured, if not</p> <p>13 dead, isn't it appropriate that we call off the operation</p> <p>14 and assess the situation.</p> <p>15 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair –</p> <p>16 MR GOTZ: You don't say anything.</p> <p>17 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, the information was</p> <p>18 given to the relevant people. I did not know that General</p> <p>19 Mpembe was in a helicopter above us. I wasn't aware of it,</p> <p>20 nobody informed us, as myself and Mr Sinclair agreed on,</p> <p>21 that no helicopter would get airborne, private helicopters,</p> <p>22 while the police helicopters were there and also the Oryx</p> <p>23 helicopter. I gave through the information, that is what</p> <p>24 is expected from me and I mentioned twice that there are</p> <p>25 bodies down, not knowing they are dead and I don't know how</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26477</p> <p>1 think it is unfair. As I said, there were senior officers</p> <p>2 on the ground who were standing next to the scene where the</p> <p>3 people were being shot and I must also remind you, Chair,</p> <p>4 that I wasn't part of the briefing of the, at the</p> <p>5 afternoon. I was still under the impression that they are</p> <p>6 busy with the plan of the morning at the JOC. So I said to</p> <p>7 this Commission if I make a mistake, I will admit it but</p> <p>8 the fact that they didn't decide to stop when they heard</p> <p>9 that there's people down and especially so many people, I</p> <p>10 have counted the first time 18 and the next time 25. So I</p> <p>11 think the operational commander, the people in the JOC –</p> <p>12 Scott just, you, was testifying, he was saying he heard the</p> <p>13 instructions over the radio, he was the planner of the</p> <p>14 operation. General Annandale was in the JOC. They did</p> <p>15 have the CCTV cameras of the mine that was under</p> <p>16 observation 24 hours a day. I think they will be the</p> <p>17 people and should be the people who have to make the call</p> <p>18 to stop the operation.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Just to take that up, you</p> <p>20 didn't know General Mpembe was in the air in a helicopter?</p> <p>21 [10:08] COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't know –</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: Did you think he was in the</p> <p>23 JOC?</p> <p>24 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: That's where he should have</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26478</p> <p>1 been.</p> <p>2 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: So you had Major-General</p> <p>4 Mpembe who was the overall commander, you thought, in the</p> <p>5 JOC?</p> <p>6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: You had the chief-of-staff,</p> <p>8 the chairman of the committee, the JOCCOM, Major-General</p> <p>9 Annandale was in the JOC.</p> <p>10 COLONEL VERMAAK: He was also in the JOC.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: The planner Lieutenant-</p> <p>12 Colonel Scott whose rank was equal to yours, was in the</p> <p>13 JOC.</p> <p>14 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Brigadier Calitz – you</p> <p>16 didn't know where Brigadier Calitz was?</p> <p>17 COLONEL VERMAAK: I know he was on the</p> <p>18 ground, Chair.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, no, you knew on the</p> <p>20 ground –</p> <p>21 COLONEL VERMAAK: But not –</p> <p>22 CHAIRPERSON: He claims, he claims that</p> <p>23 by that time he'd gone off in the direction of the second</p> <p>24 koppie but whether that's correct or not, is a matter we've</p> <p>25 still got to consider carefully but you weren't aware of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26480</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: There were very senior</p> <p>2 people in the JOC who had heard what you had to say and</p> <p>3 presumably were also, you didn't know whether they were or</p> <p>4 not, but in touch with what was going on, possibly through</p> <p>5 cell phones or something of that kind.</p> <p>6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: Now was it your</p> <p>8 responsibility as the eye in the sky to make</p> <p>9 recommendations to all those seniors as to what should</p> <p>10 happen or was your duty simply to report what you could see</p> <p>11 from the sky?</p> <p>12 COLONEL VERMAAK: My only instruction was</p> <p>13 in the morning at the briefing that I must report what I</p> <p>14 saw on the ground and at that stage me and Brigadier</p> <p>15 Pretorius from own initiative shared with the Blackberry</p> <p>16 photos that I've taken, so they request me that where</p> <p>17 possible I must also send the Blackberry photos, as the</p> <p>18 previous day, to Brigadier Pretorius's phone.</p> <p>19 MR GOTZ: As far as you were concerned,</p> <p>20 Lieutenant-Colonel, let's put it this way, in your opinion</p> <p>21 did the people who had the power to make the decision have</p> <p>22 the necessary information to make the decision to stop the</p> <p>23 operation?</p> <p>24 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, as it just was</p> <p>25 said by you, there were full colonels on the ground, people</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26479</p> <p>1 that, I take it.</p> <p>2 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, I didn't –</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: You were thinking he was</p> <p>4 still at the scene, at scene 1?</p> <p>5 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, I must admit I</p> <p>6 didn't think even where he was. I immediately report what</p> <p>7 I've seen –</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay, so you</p> <p>9 didn't know where he was?</p> <p>10 COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't know where he</p> <p>11 was, Chair.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: But there were other Nyalas</p> <p>13 around there.</p> <p>14 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: I think there were colonels</p> <p>16 who were there in –</p> <p>17 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: - Nyalas.</p> <p>19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: So there were a whole</p> <p>21 battery of people who were senior in rank to you.</p> <p>22 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Who were not looking from</p> <p>24 500 feet above but on the ground.</p> <p>25 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26481</p> <p>1 who is my senior. I give feedback to the JOC. I didn't</p> <p>2 know who was in the JOC but I know who was supposed to be</p> <p>3 in the JOC and if I read the statement of Colonel Scott it</p> <p>4 seems to me that he was at the radio, listening what is</p> <p>5 happening. I think in his statement he mentioned that I</p> <p>6 reported bodies down. If he knew there's bodies down and</p> <p>7 he is the planner of the plan, then I think he should have</p> <p>8 informed – if General Annandale didn't hear it, I think</p> <p>9 because they were the people most of the time considering</p> <p>10 the plans, then I think Colonel Scott should have been,</p> <p>11 immediately informed General Annandale and they knew</p> <p>12 General Mpembe was not in the JOC so I believe it was their</p> <p>13 responsibility to make contact with General Mpembe to give</p> <p>14 the instruction to stop the operation.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Would you know whether the</p> <p>16 Provincial Commissioner was in or near the JOC at that</p> <p>17 time?</p> <p>18 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, Chair, I didn't</p> <p>19 know. As I have testified, we only landed to refuel and</p> <p>20 quickly offload the pictures on my computer and on Colonel</p> <p>21 Scott's computer. We spent the whole day, over seven hours</p> <p>22 in the air. So I did not really know who was in the JOC,</p> <p>23 when they were in the JOC, but I know who are the people</p> <p>24 who were supposed to be in the JOC.</p> <p>25 MR GOTZ: Is it your –</p>

Page 26482

1 COMMISSIONER TOKOTA: Sorry, Mr Gotz, I
2 don't know whether it's worthwhile spending time on this
3 but I just want to know from you, suppose you were on the
4 ground, you are a junior, there's operational commander,
5 there's overall commander, do you have power to say stop
6 this operation as a junior, notwithstanding that there is
7 an operational commander who can do so? Do you have
8 authority to do that?
9 COLONEL VERMAAK: If we look at what
10 happened on the 13th, when we got the information I
11 immediately phoned the PC, I report to her the situation, I
12 ask her permission to take General Mpmembe, for his own
13 safety, away from the scene there. So if I was on the
14 ground I should immediately contact the operational
15 commander and give him feedback and if he gave the
16 instruction to stop the operation, I would have, do that,
17 yes.
18 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel –
19 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, I don't want to
20 unduly hamper your cross-examination but I understand the
21 point you're seeking to make. I assume you may, you will
22 argue it at the end but are there any further facts that
23 you wish to elicit from the witness in support of the
24 argument you propose to advance or have you got everything
25 you need for the purposes of advancing the argument already

Page 26483

1 at this stage?
2 MR GOTZ: Chair, there's just one final
3 question. Lieutenant-Colonel, in your opinion, or did you
4 hold a view at the time that the operation should have been
5 stopped as a consequence of what you saw happening at scene
6 1?
7 COLONEL VERMAAK: Just repeat the
8 question for me?
9 MR GOTZ: You saw what was happening at
10 scene 1 or what had happened at scene 1. You saw bodies
11 down, serious, people seriously injured and possibly dead.
12 In your opinion, should the operation have been stopped at
13 that stage?
14 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes.
15 MR GOTZ: Did you hold that view at the
16 time?
17 COLONEL VERMAAK: I said that I gave that
18 information through to the JOC and it was for the seniors
19 to decide what to do.
20 MR GOTZ: No –
21 COLONEL VERMAAK: I must just remind you
22 that I was handling the police radio, I was handling the
23 Blackberry camera, I was handling the Pentax, so there's no
24 time to sit and think about everything. You are really
25 busy in that helicopter with everything and you are the eye

Page 26484

1 in the sky and you try your utmost best to inform the
2 people on the ground so that they can make the necessary
3 decisions.
4 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, can we move
5 on to what is my final topic and it'll be brief. Can I ask
6 you to look again at your consolidated statement, exhibit
7 LLL8? Or let's look at LLL8.1 and if we can go to
8 paragraph 9 of that statement. There you describe that you
9 send an SMS message on the 14th of August in which you say
10 the following, "Morning Brigadier, sorry I am so early with
11 the message. These officers do not have any experience of
12 mine unrests. This lot will now fight to the death because
13 they were with a witchdoctor and believe that no bullet
14 will kill them. They are now impis. I warned them but
15 they would not listen yesterday. I was for 15 years the
16 commander of the POP Klerksdorp with lots of experience in
17 mine unrest. These are not Tswanas, according to me they
18 are Mozambicans and other nationalities." And then you
19 say, "Even the task force will stumble against this group."
20 Now Lieutenant-Colonel, of course you're entirely incorrect
21 in your opinion that these are Mozambicans and other
22 nationalities, we do know that now.
23 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, out of
24 experience, people that's working on the mines are from
25 different nationalities. So I take it from that experience

Page 26485

1 that this is not only one group of people, that there might
2 be other nationalities also between this people that I know
3 that they can get very aggressive and that is why I sent
4 that message to Brigadier Garnett that they can take notice
5 of the seriousness of what is going to happen and what was
6 happening there. I think just the translation to the lot,
7 I don't think I mean it in that way. If I remember
8 correct, I said "die klomp mense," group of people.
9 MR GOTZ: Lieutenant-Colonel, the vast
10 majority of the people who were involved in the strike were
11 in fact from the Eastern Cape, they were South Africans
12 from the Eastern Cape. You know that now?
13 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. I
14 think you must also see that I mean ethnical people, not
15 only – that's why I said it's not only Tswanas, the Tswanas
16 are also from South Africa.
17 MR GOTZ: Tswanas are not from the
18 Eastern Cape.
19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, that is
20 your Xhosas that is in the Eastern Cape.
21 MR GOTZ: No, the point he's making, he's
22 talking about ethnic groups and he says they're not Tswanas
23 who are an ethnic group from – well, amongst other things,
24 the North-West province shading into Botswana, but he
25 thought they were Mozambicans and other nationalities. Now

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26486</p> <p>1 the question really is, what did you mean by other 2 nationalities? Did you mean people from Malawi and people 3 from Zambia as well as Mozambicans or Lesotho and Swaziland 4 or what did you mean by that expression "other 5 nationalities?"</p> <p>6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is also the people 7 from Mozambique. We all know there are Sothos working at 8 the mines and then also the different "groepe mense" in 9 South Africa.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: So you're talking about – 11 [microphone off, inaudible] – are you saying, I don't – 12 that by other nationalities in contradistinction to Tswanas 13 and Mozambicans did you mean other ethnic groups from –</p> <p>14 COLONEL VERMAAK: From South Africa.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: - within the borders of 16 South Africa.</p> <p>17 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: Other than Tswanas.</p> <p>19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Did you know in your 21 experience with mine unrest that you speak about in this 22 SMS that you sent to Brigadier Garnett, did you know from 23 your experience that a number, a significant number of the 24 mineworkers in the North-West province, the platinum area, 25 were from the Eastern Cape?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26488</p> <p>1 know if I take all the people, the injured people and the 2 people who were killed, I believe between them will be 3 Mozambicans, Zulus, Tswanas, Xhosas and also Sothos.</p> <p>4 MR GOTZ: There were in fact no 5 Mozambicans who were involved in this incident.</p> <p>6 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is what you know 7 about –</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, sorry to interrupt 9 you. Do we know that the injured and arrested persons are 10 not Mozambicans? We know none of the deceased was a 11 Mozambican but do we know the nationalities of the rest of 12 the 3 or 4 000 people who were on the koppie? So I'm 13 asking really whether you can put it as a fact to the 14 witness that there weren't Mozambicans. You may be right 15 that there weren't, but do we know that? Is there a basis 16 for putting that to the witness? I'm not sure actually 17 whether it helps us anyway but I won't stop you to proceed 18 with the point, you may well see a relevance that I don't 19 but what I'm putting to you is something different. Do we 20 know, fact, can we – is there a basis for suggesting to the 21 witness that among those 3, 4 000 people who were involved 22 on the koppie there were no Mozambicans?</p> <p>23 MR GOTZ: Chair, I see nothing to 24 indicate that there were any Mozambicans, so I –</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: That's one way of looking</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26487</p> <p>1 COLONEL VERMAAK: I know there was from 2 the Eastern Cape, Sothos and also Mozambicans. So yes, I 3 was aware of this information also due to the fact that 4 earlier that year at Implats with the unrest there it was 5 also mentioned there of the different groups of people who 6 is working on the mines and there was a fear that they can 7 have some troubles between these groups of peoples during 8 the strikes as well.</p> <p>9 MR GOTZ: But Lieutenant-Colonel, the 10 vast majority of the people that were involved in the 11 strike were Xhosa-speaking people from the Eastern Cape, 12 correct?</p> <p>13 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, at that stage I 14 didn't know it.</p> <p>15 MR GOTZ: Yes. And on the basis of your 16 incorrect assumption you make the statement, "These are not 17 Tswanas, according to me they are Mozambicans and other 18 nationalities. Even the task force will stumble against 19 this group." You were entirely incorrect in your 20 assumption that these were Mozambicans and other 21 nationalities and you make certain recommendations on the 22 basis of those incorrect assumptions, correct?</p> <p>23 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair, no, I don't 24 think you are correct. I believe there's also other 25 nationalities or other groups of people there. I don't</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26489</p> <p>1 at it but you put it as a fact that there were no 2 Mozambicans. I'm not sure that that fact was correctly put 3 but where – but you'll forgive me, where does this point 4 take us? If this point takes us somewhere you can carry on 5 with it but I don't understand where it takes us but as I 6 say, you may see something here which I don't, so I won't 7 stop you if there is a relevance in the point.</p> <p>8 MR GOTZ: Chair, all I can say is that 9 our instructions are and all the information that I have 10 was that the vast majority of the people involved were 11 from, Xhosa-speaking people from the Eastern Cape. That's 12 as high as I can put it.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: I must say that's my 14 understanding as well. The witness says he didn't know 15 that but I take it you won't argue with the proposition 16 that's been put to you that according to our information 17 and the information of those instructing Mr Gotz, most of 18 the people involved, that's the rock drill operators, were 19 people from the Eastern Cape – I think in fact many of them 20 were from Pondoland but you didn't know that, I take it.</p> <p>21 COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't know that, 22 Chair.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: But I take it you won't 24 argue with it, argue about it.</p> <p>25 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I cannot argue. I</p>

Page 26490

1 haven't got that statistics to argue with.

2 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Colonel, that

3 statement "Even the task force will stumble against this

4 group," does that mean that even the tactical units would

5 have difficulty controlling this group of people, as you

6 describe?

7 COLONEL VERMAAK: Chair –

8 CHAIRPERSON: The word you used, I'm

9 sorry to interrupt, the word you used was "vasloop" in your

10 SMS. Now, by that did you mean what Adv Hemraj has put to

11 you?

12 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair.

13 Chair, we have got some experience where the task force

14 were used with special operations with the gold and diamond

15 unit where they were called in, into that operations, and I

16 remember very clearly at one stage it was in Khuma in

17 Stilfontein where the people in the township gathered

18 around the house where the task force and the detectives

19 was and they could not get out there because why, they

20 didn't have any riot equipment with them. If they want to

21 get out, they should have used live ammunition and they

22 called us in, the POP, to get the task force and the

23 detectives out from the township.

24 [10:28] COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So as experienced

25 as you are in POP to deal with the crowd constituted as you

Page 26491

1 say it is, with that background of going to the

2 witchdoctor, you would require tactical units to deal with

3 them successfully?

4 COLONEL VERMAAK: No. Chair, if you

5 remember my letters to the PC, I was really worried about

6 the fact that they used TRT for example at crowd control.

7 So it was only to sensitise him that this is a serious

8 thing, by referring to the task force, they are not trained

9 to handle a crowd management situation and I know the

10 operation from the Monday was a crowd control situation.

11 We have many times incidents where we have people with

12 dangerous weapons at mines specifically and that is also

13 the reason what I said is these people or these commanders

14 didn't have the experience of really big mine unrest,

15 except for Colonel Merafe, Brigadier Calitz, I also have

16 Colonel Du Plooy from Sun City, he was also for many years

17 the commander of the POP and that is why I sent this SMS to

18 Brigadier Garnett. He was the duty officer in the NATJOC

19 that week and that is why I sent this to him so that he can

20 share it in the NATJOC also.

21 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: If the task force

22 would have difficulty with this crowd then surely POP on

23 their own would not have been sufficient to deal with this,

24 with this –

25 COLONEL VERMAAK: If you've got enough

Page 26492

1 POP members, you can handle it. Specifically mentioning

2 task force is that I know they can only use live

3 ammunition. TRT can only use live ammunition and that is,

4 as I said, also mentioned that in my letters that if you're

5 going to use these people in unrest incidents, you're going

6 to have people get killed.

7 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Thank you, Colonel.

8 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Gotz, sorry, we took

9 over a bit from you but we hadn't forgotten that it's

10 really your cross-examination. Please carry on.

11 MR GOTZ: Thank you, Chair. To pick up

12 on this issue of the witchdoctor, can we look at paragraph

13 2 of your statement? I beg your pardon, sorry, I think

14 it's paragraph 3 – 4, I beg your pardon. You say, and this

15 I understand you testified in relation to questions that I

16 had for you yesterday that at this point you're receiving

17 messages or information from Sergeant Venter and Warrant

18 Officer Padayachee, correct?

19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct.

20 MR GOTZ: And one of the photographs that

21 Sergeant Venter sent you was of people on the koppie and

22 you say in relation to that photograph, "They are all naked

23 and doing something with the sangoma, get ready to fight."

24 COLONEL VERMAAK: That is correct.

25 MR GOTZ: You didn't know what they were

Page 26493

1 doing with the sangoma, did you?

2 COLONEL VERMAAK: I didn't know precisely

3 what they were doing but also out of experience, that when

4 they visit a sangoma and they're taking part in rituals,

5 you can be assured that these people have a belief that

6 nobody can kill them and they will fight till they die.

7 MR GOTZ: Well, people see sangomas for

8 various reasons, Lieutenant-Colonel.

9 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's true, but you

10 must look to the situation there. I don't think they're

11 going to see the sangoma to heal them or something like

12 that. There was unrest and, from experience, when there is

13 unrest on the mines that is almost the first thing that

14 they are doing, they're going to the sangomas.

15 MR GOTZ: I'll take you up on your use of

16 the word "sangoma" in this paragraph. In paragraph 4 you

17 use the word "sangoma," in paragraph 9 you use the word

18 "witchdoctor" or in Afrikaans you use the word

19 "toordokter." They are not the same thing, do you know

20 that, Lieutenant-Colonel?

21 COLONEL VERMAAK: No, I didn't know it

22 but that is the normal way of talking between people. I

23 think officially you're referring to sangomas but what I

24 have said in the beginning, it was the sangomas, so it's

25 only words, different words but the same meaning.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26494</p> <p>1 MR GOTZ: Well, in fact they've got very 2 different meanings. A sangoma is a person who practises 3 traditional medicine. A witchdoctor is a person who 4 practises witchcraft in African culture. Did you know that 5 Lieutenant-Colonel? 6 COLONEL VERMAAK: I'm not an expert on 7 it. 8 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Gotz, of course 9 we're not concerned with the dictionary meaning of the word 10 although one of my advisers tells me that he thinks they 11 have the same meaning, but what we're concerned about is 12 what the witness meant when he used the words. You may be 13 right, correct, in saying – I don't know what the correct 14 position is – you may be correct in saying there's a 15 difference between a sangoma and a witch – and a 16 "toordokter" but the question is not what the correct 17 meaning is, even if you're right. The question is what did 18 this witness mean when he used those words and if he used 19 them interchangeably then the point disappears and I 20 understood him to say that that is so. Am I right? 21 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. 22 MR GOTZ: It goes to a further point, 23 Chair and Lieutenant-Colonel, we will argue – 24 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, can we look at 25 this further point after we've taken a comfort break?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26496</p> <p>1 pictures but possibly the question of these pictures can be 2 looked into while we take a comfort break. Are they, do 3 you know if they're available? 4 COLONEL VERMAAK: I will quickly look at 5 my computer, Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so let's take the 7 comfort break now and then when we come back you can give 8 us that information and then Mr Gotz can proceed with his 9 cross-examination. 10 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 11 [11:05] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. 12 Lieutenant-Colonel, you're still bound by your affirmation. 13 Mr Gotz? 14 SALMON JOHANNES VERMAAK: (affirms 15 further) 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR GOTZ (CONTD.): 17 Chair, I understood that the Lieutenant-Colonel would be 18 looking to see whether or not there were any additional 19 photographs of the 14th. Have you found those, Lieutenant- 20 Colonel? 21 COLONEL VERMAAK: Yes. Yes, Chair, I 22 did. Ms Pillay has it available, I believe. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Shall we put them in now or 24 should we wait for her re-examination? Perhaps we'll ask 25 her. Ms Pillay, what's best do you think?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26495</p> <p>1 MR GOTZ: Yes, indeed. I'll be – 2 CHAIRPERSON: But before we do that, I'm 3 sorry, there's something I wanted to – well, the picture I 4 want to look at which I thought was in L, it doesn't appear 5 to be there but it's somewhere else, but what you tell us – 6 let me just see if I can put this to you before we take the 7 comfort break, unless you'd be more comfortable if I put it 8 to you afterwards – what you say is that a report was made 9 to you on the Sunday and that report was made to you by 10 Sergeant Venter. 11 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. 12 CHAIRPERSON: Now, and you say that she 13 had taken pictures of the ritual. 14 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 15 CHAIRPERSON: With her Blackberry. 16 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 17 CHAIRPERSON: She sent them to you and 18 you then sent them on to Brigadier Calitz, is that right? 19 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct. 20 CHAIRPERSON: And you also sent them on 21 to Brigadier Garnett. 22 COLONEL VERMAAK: That's correct, Chair. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Now I don't know that those 24 – what we've got in exhibit L are photographs taken on the 25 Tuesday, the 14th. I'm not sure that we've got these</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26497</p> <p>1 MS PILLAY: Chair, we're happy to deal 2 with it in re-examination. I'm not sure if it's necessary 3 for Mr Gotz's cross-examination though. 4 CHAIRPERSON: We should ask him. Mr 5 Gotz, you understand what's happening. They found the 6 pictures. She was going to deal with them in re- 7 examination but would you want then to have a chance to ask 8 was about them? 9 MR GOTZ: Chair, I don't want to ask 10 questions on those photographs and I have reached the end 11 of my list of questions in cross-examination. 12 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay, so the 13 appropriate way to deal with it then is for Ms Pillay to 14 deal with it in re-examination. Alright, so that then – 15 MR GOTZ: That concludes my cross- 16 examination, Chair. 17 CHAIRPERSON: That concludes your cross- 18 examination, thank you. I think that concludes all the 19 cross-examiners who have given notice of intention to 20 cross-examine and been given permission to do so, with the 21 exception of the SAPS and we've heard this morning that 22 they have logistical problems, their counsel is not yet 23 available and that, we covered that this morning. So what 24 it means, Lieutenant-Colonel, is that you're excused for 25 the moment. I'm sorry about the delay which will be</p>

Page 26498

1 occasioned by this. We are endeavouring to keep it as
 2 short as we can but anyway, we canvassed that fully, you
 3 understand the position. So you're now excused for the
 4 moment but on the basis that when we receive the word from
 5 the SAPS that their counsel is ready, you will come back
 6 and continue with your evidence.

7 COLONEL VERMAAK: Thank you, Chair.

8 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, I understand
 9 you're now going to proceed with your re-examination of
 10 Major-General Naidoo and I see he's with us already in the
 11 chamber. Would you like to move to what some people
 12 incorrectly call the hot seat?

13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Thank you, Chair.

14 CHAIRPERSON: Have you got all your files
 15 with you?

16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.

17 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry we had the
 18 interruption while you were giving evidence. I think I'd
 19 better swear you in again, would you please stand up? Do
 20 you swear that the resumed evidence you will give in this
 21 matter before this Commission will be the truth, the whole
 22 truth and nothing but the truth? Please raise your right
 23 hand and say so help me God.

24 GENERAL NAIDOO: So help me God.

25 CHAIRPERSON: You may be seated, thank

Page 26499

1 you. Mr Semenya, are you going to do the re-examination?
 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: (s.u.o.)

3 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR SEMENYA SC:
 4 General, I would like to treat several elements of the
 5 matter that were dealt with you in cross-examination. One
 6 of those related to the intelligence which the police had
 7 around the events in Marikana and there the contentions are
 8 that the intelligence was either inadequate or inaccurate
 9 or of sub-quality. Do you remember that?

10 GENERAL NAIDOO: I do remember that,
 11 Chair.

12 MR SEMENYA SC: Now, I want to cast this
 13 background before I solicit your responses. Later the
 14 Commission will be told that the Makarapas had agreed that
 15 only one person in that group of 2 or 300 would have a cell
 16 phone and in fact Mr Twala gets killed because his cell
 17 phone, he had a cell phone with airtime and that they were
 18 sleeping at koppie 3 where they were appropriately
 19 concealed from public view. What were the opportunities of
 20 accessing accurate intelligence in relation to this group's
 21 activities?

22 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as indicated
 23 during cross-examination, that the intelligence was of a
 24 very general nature. It was not specific enough for us to
 25 action certain proactive activities which could have

Page 26500

1 mitigated the need for a confrontation. That's where we
 2 talked about, we had a discussion about the quality, it was
 3 not what we required and this was primarily because there
 4 was insufficient or almost no information coming in from
 5 the group that would assist us in taking that proactive
 6 action. I indicated during my cross-examination that we
 7 would have liked to know who are these five delegates that
 8 are currently negotiating with the police, what is their
 9 attitude, what is their disposition towards the – that
 10 would have assisted the negotiators in terms of trying to
 11 leverage some sort of solution to this thing but we did not
 12 have that specific intelligence and it was because very
 13 little was coming out of the group to tell us those things.

14 MR SEMENYA SC: The opportunity to
 15 infiltrate these Makarapas to access information, what
 16 opportunities were there?

17 GENERAL NAIDOO: According to our
 18 intelligence personnel, that they could not penetrate this
 19 group –

20 MR SEMENYA SC: Because?

21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Because of the way they
 22 isolated themselves and they had control over the smaller
 23 group so that they would know if somebody tried to break
 24 away or communicate with any external people. Their
 25 contact with outside people was limited, it seems.

Page 26501

1 MR SEMENYA SC: Opportunities of
 2 verifying whatever little intelligence that you had?

3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I also indicated
 4 that there were lots of things that did not realise. For
 5 instance, we had information that there was a vehicle
 6 possibly transporting arms, et cetera. None of these
 7 things could be verified for more specific, and we could
 8 not in any way confirm this information that was
 9 circulating so we had very little opportunity in terms of
 10 that.

11 MR SEMENYA SC: And opportunity in
 12 relation to time, apparently these Makarapas would have
 13 formed themselves the Sunday night, how much time would you
 14 have had to obtain intelligible intelligence?

15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, unless there was
 16 something in place or some of the members who were
 17 recruited as informers were eventually inducted into the
 18 structure, it would have not been possible. Then we are
 19 dependent on untested sources which, you know, we had to
 20 also verify.

21 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm just trying to
 22 explore in what manner there could have been other
 23 opportunities of getting reliable intelligence but the
 24 police have simply failed to take that opportunity.

25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes, I don't have

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26502</p> <p>1 an intelligence background but obviously we are the end 2 users of it and what we were reliably informed is they were 3 unable to access specific information from within the 4 group. There was a very close knit, and people were under 5 threat if it were discovered that they were participating 6 with the authorities. Obviously their lives were at risk 7 so our intelligence people indicated to us they had 8 sources, they did not have people in the group who could 9 give us specific information.</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: Alright. The other area 11 relates to whether the time was adequate to do briefing 12 between 14:30 and the time as stage 3 was implemented. Do 13 you recall that evidence?</p> <p>14 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.</p> <p>15 MR SEMENYA SC: And the contention there 16 was that there was inadequate time for proper briefings to 17 occur between the 14:30 briefing and the actual roll-out of 18 stage 3, remember that?</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: I remember that, Chair.</p> <p>20 MR SEMENYA SC: Now, just give us a sense 21 of what type of briefing would be given, say for instance 22 to the K9s.</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, in the overall 24 picture obviously Colonel Scott was then indicating what 25 would happen and what the expectation was from each group,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26504</p> <p>1 expectation was, when they were given the appropriate 2 command they would conduct searches and secure exhibits and 3 persons in that particular area.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: And how much time would 5 be necessary to do that type of briefing?</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not long because 7 obviously during this briefing, what follows from the 8 briefing is the implementation of the standard operating 9 procedures for conducting such a search. So basically it's 10 identifying the time, the location and how, et cetera. So 11 yes, 10 minutes, depending on if there's any questions that 12 were asked, yes.</p> <p>13 MR SEMENYA SC: It was also put to you 14 that, around the evidence of – around Warrant Officer 15 Breedt and whether or not the crime scene was properly 16 supervised, you remember questions around that?</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 MR SEMENYA SC: Firstly starting with 19 you, were you aware that Warrant Officer Breedt is removing 20 any evidentiary material from where it was?</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: At the time, no.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: Are you aware of anyone 23 senior who was alive to his activities at the time?</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not that I know of, no.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: As a warrant officer,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26503</p> <p>1 with specifically the K9 they were indicated that they 2 would be deployed after the dispersal action had taken 3 place and then the physical sweeping had taken place by the 4 tactical units, the NIU, the special task force as well as 5 the TRT. Then they would be utilising their dogs to 6 identify weapons which may be concealed or disposed of in 7 the area as well as suspects who might be hiding in places 8 which a visual search would not detect them, as well as 9 people who were possibly injured, et cetera.</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: What I'm trying to 11 establish is, given the K9 as a specialised unit –</p> <p>12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>13 MR SEMENYA SC: - do they know what 14 specialisation they're employed to do?</p> <p>15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Definitely. Their focus 16 was in terms of utilising their tools, in this case being 17 the K9, the dogs to support the operation. So their 18 briefing would have been specific to the expectation to 19 them, yes.</p> <p>20 MR SEMENYA SC: So they are not told, 21 they are not trained in the briefings. I just want to 22 understand what briefing is given to somebody who is 23 already a specialised unit?</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: An example, for the K9 25 the expectation from, they would have been told the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26505</p> <p>1 given his training, could or should he have known what is 2 the right thing to do on a crime scene?</p> <p>3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, a warrant officer 4 is a supervisor in the police structure and by that I mean 5 he also supervised junior personnel at the other ranks, so 6 yes, he would know.</p> <p>7 MR SEMENYA SC: It was put to you that 8 shouldn't someone have been responsible to see what it is 9 that he is doing. Did he need that type of supervision?</p> <p>10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no. As I 11 indicated, he is a supervisor, a warrant officer is a 12 supervisory rank in the SAPS.</p> <p>13 MR SEMENYA SC: Now you were also cross- 14 examined quite extensively in relation to your movement 15 from forward holding area 1 up to koppie 3, remember that?</p> <p>16 GENERAL NAIDOO: I do.</p> <p>17 MR SEMENYA SC: And during that cross- 18 examination it was put to you that there were at least five 19 alternative routes by which you could move from that 20 position to koppie 3.</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: And you gave us the 23 Google map that you had in your car at the time.</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: And the original is part</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26506</p> <p>1 of the exhibits before the Commission, is that right?</p> <p>2 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>3 MR SEMENYA SC: With its legibility being</p> <p>4 what it is, we will argue on it in the fullness of time.</p> <p>5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Correct, Chair.</p> <p>6 MR SEMENYA SC: Firstly, General, did it</p> <p>7 occur to you when you were in forward holding area 1 that</p> <p>8 you should look at that Google map and see whether there</p> <p>9 are four, five, six or seven opportunities of getting to</p> <p>10 koppie 3 – I mean to koppie 1?</p> <p>11 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair. From the</p> <p>12 explanation that was given to us in terms of the route we</p> <p>13 would take, it appeared straightforward. The driver didn't</p> <p>14 seem to have a problem with the location at that stage, so</p> <p>15 yes, we did not look at alternative routes.</p> <p>16 MR SEMENYA SC: At the time that you were</p> <p>17 in your car at that point, did it occur to you that you</p> <p>18 might get lost going to koppie 1?</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.</p> <p>20 MR SEMENYA SC: Did you doubt the ability</p> <p>21 of your driver to take you there?</p> <p>22 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.</p> <p>23 MR SEMENYA SC: At what point does it</p> <p>24 become for the first time obvious to you that you are not</p> <p>25 on the right path?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26508</p> <p>1 opportunity of months of looking at the material, I just</p> <p>2 wanted to help us to understand what was in your mind at</p> <p>3 that time, given the circumstances that prevailed there.</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay, Chair.</p> <p>5 MR SEMENYA SC: Firstly, did you know how</p> <p>6 many power stations there were?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: At that stage, no.</p> <p>8 Before this, when I looked at the map I saw a power</p> <p>9 station, I did not know there was a second power station.</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: Now when you hit the</p> <p>11 ditch, what did you say to the driver?</p> <p>12 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, we realised we could</p> <p>13 not carry on so we would have to turn around, so we did</p> <p>14 turn around and we turned the whole convoy around.</p> <p>15 MR SEMENYA SC: And you turn right when</p> <p>16 you get to the main road?</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right, Chair.</p> <p>18 MR SEMENYA SC: And then you realised</p> <p>19 there is a second power station.</p> <p>20 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right, Chair.</p> <p>21 MR SEMENYA SC: The bigger one?</p> <p>22 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>23 MR SEMENYA SC: Do you at that time make</p> <p>24 the judgment call whether he should go to the left or to</p> <p>25 the right of this power station?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26507</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, when we took that</p> <p>2 turn and then realised that the road, well, was interrupted</p> <p>3 by this donga or it was not traversable, I realised that we</p> <p>4 were on the wrong route and that's when we turned the</p> <p>5 convoy around.</p> <p>6 MR SEMENYA SC: And did you at that time</p> <p>7 now look at your Google map to see what is the other</p> <p>8 alternative route capable of transporting you to koppie 1?</p> <p>9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes and – yes, we</p> <p>10 did.</p> <p>11 MR SEMENYA SC: Did you have, did it</p> <p>12 strike your mind that now that you've hit the donga you</p> <p>13 better look at your Google map again?</p> <p>14 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>15 MR SEMENYA SC: To try and reorientate</p> <p>16 yourself.</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair,</p> <p>18 we did.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: What does the driver do?</p> <p>20 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's when we decided</p> <p>21 to go to the next power station.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: Stay with me, General, I</p> <p>23 just want to take these things as they happened.</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: Now that we have an</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26509</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, we drove to the</p> <p>2 power station and obviously looking for the road where we</p> <p>3 were told that at the power station you will turn right and</p> <p>4 that's when we proceeded to the power station.</p> <p>5 MR SEMENYA SC: I want to understand</p> <p>6 whether, during this time, you were giving instructions to</p> <p>7 the driver or how do you, you move in that convoy?</p> <p>8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, I was asking him to</p> <p>9 proceed because obviously we were trying to make some good</p> <p>10 time.</p> <p>11 [11:25] MR SEMENYA SC: Do you choose the routes,</p> <p>12 that's what I'm trying to establish, do you choose the</p> <p>13 route the driver must take or he drives to the direction</p> <p>14 that you said he must go?</p> <p>15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, when we came out</p> <p>16 of the first turn I asked him to turn right, yes.</p> <p>17 MR SEMENYA SC: Now, you were also asked</p> <p>18 around that matter that you ought to have been able to see</p> <p>19 what was happening at koppie 1 whilst you were at the power</p> <p>20 station, do you remember that line of questions?</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: And when you were cross-</p> <p>23 examined around that matter it was in relation to the fence</p> <p>24 that is enclosing the big power station.</p> <p>25 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p>

Page 26510

1 MR SEMENYA SC: And I think the import of
 2 the questions was that anybody could see from that position
 3 and your evidence around your vision being obscured cannot
 4 be correct, do you recall that battery of questions?
 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: I do, Chair.
 6 MR SEMENYA SC: But if I understand your
 7 evidence it was at that small power station where you were,
 8 where you had stopped.
 9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, with the
 10 description I gave, yes, we realised it was a small power
 11 station. I described the wall that obscured our vision,
 12 yes.
 13 MR SEMENYA SC: Is that wall there next
 14 to the small power station?
 15 GENERAL NAIDOO: It is a wall that is
 16 surrounding the small power station, yes.
 17 MR SEMENYA SC: And could you have an
 18 untrammelled vision from that point to where koppie 1 was?
 19 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.
 20 MR SEMENYA SC: Part of the other line of
 21 questions that were asked of you around that matter related
 22 to whether or not you should or could have seen the
 23 teargas.
 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.
 25 MR SEMENYA SC: Could you see the teargas

Page 26511

1 from where you were?
 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: As I testified, Chair, I
 3 could not and I did not, Chair.
 4 MR SEMENYA SC: Could anyone of your team
 5 have seen the teargas there?
 6 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, not the vehicles
 7 that were in the front of the convoy, I'm not sure about
 8 the vehicles – because it was a long convoy, if something
 9 at the rear which was not obstructed by the small power
 10 station, I am not sure.
 11 MR SEMENYA SC: Even with the best
 12 endeavour?
 13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, sorry?
 14 MR SEMENYA SC: Even with the best
 15 endeavour, could you see any teargas from there?
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Me myself, no.
 17 MR SEMENYA SC: The other line of
 18 questions that were put to you related to the position
 19 where you were at forward holding area 1 and the position
 20 of Brigadier Calitz in the Nyala along the line of Nyalas
 21 that were to be deployed in stage 3, do you recall that?
 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: I recall.
 23 MR SEMENYA SC: You were asked questions
 24 in relation to what it is that you were discussing with the
 25 Brigadier at that time, remember that?

Page 26512

1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, I do.
 2 MR SEMENYA SC: And your evidence is that
 3 you did not speak to the Brigadier at that time.
 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: My evidence was I did
 5 try twice to speak to him but I did not get him, yes.
 6 MR SEMENYA SC: I thought the import of
 7 that line of questions was to suggest that you must have,
 8 at that time, been speaking to Brigadier Calitz and trying
 9 to co-ordinate your movement to koppie 3.
 10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes, as I
 11 indicated that the reason for the call was to try and
 12 establish what was happening. We were getting the
 13 different reports and sounds but I did not get hold of him
 14 and the idea was to, when we were responding, to go to
 15 koppie 1, we did not know the existence of koppie 3 at that
 16 stage.
 17 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm trying to test the
 18 plausibility of those propositions with you, General. Was
 19 it know, as you were at forward holding area 1 that
 20 ultimately a group of people would be running to koppie 3
 21 in the event of any police operation?
 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair, as I also
 23 testified that nowhere in the briefing were we told
 24 anything about the area which we now know as koppie 3.
 25 MR SEMENYA SC: Are you aware whether

Page 26513

1 Brigadier Calitz as the operational commander knew that
 2 given any police operation, the group would run to koppie
 3 3?
 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: As I indicated, we had
 5 no intelligence or briefing on that particular –
 6 MR SEMENYA SC: Could there have been any
 7 purpose for you and Brigadier Calitz over the phone to
 8 discuss going to koppie 3?
 9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not at that stage, no.
 10 MR SEMENYA SC: Could you and Brigadier
 11 Calitz have dictated the movement of the strikers?
 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, we had no control
 13 over that.
 14 MR SEMENYA SC: You say during that
 15 attempt at speaking to Brigadier Calitz that your intention
 16 was to establish whether the operation had started or what,
 17 that was your intention?
 18 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes, as I
 19 testified, we did hear shooting and certain reports and
 20 obviously I called in to establish what was happening.
 21 MR SEMENYA SC: Now, the other area of
 22 questions that you had to field related to the message you
 23 had that people were down. Remember that line of
 24 questions?
 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: I remember that, Chair.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26514</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: And it was suggested to 2 you that one of the things that you should have conjured in 3 your mind is that live ammunition was used. 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: I remember that, Chair. 5 MR SEMENYA SC: You remember that? 6 GENERAL NAIDOO: I do. 7 MR SEMENYA SC: What, in the position you 8 were in at forward holding area 1, could have been the 9 indicators of that as a possibility? 10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, well, the 11 indication was that because I heard live ammunition there's 12 a possibility of people being injured but as I indicated, 13 from where I was I had no sight of what was happening. 14 There was no communication on the radio in terms of the 15 instruction to use live ammunition or people indicating 16 that they're using live ammunition. The shots that we 17 heard could have been shots that were fired as warning 18 shots as well. So at that stage, as I testified, our 19 original plan was to respond to people who were being 20 dispersed and possibly injured during the stampedes, et 21 cetera. We did not expect to have to deal with people who 22 were shot. 23 MR SEMENYA SC: And answering that aspect 24 again, your understanding of bodies at that time conveyed 25 what in your mind?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26516</p> <p>1 for is that people who are in tactical, tactical units, 2 ought to have amongst them people with medical aid 3 capabilities, remember that? 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: I remember it, yes, 5 first aid. 6 MR SEMENYA SC: What would that entail? 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Basically it would 8 entail that we'll have to train personnel, although the 9 indication is tactical units but we'll have to train police 10 personnel to serve as either first aid or beyond that as 11 paramedics at scenes that they attend. 12 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes. No, I understand 13 the concept but I'm trying to understand how implementable 14 is that concept. 15 GENERAL NAIDOO: It will be difficult, as 16 I testified, and certain issues have to be clarified 17 because in some instances the police officials themselves 18 may have been involved in the injuring or the securing of a 19 person and there might be some conflict of interest there 20 in terms of training the people but, as I said, as a normal 21 human being one would expect people would want to assist a 22 fellow human being but in terms of the level of technical 23 skill required and the implications for the police that 24 such a responsibility be put on them, those things have to 25 be well thought of because this is an issue that confronts</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26515</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Well, the message that 2 we heard was that people were down and my understanding was 3 that the dispersal action, during the dispersal action 4 people had fell and injured themselves, et cetera. 5 MR SEMENYA SC: Now you tell us that the 6 reason for your movement from forward holding area 1 was to 7 assist in the medical personnel getting to the scene. 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, immediate response 9 area 1. Yes, to get medical personnel to the scene because 10 as I testified, it was reported by helicopter that people 11 were down and medical personnel were required. 12 MR SEMENYA SC: And I have looked at the 13 transcript of your evidence, there are times when you say 14 you had three ambulances or three medics, what did you 15 have? 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Three, there were three 17 units, three, one car and two ambulances, yes. 18 MR SEMENYA SC: The personnel, what 19 number of people did you have as medics? 20 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I spoke to three 21 people. The two ambulances could have had additional 22 personnel in them but I spoke to the three drivers, they 23 were with me, yes. 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Now one of the 25 recommendations which the evidence leaders intend to ask</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26517</p> <p>1 the police on a daily basis. 2 MR SEMENYA SC: I think I understand a 3 Colonel McIntosh – 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. 5 MR SEMENYA SC: - arriving at the scene 6 of an injured person, given his experience, attempting to 7 do the best medical aid intervention he can. 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair. 9 MR SEMENYA SC: Now I want to understand 10 that scenario in relation to the responsibilities of the 11 police and the area of responsibility of IPID. If Colonel 12 McIntosh was the one who had discharged those rounds and 13 fatally wounded that individual – 14 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 15 MR SEMENYA SC: - would he be the one to 16 go there and try and resuscitate them, to give medical aid 17 if - how does it work? 18 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes. If he was 19 the suitably trained person, as the case was he was, then 20 he would be expected to go and deal with that to secure the 21 patient to try and save the life of a patient and ensure 22 that he tried to sustain that patient until other 23 assistance arrives. 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Even if he was the only 25 individual on that scene -</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26518</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct.</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: - in the circumstances.</p> <p>3 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, would this be a</p> <p>5 convenient stage for the tea –</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I was proposing to</p> <p>7 take it at quarter to but I understand if you ask for it</p> <p>8 now you have a reason. We'll take the tea adjournment now.</p> <p>9 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES]</p> <p>10 [12:11] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes.</p> <p>11 Major-General, you're still under oath.</p> <p>12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Under oath, Chair.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya?</p> <p>14 GENERAL NAIDOO: (s.u.o.)</p> <p>15 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR SEMENYA SC (CONTD.):</p> <p>16 General, can I ask you in relation to the stage 3 plan, it</p> <p>17 was put to you that the phase 3 plan went only up to the</p> <p>18 dry riverbed, do you remember that line of questions?</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Dry riverbed, yes.</p> <p>20 MR SEMENYA SC: You would remember</p> <p>21 according to the evidence of Brigadier Calitz the dispersal</p> <p>22 would happen and they would reform a line at the dry</p> <p>23 riverbed.</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: That line of questioning.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26520</p> <p>1 continue until they were sure that there was no regrouping</p> <p>2 of individuals to any other activities which might endanger</p> <p>3 property or life.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: If there was any armed</p> <p>5 regrouping, say at koppie 3, what did the plan contemplate</p> <p>6 would happen?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: There were two things.</p> <p>8 Obviously the first line was the public order police but we</p> <p>9 indicated that the tactical units would also deal with</p> <p>10 pockets of resistance where individuals would entrench</p> <p>11 themselves in particular places and try to resist the</p> <p>12 dispersal, the tactical units being the TRT, the NIU as</p> <p>13 well as the special task force because the expectation was</p> <p>14 that there were firearms also among the group.</p> <p>15 MR SEMENYA SC: Now on another topic, we</p> <p>16 have heard repeatedly that part of the reason for having</p> <p>17 TRT in the plan was their role being to protect the POP.</p> <p>18 Can you explain that for us a little?</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: My understanding of that</p> <p>20 was that the public order police were engaged with the</p> <p>21 large crowd, facing the large crowd and negotiating with</p> <p>22 them in terms of the lay down of weapons and dispersal</p> <p>23 action. The TRT line was put behind the public order</p> <p>24 police units because it would allow them to protect the</p> <p>25 rear of the public order police units, firstly, and</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26519</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, yes, Chair.</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: But help me understand,</p> <p>3 where the plan indicated the positive attraction to the</p> <p>4 westerly direction –</p> <p>5 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>6 MR SEMENYA SC: - how far west would that</p> <p>7 dispersal go?</p> <p>8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I think from my</p> <p>9 understanding it would go until we're sure that the crowd</p> <p>10 had cleared the particular area, there would not be</p> <p>11 regrouping in a particular place and my understanding that</p> <p>12 the west was the positive attraction point, obviously there</p> <p>13 were places that the strikers would go to such as the</p> <p>14 hostels, et cetera. So the dispersal would just ensure</p> <p>15 that the groups did not regroup and start any other action</p> <p>16 such as destruction of property, attacking of people, et</p> <p>17 cetera.</p> <p>18 MR SEMENYA SC: Because I think that line</p> <p>19 of criticism is saying that the stage 3 plan did not cover</p> <p>20 koppie 3. Is that a fair criticism?</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no. It would</p> <p>22 indicate any regrouping would be dealt with. As I</p> <p>23 indicated, in our briefing there was no specific mention</p> <p>24 made of koppie 3 or any koppies other than the main koppie</p> <p>25 but in terms of a dispersal action the action would</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26521</p> <p>1 secondly, the public order police units primarily use non-</p> <p>2 lethal weapons, equipment. They had, each of them had a 9</p> <p>3 millimetre but primarily they use non-lethal force with the</p> <p>4 exception of each section having a member with an R5 rifle</p> <p>5 and the idea was to protect these people when they are</p> <p>6 utilising the non-lethal force from elements that could</p> <p>7 have firearms, et cetera.</p> <p>8 MR SEMENYA SC: What type of protection?</p> <p>9 GENERAL NAIDOO: To protect their lives</p> <p>10 and their – because it was necessitate the public order</p> <p>11 police members coming out of the Nyalas and utilising</p> <p>12 teargas, using the shotguns in their dispersal line. If</p> <p>13 they were utilised in that way they would be exposed to</p> <p>14 people with lethal weapons which could endanger their life.</p> <p>15 And secondly, there was also the reality that there was</p> <p>16 this armed group who was more armed, heavily armed and they</p> <p>17 could overrun the public order police line as well and they</p> <p>18 needed that protection.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: Just to close the other</p> <p>20 topic in relation to why it is that the records indicate</p> <p>21 Brigadier Calitz to have responded to your call, you</p> <p>22 remember that line of questioning?</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: And I think the Chair</p> <p>25 indicated we might obtain, expect a statement from Vodacom</p>

Page 26522

1 in that regard so I won't deal with that with you.
 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay.
 3 MR SEMENYA SC: What I want to deal with
 4 you, though, is to invite the operator to give us LLL26 on
 5 the screen and go to paragraph 13. General, I want to
 6 invite your comment in relation to the criticism that was
 7 also levelled at the police operation and that related to
 8 the fact that it is contended that search and seizure
 9 should have been the first option, first tactical option as
 10 opposed to what we now know to be stage 3. Now this is a
 11 statement outlining –
 12 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson, I
 13 don't think this is a matter for re-examination. This is a
 14 matter flowing from the evidence of Lieutenant-Colonel
 15 Vermaak, it wasn't traversed in cross-examination of this
 16 witness in any way.
 17 MR SEMENYA SC: I have ex tempore notes,
 18 Chair. If I have to go find the reference I will do so but
 19 the search and seizure would have been launched first, was
 20 the note I have on this matter.
 21 CHAIRPERSON: Is it a note that you made
 22 while this witness was giving evidence?
 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Correct.
 24 CHAIRPERSON: Well, if that's correct
 25 then your point falls away.

Page 26523

1 MR SEMENYA SC: Anyway I thought,
 2 General, I could have the benefit of your comments. Was it
 3 known to the police at the time before the 16th that the
 4 Makarapas had chosen koppie 3 as a strategic position for
 5 them?
 6 GENERAL NAIDOO: No Chair, we did not
 7 know.
 8 MR SEMENYA SC: Was it known that they
 9 did so because it was secluded and located away from the
 10 public for the performance of their own rituals? Was that
 11 information known to you?
 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair. We did not
 13 have that information either.
 14 MR SEMENYA SC: That those boulders and
 15 trees gave them some privacy, was it known to you?
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: We were not aware of
 17 that.
 18 MR SEMENYA SC: That they had the
 19 advantage that strangers could be seen approaching from far
 20 away because of its vantage position and [inaudible], was
 21 that information known to you?
 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.
 23 MR SEMENYA SC: Were the police aware
 24 that there was an appreciation on the part of this group
 25 that it would be difficult for a stranger to specifically

Page 26524

1 pinpoint the precise location of where they were camped?
 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: We were not aware of
 3 that information either.
 4 MR SEMENYA SC: In fact, I thought it was
 5 your evidence that when you go to koppie 3 ultimately the
 6 number of people arrested there were not, were a surprise
 7 to the police.
 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: I did testify to that
 9 effect, yes.
 10 MR SEMENYA SC: Was it known at the time,
 11 though, before the 16th that that koppie was chosen because
 12 access to it using a motor vehicle was going to be
 13 difficult –
 14 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.
 15 MR SEMENYA SC: - because of the rugged
 16 terrain.
 17 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair, we were not
 18 aware of that.
 19 MR SEMENYA SC: Can we just finish that?
 20 Can we scroll up? And that it was appreciated that should
 21 the need arise, it would be easy for the group to escape
 22 from that koppie. Were all of those factors known to the
 23 police at all?
 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not at all, Chair.
 25 MR SEMENYA SC: During cross-examination

Page 26525

1 there was also the challenge, there was a challenge around
 2 – and that was Mr Ntsebeza doing the cross-examination –
 3 that reinforcements were brought in and the tactical units
 4 brought in after the Monday incident where the police
 5 members perished. Do you remember that line of
 6 questioning?
 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: I remember something
 8 like that, yes.
 9 MR SEMENYA SC: When did you again come
 10 to Marikana around the period 9 to 16?
 11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, the first time I
 12 arrived at Marikana was on the 13th.
 13 MR SEMENYA SC: But did you do anything
 14 in relation to reinforcement of personnel before your
 15 arrival?
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. As I
 17 indicated, on Saturday we sent in our local public order
 18 police unit and on Sunday we had to reinforce them with
 19 members from out of the province from both public order
 20 police unit as well as the national intervention unit.
 21 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, because the
 22 criticism there was that the national intervention unit
 23 only came subsequent to members of the police perishing in
 24 the events of Monday the 11th.
 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: 13th.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26526</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: 13th, Monday the 13th.</p> <p>2 And there was also a line of questions that were put to you</p> <p>3 suggesting that you were not interested in the civilians</p> <p>4 who also were fatally wounded around the events of Monday,</p> <p>5 do you recall that?</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: I recall that, Chair.</p> <p>7 MR SEMENYA SC: What was your concern</p> <p>8 around that matter?</p> <p>9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, firstly as I</p> <p>10 indicated, I did not know of the specifics of the civilians</p> <p>11 that were killed on that day and I put the information on</p> <p>12 the statement as I became aware of it and secondly, when I</p> <p>13 reported in my statement it was in relation to the issues</p> <p>14 that informed police activities and that, from my</p> <p>15 perspective in terms of the things that were being dealt</p> <p>16 with by the police, that I put into my statement what I</p> <p>17 did, not in relation to not considering the position of</p> <p>18 civilians.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: You were also asked in</p> <p>20 relation to whether or not statements of various witnesses</p> <p>21 were consistent with your account in relation to the stage</p> <p>22 when you fired around koppie 3, you recall that?</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: At the time of making</p> <p>25 your statement, did you compare your statement with the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26528</p> <p>1 of other people, rather talk of their own actions, ja.</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: Now one of the areas,</p> <p>3 General, where we can benefit from your insight is how an</p> <p>4 operation, command and control is maintained in an</p> <p>5 operation. If, as has happened, you are at koppie 3 and</p> <p>6 your rank is one of a General –</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>8 MR SEMENYA SC: - one, are you in control</p> <p>9 of the NIU members you find there?</p> <p>10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, not directly, as</p> <p>11 they have their operational commander but yes, their</p> <p>12 commander, if I was the senior the commander will come and</p> <p>13 report to me, yes but not directly. As I said, each unit</p> <p>14 has their own commanders as well, it just depends on my</p> <p>15 reason for being there and responsibility.</p> <p>16 MR SEMENYA SC: Firstly, I want to</p> <p>17 understand whether the two are two different concepts,</p> <p>18 control and command, two different concepts.</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes. When we say</p> <p>20 control, in the end you obviously have the authority,</p> <p>21 executive authority in terms of how to utilise. Command is</p> <p>22 you are supervising their immediate task in terms of what</p> <p>23 you have to do, in a more line function way, ja.</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: What I'm trying to</p> <p>25 understand, General, is can you at any stage be in control</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26527</p> <p>1 statements of other witnesses?</p> <p>2 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair, I had not had</p> <p>3 any sight of those.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: Did they have an</p> <p>5 opportunity to have a look at yours before writing their</p> <p>6 own?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.</p> <p>8 MR SEMENYA SC: Are you aware if any of</p> <p>9 them were pertinently asked to make specific comment in</p> <p>10 relation to your conduct?</p> <p>11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not that I'm aware of,</p> <p>12 Chair.</p> <p>13 MR SEMENYA SC: Do you have an</p> <p>14 explanation why they don't mention things that happened</p> <p>15 there?</p> <p>16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated in</p> <p>17 my evidence, that the members made the statements in</p> <p>18 relation to their actions, the simple question being, did</p> <p>19 you shoot or did you not shoot and what were the</p> <p>20 circumstances of you using your firearm. So I would</p> <p>21 understand why they couched it in that particular line,</p> <p>22 their focus was on what they did as individuals. I</p> <p>23 remember during the cross-examination going through several</p> <p>24 of the statements that were provided to me and they don't,</p> <p>25 in none of those statements, actually indicate any action</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26529</p> <p>1 of the STF members?</p> <p>2 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair.</p> <p>3 MR SEMENYA SC: Can you at any stage,</p> <p>4 just purely because you're a General, be in control of the</p> <p>5 NIU unit?</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, not purely.</p> <p>7 MR SEMENYA SC: Not – sorry?</p> <p>8 GENERAL NAIDOO: I say not specifically.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Not specifically. I mean</p> <p>10 if you're on –</p> <p>11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair –</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: - on the, I hesitate to use</p> <p>13 the word "battlefield" but you know that's really what I</p> <p>14 mean, without the connotations that go with it, when you're</p> <p>15 on the field of operation and there are some NIU people</p> <p>16 there and you're there as well and you're the senior</p> <p>17 officer and there are things happening, weapons are being,</p> <p>18 firearms are being fired from different directions, do you</p> <p>19 have to just stand by and see the NIU people doing things</p> <p>20 that you don't agree with? Would you not, in that</p> <p>21 circumstance, have the right as the general to take</p> <p>22 command, as it were?</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay, as the police</p> <p>24 then, yes. Chair, I think what I was trying to explain is,</p> <p>25 and I think you have been exposed to this in the public</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26530</p> <p>1 order scenario where, when you get to a particular scene, a 2 public order scene, the public order commander takes charge 3 of the public, the crowd management issue and is 4 responsible, even if he gets – and I used the example 5 during my testimony, you get to a place where there's an 6 area commissioner or the station commander, sorry, cluster 7 commander or a station commander who is on the scene but 8 when the public order police unit arrives, the member in 9 charge of that unit then takes charge of that scene. You 10 can't then utilise your rank and say I am a brigadier. 11 Similarly at the crime scene, once the crime scene person 12 takes charge of the scene, everybody needs to then follow 13 the direction of the crime scene commander. I'm just 14 trying to put that. 15 [12:31] CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, I understand that 16 but applying that to the facts of this case, you were on 17 the eastern side, I think it was, of koppie 3. 18 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. 19 CHAIRPERSON: You weren't aware that 20 Brigadier Calitz and the other people were on – 21 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair. 22 CHAIRPERSON: - on the western side. 23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON: As far as you were 25 concerned there was a problem that had to be dealt with.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26532</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: But your point, as I 2 understand you, is you didn't know he was there. Is that 3 correct? 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair. 5 MR SEMENYA SC: General, I want to have 6 the benefit of your training and I'm trying to firstly 7 establish, I can understand as a senior you can command 8 those who are junior to you but I want to understand the 9 word "control." As you were at forward holding area 1, you 10 would have been in command of the units that were there. 11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Overall, yes. 12 MR SEMENYA SC: But were you in control 13 of the mounted unit, for instance, where the commander of 14 the mounted unit was present? 15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Hence yes, I understand 16 what you're saying that -that's what I tried to explain, 17 that there was a multidisciplinary force that was assembled 18 and whilst I was overall co-ordinating them, for want of a 19 better word, and ensuring that they were deployed as 20 needed, their immediate commanders were the different 21 discipline specialists such as the NIU, the mounted unit, 22 the K9, et cetera, yes. 23 MR SEMENYA SC: What I'm trying to 24 understand, put differently, can a commander of the NIU say 25 to the Commission, once General Naidoo came on the scene my</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26531</p> <p>1 There was no POP officer around to take charge. 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. 3 CHAIRPERSON: There were NIU people. 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: But they weren't members of 6 the POP but they were performing a kind of a POP function 7 at the time. 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. 9 CHAIRPERSON: You were a Major-General, 10 you were the senior officer there. 11 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right. 12 CHAIRPERSON: You considered it your duty 13 to deal with the situation for the reasons you've 14 explained. In that context I take it you would have had 15 the right and the authority – 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: As I've testified – 17 CHAIRPERSON: - the NIU, which in fact I 18 think you did – 19 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right. 20 CHAIRPERSON: - to take command. 21 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right. 22 CHAIRPERSON: Obviously if you'd known 23 that Brigadier Calitz was on the other side of the koppie 24 you might have operated, acted differently. 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26533</p> <p>1 control over my members was gone because he is now a senior 2 police officer than I am? 3 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair. Two things, 4 firstly I worked through that, there is a chain of command 5 and secondly, looking at the different specialisations, 6 obviously the expectation is that I would work through the 7 senior specialist that's there. It's not just disregard 8 the chain of command, it can't be like that. 9 MR SEMENYA SC: Simply because you are on 10 the eastern side of the koppie and you are a General and 11 you are of where Brigadier Calitz is, do you then become 12 the operational commander there? 13 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair. 14 MR SEMENYA SC: What type of commander do 15 you become? 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I was dealing 17 with a particular incident that I encountered, so I would 18 probably be the senior person at that particular incident. 19 MR SEMENYA SC: Ja, but I want to 20 understand what that entails. It entails what authority in 21 respect of what type of conduct do you then acquire? 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I'm a police 23 officer and just to put an appropriate example, if I am 24 going down past an incident and an incident occurs, I'm 25 expected to stop at that incident and to render whatever</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26534</p> <p>1 assistance until the appropriate people arrive to take over 2 that incident, just to put it in context of what you're 3 asking. So even though I might be working in an 4 administration function but I was on duty at that 5 particular time or even off duty in uniform when an 6 incident occurred, I cannot go past that incident. The 7 expectation from especially the public who'll be viewing me 8 is that as a police officer I would stop – say if it was a 9 collision, I would stop at that collision, render the 10 necessary assistance, contact the relevant people and hold 11 until the proper people who were performing this function 12 arrive and take over.</p> <p>13 MR SEMENYA SC: I understand, given your 14 seniority, you would have an obligation and a duty to 15 exercise the powers you have but I'm trying to have you 16 tell us in relation to koppie 3 and assuming nothing wrong 17 is happening, I just want to understand the concepts –</p> <p>18 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: - on arrival do you take 20 command of the environment by your seniority alone?</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, as indicated, by the 22 fact that I was the most senior person there, I just 23 ensured that certain things were done. As I indicated that 24 Brigadier Calitz, when he arrived there we discussed the 25 scene and then he indicated he was going to deal with the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26536</p> <p>1 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: So Colonel, 2 General, when you arrived at koppie 3 there were NIU 3 members there.</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Who would be in 6 command and control of those NIU members after you arrived?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Colonel Modiba was 8 there, he was in charge. I mentioned him by name, yes.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: The question you were asked 10 by Mr Semenya says, presupposes that there was nothing 11 wrong happening. Now we know something wrong was 12 happening. When you got to the eastern end of koppie 3, 13 firearms were being discharged from the west, you thought 14 it was from the strikers and in fact some of them may well 15 have been the strikers but there was action going on, there 16 was – bullets were flying around. There was, effectively 17 an operation had to be mounted at that point to deal with 18 the situation.</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Now I understand the 21 terminological differences and difficulties but from a 22 practical point of view there was an operation going on.</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: And you were, co-ordination 25 was required of the forces on the ground to deal with that.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26535</p> <p>1 prisoners.</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: No, before anything else.</p> <p>3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: Work with me on the 5 hypothesis I'm putting to you.</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>7 MR SEMENYA SC: So that I can understand 8 the concepts.</p> <p>9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay.</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: As you arrive there and 11 assume for the purposes of answering this question that 12 nothing wrong is happening –</p> <p>13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>14 MR SEMENYA SC: As you arrive at the 15 scene –</p> <p>16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>17 MR SEMENYA SC: - are you in control or 18 are you in command purely arising out of your seniority?</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair. Obviously 20 the person who was in charge at that stage will be in 21 charge.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: And who would be in 23 command?</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: The commander of that 25 particular unit or the group that was there.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26537</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: And you were effectively, I 3 would have thought, the acting operational commander until 4 the appropriate person arrived on the scene to take over. 5 Would that be correct?</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair –</p> <p>7 CHAIRPERSON: I mean you had to co- 8 ordinate what was happening.</p> <p>9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair –</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: Am I right or have I got it 11 wrong?</p> <p>12 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, it would depend. 13 After I arrive on the scene and my subsequent actions would 14 determine that but when I arrived at the scene, I mean you 15 first establish – I could have arrived and seen, yes, 16 everything, they were busy with an operation and then 17 carried on but at that stage there was, I stopped at the 18 scene and then I obviously deviated there.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: Now let's explore that a 20 little, General. As far as the account of members is 21 concerned in relation to their conduct at koppie 3, they 22 acted in self and private defence.</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: According to their 24 account, yes, that's correct.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: That is an area not</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26538</p> <p>1 governed by the concept control and command –</p> <p>2 GENERAL NAIDOO: No.</p> <p>3 MR SEMENYA SC: - or is it?</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: It's not.</p> <p>5 MR SEMENYA SC: Now I want to understand</p> <p>6 what were you in command of and in control of at koppie 3?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated,</p> <p>8 when I arrived there it was after one of the incidents so</p> <p>9 the only thing that – well, the instruction that I gave</p> <p>10 initially was, because as I indicated I observed people</p> <p>11 lying there. Obviously I had within my group the</p> <p>12 ambulances and I indicated to the Colonel that we should</p> <p>13 move forward because we had to ascertain the condition of</p> <p>14 those people, they had been shot. So I, when I arrived at</p> <p>15 the scene I determined that there was a need for medical</p> <p>16 assistance and that's why we moved forward.</p> <p>17 MR SEMENYA SC: No, I'm talking at koppie</p> <p>18 3 now.</p> <p>19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>20 MR SEMENYA SC: Did you command any</p> <p>21 police action there?</p> <p>22 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not specifically, no,</p> <p>23 other than this – I'm going to say, when you say command</p> <p>24 I'm trying to understand. As I said, I arrived there, the</p> <p>25 NIU had some action. I told them – okay, maybe the better</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26540</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: The other criticism is</p> <p>2 that you had, I think that's the evidence, you had stun</p> <p>3 grenades with you.</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>5 MR SEMENYA SC: That why didn't you use</p> <p>6 them at koppie 3, remember that?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes, I remember</p> <p>8 that.</p> <p>9 MR SEMENYA SC: Now take us back to your</p> <p>10 mental frame at that point. As you were approaching the</p> <p>11 koppie was there anything, as far as you could see,</p> <p>12 demanding the use of a stun grenade?</p> <p>13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I testified,</p> <p>14 as we approached the koppie there was shooting. I saw the</p> <p>15 NIU, I proceeded to the NIU who were in a defensive</p> <p>16 position. As I alighted from the vehicle before, before I</p> <p>17 got out of the vehicle the two grenades, as I say they were</p> <p>18 on the seat, I kept them on so that they would be available</p> <p>19 and whether anything required them at koppie 3, they could</p> <p>20 have possibly been – as I said, I will still going to</p> <p>21 assess the situation with the NIU.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: No, I understand that.</p> <p>23 I'm asking as you approached the koppie, was there anything</p> <p>24 addressing to you the need for the use of a stun grenade?</p> <p>25 That's the question I'm asking.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26539</p> <p>1 word is I commanded them that we should go forward because</p> <p>2 these people were lying there and I, as I testified, when</p> <p>3 we arrived there we saw that two of them had been killed</p> <p>4 and three were not killed. So yes, if that's command -</p> <p>5 MR SEMENYA SC: What other command did</p> <p>6 you give while you were at koppie 3?</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: I – well, I indicated</p> <p>8 they should arrest some of those people that we found there</p> <p>9 and the K9 was called in, the medical personnel were called</p> <p>10 in, the crime scene and the detective people were called</p> <p>11 in, yes.</p> <p>12 MR SEMENYA SC: All on your command?</p> <p>13 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct.</p> <p>14 MR SEMENYA SC: Okay. What did you</p> <p>15 control there?</p> <p>16 GENERAL NAIDOO: The activities of these</p> <p>17 various police officials and other support services, yes.</p> <p>18 MR SEMENYA SC: In what manner did you</p> <p>19 control them?</p> <p>20 GENERAL NAIDOO: I asked the K9 to sweep</p> <p>21 the koppie, I asked the detectives and the crime scene</p> <p>22 people to come and take charge of the place. What was the</p> <p>23 other one? There were public order police people that were</p> <p>24 removing the arrested people out of the way - so ja, there</p> <p>25 were various activities.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26541</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not, not immediately,</p> <p>2 no. I can't remember. As I said, there was shooting and</p> <p>3 shooting is not necessarily requiring stun grenades.</p> <p>4 MR SEMENYA SC: Give us, in broad terms,</p> <p>5 environments where a stun grenade, it is appropriate to</p> <p>6 discharge.</p> <p>7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, normally - the</p> <p>8 stun grenade is a percussion pyrotechnical aid which is</p> <p>9 normally used to disorientate or confuse people who might</p> <p>10 be in a particular location, more appropriately in more</p> <p>11 confined, closer environments which might be difficult to</p> <p>12 access by police officials. That would be the appropriate</p> <p>13 place.</p> <p>14 MR SEMENYA SC: Now I asked if, when you</p> <p>15 were approaching koppie 3 did it occur to you that you</p> <p>16 needed to confuse some people?</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, if it was</p> <p>18 required to use minimum force to deal with people, yes.</p> <p>19 MR SEMENYA SC: No, not in a hypothetical</p> <p>20 sense, General.</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay.</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: I know you had them on</p> <p>23 you.</p> <p>24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>25 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm asking whether did</p>

Page 26542

1 anything present there, in your judgment, necessitating the
 2 use of a stun grenade?
 3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I testified,
 4 when we arrived there we were under the impression that
 5 there were a few people that were entrenched in the bushes
 6 or in the rocks, that were engaging with the police and
 7 maybe that would be an appropriate environment to use such
 8 an aid.
 9 MR SEMENYA SC: Okay. About the
 10 compilation –
 11 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, before we move
 12 on to the compilation of whatever you're going to be asked,
 13 why didn't you use the stun grenade?
 14 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I never came to a
 15 circumstance where I could use it or a situation where I
 16 would use it.
 17 CHAIRPERSON: I thought you just answered
 18 the question previously saying there was a situation where
 19 there were people entrenched in rocks and it would have
 20 been appropriate to use a stun grenade, or did I mishear
 21 you?
 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair, I said that
 23 we were arriving in a situation where people could have
 24 been entrenched in the rocks or in the bushes. I still had
 25 to make that assessment as we were approaching the terrain

Page 26543

1 that I was viewing.
 2 MR SEMENYA SC: That, General, accounts
 3 to why you had hand grenades on your person?
 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Stun grenades, sir.
 5 MR SEMENYA SC: Stun grenades, I
 6 apologise, General. What I sought to establish with you
 7 is, was there a moment when you thought it appropriate to
 8 use it?
 9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I didn't have the
 10 opportunity or the moment to use it, yes, no.
 11 MR SEMENYA SC: And there were areas
 12 where you testified that you take responsibility for those
 13 people who are in your team, remember that?
 14 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.
 15 MR SEMENYA SC: Can you explain that?
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, when I say that,
 17 I indicated that I noticed the particular situation that
 18 was at koppie 3 and I deviated part of the K9 unit to
 19 koppie 3. I was responsible for that decision, the members
 20 didn't just go there on their own.
 21 MR SEMENYA SC: The line of questions
 22 around that subject was in some sense talking about
 23 culpability in that context. Were you answering to that?
 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, well, my
 25 understanding was that the individuals who utilised their

Page 26544

1 firearms, almost all of them, specifically indicated that
 2 they had to utilise those firearms in self-defence. I was
 3 not present when most of the K9 people shot, so I can't
 4 specifically indicate the circumstances under which they
 5 used their firearms but I did notice the incident occurring
 6 at koppie 3 and I did divert the K9, part of the K9 unit to
 7 go and ascertain what was happening there.
 8 MR SEMENYA SC: Yes, that's just what I'm
 9 trying to probe with you, General. In respect of those K9
 10 members who came to koppie 3 with you –
 11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
 12 MR SEMENYA SC: - and who would have
 13 discharged their firearms out of your sight, how do you
 14 take responsibility for their conduct?
 15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as you indicated,
 16 the issue of private defence is a little bit different from
 17 command and control, so that would be difficult to
 18 specifically take responsibility for their actions without
 19 knowing what was the circumstances of their actions.
 20 MR SEMENYA SC: So in that example you
 21 would take responsibility for what?
 22 GENERAL NAIDOO: For – the question was
 23 that I brought the K9 unit to koppie 3 and I said yes, so
 24 I'm responsible for bringing them. I felt that it was my
 25 responsibility for bringing them to koppie 3 that I had to

Page 26545

1 account for and the reasons why I brought them there.
 2 MR SEMENYA SC: Okay. On the facts, in
 3 your judgment, was there anything wrong in bringing K9
 4 there?
 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no. The two
 6 issues that I raised was, one of them is that it would seem
 7 that we were going to go in the direction with the
 8 ambulances of where the shooting had occurred and,
 9 secondly, there was the issue of police responding to
 10 police that were involved in incidents and in fact I was
 11 reading through some of the evidence and I see even the
 12 statement that was submitted by Mr White, he proposes that
 13 the K9 was one of the less lethal options that should have
 14 been used at koppie 3. So yes, that's -
 15 [12:50] MR SEMENYA SC: Can I ask that we flight
 16 on the screen transcript day 197, pages 24150? Perhaps if
 17 we may start with seeing what the question was that you
 18 were responding to, General.
 19 CHAIRPERSON: It looks like rather a long
 20 question, doesn't it?
 21 MR SEMENYA SC: The question was put by
 22 Ms Le Roux, "Major-General, can I ask you to then turn back
 23 to MMM44, the CALS analysis document, movement of forward
 24 holding area 1 convoy to koppie 3, because we think we've
 25 been able to time the scene that you described reasonably

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26546</p> <p>1 accurately and specifically in that document if you could 2 start at slide 14, which is timed 16:03:23, position 7. 3 Now in this document where" – 4 CHAIRPERSON: It carries on, "where we've 5 been able to identify your particular vehicle we've circled 6 it in red, just so you can understand the key." And then 7 she goes on. 8 MR SEMENYA SC: I think let's do it 9 differently. If you go to page 24151 and you start at line 10 1 you will see you address what you call the second issue 11 there. 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair. 13 MR SEMENYA SC: Do you see that? 14 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair. 15 MR SEMENYA SC: And there your evidence 16 stands and reads, "The second issue, well, I went through 17 some of the NIU statements and there are – there is a 18 member, Constable Dubeni, who in his statement he clearly 19 indicates that he shot long before they got to koppie 3. 20 He shot, he 21 5 says, more than a 150 meters away from – before 22 they get to koppie 3." It is just that aspect of the 23 statement of Dubeni. Can I give you a copy of – 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Dubeni? 25 MR SEMENYA SC: Of Constable Dubeni.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26548</p> <p>1 suit you we'll take the adjournment now. 2 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 3 [13:57] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. 4 Major-General, you're still under oath. 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Still under oath, Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: (s.u.o.) 8 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR SEMENYA SC (CONTD.): 9 Thank you, Chair. I want you to have a look at MMM50, the 10 statement of – 11 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 12 – sorry, according to the screen it's MMM54, actually we 13 marked it MMM50 before we adjourned but then there was 14 going to be another statement by another constable. Are 15 you not going to persist in that? 16 MR SEMENYA SC: No. 17 CHAIRPERSON: So just Dubeni's statement. 18 MR SEMENYA SC: Correct, Chair. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. Now we've got it 20 on the screen. It's wrongly marked 54, it's actually 50. 21 MR SEMENYA SC: General, I want to focus 22 your attention to paragraph 7 of that statement. 23 CHAIRPERSON: The bottom line seems to be 24 missing or it's obscured possibly by the other page. 25 MR SEMENYA SC: No, that's –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26547</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chair, can we have it 2 on screen as well? 3 CHAIRPERSON: Is it an exhibit already? 4 Mr Semenya, is it already an exhibit or must I mark it? 5 MR SEMENYA SC: It's not an exhibit as 6 yet, Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON: Well, this witness's 8 exhibits were in the MMM series, were they not? Where do 9 we go in MMM, Ms Pillay? 10 MS PILLAY: The next exhibit is MMM50, 11 Chair. 12 CHAIRPERSON: 5-0. Statement of 13 Constable Dubeni. Exhibit MMM50 is the statement of 14 Constable Dubeni. Is the operator in a position to put it 15 on the screen for us? He says no. It's five to one, do 16 you need it now, Mr Semenya, or shall we take the lunch 17 adjournment now and so that your support team can make the 18 necessary arrangements for this exhibit. And there's 19 another exhibit there also, Constable Nkaweni, is his 20 statement also going to go in? Anyway, you can apply your 21 mind to the question of what statements you want to put in 22 as exhibits at this point. 23 MR SEMENYA SC: It would suit me if we 24 adjourned now, Chair. 25 CHAIRPERSON: I thought it would. So to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26549</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: What's on the screen is, 2 there's a problem with it because the last line of the page 3 on which paragraph 7 begins appears to be obscured by the 4 top of the next page. So it reads, "As we approached 5 approximately 50 metres from the last koppie I saw three 6 or" and then there's a line of writing missing and then it 7 goes onto the next page, "pangas and axes towards us. I 8 shouted at them, ordering them to stop and drop the 9 dangerous weapons. They could not comply with my 10 instruction and kept on approaching us." That's the whole 11 paragraph as it reads on the screen but there's clearly a 12 line of writing missing. 13 MR SEMENYA SC: The line that is 14 obscured, for completeness of record, is that "three people 15 advancing with spears as," "spears and pangas." That is 16 how completely it would read. 17 CHAIRPERSON: I understand, thank you. 18 MR SEMENYA SC: General, you did make 19 reference, as I pointed to you, to amongst other people 20 Constable Dubeni, remember? 21 GENERAL NAIDOO: I did, Chair. 22 MR SEMENYA SC: And in your evidence you 23 referred to 150 metres. 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair. 25 MR SEMENYA SC: Can you explain that?</p>

Page 26550

1 GENERAL NAIDOO: No, Chair, I was reading
 2 from the copy of the statement I had but I see now the
 3 Chair referred to it as 50 metres, yes.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: I'm not quite sure what the
 5 word after "approximately" is. Oh I see, I see, it's F –
 6 (indistinct) 51, rather a funny way of doing the Fs but
 7 yes, it is obviously, now that one looks at it.
 8 MR SEMENYA SC: Is that what explained
 9 your account of this witness and the reference to 150?
 10 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.
 11 MR SEMENYA SC: Those are the questions I
 12 have for the witness, Chair.
 13 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Semanya.
 14 Could we please see – I've got a few questions for you,
 15 very few – could we please see exhibit MMM9B on the screen?
 16 The one I want isn't 9B, it's the actual – maybe it's 9.
 17 Yes, that's the one I wanted, yes, thank you. Exhibit 9,
 18 oh it's 9A, I see. Do you see exhibit 9A, Major-General?
 19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
 20 CHAIRPERSON: Now you'll notice on the –
 21 this is a Google, no, this is an aerial photograph, is it,
 22 of the area? Is it a Google Earth photograph of the area?
 23 And it gives the spots at which you idled when you were,
 24 your vehicle idled and stopped for some time.
 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.

Page 26551

1 CHAIRPERSON: Now, the first one is on
 2 the sort of bottom left-hand corner of that section
 3 sticking out of the power station, do you see that? There
 4 you idled from 15:58:05 to 16:02:05, that's four minutes.
 5 You then after that moved up to the, what one could call
 6 the top left-hand corner of that section sticking out from
 7 the power station.
 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
 9 CHAIRPERSON: And there you idled for the
 10 second time from 16:03:05 to 16:07 so it's another four
 11 minutes. That was the second idling point.
 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.
 13 CHAIRPERSON: And then you proceeded
 14 towards the top of the picture and you came to a spot where
 15 you idled for another approximately three minutes.
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Correct, Chair.
 17 CHAIRPERSON: Now according to the
 18 evidence you gave while you were being cross-examined by Mr
 19 Chaskalson, you couldn't remember why you idled at the
 20 second spot. The first spot I think you did give an
 21 explanation but the idling, the four minutes of idling from
 22 16:03:05 to 16:07:05 you said you couldn't remember and
 23 there's no obvious reason that occurs to one as to why you
 24 would've idled there. Now if you hadn't idled for those
 25 four minutes, you would have – and if one sees the distance

Page 26552

1 it took you to travel from the first idling point to the
 2 second idling point in a minute –
 3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.
 4 CHAIRPERSON: - it's quite clear, I would
 5 think, that if you had proceeded towards the koppie and
 6 gone past it on the lower portion of it –
 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: - you would've got through
 9 in time and if it took you a minute to move from the first
 10 idling point to the second, in the four minutes that you
 11 would have had if you hadn't idled for the second point for
 12 some reason you can't explain or remember, you would easily
 13 have go to a point in the vicinity of what looks like the
 14 bottom right-hand corner, as one looks at the photograph,
 15 of koppie 1. That must be right, surely. Do you agree
 16 with that?
 17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Could be, yes, Chair.
 18 CHAIRPERSON: Mm? Now, so the problem
 19 I've got is that you could have got to scene 1 if you
 20 hadn't idled for the second time, without any problem
 21 because as far as I can recall the evidence was that the –
 22 this again was put by Mr Chaskalson – was that the first
 23 firing that happened seems to have happened round about
 24 16:08, I think it is. Is that correct, Mr Chaskalson?
 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, I'll have

Page 26553

1 to go back to my precise times but in terms of the
 2 movements of the vehicle we can show that the vehicle had
 3 moved off from its second idling point before what we,
 4 before the time at which the NIU moved forward.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so it's sometime after
 6 16:07.
 7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes.
 8 CHAIRPERSON: It moved off from the
 9 second idling point, whereas if you hadn't idled, hadn't
 10 stopped at the second idling point and had proceeded from
 11 the second idling point at 16:03 before the firing started
 12 which is after 16:07, you would have been able to have got,
 13 via the route I indicated, to a spot out of range of fire
 14 and you could have proceeded without any difficulty to
 15 scene 1. So that seems to be correct, doesn't it?
 16 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I'm just trying to
 17 clear with the evidence leaders. As far as I understood Mr
 18 Chaskalson's questions, he did not say before the first
 19 shooting happened. He said before the NIU shot. We don't
 20 know which side was doing the first shooting at koppie 3 as
 21 yet, as far as I know the evidence.
 22 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but we can say
 23 that it was before the first shooting took place because
 24 that was the JJJ11, I think 454, 4544, the picture of the
 25 blue water and the green water which is before – which is

Page 26554

1 as the forward holding area 2 people are approaching the
 2 koppie and before there are bodies down in that corner
 3 which we believe to be the first bodies and which we
 4 understand SAPS to believe to be the first bodies as well.
 5 CHAIRPERSON: There's no indication –
 6 there's any time for any other shooting. Anyway, let's
 7 just proceed for the moment. The point Mr Semenya has made
 8 is on record. You see the point I've got difficulty in
 9 understanding is if one can't explain the second idling
 10 period and that idling period had been used to travel in
 11 the direction of scene 1, you could have got past the
 12 bottom right-hand corner of koppie 1 before any firing took
 13 place. Now that seems to me to be correct. Do you quarrel
 14 with that?
 15 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, if I remember,
 16 what I testified on that particular aspect is that is where
 17 we left at what I'm assuming is now the third idling spot
 18 that you're referring to.
 19 CHAIRPERSON: No – no, I'm not busy with
 20 the third one. I accept that the third idling spot, the
 21 third idling time was probably when there was already
 22 shooting because I haven't got a problem with that. I
 23 haven't got a problem with that. I'm concentrating on the
 24 second idling spot and what I'm putting to you is it seems
 25 to me – I just want to get your answer to this, if there

Page 26555

1 are answers – if you hadn't stopped at the second idling
 2 spot and proceeded, you would have got past, on the route I
 3 suggested which you could have done, you would have got
 4 past the bottom right-hand corner of koppie 1 and you
 5 would've been well on the way to scene 1 before the
 6 shooting started. That's the proposition that I'm putting
 7 to you to get your answer to.
 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Of course, Chair –
 9 CHAIRPERSON: It's all obviously
 10 dependent upon the point that Mr Semenya made, that if I'm
 11 wrong in thinking that the first shots in the vicinity of
 12 koppie 3 only took place at the end of your second idling
 13 period – if I'm wrong in that then of course the point
 14 falls away but if I'm right on that then the point must be
 15 good. Do you agree with that?
 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Two things, Chair. I
 17 think the reason for stopping there, as I did indicate,
 18 when we came and we tried to orientate ourselves and we
 19 used the sight of koppie 1 as our landmark. We, remember,
 20 had a long debate about, you know, which was the shortest
 21 way and I testified that we, the visual that we had of
 22 koppie 1 guided us in the direction that we were going to
 23 go, one. That was the one thing, that we needed to
 24 orientate ourselves and yes, I suppose if we didn't stop
 25 and we travelled straight through we would have probably

Page 26556

1 missed a lot of things, yes.
 2 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, that's the whole point.
 3 And you certainly could not remember, because I read the
 4 record very carefully, you could not give an explanation as
 5 to why you had the second idling period. Now, and the
 6 point is that that route, absent any shooting, that route
 7 would have been a suitable route, in fact a shorter route
 8 to get to scene 1 than the route past koppie 3.
 9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes, as I
 10 indicated when we came round, the idea was to take that
 11 road that was leading to koppie 3. That would have been
 12 alongside the police - the power station, going to koppie
 13 1. I did testify to that.
 14 CHAIRPERSON: So we've reach some kind of
 15 consensus on that issue. Now the next point I want to ask
 16 you about is this. In your statement you – JJJ108 page 11
 17 in para 66 you say the following, perhaps that could be put
 18 on the screen please, JJJ108 page 11 para 66. "We moved
 19 forward in a line with K9 members on foot as well as motor
 20 vehicles. Due to the fact that gunfire was still erupting
 21 in the koppie 3 area which we had to pass to go to koppie
 22 1, I diverted four vehicles of the K9 unit personnel in
 23 that direction." Now it's not correct that you had to pass
 24 koppie 3 to go to kk1 –
 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair –

Page 26557

1 CHAIRPERSON: As I indicated on the
 2 exhibit we looked at a moment ago, MMM9A, there was a
 3 route. In fact it was, I would suggest, the more obvious
 4 route or so it appears to me, so before you'd actually got
 5 to koppie 3 you could have gone through below koppie 1 to
 6 scene 1. So the statement that you made that you had to
 7 pass the koppie 3 area to get to koppie 1 wasn't correct.
 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I would not agree
 9 with that because as I indicated, we did have also a
 10 discussion on that, from where I stood we turned right, I
 11 looked at the course of the road and the course of the
 12 road, there was a road that was going in front of koppie 1
 13 and going in that direction. That was, I passed, that was
 14 the route that we were going to take.
 15 CHAIRPERSON: My recollection of your
 16 evidence was that you couldn't go that route, the route
 17 I've suggested was the obvious route, because by the time
 18 you got there, there were already bullets flying in that
 19 direction and you had a soft vehicle and so on. Now I can
 20 understand that, if there was firing at that point that
 21 would not have been an appropriate route to follow. I
 22 haven't got a problem with that evidence, but the point I'm
 23 putting to you, you see, is that if you hadn't delayed for
 24 the two, for the four minutes at the second lingering
 25 point, then you would have got through there before there

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26558</p> <p>1 were any bullets flying but the point is that if you had 2 done what I suggest would've been appropriate to do, you 3 wouldn't have had to pass the koppie 3 area to get to 4 koppie 1. 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair – 6 CHAIRPERSON: Because in fact it's clear 7 from the photograph we saw that it was perfectly possible 8 to get to koppie without passing the koppie 3 area, in fact 9 you would have moved over towards koppie 1 before you got 10 to the koppie 3 area. That's the problem I have, you see, 11 so I'd be grateful to get your answer on that. 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, if we could go 13 back to the map maybe that would assist us. 14 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible] 15 9A. 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right. Chair, as 17 I indicated, where you look at the idling, the third idling 18 area, if you turn right there and you proceed on that road 19 you go into that area between koppie 1 and koppie 3. 20 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I haven't got a 21 problem with that. I'm not busy with the third idling 22 area. 23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 24 CHAIRPERSON: I'm busy with the second 25 idling area and if you hadn't idled at the second idling</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26560</p> <p>1 there may be some confusion because there was an earlier 2 idling period – 3 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right. 4 MR CHASKALSON SC: - at the first power 5 station, 16:54. I don't think, my own recollection of the 6 evidence is that it doesn't affect the questions that 7 you're putting to the witness – 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 9 MR CHASKALSON SC: - but I think the 10 witness must be allowed to answer that. 11 CHAIRPERSON: I don't think it affects it 12 all but certainly, you've heard what Mr Chaskalson says. 13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 14 CHAIRPERSON: You see I'm putting the 15 problem to you, I'm not trying to catch you. 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Of course, I'm trying to 17 understand – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Obviously the point I put 19 must be clear. 20 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 21 CHAIRPERSON: And you must be able to 22 answer it and if there's an explanation, well, then that – 23 so be it, but I want to know what your explanation is if 24 you've got one. 25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Of course. Chair, when</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26559</p> <p>1 area and had just proceeded upwards, you would have been 2 able to turn right and go past the lower portion on the 3 photograph of koppie 1, without getting to the third idling 4 area or the area of koppie 3. 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, maybe I'm 6 misunderstanding. 7 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, if the Chair is 8 counting the idling there as well, because maybe that's 9 where the confusion lies. 10 CHAIRPERSON: No, no, there's no 11 confusion at all. The first idling area is 15:58:05 to 12 16:02:05 as indicated, that's the first idling area. 13 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct. 14 CHAIRPERSON: The second – and he gives 15 an explanation for that idling with which I haven't got a 16 problem. The second idling area which he got to a minute 17 after the end of the first idling area, is the period 18 marked, the area marked 16:03:05 to 16:07:05 and there's a 19 blue mark indicating that. That's the second idling area. 20 The third idling area is the one where it says 16:12:35 21 ignition off and below that it says 16:05, 16:08:05 to 22 16:11:05 idling. That's the third idling area. Now I 23 haven't got a problem with that. By the time he got to the 24 – 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26561</p> <p>1 you refer to the second idling is it the 15:58:05? 2 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, the one I mean is the 3 16:03:05 to 16:07:05. 4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 5 CHAIRPERSON: That one. 6 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 7 CHAIRPERSON: And as far as I could see 8 from the transcript you haven't got an explanation as to 9 why you idled there. You have got an explanation as to why 10 you idled at the 15:58:05 to 16:02:05. So I haven't got a 11 problem with that and I haven't got a problem with what I 12 call the third one for the same reason. It's what I call 13 the second one but you said you couldn't remember why, you 14 couldn't give an explanation, so that's why I'm focusing on 15 it. 16 [14:17] GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. Chair, what I can 17 remember somewhere now, you know I'm not linking it now to 18 these two idling times, is where we split the group and 19 there was a group that stayed behind with the ambulances 20 and everything. As to the duration of the idling and why 21 we waited there, I can't specifically say but as I said, I 22 know when we came around we tried to orientate ourselves, 23 we looked where the koppie 1 was and then we moved forward 24 with the intention of going directly to koppie 1 and as I 25 said, as we came around the power station we heard the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26562</p> <p>1 shooting which caused me to hold back some of the vehicles 2 and the other vehicles went forward. 3 CHAIRPERSON: The point that I was 4 concerned with at this point of my questioning was simply 5 this, that was it true to say that you had to pass the 6 koppie 3 area to go to koppie 1? 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, in my evidence I 8 indicated that we were going in that direction, we had to 9 pass between koppie 1 and koppie 3 as far as my assessment 10 was and if the shooting was coming from that direction 11 obviously it posed a risk to the ambulances. 12 CHAIRPERSON: I can understand the second 13 idling if there's a good reason for it, then everything 14 follows. The premise was that there wasn't a good reason 15 for the second idling, you see, but I'm now busy with 16 another point. 17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON: And that is – I've dealt 19 with that one – is it correct to say that you had to pass 20 the koppie 3 area to get to koppie 1? There was a route 21 available to you to koppie 1 which would not have involved 22 your passing the koppie 3 area. That must be right too, 23 surely? 24 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, I'm not sure 25 which route would that be then?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26564</p> <p>1 going in front of koppie 1 and that would mean I would pass 2 between the two koppies. 3 CHAIRPERSON: Did you know about the 4 barbed wire? 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair? 6 CHAIRPERSON: Did you know about the 7 barbed wire? 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: I knew that they were 9 going to deploy barbed wire. 10 CHAIRPERSON: But did you know it had 11 been uncoiled yet? 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, well, in terms of 13 our briefing, yes, they said it would be but as I said, I 14 was still orientating myself in terms of where I was, yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, I still don't 16 understand how you can make a positive statement about the 17 koppie 3 "which I had to pass to go to koppie 1." You seem 18 now to be changing it to be saying the koppie 3 area "which 19 I thought I had to pass to go to koppie 1." 20 GENERAL NAIDOO: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON: Because that's a different 22 thing, isn't it? 23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no, I'm 24 definitely not changing what I said. As I said, from where 25 I stood, that's the assessment I made that we're going to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26563</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: The route I put to you – 2 GENERAL NAIDOO: Looking at the map – 3 CHAIRPERSON: - would take the second 4 idling point – 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes? 6 CHAIRPERSON: - proceed towards the 7 koppie 1 as if you're proceeding effectively to the top 8 right-hand corner of the picture. You proceed along that 9 way, you get to the end of the power station – 10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes? 11 CHAIRPERSON: - and then you simply go 12 straight right, past the bottom part of koppie 1 and then 13 it's very simple to get from there to scene 1. That was a 14 viable, available route and so to say that you had to pass 15 koppie 3 area to get to koppie 1 was not true. 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as it was in 17 cross-examination, exactly the same point was put to me. 18 As I indicated, from where I stood I could see the road 19 going down and I could see the road curving in front of 20 koppie 1, so my assessment was that it was going down there 21 and going past in front of koppie 1, not going behind it, 22 but it was also put to me, yes, you could have went around 23 it and I said yes, I could have taken that possible view. 24 Then they said what about the barbed wire? My assessment 25 at that stage was that I was going down the road and I was</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26565</p> <p>1 be going in that direction because I could see where koppie 2 1 was and – 3 CHAIRPERSON: You are changing your 4 statement. Your statement makes a positive statement, it 5 talks about the koppie 3 area which I had to pass to go to 6 koppie 1. 7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON: What you're now saying, you 9 now concede, as I understand it, that it was possible for 10 you to get to koppie 1 without passing the koppie 3 area 11 but you now say, well, that may be so – you don't put it 12 like that but that's the way I understand you, that may be 13 so but I thought at the time I had to pass the koppie 3 14 area to get to koppie 1. That is an alteration, a 15 deviation – 16 GENERAL NAIDOO: No. 17 CHAIRPERSON: - from what's in your 18 statement, isn't that so? 19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no, I don't agree 20 because as I indicated to you, that was my assessment when 21 I was there. I was cross-examined on this thing and I 22 said, and I was given alternative routes, you could have 23 done this and you could have done that and I was cross- 24 examined on those alternative routes. As I indicated, what 25 I put on my statement is what I, the assessment that I made</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26566</p> <p>1 from where I stood.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: Well, we have your answer.</p> <p>3 We have also what paragraph 66 of the statement says and</p> <p>4 the matter can be left there for the moment.</p> <p>5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Thank you.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: The next point I want to</p> <p>7 ask you about is, at what point did you see that the</p> <p>8 strikers had gone or were going in the direction of koppie</p> <p>9 3? In other words, at some stage you must have been aware</p> <p>10 of the fact that the strikers had gone to koppie 3 or were</p> <p>11 going to koppie 3. Now, can you tell me where you were at</p> <p>12 that point?</p> <p>13 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated,</p> <p>14 as we came around the power station we could see on the</p> <p>15 left of koppie 3 I indicated the TRT filtering line that</p> <p>16 was walking towards koppie 3.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes, I'm sorry, I'm</p> <p>18 just asking the question out of ignorance. Where were you</p> <p>19 at the time when you saw this TRT line moving towards the</p> <p>20 koppie –</p> <p>21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I said,</p> <p>22 somewhere –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Was it a TRT line or NIU</p> <p>24 line?</p> <p>25 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, there were two</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26568</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Now remember you showed us</p> <p>2 before where you saw the NIU line advancing and I think</p> <p>3 there's a photograph which shows that.</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: Now can you just indicate</p> <p>6 to us – I think you may have done it already but I'm just</p> <p>7 asking, more refreshing my memory - from the document that</p> <p>8 you referred to, you remember I think it was, was it MMM1</p> <p>9 or MMM2, there was a map?</p> <p>10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps we could see that</p> <p>12 and you can tell us where you saw the TRT people and the</p> <p>13 strikers dispersing. This is the right one we're looking</p> <p>14 at now, isn't it? This is MMM1, slide 148 grid, as it is</p> <p>15 described. Now we can see there koppie 3 is in the,</p> <p>16 roughly in the square B3 shading slightly into the slide</p> <p>17 A3, that's correct?</p> <p>18 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct, Chair.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now, and we also</p> <p>20 know I think that the NIU people were in, you saw them in</p> <p>21 square B3 and shading into B2, is that correct, to the</p> <p>22 right as we look at the map –</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: To the right.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: - of koppie 3, is that</p> <p>25 right?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26567</p> <p>1 that I referred to.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay. The</p> <p>3 question is, where were you when you saw these two groups?</p> <p>4 GENERAL NAIDOO: As we were coming around</p> <p>5 the point of the power station, so it would be somewhere</p> <p>6 after this idling area of 15:58, 16:02, between there and</p> <p>7 the other idling area –</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: It's while you were</p> <p>9 proceeding for that one minute between what I call the</p> <p>10 first idling area and the second idling area?</p> <p>11 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: So that's where you were</p> <p>13 and that also tells us the time.</p> <p>14 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Both where you were and the</p> <p>16 time.</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: What did you see at that</p> <p>19 moment?</p> <p>20 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated,</p> <p>21 there's two things that I saw, one on the left-hand side, I</p> <p>22 saw the TRT line with people dispersing. I indicated that</p> <p>23 there were strikers that were dispersing in a westerly</p> <p>24 direction and as we came a little further down we also saw</p> <p>25 the NIU advancing on the right-hand side.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26569</p> <p>1 GENERAL NAIDOO: Somewhere to the right,</p> <p>2 yes.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Now where – now the</p> <p>4 other people you talked about who were dispersing and where</p> <p>5 you saw the TRT people, where were they on this map?</p> <p>6 GENERAL NAIDOO: If I look at there –</p> <p>7 somewhere around, I think A2, the lower end of A2, the</p> <p>8 upper end of A3 possibly. They were moving in a westerly</p> <p>9 direction.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, away from the koppie?</p> <p>11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Away from the koppie,</p> <p>12 Chair.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, thank you. And</p> <p>14 that was the first – was that the first time you realised</p> <p>15 that something was happening at koppie 3 and that strikers</p> <p>16 were there?</p> <p>17 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, no. As I</p> <p>18 indicated that the issue about koppie 3 was the fact that</p> <p>19 there were shots being fired.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: No, but there's some issue</p> <p>21 about when the shots were being fired, the time of the</p> <p>22 shots.</p> <p>23 GENERAL NAIDOO: Of course.</p> <p>24 CHAIRPERSON: So are you telling us that</p> <p>25 the first time you realised that there were people,</p>

Page 26570

1 presumably strikers, at koppie 3 was when you heard shots
2 being fired there?
3 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated,
4 when we saw koppie – we could not see people, we could see
5 the bush, yes. It was only after we got much closer and I
6 went around to the NIU that I started seeing people other
7 than the three people that we arrested. I testified to
8 that and I was also asked about, you know, did I not see
9 the number of people there and I said my, I testified to
10 the fact that my assumption was that there were a few
11 people that may have taken cover there. So yes, the fact
12 that there were strikers there was not a possibility that
13 escaped my mind but obviously the police action was
14 something else.
15 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but you did say, I
16 understood you – unless is misunderstood you – that you
17 realised there were people there, was when you heard shots
18 in that vicinity, is that correct?
19 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
20 CHAIRPERSON: Right. Now that was before
21 you actually saw people. You realised there were shots
22 there.
23 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right.
24 CHAIRPERSON: Now the police wouldn't
25 have been engaging in some target practice, so the fact

Page 26571

1 that there were shots there would have been an indication
2 to you there must be strikers there, that's why there are
3 shots there.
4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes, Chair.
5 CHAIRPERSON: That's right. And so then
6 we're back to the question at what time the first shots
7 took place, but that's a question that we've looked at
8 already. But you've now told us where you were when you
9 saw or realised that there must be strikers in that area at
10 koppie 3.
11 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes.
12 CHAIRPERSON: That's right. Alright, no,
13 thank you, that's what I wanted to know. Now the last
14 question I want to ask you about is, we gave you some bits
15 of homework to do when you were here last time, remember?
16 One of the things we wanted was, you were going to look in
17 relation to the question of birdshot in the store, do you
18 remember that?
19 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's right, yes.
20 CHAIRPERSON: Now did you make a list of
21 the various things we asked you to look at?
22 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes. We have
23 requested the reports. They will be submitted to us
24 shortly. The people that are dealing with it we have put a
25 formal request to them and it will be submitted to the

Page 26572

1 Commission.
2 CHAIRPERSON: So you haven't got that
3 yet?
4 GENERAL NAIDOO: Not yet, no.
5 CHAIRPERSON: Now did you make a list of
6 all the other things we asked you to do?
7 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, there are two
8 things that come to mind. One was for the R5 ammunition
9 and the other one was for the shotgun ammunition. I think
10 those are the main things that I had, I had – yes. And
11 those, both requests have been put to the supply chain for
12 –
13 CHAIRPERSON: So what you're telling me
14 is you can't yet provide that information but it will be
15 provided as soon as you get it.
16 GENERAL NAIDOO: As soon as I receive it,
17 I will –
18 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, those are the
19 questions I wanted to ask you. I gather that Adv Hemraj
20 wants to ask you some questions too.
21 GENERAL NAIDOO: Okay, Chair.
22 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: General, you have
23 testified, as have some other witnesses, that you were
24 operating on two or three hours of sleep or very few hours
25 of sleep -

Page 26573

1 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's true.
2 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: - per night, for a
3 consecutive number of nights.
4 GENERAL NAIDOO: That's correct.
5 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: From the logistical
6 point of view, does it make sense to commit all the
7 resources into 18 or 20 hour days over a period of time,
8 just staggering it?
9 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, yes. You see we
10 were trying to escalate the operation, put in place
11 resources and deal with all the requests, with all the
12 available resources we had. We tried to get the members to
13 book off duty on time, you know, so that they could rest,
14 et cetera, but a lot of the commanders had to do a lot of
15 work in preparation for getting things in place and
16 unfortunately that required us to be available.
17 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: And do that, well
18 aware of the consequences of people on the field being
19 sleep deprived?
20 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, that's why I'm
21 indicating that we try and get our members off duty on time
22 as soon as possible, get them to go and rest and get to
23 where they're supposed to be but unfortunately the
24 commanders who have to put things in place for the next day
25 have to do, you know, what he's required to do so that when

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26574</p> <p>1 the members come back to resume their duties, things are in 2 place such as planning, infrastructure, resources. Those 3 things unfortunately have to be done by somebody. 4 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: The other issue, 5 you said that there were discussions after you booked off 6 duty with other persons about any alternatives to the 7 encirclement plan. 8 GENERAL NAIDOO: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: Those discussions, 10 what was discussed there? Did it bear any resemblance to 11 the plan that was eventually presented at 14:30 on the 16th? 12 GENERAL NAIDOO: Chair, as I indicated, 13 there were many options discussed in terms of how we could 14 – and mainly why the discussions took place besides, you 15 know, it being some sort of brainstorming, it's also 16 looking at what resources were required to articulate such 17 a plan, et cetera. So in terms of the resemblance, yes, 18 some of these options such as putting the barbed wire, 19 maybe not specifically as it was deployed on the day but 20 putting the barbed wire to protect the police, the 21 journalists, et cetera, was issues that were discussed as a 22 possibility. As I indicated, there was a possibility of 23 encircling the koppie which was discussed more extensively, 24 whether we should encircle it whilst people are on it, 25 while people are not there, et cetera. So bits of these</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26576</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: I'm told he is, Chair, 2 yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON: We will then proceed on the 4 basis that you or – are you leading him, Mr Semenya? Ms 5 Baloyi? 6 MS BALOYI: I will be leading him. 7 CHAIRPERSON: I see. So you'll ask the 8 question in English and he will then answer in Tswana and 9 Mr Mahlangu will then interpret for us into English. 10 Alright, okay. Yes, Mr Wesley, you look as if you want to 11 say something? 12 MR WESLEY: Chair, yes. I have spoken to 13 Mr Mahlangu and he does know that he is going to sit and 14 translate in the manner that you've said. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Everything has been 16 organised. Thank you, I should have been more trusting and 17 assumed everything would happen without my asking about it. 18 We'll now take the adjournment. 19 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 20 [15:32] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. We 21 were asked to adjourn for longer than we thought because 22 documents had to be prepared and have now been prepared, so 23 that's why we're resuming. I apologise to those who had to 24 wait. Mr Semenya, I understand the next witness is going 25 to be – oh, Mr Semenya is not even here. I understand the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26575</p> <p>1 things were eventually integrated into the final plan, yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I take it there 3 are no further questions you're going to be asked so you'll 4 be excused – 5 GENERAL NAIDOO: Thank you, Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON: - on the usual basis that 7 if it's necessary for you to come back you'll be prepared 8 to come back but otherwise, thank you for your evidence, 9 you're excused. 10 GENERAL NAIDOO: Thank you, Chair. 11 [NO FURTHER QUESTIONS – WITNESS EXCUSED] 12 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, can we ask for a 13 short stand down to get the next witness ready? 14 CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. When you're 15 ready, you will let us know. When I spoke to you earlier 16 about housekeeping matters there was a question I intended 17 to ask and I forgot and that is, the next witness I gather 18 is to be Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe. What language is he 19 going to speak? 20 MR SEMENYA SC: Vernacular. 21 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry? 22 MR SEMENYA SC: Vernacular, Setswana. 23 CHAIRPERSON: Setswana. So we will then 24 have to have, I take it, consecutive translation of his 25 answers. Is he prepared to receive questions in English?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26577</p> <p>1 next witness is going to be called by Adv Baloyi, is that 2 correct? 3 MS BALOYI: That is correct. 4 CHAIRPERSON: who is the witness? 5 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, the witness is 6 Lieutenant-Colonel Omphile Joseph Merafe. 7 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, the statement I have 8 reads Joseph Omphile Merafe. Which name is first and which 9 is second? 10 MS BALOYI: Perhaps, Chair, if I could 11 confirm with the witness? 12 CHAIRPERSON: Well, we'd better swear him 13 in first before – we want his answer on oath about it, 14 don't we? Would you stand up, please? Are you prepared to 15 take the oath? Will that be binding on your conscience or 16 do you wish to affirm? 17 COLONEL MERAFFE: I have no objection 18 taking the oath, Chairperson. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Would you please raise your 20 right hand? Would you raise your right hand, please? Do 21 you swear the evidence you will give before this Commission 22 will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the 23 truth. Please say I swear, so help me God. 24 COLONEL MERAFFE: So help me God. 25 OMPHILE JOSEPH MERAFFE: (d.s.s.)</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26578</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, you may be 2 seated. Now, we want to know, now you're under oath you 3 can tell us, are you Omphile Joseph Merafe or are you 4 Joseph Omphile Merafe? What's the correct order of your 5 Christian names? 6 COLONEL MERAFA: I am Omphile Joseph 7 Merafe. 8 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. You may 9 question the witness. 10 EXAMINATION BY MS BALOYI: Thank you, 11 Chair. Chair, I have to start by apologising for the delay 12 that we have occasioned with the document preparation – 13 CHAIRPERSON: No, your apology is 14 accepted. It's alright, we understand what's happened. 15 The re-examination didn't take as long as was anticipated 16 and the time you thought you would have available for 17 preparing the bundles of documents in the result wasn't 18 available and that's the cause of the trouble. So we 19 understand, your apology is accepted, you may proceed 20 without any further – 21 MS BALOYI: Thank you, Chair, thank you. 22 Chairperson, perhaps to start with housekeeping matters, we 23 have provided bundles of documents with an index at the 24 front of the bundle, of each bundle. Now Chairperson, the 25 bundle consists mainly of documents that are already</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26580</p> <p>1 the consolidated statement and RRR2 – fortunately it's not 2 difficult to change a P to an R – RRR2 will be the 3 statement of the 30th of November. Thank you for that, Mr 4 Wesley. 5 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, are we 6 skipping Q? We're jumping the Q, Chairperson. 7 CHAIRPERSON: No, that is a point which 8 is unanswerable. So I rule that I was not functus officio 9 when I marked the exhibit and I call the consolidated 10 statement QQQ1 and the statement of the 30th of November, 11 which fortunately I hadn't marked yet, is QQQ2. 12 MS BALOYI: Yes, Chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON: And then the next one looks 14 like the supplementary statement of the 29th of November 15 2013, is that correct? 16 MS BALOYI: Yes, item 3 on the index, 17 Chair. 18 CHAIRPERSON: That's QQQ3. 19 MS BALOYI: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON: That's dated 29/11. And 21 now – 22 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, the next 23 document, new document would be, following the sequence 24 would be item 16. We don't have it in the bundle, somehow 25 it slipped out, Chair. If we could reserve that and first</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26579</p> <p>1 exhibits before the Commission. We have about four 2 documents that are new documents and perhaps if I could 3 speak to those. 4 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. It looks to me as if 5 the first one is the consolidated statement. 6 MS BALOYI: Indeed it is, Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON: So that will be PPP1, is 8 that correct? 9 MS BALOYI: Yes, Chair. We were at OOO 10 the last time, I recall. 11 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, that's right, so PPP. 12 MS BALOYI: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON: That'll be marked PPP1. 14 Then the second one I take it is the unsigned statement – 15 MS BALOYI: Yes, Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON: - which is dated the 30th of 17 November 2012. 18 MS BALOYI: That is so, Chair. 19 CHAIRPERSON: That will be PPP2. 20 MS BALOYI: Thank you, Chair. 21 MR WESLEY: Sorry, Chair, can I 22 interrupt? It's been brought to my attention that the PPP 23 series we've already reserved for Mr X. There is already a 24 PPP1. 25 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so RRR1 will be</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26581</p> <p>1 thing tomorrow morning – 2 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, so we'll mark it 3 even though we haven't got a document to mark. We'll do 4 virtual marking. 5 MS BALOYI: Yes, that would be in order, 6 Chair. 7 COMMISSIONER HEMRAJ: That's the 8 Manhattan Hotel minutes. We do have them in the file. 9 MS BALOYI: Commissioner Hemraj is 10 correct. Item 16 we do have. I was referring to a 11 different document, I do apologise for that. 12 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, 13 inaudible.] 14 MS BALOYI: It's referred to as – 15 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, 16 inaudible.] 17 MS BALOYI: It's minute of National POP 18 meeting, Manhattan Hotel. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Minute of what? 20 MS BALOYI: Of National – 21 CHAIRPERSON: POP? 22 MS BALOYI: POP meeting – Manhattan 23 Hotel. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Manhattan Hotel. What 25 date?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26582</p> <p>1 MS BALOYI: Chair, if I may –</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: 29 May 2012, alright. So</p> <p>3 we've now marked the exhibits. Some of us have got</p> <p>4 complete sets, some of us have been discriminated against</p> <p>5 and haven't, but we're now able, you are now able to roll</p> <p>6 with the –</p> <p>7 MS BALOYI: Perhaps, Chair, if I could</p> <p>8 just – the one item that I thought, that I was seeking to</p> <p>9 speak to which we didn't include in the bundle by error is</p> <p>10 item 18. The document is already an exhibit before the</p> <p>11 Commission, that is the letter to the National</p> <p>12 Commissioner. It's exhibit T. It was somehow left out of</p> <p>13 this bundle and we will slot it in.</p> <p>14 CHAIRPERSON: My one is missing two</p> <p>15 documents and other people have got bundles that are only</p> <p>16 missing one but never mind, it'll be sorted out in the</p> <p>17 morning. I doubt whether you'll get that far in leading</p> <p>18 the witness by the time we adjourn.</p> <p>19 MS BALOYI: I don't think I will, Chair.</p> <p>20 We'll sort it out. Thank you, Chairperson.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, okay.</p> <p>22 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, perhaps if we</p> <p>23 could start with the document marked 1A in the bundle and</p> <p>24 that would be consolidated statement of Lieutenant-Colonel</p> <p>25 Merafe.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26584</p> <p>1 of the statement, is that right, subject to two little</p> <p>2 corrections that Adv Baloyi is going to refer us to?</p> <p>3 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct,</p> <p>4 Chairperson.</p> <p>5 MS BALOYI: Thank you, Chairperson.</p> <p>6 Colonel Merafe, there are two paragraphs in this document</p> <p>7 that you have indicated require correction. Do you want to</p> <p>8 refer us to those paragraphs?</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Tell us what they are, Adv</p> <p>10 Baloyi, it would be quicker.</p> <p>11 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, in the hurry to</p> <p>12 get started I have missed my –</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: Oh, well, the witness will</p> <p>14 tell –</p> <p>15 MS BALOYI: - my reference and I'm hoping</p> <p>16 that he will remember offhand.</p> <p>17 CHAIRPERSON: What are the two paragraphs</p> <p>18 that require correction?</p> <p>19 COLONEL MERAFAE: Chairperson, it is</p> <p>20 paragraph 4.7.11 on page 7.</p> <p>21 CHAIRPERSON: What's the correction that</p> <p>22 is required?</p> <p>23 COLONEL MERAFAE: Sentence number 4, the</p> <p>24 date on the letter that Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak – that</p> <p>25 is the letter Colonel Vermaak refers to on the date that I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26583</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Now do we have to</p> <p>2 lead all the – do you have to lead all the evidence on the</p> <p>3 employment history? Can we not just take it as read?</p> <p>4 Perhaps you can highlight a few things.</p> <p>5 MS BALOYI: Yes.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: It will save time.</p> <p>7 MS BALOYI: Yes. That's a practical way,</p> <p>8 Chairperson, I'll do that. Now Colonel Merafe, if you</p> <p>9 would look at the document, your consolidated statement –</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: [Microphone off, inaudible]</p> <p>11 – is get him to confirm the whole document up front.</p> <p>12 MS BALOYI: Yes, I intend to, Chair.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: In case you leave something</p> <p>14 out that should be in –</p> <p>15 MS BALOYI: Chair, I intend to do that</p> <p>16 because in some two paragraphs there's minor corrections</p> <p>17 that we would like to make. Colonel Merafe, you've read</p> <p>18 the document. Do you confirm that this is your statement?</p> <p>19 COLONEL MERAFAE: It is so, Chairperson.</p> <p>20 MS BALOYI: And if you look at the last</p> <p>21 page, the second last page of the document at page 27, do</p> <p>22 you confirm that that is your signature?</p> <p>23 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct,</p> <p>24 Chairperson.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: Do you confirm the contents</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26585</p> <p>1 have mentioned.</p> <p>2 CHAIRPERSON: And what's the correct</p> <p>3 date?</p> <p>4 COLONEL MERAFAE: The 28th of May 2012.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: 28th of May, not 22 May,</p> <p>6 okay. That's the one correction, and the other one?</p> <p>7 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, the next</p> <p>8 correction is at paragraph 4.7.12.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Yes?</p> <p>10 MS BALOYI: In the fourth line.</p> <p>11 Lieutenant-Colonel Merafe, the correction that you want to</p> <p>12 make there?</p> <p>13 COLONEL MERAFAE: After the word</p> <p>14 "knobkerries" there is a word "pangas" which should be</p> <p>15 appearing there, which –</p> <p>16 CHAIRPERSON: So the sentence reads,</p> <p>17 "Some of the marches" – it should be "marchers" with an</p> <p>18 "r", M-A-R-C-H-E-R-S, another correction. "Some of the</p> <p>19 marchers were armed with knobkerries, pangas and sticks,"</p> <p>20 is that right?</p> <p>21 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct,</p> <p>22 Chairperson.</p> <p>23 MS BALOYI: Thank you, Chair. Now</p> <p>24 Colonel Merafe, with those changes you confirm the content</p> <p>25 of the statement?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26586</p> <p>1 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 2 Chairperson. 3 MS BALOYI: Yes. Colonel Merafe, at 4 paragraph 2 of the statement you speak about your 5 employment history. We will not go into each paragraph but 6 save to confirm with you that you joined the police, the 7 Bophuthatswana Police in November 1985, is that correct? 8 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 9 Chairperson. 10 MS BALOYI: And we see at paragraph 2.2 11 you set out the different ranks that you have held in the 12 period and at paragraph 2.2.5 you state that you were 13 appointed, you were promoted to Lieutenant-Colonel in 2005 14 to date, is that correct? 15 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is so, Chairperson. 16 MS BALOYI: And at paragraph 3 you set 17 out the details of your training. We will not go through 18 all of that. Now if you look at paragraph 3.4 where you 19 speak about SWAT course 1997, what is that course, what 20 does it entail? 21 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is, the meaning of 22 SWAT, it means special weapons and technique training. 23 MS BALOYI: And if you look at paragraph 24 3.5, technical policing course level 2, what does that 25 training entail?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26588</p> <p>1 involve? 2 COLONEL MERAFAE: Mr Chairperson, we were 3 trained there in the modern ways of dealing with crowds. 4 MS BALOYI: I see that that course, in 5 paragraph 3.15, is a course that you took in 2009. If I 6 may just refer you back to look at paragraph 3.7 which is a 7 course that you undertook in 1998, is there a difference 8 between the two courses in 3.7 and the one in 3.15? 9 COLONEL MERAFAE: They are not different, 10 Chairperson. 11 MS BALOYI: Now, if we move on to 12 paragraph 4 which deals with your career path and 13 experience, in paragraph 4.1 you say after you completed 14 your police training course in '86 you then joined the riot 15 unit in Mokgotsi police station, is that correct? 16 COLONEL MERAFAE: That's correct, 17 Chairperson. 18 MS BALOYI: And then in paragraph 4.3, if 19 I may, you say that "In 1997 I became part of the first 20 group to form the Rustenburg public order police unit. The 21 unit was made up of members from different units in the 22 North-West province," is that correct? 23 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 24 Chairperson. 25 MS BALOYI: Now, Colonel Merafe, do you –</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26587</p> <p>1 COLONEL MERAFAE: Tactical policing level 2 2, that is the training which we received where we were 3 trained in the use of different kinds of weapons and 4 ammunition. 5 MS BALOYI: Then at 3.7, public order 6 police entry course? 7 COLONEL MERAFAE: Public order police 8 entry course, that is where we were trained in managing 9 crowds, crowd management. 10 MS BALOYI: And at paragraph 3.10 you 11 have a course, community policing course, what is that 12 course? What did it entail? 13 COLONEL MERAFAE: Community policing 14 course, that is where we are trained to work together with 15 the community in policing or as policemen. 16 MS BALOYI: Now if we move to paragraph 4 17 where you talk about your career path and – 18 CHAIRPERSON: Before you get there, I 19 think it might be appropriate to refer to 3.15, that POP 20 crowd management course. So that's a modern course that 21 you took in 2009 dealing with POP crowd management, is that 22 correct? 23 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 24 Chairperson. 25 CHAIRPERSON: What did that course</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26589</p> <p>1 this 1997 formation of the Rustenburg public police unit, 2 what was the unit made up of? Who was part of that unit, 3 the members? 4 COLONEL MERAFAE: The former members of 5 the South African Police – the police, not the police 6 service. I am referring to the former police force and 7 brought together with the police from Bophuthatswana Police 8 who had to do with riots. 9 MS BALOYI: So is it correct that it is 10 only in 1997 that there was integration of the different 11 police units, POP units, is that correct? Is that what I'm 12 understanding from you? 13 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 14 Chairperson. 15 MS BALOYI: And then in paragraph 4.5 you 16 state that in 2005 you were promoted to the rank of 17 Lieutenant-Colonel and became unit commander at Klerksdorp 18 where you succeeded Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. 19 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct. 20 CHAIRPERSON: You had already said in the 21 first paragraph that the unit that you were commander of 22 was the public order police Rustenburg, is that right? 23 COLONEL MERAFAE: I am presently at 24 Rustenburg. I started in Klerksdorp to be a unit 25 commander.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26590</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, but what was the unit 2 that you were the commander of? 3 COLONEL MERAFAE: The first one was the 4 Klerksdorp public police unit. I am presently with the 5 public order policing in Rustenburg. 6 MS BALOYI: Now if we may – and then you 7 say in 4.6 that in 2009 you were transferred to POP 8 Rustenburg where you were appointed unit commander and that 9 this is the position you hold today. 10 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 11 Chairperson. 12 MS BALOYI: Now, other than initially the 13 riot unit and now the POP, have you been a member of any 14 other unit within the SAP in your employment history? 15 COLONEL MERAFAE: From my initial training 16 as a police officer in 1986, Mr Chairperson, I worked in 17 the riotous activities in Bophuthatswana first, Mr 18 Chairperson, and then transferred to South Africa. I am 19 still in that unit. 20 [15:51] MS BALOYI: And that is what you say in 21 paragraph 4.7. 22 COLONEL MERAFAE: That is correct, 23 Chairperson. 24 MS BALOYI: Now still with paragraph 4.7, 25 you set out some of the operations that you were involved</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26592</p> <p>1 about peace and also to disarm and to arrest those people 2 that had weapons such as firearms and so on. 3 MS BALOYI: Yes. Colonel, was the POP 4 North-West the only unit or component that was deployed to 5 this operation? 6 COLONEL MERAFAE: The operation was 7 referred to as Pax 2. It was a national occasion because 8 we had many other units such as Gauteng, we worked together 9 with people who came from the province of the Free State. 10 MS BALOYI: Did you have only POP 11 deployed in this operation? 12 COLONEL MERAFAE: Chairperson, yes. Such 13 riots were dealt with by members of the POP. There were, 14 however, detectives who would investigate after the arrest 15 of certain suspects. 16 MS BALOYI: And then the operation in 17 paragraph 4.7.4, what was the dynamic of that operation? 18 COLONEL MERAFAE: This was at Tugela in 19 the area of Msinga in KwaZulu Natal, Mr Chairperson. There 20 was a faction fight where there was also shooting taking 21 place, people being killed and this was during the 22 elections where certain political parties could not go to 23 places like Tugela Ferry because of the fighting that was 24 going on there. What happened, Chairperson, is that these 25 people had firearms and we managed to take these firearms</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26591</p> <p>1 with. Is this a complete list of the operations that 2 you've been involved with in your career, crowd management 3 operations? 4 COLONEL MERAFAE: Those that I mention 5 here, Chairperson, are just a few of those, just a fraction 6 of what I have dealt with, possibly a third or a quarter of 7 them. 8 MS BALOYI: Yes. Now if you look at 9 paragraph 4.7.3 where you speak of, you say "In 2001 I was 10 deployed to Richmond KZN in charge of a POP platoon from 11 the North-West province. We were deployed to stabilise 12 Richmond which at the time was volatile due to violence 13 involving the ANC and the UDM following the killing of a 14 high profile leader of the UDM, Sifiso Nkabinde, you see 15 that? 16 COLONEL MERAFAE: I see, Chairperson. 17 MS BALOYI: Yes. Now, Colonel Merafe, do 18 you – if I may ask, what was the dynamic of this operation 19 in 2001? What did you have to deal with? 20 COLONEL MERAFAE: At the time of the 21 Richmond incident, Chairperson, there were differences 22 between two political parties, the ANC and the UDM. Many 23 people were being killed there by being shot, destruction 24 of their property such as motor vehicles and houses was the 25 order of the day, Chairperson. We went there to bring</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26593</p> <p>1 away from them. We managed to take more than 200 firearms 2 from those people. 3 MS BALOYI: And then if you turn to page 4 6 at paragraph 4.7 you say, "In 2008 I was operational 5 commander in" – 6 CHAIRPERSON: 4.7.6, sorry. 7 MS BALOYI: 4.7.6, Chairperson. "In 2008 8 I was operational commander in Germiston, East Rand, 9 Gauteng during unrest which involved attacks on and 10 disruption of property of foreign nationals." Now in that 11 operation, what components of the police were deployed? 12 COLONEL MERAFAE: During that time, 13 Chairperson, it was members of the POP, members of the 14 national intervention unit and also people from the South 15 African National Defence Force. 16 MS BALOYI: And then if you turn to the 17 next page, paragraph 4.7.10 you say, "In 2012 I was the 18 operational commander during the strike of RDOs at Impala 19 Mine. In the same year I was the operational commander 20 responsible for crowd management during the criminal trial 21 arising from the death of Mr Moss Phakoe, prominent member 22 of the ANC and a councillor." Now if we speak to the first 23 sentence, "In 2012 I was operational commander during the 24 strike of RDOs at Impala Mine," what was the dynamic of 25 this operation at Impala Mine?</p>

Page 26594

1 COLONEL MERAPE: At that mine, Impala,
2 members known as rock drill operators were on strike, Mr
3 Chairperson, pertaining to their salaries and what they did
4 was to attack those people that were going to work. What
5 was happening is that they were closing roads with trees,
6 with rocks and scraps of vehicles. What was also
7 troublesome was that people were being cut, chopped with
8 sharp instruments and firearms were also used. Some of the
9 people were approached in their places of residence and
10 were intimidated there and some property was damaged.

11 MS BALOYI: What components of the SAPS
12 were deployed to this operation?

13 COLONEL MERAPE: These were members of
14 the POP in Rustenburg which was assisted by members of the
15 national intervention unit from Pretoria.

16 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, I see that it's
17 4 o'clock, should I –

18 CHAIRPERSON: I was proposing to sit till
19 the end of paragraph 4.7.12 but if you'd prefer to deal
20 with those on the morrow, we will do so.

21 MS BALOYI: Chairperson, it might take a
22 bit of time, the next particular, the detail. We want to
23 go into detail.

24 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, we'll take the
25 adjournment now till tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Page 26595

1 MS BALOYI: Thank you, Chair.
2 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]
3 .
4 .
5 .
6 .
7 .
8 .
9 .
10 .
11 .
12 .
13 .
14 .
15 .
16 .
17 .
18 .
19 .
20 .
21 .
22 .
23 .
24 .
25 .

<p>A</p> <p>ability 26506:20</p> <p>able 26455:17 26467:20 26472:2 26509:18 26545:25 26546:5 26553:12 26559:2 26560:21 26582:5,5</p> <p>absent 26556:6</p> <p>accept 26459:23 26554:20</p> <p>acceptance 26457:21 26458:5</p> <p>accepted 26459:19 26578:14,19</p> <p>access 26500:15 26502:3 26524:12 26541:12</p> <p>accessing 26499:20</p> <p>account 26455:1 26526:21 26537:20 26537:24 26545:1 26550:9</p> <p>accounts 26543:2</p> <p>Accuracy 26459:6</p> <p>accurate 26499:20</p> <p>accurately 26469:12 26546:1</p> <p>acquire 26533:21</p> <p>act 26465:3 26466:9,12 26466:14 26467:4 26468:11</p> <p>acted 26531:24 26537:22</p> <p>acting 26468:2 26469:15 26537:3</p> <p>action 26466:5 26467:14,21 26469:8 26470:4 26471:22 26499:25 26500:6 26503:2 26515:3,3 26519:15,25,25 26520:23 26527:25 26536:15 26538:21 26538:25 26570:13</p> <p>actions 26467:12 26475:1 26527:18 26528:1 26537:13 26544:18,19</p> <p>activate 26473:24</p> <p>activities 26499:21,25 26504:23 26520:2 26526:14 26539:16 26539:25 26590:17</p> <p>activity 26467:5,9,15 26467:24</p> <p>actual 26502:17 26550:16</p> <p>additional 26457:11 26458:4 26496:18 26515:21</p> <p>address 26546:10</p> <p>addressing 26540:24</p> <p>adequate 26502:11</p> <p>adjourn 26576:21</p>	<p>26582:18</p> <p>adjourned 26547:24 26548:13 26595:2</p> <p>adjournment 26518:8 26547:17 26548:1 26576:18 26594:25</p> <p>ADJOURNS 26496:10 26518:9 26548:2 26576:19</p> <p>administration 26534:4</p> <p>admission 26462:13</p> <p>admit 26477:7 26479:5</p> <p>Adv 26490:10 26572:19 26577:1 26584:2,9</p> <p>advance 26482:24</p> <p>advancing 26482:25 26549:15 26567:25 26568:2</p> <p>advantage 26523:19</p> <p>adverse 26455:3</p> <p>advisable 26470:25</p> <p>advisers 26494:10</p> <p>aerial 26550:21</p> <p>affect 26560:6</p> <p>affirm 26577:16</p> <p>affirmation 26454:6 26496:12</p> <p>affirms 26454:7 26496:14</p> <p>Africa 26485:16 26486:9,14,16 26590:18</p> <p>African 26494:4 26589:5 26593:15</p> <p>Africans 26485:11</p> <p>Afrikaans 26493:18</p> <p>aftermath 26470:4</p> <p>afternoon 26477:5</p> <p>aggressive 26485:3</p> <p>ago 26557:2</p> <p>agree 26473:10 26529:20 26552:15 26555:15 26557:8 26565:19</p> <p>agreed 26475:20 26499:14</p> <p>aid 26516:2,5,10 26517:7,16 26541:8 26542:8</p> <p>air 26477:20 26481:22</p> <p>airborne 26475:21</p> <p>airtime 26499:17</p> <p>alighted 26540:16</p> <p>alive 26504:23</p> <p>allow 26520:24</p> <p>allowed 26560:10</p> <p>alongside 26556:12</p> <p>alright 26457:19 26459:13 26460:3,12 26461:5 26462:24 26471:11 26479:8 26496:6 26497:12,14 26502:10 26548:19 26567:2 26569:13</p>	<p>26571:12 26576:10 26578:14 26579:25 26581:2 26582:2,21 26594:24</p> <p>alteration 26565:14</p> <p>alternative 26505:19 26506:15 26507:8 26565:22,24</p> <p>alternatives 26574:6</p> <p>altitude 26462:7,10 26467:25</p> <p>ambulances 26515:14 26515:17,21 26538:12 26545:8 26561:19 26562:11</p> <p>ammunition 26490:21 26492:3,3 26514:3,11 26514:15,16 26572:8 26572:9 26587:4</p> <p>analysis 26545:23</p> <p>ANC 26591:13,22 26593:22</p> <p>Annandale 26477:14 26478:9 26481:8,11</p> <p>answer 26554:25 26555:7 26558:11 26560:10,22 26566:2 26576:8 26577:13</p> <p>answered 26542:17</p> <p>answering 26514:23 26535:11 26543:23</p> <p>answers 26555:1 26575:25</p> <p>anticipated 26578:15</p> <p>anybody 26465:2 26467:7 26476:6 26510:2</p> <p>anyway 26488:17 26498:2 26523:1 26547:20 26554:6 26564:15</p> <p>apologise 26454:4 26469:24 26543:6 26576:23 26581:11</p> <p>apologising 26578:11</p> <p>apology 26578:13,19</p> <p>apparently 26501:12</p> <p>appear 26495:4</p> <p>appeared 26506:13</p> <p>appearing 26585:15</p> <p>appears 26469:18 26549:3 26557:4</p> <p>apply 26547:20</p> <p>applying 26530:16</p> <p>appointed 26586:13 26590:8</p> <p>appreciate 26456:7</p> <p>appreciated 26524:20</p> <p>appreciation 26523:24</p> <p>approach 26468:5,15</p> <p>approached 26471:19 26540:14,23 26549:4 26594:9</p> <p>approaching 26466:18 26523:19 26540:10 26541:15 26542:25</p>	<p>26549:10 26554:1</p> <p>appropriate 26455:22 26470:12 26475:13 26497:13 26504:1 26533:23 26534:1 26537:4 26541:5,12 26542:7,20 26543:7 26557:21 26558:2 26587:19</p> <p>appropriately 26456:9 26499:18 26541:10</p> <p>approximately 26473:3 26549:5 26550:5 26551:15</p> <p>APRIL 26454:1</p> <p>area 26486:24 26502:10 26503:7 26504:3 26505:15 26506:7 26511:19 26512:19,24 26513:21 26514:8 26515:6,9 26517:11 26519:10 26530:6 26532:9 26537:25 26545:24 26550:22 26550:22 26554:1 26556:21 26557:7 26558:3,8,10,18,19 26558:22,25 26559:1 26559:4,4,11,12,16 26559:17,18,19,20,22 26562:6,20,22 26563:15 26564:18 26565:5,10,14 26567:6,7,10,10 26571:9 26592:19</p> <p>areas 26528:2 26543:11</p> <p>argue 26482:22 26489:15,24,24,25 26490:1 26494:23 26506:4</p> <p>argument 26482:24,25</p> <p>arising 26535:18 26593:21</p> <p>armed 26520:4 26521:16,16,16 26585:19</p> <p>arms 26501:6</p> <p>arrangements 26547:18</p> <p>arrest 26539:8 26592:1 26592:14</p> <p>arrested 26488:9 26524:6 26539:24 26570:7</p> <p>arrival 26525:15 26534:19</p> <p>arrive 26534:1,12 26535:10,14 26537:13</p> <p>arrived 26525:12 26534:24 26536:2,6 26537:4,14,15 26538:8,14,24 26539:3 26542:4</p>	<p>arrives 26517:23 26530:8</p> <p>arriving 26517:5 26542:23</p> <p>articulate 26574:16</p> <p>ascertain 26538:13 26544:7</p> <p>asked 26456:17 26504:12 26509:16 26509:17 26510:21 26511:23 26526:19 26527:9 26536:9 26539:20,21 26541:14 26542:12 26570:8 26571:21 26572:6 26575:3 26576:21</p> <p>asking 26488:13 26509:8 26534:3 26540:23,25 26541:25 26566:18 26568:7 26576:17</p> <p>aspect 26455:16 26514:23 26546:22 26554:16</p> <p>assembled 26532:17</p> <p>assess 26475:14 26540:21</p> <p>assessing 26455:1</p> <p>assessment 26542:25 26562:9 26563:20,24 26564:25 26565:20 26565:25</p> <p>assist 26500:5 26515:7 26516:21 26558:13</p> <p>assistance 26517:23 26534:1,10 26538:16</p> <p>assisted 26500:10 26594:14</p> <p>associated 26455:10</p> <p>assume 26482:21 26535:11</p> <p>assumed 26576:17</p> <p>assuming 26534:16 26554:17</p> <p>assumption 26487:16 26487:20 26570:10</p> <p>assumptions 26487:22</p> <p>assurance 26456:5</p> <p>assured 26493:5</p> <p>attack 26594:4</p> <p>attacking 26519:16</p> <p>attacks 26593:9</p> <p>attempt 26475:10,11 26513:15</p> <p>attempting 26517:6</p> <p>attend 26516:11</p> <p>attention 26548:22 26579:22</p> <p>attitude 26500:9</p> <p>attraction 26519:3,12</p> <p>august 26458:22 26459:18,21 26466:7 26484:9</p> <p>authorities 26502:6</p> <p>authority 26482:8</p>
--	---	--	--	--

26528:20,21 26531:15 26533:20 available 26496:3,22 26497:23 26540:18 26562:21 26563:14 26573:12,16 26578:16,18 aware 26475:19 26478:25 26487:3 26504:19,22 26512:25 26523:16 26523:23 26524:2,18 26526:12 26527:8,11 26530:19 26566:9 26573:18 axes 26549:7 A2 26569:7,7 A3 26568:17 26569:8	26492:13,14 beginning 26455:24 26493:24 begins 26549:3 belief 26493:5 believe 26470:19 26481:12 26484:13 26487:24 26488:2 26496:22 26554:3,4 benefit 26523:2 26528:3 26532:6 best 26456:13 26484:1 26496:25 26511:11 26511:14 26517:7 better 26498:19 26507:13 26532:19 26538:25 26577:12 beyond 26516:10 big 26491:14 26509:24 bigger 26508:21 binding 26577:15 birdshot 26571:17 bit 26492:9 26544:16 26594:22 bits 26571:14 26574:25 Blackberries 26472:10 Blackberry 26470:5,7 26470:8 26471:15,16 26471:19,21 26472:1 26472:3,20,23 26473:14 26480:15 26480:17 26483:23 26495:15 blame 26476:25 blamed 26462:15 blue 26553:25 26559:19 bodies 26464:8 26470:9 26471:23 26472:17 26472:17,18 26474:11 26475:25 26476:5 26481:6,6 26483:10 26514:24 26554:2,3,4 body 26474:7 book 26573:13 booked 26574:5 Bophuthatswana 26586:7 26589:7 26590:17 borders 26486:15 borne 26454:25 Botswana 26485:24 bottom 26548:23 26551:2 26552:14 26554:12 26555:4 26563:12 boulders 26523:14 bound 26454:6 26496:12 brainstorming 26574:15 break 26494:25 26495:7 26496:2,7 26500:23 Breedt 26504:15,19	brief 26484:5 briefing 26477:4 26480:13 26502:11 26502:17,21 26503:18,22 26504:5 26504:7,8 26512:23 26513:5 26519:23 26564:13 briefings 26502:16 26503:21 briefly 26470:3 brigadier 26461:13,15 26461:19 26463:9,13 26464:14 26465:6,17 26466:3,4,10,20 26468:8,23,25 26469:17,19 26470:2 26472:22 26475:2 26478:15,16 26480:14,18 26484:10 26485:4 26486:22 26491:15 26491:18 26495:18 26495:21 26511:20 26511:25 26512:3,8 26513:1,7,10,15 26518:21 26521:21 26530:10,20 26531:23 26533:11 26534:24 bring 26591:25 bringing 26544:24,25 26545:3 broad 26541:4 broader 26456:16 brought 26525:3,4 26544:23 26545:1 26579:22 26589:7 bullet 26484:13 bullets 26536:16 26557:18 26558:1 bundle 26578:24,24,25 26580:24 26582:9,13 26582:23 bundles 26578:17,23 26582:15 bush 26570:5 bushes 26542:5,24 busy 26477:6 26483:25 26537:16 26554:19 26558:21,24 26562:15 B2 26568:21 B3 26568:16,21	26518:21 26521:21 26530:20 26531:23 26533:11 26534:24 Calitz's 26466:10 call 26458:8 26461:16 26463:14 26464:9 26474:16 26475:13 26476:15 26477:17 26498:12 26508:24 26512:11 26521:21 26546:10 26551:5 26561:12,12 26567:9 26580:9 called 26463:19,24 26464:1,14,16,23,25 26465:3,7,17,20 26472:21 26490:15 26490:22 26513:20 26539:9,9,10 26577:1 calling 26461:16,17 26463:13 26476:16 CALS 26545:23 camera 26470:11 26471:13 26483:23 cameras 26477:15 camped 26524:1 cannon 26464:10,15,16 26464:17,22 26465:5 26465:13 cannons 26461:18 26463:19,23 26464:1 26465:9,18,19 26466:21 canvassed 26498:2 capabilities 26516:3 capable 26507:8 Cape 26485:11,12,18 26485:20 26486:25 26487:2,11 26489:11 26489:19 car 26505:23 26506:17 26515:17 career 26587:17 26588:12 26591:2 carefully 26478:25 26556:4 carried 26537:17 carries 26546:4 carry 26456:10 26489:4 26492:10 26508:13 case 26460:1 26469:14 26503:16 26517:19 26530:16 26583:13 cast 26499:12 catch 26560:15 cause 26578:18 caused 26455:2 26562:1 CCTV 26477:15 cell 26480:5 26499:15 26499:16,17 certain 26464:22 26487:21 26499:25 26513:19 26516:16 26534:23 26592:15	26592:22 certainly 26456:11,12 26556:3 26560:12 26575:14 cetera 26501:6 26503:9 26504:10 26514:21 26515:4 26519:14,17 26521:7 26532:22 26573:14 26574:17 26574:21,25 chain 26533:4,8 26572:11 chairman 26478:8 challenge 26525:1,1 chamber 26498:11 chance 26497:7 change 26580:2 changes 26585:24 changing 26564:18,24 26565:3 charge 26530:2,9,9,12 26531:1 26535:20,21 26536:8 26539:22 26591:10 Chaskalson 26522:12 26547:1 26551:19 26552:22,24,25 26553:7,22 26559:25 26560:4,9,12 26580:5 Chaskalson's 26553:18 chief-of-staff 26478:7 choose 26509:11,12 chopped 26466:9 26594:7 chopper 26465:10 chosen 26523:4 26524:11 Christian 26578:5 circle 26472:18 circled 26546:5 circulating 26501:9 circumstance 26529:21 26542:15 circumstances 26456:15 26508:3 26518:2 26527:20 26544:4,19 City 26491:16 civilians 26526:3,10,18 claims 26478:22,22 clarified 26516:16 clear 26462:11 26469:18 26473:5,15 26474:1,2 26552:4 26553:17 26558:6 26560:19 cleared 26519:10 clearly 26456:10 26473:12 26490:16 26546:18 26549:11 clips 26470:16 close 26465:21 26470:19 26502:4 26521:19 closer 26469:9 26541:11 26570:5
B				
B 26457:22 26459:19 26459:21 back 26463:4 26464:13 26474:20 26496:7 26498:5 26540:9 26545:22 26553:1 26558:13 26562:1 26571:6 26574:1 26575:7,8 26588:6 background 26491:1 26499:13 26502:1 Baloyi 26576:5,6 26577:1,3,5,10 26578:10,21 26579:6 26579:9,12,15,18,20 26580:12,16,19,22 26581:5,9,14,17,20 26581:22 26582:1,7 26582:19,22 26583:5 26583:7,12,15,20 26584:2,5,10,11,15 26585:7,10,23 26586:3,10,16,23 26587:5,10,16 26588:4,11,18,25 26589:9,15 26590:6 26590:12,20,24 26591:8,17 26592:3 26592:10,16 26593:3 26593:7,16 26594:11 26594:16,21 26595:1 barbed 26563:24 26564:4,7,9 26574:18 26574:20 basically 26462:18 26504:9 26516:7 basis 26487:15,22 26488:15,20 26498:4 26517:1 26575:6 26576:4 battery 26479:21 26510:4 battlefield 26529:13 bear 26456:21,22 26574:10 beg 26460:19 26471:7	blame 26476:25 blamed 26462:15 blue 26553:25 26559:19 bodies 26464:8 26470:9 26471:23 26472:17 26472:17,18 26474:11 26475:25 26476:5 26481:6,6 26483:10 26514:24 26554:2,3,4 body 26474:7 book 26573:13 booked 26574:5 Bophuthatswana 26586:7 26589:7 26590:17 borders 26486:15 borne 26454:25 Botswana 26485:24 bottom 26548:23 26551:2 26552:14 26554:12 26555:4 26563:12 boulders 26523:14 bound 26454:6 26496:12 brainstorming 26574:15 break 26494:25 26495:7 26496:2,7 26500:23 Breedt 26504:15,19	brief 26484:5 briefing 26477:4 26480:13 26502:11 26502:17,21 26503:18,22 26504:5 26504:7,8 26512:23 26513:5 26519:23 26564:13 briefings 26502:16 26503:21 briefly 26470:3 brigadier 26461:13,15 26461:19 26463:9,13 26464:14 26465:6,17 26466:3,4,10,20 26468:8,23,25 26469:17,19 26470:2 26472:22 26475:2 26478:15,16 26480:14,18 26484:10 26485:4 26486:22 26491:15 26491:18 26495:18 26495:21 26511:20 26511:25 26512:3,8 26513:1,7,10,15 26518:21 26521:21 26530:10,20 26531:23 26533:11 26534:24 bring 26591:25 bringing 26544:24,25 26545:3 broad 26541:4 broader 26456:16 brought 26525:3,4 26544:23 26545:1 26579:22 26589:7 bullet 26484:13 bullets 26536:16 26557:18 26558:1 bundle 26578:24,24,25 26580:24 26582:9,13 26582:23 bundles 26578:17,23 26582:15 bush 26570:5 bushes 26542:5,24 busy 26477:6 26483:25 26537:16 26554:19 26558:21,24 26562:15 B2 26568:21 B3 26568:16,21	calitiz 26461:13,15,20 26463:10,13 26464:14 26465:6,17 26466:4,4 26468:8,23 26468:25 26469:17 26469:19 26470:2 26475:2 26478:15,16 26491:15 26495:18 26511:20 26512:8 26513:1,7,11,15	C

<p>closing 26594:5 cluster 26530:6 collision 26534:9,9 colonels 26476:2 26479:15 26480:25 come 26461:18 26463:19 26464:1,10 26464:15 26465:13 26465:14,18 26466:21 26476:14 26496:7 26498:5 26525:9 26528:12 26539:22 26572:8 26574:1 26575:7,8 comfort 26494:25 26495:7 26496:2,7 comfortable 26495:7 coming 26500:4,13 26521:11 26562:10 26567:4 command 26504:2 26528:4,18,21 26529:22 26531:20 26532:7,10 26533:4,8 26534:20 26535:18 26535:23 26536:6 26538:1,6,20,23 26539:4,5,12 26544:17 commanded 26539:1 commander 26474:19 26474:19 26477:11 26478:4 26482:4,5,7 26482:15 26484:16 26491:17 26513:1 26528:11,12,12 26530:2,6,7,7,13 26532:13,24 26533:12,14 26535:24 26537:3 26589:17,21,25 26590:2,8 26593:5,8 26593:18,19,23 commanders 26491:13 26528:14 26532:20 26573:14,24 comment 26522:6 26527:9 comments 26523:2 Commission 26454:5 26454:14,22 26456:7 26461:24 26477:7 26496:10,10,11 26498:21 26499:14 26506:1 26518:9,9,10 26532:25 26548:2,2,3 26572:1 26576:19,19 26576:20 26577:21 26579:1 26582:11 26595:2 commissioner 26481:16 26482:1 26490:2,24 26491:21 26492:7 26530:6 26536:1,5 26572:22 26573:2,5,17 26574:4</p>	<p>26574:9 26581:7,9 26582:12 commit 26573:6 committee 26478:8 communicate 26464:17 26472:11 26500:24 communicated 26461:12 communication 26461:15,19 26514:14 communications 26463:7 community 26587:11 26587:13,15 compare 26526:25 compilation 26542:10 26542:12 complete 26582:4 26591:1 completed 26588:13 completely 26549:16 completeness 26549:14 comply 26549:9 component 26592:4 components 26593:11 26594:11 compromise 26455:11 computer 26481:20,21 26496:5 concealed 26499:19 26503:6 concede 26457:25 26565:9 concentrating 26554:23 concept 26516:13,14 26538:1 concepts 26528:17,18 26534:17 26535:8 concern 26455:7 26474:22 26526:7 concerned 26456:6 26472:12 26480:19 26494:9,11 26530:25 26537:21 26562:4 concession 26458:4 concludes 26497:15,17 26497:18 condition 26538:13 conduct 26504:2 26527:10 26533:21 26537:21 26544:14 conducting 26504:9 confer 26455:17 confined 26541:11 confirm 26459:25 26460:2 26501:8 26577:11 26583:11 26583:18,22,25 26585:24 26586:6 conflict 26516:19 confrontation 26500:1 confronts 26516:25 confuse 26541:9,16 confused 26464:19,21</p>	<p>confusion 26559:9,11 26560:1 conjured 26514:2 connotations 26529:14 conscience 26577:15 consecutive 26573:3 26575:24 consensus 26556:15 consequence 26483:5 consequences 26456:23 26573:18 consider 26476:16 26478:25 considerable 26456:10 considered 26531:12 considering 26481:9 26526:17 consistent 26526:21 consists 26578:25 consolidated 26460:22 26465:24 26484:6 26579:5 26580:1,9 26582:24 26583:9 constable 26546:18,25 26547:13,14,19 26548:14 26549:20 constituted 26490:25 consulted 26455:10,23 contact 26481:13 26482:14 26500:25 26534:10 containing 26458:13 CONTD 26460:14 26496:16 26518:15 26548:8 contemplate 26520:5 contended 26522:8 content 26585:24 contention 26502:15 contentions 26499:7 contents 26583:25 context 26531:14 26534:2 26543:23 continue 26454:15,21 26498:6 26520:1 continues 26475:11 contradistinction 26486:12 control 26491:6,10 26500:22 26513:12 26528:4,8,18,20,25 26529:4 26532:9,12 26533:1 26535:17 26536:6 26538:1,6 26539:15,19 26544:17 controlling 26490:5 convenient 26518:5 conversations 26468:24 conveyed 26514:24 convoy 26507:5 26508:14 26509:7 26511:7,8 26545:24 copy 26457:12,17 26458:16 26546:23</p>	<p>26550:2 corner 26466:19 26468:5,15 26551:2,6 26552:14 26554:2,12 26555:4 26563:8 correction 26584:7,18 26584:21 26585:6,8 26585:11,18 corrections 26583:16 26584:2 correctly 26468:4 26469:22 26472:1 26489:2 couched 26527:21 couldn't 26472:11 26551:19,22 26557:16 26561:13 26561:14 councillor 26593:22 counsel 26455:13,17 26456:6 26497:22 26498:5 count 26472:17,18 counted 26477:10 counting 26472:17 26559:8 couple 26460:10 course 26463:11 26484:20 26494:8 26555:8,13 26557:11 26557:11 26560:16 26560:25 26569:23 26586:19,19,24 26587:6,8,11,11,12 26587:14,20,20,25 26588:4,5,7,14 courses 26588:8 cover 26519:19 26570:11 covered 26497:23 co-ordinate 26512:9 co-ordinating 26532:18 co-ordination 26536:24 crime 26504:15 26505:2 26530:11,11 26530:13 26539:10 26539:21 criminal 26593:20 criticised 26462:15 criticism 26519:19,20 26522:6 26525:22 26540:1 cross 26455:24 26456:1 26456:7 26458:1 26460:8 26497:15,17 26505:13,17 26509:22 26565:23 cross-examination 26454:15 26455:8,15 26455:18 26460:13 26460:14 26482:20 26492:10 26496:9,16 26497:3,11 26499:5 26499:23 26500:6 26522:15 26524:25 26525:2 26527:23</p>	<p>26563:17 cross-examine 26460:6 26497:20 cross-examined 26456:19 26551:18 26565:21 cross-examiners 26497:19 crowd 26490:25 26491:6,9,10,22 26519:9 26520:21,21 26530:3 26587:9,20 26587:21 26591:2 26593:20 crowds 26587:9 26588:3 culpability 26543:23 culture 26494:4 currently 26500:8 curving 26563:19 cut 26594:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>daily 26517:1 damaged 26594:10 dangerous 26491:12 26549:9 date 26581:25 26584:24,25 26585:3 26586:14 dated 26579:16 26580:20 day 26477:16 26480:18 26481:21 26526:11 26545:16 26573:24 26574:19 26591:25 days 26573:7 dead 26473:9 26474:5 26474:10 26475:13 26475:25 26476:4,14 26476:15,24 26483:11 deal 26490:25 26491:2 26491:23 26497:1,6 26497:13,14 26514:21 26517:20 26520:9 26522:1,3 26531:13 26534:25 26536:17,25 26541:18 26573:11 26591:19 26594:19 dealing 26533:16 26571:24 26587:21 26588:3 deals 26588:12 dealt 26499:5 26519:22 26526:15 26530:25 26562:18 26591:6 26592:13 death 26484:12 26593:21 deaths 26474:14 debate 26555:20 deceased 26488:10 decide 26454:22 26477:8 26483:19</p>
---	--	--	--	---

<p>decided 26507:20 decision 26480:21,22 26543:19 decisions 26484:3 defence 26537:22 26544:16 26593:15 defensive 26540:15 definitely 26503:15 26564:24 delay 26454:21,25 26456:22,23 26497:25 26578:11 delayed 26557:23 delegates 26500:7 demanding 26540:12 demonstrate 26468:21 demonstration 26468:19 depend 26537:12 dependent 26501:19 26555:10 depending 26504:11 depends 26528:14 depicted 26470:20 deploy 26564:9 deployed 26503:2 26511:21 26532:19 26574:19 26591:10 26591:11 26592:4,11 26593:11 26594:12 deprived 26573:19 describe 26464:6,6 26484:8 26490:6 described 26510:11 26545:25 26568:15 describes 26463:6 describing 26464:3,9 description 26510:10 despite 26455:25 destruction 26519:16 26591:23 detail 26594:22,23 details 26586:17 detect 26503:8 detective 26539:10 detectives 26490:18,23 26539:21 26592:14 determine 26537:14 determined 26538:15 deviated 26537:18 26543:18 deviation 26565:15 diamond 26490:14 dictated 26513:11 dictionary 26494:9 didn't 26467:7,8 26469:12 26474:25 26475:5 26476:5,22 26477:8,20,21 26478:16 26479:2,6,9 26479:10 26480:3 26481:1,8,18 26487:14 26489:14 26489:20,21 26490:20 26491:14 26492:25 26493:2,21</p>	<p>26506:13 26532:2 26540:5 26542:13 26543:9,20 26555:24 26578:15 26582:9 die 26485:8 26493:6 difference 26588:7 differences 26536:21 26591:21 different 26484:25 26486:8 26487:5 26488:19 26493:25 26494:2,15 26512:13 26528:17,18 26529:18 26532:20 26533:5 26544:16 26564:21 26581:11 26586:11 26587:3 26588:9,21 26589:10 differently 26531:24 26532:24 26546:9 difficult 26462:25 26468:1 26516:15 26523:25 26524:13 26541:11 26544:17 26580:2 difficulties 26455:3 26536:21 difficulty 26475:4 26490:5 26491:22 26553:14 26554:8 direction 26478:23 26509:13 26519:4 26530:13 26545:7 26554:11 26555:22 26556:23 26557:13 26557:19 26562:8,10 26565:1 26566:8 26567:24 26569:9 directions 26529:18 directly 26528:10,13 26561:24 disappears 26494:19 disarm 26592:1 discharge 26541:6 discharged 26517:12 26536:13 26544:13 discipline 26532:21 discovered 26502:5 discriminated 26582:4 discuss 26513:8 discussed 26534:24 26574:10,13,21,23 discussing 26511:24 discussion 26454:10 26500:2 26557:10 discussions 26574:5,9 26574:14 disorientate 26541:9 dispersal 26503:2 26515:3,3 26518:21 26519:7,14,25 26520:12,22 26521:12 dispersed 26514:20 dispersing 26567:22,23 26568:13 26569:4</p>	<p>disposed 26503:6 disposition 26500:9 disregard 26533:7 disruption 26593:10 distance 26551:25 distress 26470:21 ditch 26508:11 divert 26544:6 diverted 26556:22 document 26457:23 26458:3,10,13 26466:3 26545:23 26546:1,3 26568:7 26578:12 26580:23 26580:23 26581:3,11 26582:10,23 26583:9 26583:11,18,21 26584:6 documents 26457:6 26576:22 26578:17 26578:23,25 26579:2 26579:2 26582:15 doesn't 26495:4 26545:20 26553:15 26560:6 dogs 26503:5,17 doing 26492:23 26493:1,3,14 26505:9 26525:2 26529:19 26550:6 26553:20 donga 26507:3,12 don't 26455:22 26456:23 26458:1 26460:6 26465:2,25 26467:13 26470:6 26475:9,11,16,25 26476:6 26482:2,19 26485:7 26486:11 26487:23,25 26488:18 26489:5,6 26493:10 26494:13 26495:23 26497:9 26501:25 26522:13 26527:14,24 26529:20 26553:19 26560:5,11 26564:15 26565:11,19 26577:14 26580:24 26582:19 doubt 26506:20 26582:17 drawn 26455:4 drill 26489:18 26594:2 drink 26456:15 driver 26506:13,21 26507:19 26508:11 26509:7,13 drivers 26515:22 drives 26509:13 drop 26549:8 drove 26509:1 dry 26518:18,19,22 Du 26491:16 Dubeni 26546:18,23,24 26546:25 26547:13 26547:14 26549:20</p>	<p>Dubeni's 26548:17 due 26466:7 26487:3 26556:20 26591:12 duration 26561:20 dust 26466:17 26467:4 26467:11,14 duties 26574:1 duty 26480:10 26491:18 26531:12 26534:4,5,14 26573:13,21 26574:6 dynamic 26591:18 26592:17 26593:24 d.s.s 26577:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>earlier 26487:4 26560:1 26575:15 early 26459:7 26484:10 Earth 26550:22 easier 26473:25 easily 26552:12 East 26593:8 eastern 26485:11,12,18 26485:20 26486:25 26487:2,11 26489:11 26489:19 26530:17 26533:10 26536:12 easy 26475:9 26524:21 effect 26524:9 effectively 26536:16 26537:2 26563:7 either 26499:8 26516:10 26523:13 26524:3 elections 26592:22 elements 26499:4 26521:6 elicit 26482:23 emotional 26470:21 employed 26503:14 employment 26583:3 26586:5 26590:14 encircle 26574:24 encirclement 26574:7 encircling 26574:23 enclosing 26509:24 encountered 26533:17 endanger 26520:2 26521:14 endeavour 26456:5 26511:12,15 endeavouring 26498:1 engage 26461:17,18 26463:10,17,20 26464:10,18 26465:7 26466:23,23 26468:10,18,23 26469:1,19 engaged 26520:20 engaging 26542:6 26570:25 English 26465:25 26575:25 26576:8,9 ensure 26517:21 26519:14</p>	<p>ensured 26534:23 ensuring 26532:19 entail 26516:6,8 26586:20,25 26587:12 entails 26533:20,20 enter 26458:3 entirely 26484:20 26487:19 entitled 26458:10,14 entrench 26520:10 entrenched 26542:5,19 26542:24 entry 26587:6,8 environment 26534:20 26542:7 environments 26541:5 26541:11 equal 26478:12 equipment 26490:20 26521:2 error 26582:9 erupting 26556:20 escalate 26573:10 escape 26524:21 escaped 26570:13 especially 26477:9 26534:7 essentially 26473:17 establish 26503:11 26509:12 26512:12 26513:16,20 26532:7 26537:15 26543:6 et 26501:6 26503:9 26504:10 26514:20 26515:4 26519:14,16 26521:7 26532:22 26573:14 26574:17 26574:21,25 ethical 26455:12 ethnic 26485:22,23 26486:13 ethnical 26485:14 eTV 26471:13 26473:2 event 26512:21 events 26464:3 26499:7 26525:24 26526:4 eventually 26501:17 26574:11 26575:1 everybody 26530:12 evidence 26455:1,14 26457:21 26470:7 26498:6,18,20 26502:13 26504:14 26510:3,7 26512:2,4 26515:13,25 26518:21 26522:14 26522:22 26524:5 26527:17 26540:2 26545:11 26546:15 26549:22 26551:18 26552:21 26553:17 26553:21 26557:16 26557:22 26560:6 26562:7 26575:8 26577:21 26583:2</p>
---	---	---	--	---

<p>evidentiary 26504:20 ex 26522:17 exactly 26463:5 26466:14 26468:18 26563:17 examination 26456:8 26497:7,16,18 26505:18 26578:10 examine 26455:25 26458:2 26460:9 examined 26505:14 26509:23 26565:24 examining 26456:2 example 26491:6 26503:24 26530:4 26533:23 26544:20 exception 26497:21 26521:4 excerpts 26455:14 excused 26497:24 26498:3 26575:4,9,11 executive 26528:21 exercise 26534:15 exhibit 26457:23 26458:4,6,8 26460:15 26460:21 26463:4 26471:2,2,3 26484:6 26495:24 26547:3,4,5 26547:10,13,18,19 26550:15,17,18 26557:2 26580:9 26582:10,12 exhibits 26455:16 26458:18 26504:2 26506:1 26547:8,22 26579:1 26582:3 existence 26512:15 expect 26514:21 26516:21 26521:25 expectation 26502:25 26503:18,25 26504:1 26520:13 26533:6 26534:7 expected 26454:4 26475:24 26517:20 26533:25 experience 26470:20 26484:11,16,24,25 26486:21,23 26490:13 26491:14 26493:3,12 26517:6 26588:13 experienced 26490:24 expert 26494:6 expired 26470:22 26471:4 explain 26520:18 26529:24 26532:16 26543:15 26549:25 26552:12 26554:9 explained 26531:14 26550:8 explanation 26506:12 26527:14 26551:21 26556:4 26559:15 26560:22,23 26561:8</p>	<p>26561:9,14 explore 26501:22 26537:19 exposed 26521:13 26529:25 expressed 26474:22 expressing 26455:7 expression 26486:4 extensively 26505:14 26574:23 extent 26474:14 external 26500:24 eye 26474:20 26476:11 26476:23 26480:8 26483:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>F 26550:5 fact 26520:21 fact 26455:2,25 26459:20,20,21 26464:2 26465:25 26473:16 26476:9,12 26477:8 26485:11 26487:3 26488:4,13 26488:20 26489:1,2 26489:19 26491:6 26494:1 26499:16 26522:8 26524:4 26531:17 26534:22 26536:14 26545:10 26556:7,20 26557:3 26558:6,8 26566:10 26569:18 26570:10 26570:11,25 faction 26592:20 factors 26524:22 facts 26454:17 26482:22 26530:16 26545:2 failed 26501:24 fair 26462:1,12,20 26474:24 26519:20 falls 26522:25 26555:14 far 26480:19 26519:6 26523:19 26530:24 26537:20 26540:11 26552:21 26553:17 26553:21 26561:7 26562:9 26582:17 fascinating 26454:10 fatally 26517:13 26526:4 fear 26487:6 feedback 26476:23,24 26481:1 26482:15 feet 26462:23 26479:24 fell 26515:4 fellow 26516:22 felt 26544:24 fence 26457:24,25,25 26459:20,22,23,24 26461:22 26462:8,9 26462:11,14,14,16,17 26462:25,25</p>	<p>26509:23 fences 26454:10 26457:12 26458:2,11 26458:14 26459:12 fencing 26457:2,3 Ferry 26592:23 field 26513:22 26529:15 26573:18 fight 26484:12 26492:23 26493:6 26592:20 fighting 26592:23 file 26581:8 files 26498:14 filtering 26566:15 final 26468:10 26483:2 26484:5 26575:1 find 26456:18 26471:3 26522:18 26528:9 fingers 26456:20 fingerprints 26456:20 finish 26524:19 finished 26470:23 fire 26464:4,11 26466:15 26553:13 firearm 26527:20 firearms 26520:14 26521:7 26529:18 26536:13 26544:1,2,5 26544:13 26592:2,25 26592:25 26593:1 26594:8 fired 26514:17 26526:22 26529:18 26569:19,21 26570:2 firing 26552:23 26553:11 26554:12 26557:20 first 26459:3,10 26461:4 26463:13 26469:4 26472:1,17 26477:10 26493:13 26506:24 26509:16 26516:5,10 26520:8 26522:9,9,19 26525:11 26537:15 26551:1,20 26552:1,9 26552:22 26553:18 26553:20,23 26554:3 26554:4 26555:11 26559:11,12,17 26560:4 26567:10 26569:14,14,25 26571:6 26577:8,13 26579:5 26580:25 26588:19 26589:21 26590:3,17 26593:22 firstly 26504:18 26506:6 26508:5 26520:25 26526:9 26528:16 26532:6 26533:4 five 26500:7 26505:18 26506:9 26547:15 flight 26545:15 flowing 26522:14</p>	<p>flying 26462:7,10 26536:16 26557:18 26558:1 focus 26503:15 26527:22 26548:21 focusing 26561:14 follow 26530:12 26557:21 following 26464:5 26470:13 26471:22 26484:10 26556:17 26580:23 26591:13 follows 26472:25 26504:7 26562:14 foot 26556:19 force 26468:19 26475:3 26484:19 26487:18 26490:3,13,18,22 26491:8,21 26492:2 26503:4 26520:13 26521:3,6 26532:17 26541:18 26589:6 26593:15 forces 26536:25 foreign 26593:10 forgive 26489:3 forgot 26454:19 26575:17 forgotten 26492:9 form 26465:7 26588:20 format 26571:25 formation 26461:17 26463:14 26589:1 formed 26501:13 former 26589:4,6 fortunately 26580:1,11 forward 26461:18 26463:20 26464:1,10 26464:15,23 26465:1 26465:3,13,14,18 26466:21 26505:15 26506:7 26511:19 26512:19 26514:8 26515:6 26532:9 26538:13,16 26539:1 26545:23 26553:4 26554:1 26556:19 26561:23 26562:2 found 26455:13 26496:19 26497:5 26539:8 four 26458:13 26506:9 26551:4,10,21,25 26552:10 26556:22 26557:24 26579:1 fourth 26585:10 fraction 26591:5 frame 26540:10 Free 26592:9 fresh 26454:16 front 26470:9 26511:7 26557:12 26563:19 26563:21 26564:1 26578:24 26583:11 Fs 26550:6 full 26480:25</p>	<p>fullness 26506:4 fully 26498:2 function 26474:3 26528:23 26531:6 26534:4,11 functus 26580:8 funny 26550:6 further 26454:8 26458:2,21 26460:7 26470:10 26482:22 26494:22,25 26496:15 26567:24 26575:3,11 26578:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>Garnett 26485:4 26486:22 26491:18 26495:21 gather 26572:19 26575:17 gathered 26490:17 Gauteng 26592:8 26593:9 Germiston 26593:8 getting 26501:23 26506:9 26512:12 26515:7 26559:3 26573:15 give 26456:5 26461:24 26464:8 26466:19 26468:17,19 26470:12 26472:19 26476:23,23 26481:1 26481:13 26482:15 26496:7 26498:20 26502:9,20 26517:16 26522:4 26539:6 26541:4 26546:23 26551:20 26556:4 26561:14 26577:21 given 26455:22 26457:5,12,17 26458:5 26464:20 26466:20,23 26468:14 26469:17 26469:25 26475:18 26497:19,20 26502:21 26503:11 26503:22 26504:1 26505:1 26506:12 26508:3 26513:2 26517:6 26534:13 26565:22 gives 26550:23 26559:14 giving 26469:13 26498:18 26509:6 26522:22 go 26454:9 26460:4 26463:4 26464:13 26465:3 26470:6 26473:15 26484:7 26507:21 26508:24 26509:14 26512:14 26517:16,20 26519:7 26519:9,13 26522:5</p>
---	---	---	---	--

<p>26522:18 26524:5 26529:14 26534:6 26539:1 26543:20 26544:7 26545:7 26546:9 26547:9,20 26552:13 26553:1 26555:23 26556:21 26556:24 26557:16 26558:12,19 26559:2 26562:6 26563:11 26564:17,19 26565:5 26573:22 26586:5,17 26592:22 26594:23 God 26498:23,24 26577:23,24 goes 26464:5 26494:22 26546:7 26549:7 going 26454:9 26465:5 26470:16,21 26476:21 26480:4 26485:5 26491:1 26492:5,5 26493:11 26493:14 26497:6 26498:9 26499:1 26506:18 26513:8 26524:12 26527:23 26533:24 26534:25 26536:15,22 26538:23 26540:20 26542:12 26545:7 26547:20 26548:14 26548:15 26555:22 26556:12 26557:12 26557:13,14 26561:24 26562:8 26563:19,20,21,21,25 26564:1,9,25 26565:1 26566:8,11 26571:16 26575:3,19 26576:13 26576:24 26577:1 26584:2 26592:24 26594:4 gold 26490:14 good 26457:18,25 26459:6,23 26509:9 26555:15 26562:13 26562:14 Google 26505:23 26506:8 26507:7,13 26550:21,22 Gotz's 26497:3 governed 26538:1 graphically 26470:13 26470:18 grateful 26558:11 green 26553:25 grenade 26540:12,24 26541:5,8 26542:2,13 26542:20 grenades 26540:3,17 26541:3 26543:3,4,5 grid 26568:14 groep 26486:8 ground 26462:11 26463:10 26477:2 26478:18,20</p>	<p>26479:24 26480:14 26480:25 26482:4,14 26484:2 26536:25 group 26484:19 26485:1,8,23 26487:19 26490:4,5 26499:15 26500:5,13 26500:19,23 26502:4 26502:8,25 26512:20 26520:14 26521:16 26523:24 26524:21 26535:25 26538:11 26561:18,19 26588:20 groups 26485:22 26486:13 26487:5,7 26487:25 26519:15 26567:3 group's 26499:20 grou8p 26513:2 guided 26555:22 gunfire 26556:20 guys 26475:12 26476:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>hadn't 26492:9 26551:24 26552:11 26552:20 26553:9,9 26555:1 26557:23 26558:25 26580:11 halt 26474:16 26476:15 26476:16 halted 26474:15 hamper 26482:20 hand 26498:23 26543:3 26577:20,20 handle 26491:9 26492:1 handling 26474:22 26483:22,22,23 happen 26465:5 26480:10 26485:5 26502:25 26518:22 26520:6 26576:17 happened 26482:10 26483:10 26507:23 26527:14 26528:5 26552:23,23 26553:19 26578:14 26592:24 happening 26481:5 26483:5,9 26485:6 26497:5 26509:19 26512:12 26513:20 26514:13 26529:17 26534:17 26535:12 26536:11,12 26537:8 26544:7 26569:15 26594:5 happens 26470:3 happy 26497:1 heal 26493:11 hear 26463:15,25 26464:11 26466:6 26468:3 26472:16</p>	<p>26481:8 26513:19 heard 26461:14,19 26463:5,6,13,13,17 26463:19,22 26465:6 26465:9,13,16 26470:2 26474:12 26477:8,12 26480:2 26497:21 26514:11 26514:17 26515:2 26520:16 26560:12 26561:25 26570:1,17 heavily 26521:16 held 26586:11 helicopter 26466:8,11 26475:19,21,23 26477:20 26483:25 26515:10 helicopters 26475:21 26475:22 help 26498:23,24 26508:2 26519:2 26577:23,24 helps 26488:17 Hemraj 26490:2,10,24 26491:21 26492:7 26536:1,5 26572:19 26572:22 26573:2,5 26573:17 26574:4,9 26581:7,9 hesitate 26529:12 he'd 26466:23 26478:23 he's 26455:25 26464:8 26464:21 26485:21 26485:21 26498:10 26573:25 HHH 26460:21 HHH20 26460:15,22 26463:4 hiding 26503:7 high 26462:21 26489:12 26591:14 highlight 26583:4 history 26583:3 26586:5 26590:14 hit 26507:12 26508:10 hold 26483:4,15 26512:13 26534:10 26562:1 26590:9 holding 26505:15 26506:7 26511:19 26512:19 26514:8 26515:6 26532:9 26545:24 26554:1 homework 26571:15 hoping 26584:15 hostels 26519:14 hot 26498:12 Hotel 26581:8,18,23,24 hour 26573:7 hours 26477:16 26481:21 26572:24 26572:24 house 26490:18 housekeeping 26454:3 26575:16 26578:22</p>	<p>houses 26591:24 human 26516:21,22 hurry 26456:17 26584:11 hypothesis 26535:5 hypothetical 26541:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>idea 26512:14 26521:5 26556:10 Ideally 26455:8 identified 26455:15 identify 26503:6 26546:5 identifying 26504:10 idled 26550:23,24 26551:4,9,15,19,24 26551:24 26552:11 26552:20 26553:9 26558:25 26561:9,10 idling 26551:11,21,21 26552:1,2,10 26553:3 26553:9,10,11 26554:9,10,17,20,21 26554:24 26555:1,12 26556:5 26558:17,17 26558:21,25,25 26559:3,8,11,12,15 26559:16,17,19,20,22 26559:22 26560:2 26561:1,18,20 26562:13,15 26563:4 26567:6,7,10,10 ignition 26559:21 ignorance 26566:18 ignored 26476:12 imagine 26475:4 immediate 26515:8 26528:22 26532:20 immediately 26471:21 26473:1 26474:15 26479:6 26481:11 26482:11,14 26541:1 Impala 26593:18,24,25 26594:1 impis 26484:14 Implats 26487:4 implementable 26516:13 implementation 26504:8 implemented 26502:12 implications 26516:23 import 26510:1 26512:6 impress 26456:5 impression 26477:5 26542:4 inaccurate 26499:8 inadequate 26499:8 26502:16 inaudible 26486:11 26523:20 26548:11 26558:14 26581:13 26581:16 26583:10 incident 26465:21</p>	<p>26466:7,17 26472:20 26474:21 26488:5 26525:4 26533:17,18 26533:24,24,25 26534:2,6,6 26544:5 26591:21 incidents 26474:23 26491:11 26492:5 26538:8 26545:10 include 26582:9 incorrect 26484:20 26487:16,19,22 incorrectly 26498:12 increase 26461:15 index 26578:23 26580:16 indicate 26471:15,23 26488:24 26519:22 26521:20 26527:25 26544:4 26555:17 26568:5 indicated 26499:22 26500:6 26501:3 26502:7 26503:1 26505:11 26512:11 26513:4 26514:12 26519:3,23 26520:9 26521:25 26525:17 26526:10 26527:16 26534:21,23,25 26538:7,10,12 26539:7 26543:17 26544:1,15 26553:13 26556:10 26557:1,9 26558:17 26559:12 26562:8 26563:18 26565:20,24 26566:13,15 26567:20,22 26569:18 26570:3 26574:12,22 26584:7 indicates 26461:12 26546:19 indicating 26502:24 26514:15 26559:19 26573:21 indication 26514:11 26516:9 26554:5 26571:1 indicators 26514:9 indistinct 26550:6 individual 26517:13,25 individuals 26520:2,10 26527:22 26543:25 inducted 26501:17 inferences 26455:3 infiltrate 26500:15 inform 26476:3 26484:1 information 26475:17 26475:23 26476:10 26480:22 26482:10 26483:18 26487:3 26489:9,16,17 26492:17 26496:8 26500:4,15 26501:5,8</p>
--	---	---	---	--

26502:3,9 26523:11 26523:13,21 26524:3 26526:11 26572:14 informed 26455:13 26470:15 26475:20 26481:8,11 26502:2 26526:14 informers 26501:17 infrastructure 26574:2 initial 26590:15 initially 26538:10 26590:12 initiated 26461:13 initiative 26480:15 injured 26473:7,9 26474:4,9 26475:12 26476:1,13,15 26483:11 26488:1,9 26503:9 26514:12,20 26515:4 26517:6 injuries 26474:14 injuring 26516:18 inputs 26474:25 insight 26528:3 instance 26501:5 26502:21 26532:13 instances 26516:17 instructing 26456:6 26489:17 instruction 26463:9,25 26465:13 26466:11 26466:19 26468:4,8,9 26468:11,21,23,25 26469:13,19 26470:1 26470:2 26480:12 26481:14 26482:16 26514:15 26538:9 26549:10 instructions 26464:19 26469:15,16 26477:13 26489:9 26509:6 instruments 26594:8 insufficient 26500:4 integrated 26575:1 integration 26589:10 intelligence 26499:6,8 26499:20,23 26500:12,18 26501:2 26501:14,23 26502:1 26502:7 26513:5 intelligible 26501:14 intend 26515:25 26583:12,15 intended 26575:16 intensity 26461:14 intention 26497:19 26513:15,17 26561:24 intercepted 26464:24 interchangeably 26494:19 interest 26516:19 interested 26526:3 interim 26457:20 interpret 26576:9	interrupt 26488:8 26490:9 26579:22 interrupted 26507:2 interruption 26455:2 26498:18 intervened 26454:3 intervention 26517:7 26525:20,22 26593:14 26594:15 intimidated 26594:10 introducing 26469:18 investigate 26592:14 invite 26522:4,6 involve 26588:1 involved 26467:23 26485:10 26487:10 26488:5,21 26489:10 26489:18 26516:18 26545:10 26562:21 26590:25 26591:2 26593:9 involving 26591:13 IPID 26517:11 isolated 26500:22 issue 26492:12 26516:25 26530:3 26544:16 26545:9 26546:10,16 26556:15 26569:18 26569:20 26574:4 issues 26455:12 26456:16 26516:16 26526:13 26545:6 26574:21 item 26580:16,24 26581:10 26582:8,10 items 26460:11 it'll 26484:5 26582:16 it's 26454:23 26455:22 26457:24 26458:25 26459:3,5,8,10,17 26462:4 26470:8 26473:3 26475:9 26482:2 26485:15 26492:9,14 26493:24 26495:5 26497:2 26504:9 26533:7 26538:4 26547:5,15 26548:12,20,20,24 26550:5,16,16,18 26551:10 26552:4 26553:5 26555:9 26556:23 26558:6 26561:12 26563:13 26567:8 26574:15 26575:7 26578:14 26579:22 26580:1 26581:14,17 26582:12 26594:16	JJJ108 26556:16,18 JJJ11 26471:2,3 26553:24 JOC 26461:10 26471:16,23 26472:6 26472:12,14,21,21 26474:11,25 26476:3 26476:6 26477:6,11 26477:14,23 26478:5 26478:9,10,13 26480:2 26481:1,2,3 26481:12,16,22,23,24 26483:18 JOCOM 26478:8 JOHANNES 26454:7 26496:14 joined 26586:6 26588:14 Joseph 26577:6,8,25 26578:3,4,6 journalists 26574:21 judgment 26508:24 26542:1 26545:3 jumping 26580:6 junior 26482:4,6 26505:5 26532:8	JJJ108 26556:16,18 JJJ11 26471:2,3 26553:24 JOC 26461:10 26471:16,23 26472:6 26472:12,14,21,21 26474:11,25 26476:3 26476:6 26477:6,11 26477:14,23 26478:5 26478:9,10,13 26480:2 26481:1,2,3 26481:12,16,22,23,24 26483:18 JOCOM 26478:8 JOHANNES 26454:7 26496:14 joined 26586:6 26588:14 Joseph 26577:6,8,25 26578:3,4,6 journalists 26574:21 judgment 26508:24 26542:1 26545:3 jumping 26580:6 junior 26482:4,6 26505:5 26532:8	26471:19 KwaZulu 26592:19 KZN 26591:10 K9 26503:1,11,17,24 26532:22 26539:9,20 26543:18 26544:3,6,6 26544:9,23 26545:3 26545:13 26556:19 26556:22 K9s 26502:22	26482:18 26483:3 26484:4,20 26485:9 26487:9 26493:8,20 26494:5,23 26496:12 26496:17 26497:24 26522:14 26575:18 26577:6 26582:24 26584:24 26585:11 26586:13 26589:17 26589:18 life 26517:21 26520:3 26521:14 light 26458:1 26460:5 26462:13 liked 26500:7 limited 26500:25 line 26457:22 26465:8 26509:20 26510:20 26511:17,20 26512:7 26513:23 26518:18 26518:22,25 26519:18 26520:8,23 26521:12,17,22 26525:5 26526:2 26527:21 26528:23 26543:21 26546:9 26548:23 26549:2,6 26549:12,13 26556:19 26566:15 26566:19,23,24 26567:22 26568:2 26585:10 lined 26455:17 26465:21 26468:2 lines 26468:2 lingering 26557:24 linking 26561:17 list 26497:11 26571:20 26572:5 26591:1 listen 26474:25 26475:5,6,12 26476:6 26484:15 listening 26461:11 26481:4 little 26473:22 26500:13 26501:2,9 26520:18 26537:20 26544:16 26567:24 26584:1 live 26490:21 26492:2,3 26514:3,11,15,16 lives 26502:6 26521:9 LLL26 26522:4 LLL8 26465:24 26484:7 LLL8.1 26466:2 26484:7 local 26525:17 located 26523:9 location 26504:10 26506:14 26524:1 26541:10 logistical 26497:22 26573:5 long 26504:6 26511:8 26545:19 26546:19
	J	K	L		
	ja 26462:24 26478:19 26528:1,23 26533:19 26539:24 26556:2 JJJ10 26471:7 JJJ10.4541 26471:8	keep 26468:24 26471:8 26498:1 kept 26454:5 26540:18 26549:10 key 26546:6 Khuma 26490:16 kill 26484:14 26493:6 killed 26466:8 26470:18 26488:2 26492:6 26499:16 26526:11 26539:3,4 26591:23 26592:21 killing 26591:13 kind 26467:5 26469:15 26480:5 26531:6 26556:14 kinds 26587:3 kk1 26556:24 Klerksdorp 26484:16 26589:17,24 26590:4 klomp 26485:8 knew 26465:5 26478:19 26481:6,11 26513:1 26564:8 knit 26502:4 knobkerries 26585:14 26585:19 knowing 26454:19 26475:25 26544:19 known 26474:13 26505:1 26523:3,8,11 26523:15,21 26524:10,22 26531:22 26594:2 koppies 26519:24 26564:2 kraal 26464:25 26468:5,6,15 26470:9	L L 26495:4,24 landed 26481:19 landmark 26555:19 language 26575:18 large 26520:21,21 launched 26522:19 lay 26520:22 LCRC 26458:21 26459:18 Le 26545:22 lead 26455:3 26583:2,2 leader 26591:14 leaders 26457:21 26470:7 26515:25 26553:17 leading 26556:11 26576:4,6 26582:17 leave 26470:24,25 26583:13 led 26470:19 left 26508:24 26554:17 26566:4,15 26582:12 left-hand 26551:2,6 26567:21 legibility 26506:3 lens 26473:25 Lesotho 26486:3 lethal 26521:2,14 26545:13 letter 26582:11 26584:24,25 letters 26474:20 26491:5 26492:4 let's 26461:8 26462:2 26476:15,16 26480:20 26484:7 26496:6 26537:19 26546:8 26554:6 level 26516:22 26586:24 26587:1 levelled 26522:7 leverage 26500:11 lies 26559:9 Lieutenant 26463:3 26464:2 26478:11 26496:19 Lieutenant-Colonel 26454:6 26460:15,24 26461:1,6,7 26463:6 26463:12 26465:23 26466:12 26468:3 26471:12 26473:4 26474:12 26475:8 26476:8 26480:20		

<p>26555:20 26578:15 longer 26454:18 26576:21 Lonmin 26463:25 26465:16 26472:16 look 26458:20 26460:15 26461:25 26462:2,7,9 26465:24 26465:25 26466:2 26473:1 26482:9 26484:6,7 26492:12 26493:10 26494:24 26495:4 26496:4 26506:8,15 26507:7 26507:13 26527:5 26548:9 26558:17 26568:22 26569:6 26571:16,21 26576:10 26583:9,20 26586:18,23 26588:6 26591:8 looked 26496:2 26508:8 26515:12 26557:2,11 26561:23 26571:7 looking 26458:18 26460:18 26470:21 26473:24 26479:23 26488:25 26496:18 26508:1 26509:2 26533:5 26563:2 26568:13 26574:16 looks 26545:19 26550:7 26552:13,14 26579:4 26580:13 losing 26473:14 lost 26506:18 lot 26467:11 26484:12 26485:6 26556:1 26573:14,14 lots 26484:16 26501:4 lower 26552:6 26559:2 26569:7 lunch 26547:16 lying 26470:9 26474:7 26474:9 26538:11 26539:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>Mahlangu 26466:1 26576:9,13 main 26461:8 26508:16 26519:24 26572:10 maintained 26528:4 majority 26485:10 26487:10 26489:10 Major-General 26478:3,8 26498:10 26518:11 26531:9 26545:22 26548:4 26550:18 Makarapas 26499:14 26500:15 26501:12 26523:4 making 26485:21 26526:24</p>	<p>Malawi 26486:2 managed 26592:25 26593:1 management 26491:9 26530:3 26587:9,20 26587:21 26591:2 26593:20 managing 26587:8 Manhattan 26581:8,18 26581:22,24 manner 26501:22 26539:18 26576:14 map 26505:23 26506:8 26507:7,13 26508:8 26558:13 26563:2 26568:9,22 26569:5 marchers 26585:17,19 marches 26585:17 Marikana 26499:7 26525:10,12 mark 26457:22 26547:4 26559:19 26581:2,3 marked 26458:16 26548:13,20 26559:18,18 26579:13 26580:9,11 26582:3,23 marking 26581:4 material 26455:14 26504:20 26508:1 matter 26478:24 26498:21 26499:5 26509:18,23 26510:21 26522:13 26522:14,20 26526:8 26566:4 matters 26454:3 26456:20 26575:16 26578:22 McIntosh 26517:3,12 mean 26456:14 26485:7,14 26486:1,2 26486:4,13 26490:4 26490:10 26494:18 26505:4 26506:10 26529:9,14 26537:7 26537:14 26561:2 26564:1 meaning 26493:25 26494:9,11,17 26586:21 meanings 26494:2 means 26497:24 26586:22 meant 26494:12 medical 26515:7,9,11 26516:2 26517:7,16 26538:15 26539:9 medicine 26494:3 medics 26515:14,19 meeting 26581:18,22 member 26521:4 26530:8 26546:18 26590:13 26593:21 members 26455:24</p>	<p>26463:10 26466:5,6,9 26466:12,14 26467:4 26468:1,11 26470:4 26471:22 26474:23 26492:1 26501:16 26521:11 26525:5,19 26525:23 26527:17 26528:9 26529:1 26531:5 26533:1 26536:3,6 26537:20 26543:19 26544:10 26556:19 26573:12 26573:21 26574:1 26588:21 26589:3,4 26592:13 26593:13 26593:13 26594:2,13 26594:14 memory 26454:17 26568:7 mense 26485:8 26486:8 mental 26540:10 mention 26519:23 26527:14 26591:4 mentioned 26456:18 26466:20 26475:3,24 26481:5 26487:5 26492:4 26536:8 26585:1 mentioning 26492:1 Merafe 26491:15 26575:18 26577:6,8 26577:17,24,25 26578:3,4,6,7 26582:25 26583:8,17 26583:19,23 26584:3 26584:6,19,23 26585:4,11,13,21,24 26586:1,3,8,15,21 26587:1,7,13,23 26588:2,9,16,23,25 26589:4,13,19,23 26590:3,10,15,22 26591:4,16,17,20 26592:6,12,18 26593:12 26594:1,13 message 26475:2 26484:9,11 26485:4 26513:22 26515:1 messages 26492:17 meters 26546:21 metres 26549:5,23 26550:3 microphone 26486:11 26548:11 26558:14 26581:12,15 26583:10 millimetre 26521:3 mind 26454:25 26456:22 26465:25 26507:12 26508:2 26514:3,25 26547:21 26570:13 26572:8 26582:16 mine 26477:15 26484:12,17 26486:21 26491:14</p>	<p>26593:19,24,25 26594:1 mines 26484:24 26486:8 26487:6 26491:12 26493:13 mineworkers 26486:24 minimum 26541:18 minor 26583:16 minute 26470:22,24 26471:4 26552:2,9 26559:16 26567:9 26581:17,19 minutes 26461:14 26470:13 26471:22 26504:11 26551:4,11 26551:15,21,25 26552:10 26557:24 26581:8 mishear 26542:20 missed 26556:1 26584:12 missing 26454:18 26548:24 26549:6,12 26582:14,16 mistake 26477:7 misunderstanding 26559:6 misunderstood 26570:16 mis-phrased 26469:24 mitigated 26500:1 mitigation 26455:12 mixing 26471:8 Mm 26552:18 MMM 26547:8,9 MMM1 26568:8,14 MMM2 26568:9 MMM44 26545:23 MMM50 26547:10,13 26548:9,13 MMM54 26548:12 MMM9A 26557:2 MMM9B 26550:15 modern 26587:20 26588:3 Modiba 26536:7 Mokgotsi 26588:15 moment 26497:25 26498:4 26543:7,10 26554:7 26557:2 26566:4 26567:19 Monday 26466:7 26491:10 26525:4,24 26526:1,4 months 26508:1 morning 26454:3 26457:2,9 26458:22 26459:7,18 26477:6 26480:13 26484:10 26497:21,23 26581:1 26582:17 26594:25 morrow 26594:20 Moss 26593:21 motor 26524:12 26556:19 26591:24 mounted 26532:13,14</p>	<p>26532:21 26536:17 move 26463:24 26484:4 26498:11 26505:19 26509:7 26538:13 26542:11 26552:9 26587:16 26588:11 moved 26538:16 26551:5 26553:3,4,8 26556:18 26558:9 26561:23 movement 26505:14 26512:9 26513:11 26515:6 26545:23 movements 26553:2 moving 26566:19 26569:8 Mozambican 26488:11 Mozambicans 26484:18,21 26485:25 26486:3,13 26487:2,17,20 26488:3,5,10,14,22 26488:24 26489:2 Mozambique 26486:7 Mpembe 26475:19 26477:20 26478:4 26481:12,13 26482:12 Msinga 26592:19 multidisciplinary 26532:17 M-A-R-C-H-E-R-S 26585:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>naked 26492:22 name 26536:8 26577:8 names 26578:5 Natal 26592:19 national 26525:20,22 26581:17,20 26582:11 26592:7 26593:14,15 26594:15 nationalities 26484:18 26484:22,25 26485:2 26485:25 26486:2,5 26486:12 26487:18 26487:21,25 26488:11 nationals 26593:10 NATJOC 26491:18,20 nature 26462:17 26499:24 near 26481:16 necessarily 26541:3 necessary 26458:19 26480:22 26484:2 26497:2 26504:5 26534:10 26547:18 26575:7 necessitate 26521:10 necessitating 26542:1 need 26458:1 26460:6,8 26470:6 26482:25</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>26500:1 26505:9 26524:21 26538:15 26540:24 26547:16 needed 26464:23 26521:18 26532:20 26541:16 26555:23 needs 26530:12 negotiating 26500:8 26520:21 negotiators 26500:10 never 26469:14 26476:14,17 26542:14 26582:16 Nevertheless 26474:2 new 26579:2 26580:23 night 26501:13 26573:2 nights 26573:3 NIU 26503:4 26520:12 26528:9 26529:5,15 26529:19 26531:3,17 26532:21,24 26536:2 26536:6 26538:25 26540:15,15,21 26546:17 26553:4,19 26566:23 26567:25 26568:2,20 26570:6 Nkabinde 26591:14 Nkaweni 26547:19 non 26521:1 non-lethal 26521:3,6 normal 26493:22 26516:20 normally 26541:7,9 North-West 26485:24 26486:24 26588:22 26591:11 26592:4 note 26522:20,21 notes 26522:17 notice 26485:4 26497:19 26544:5 26550:20 noticed 26543:17 notwithstanding 26482:6 November 26579:17 26580:3,10,14 26586:7 Ntsebeza 26525:2 number 26473:6,8 26474:4,13 26476:13 26486:23,23 26515:19 26524:6 26570:9 26573:3 26584:23 Nyala 26464:24 26511:20 Nyalas 26461:16 26463:14 26465:7 26479:12,18 26511:20 26521:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>oath 26518:11,12 26548:4,5 26577:13 26577:15,18 26578:2 object 26456:1</p>	<p>objected 26475:7 objection 26577:17 obligation 26534:14 obscured 26510:3,11 26548:24 26549:3,14 observation 26477:16 observe 26462:10 observed 26538:10 obstructed 26511:9 obtain 26501:14 26521:25 obvious 26506:24 26551:23 26557:3,17 obviously 26454:24,24 26502:1,6,24 26504:7 26509:2,9 26513:20 26519:12 26520:8 26528:20 26531:22 26533:6 26535:19 26537:18 26538:11 26550:7 26555:9 26560:18 26562:11 26570:13 occasion 26592:7 occasioned 26498:1 26578:12 occur 26502:17 26506:7,17 26541:15 occurred 26534:6 26545:8 occurring 26544:5 occurs 26464:7 26533:24 26551:23 offhand 26584:16 officer 26491:18 26492:18 26504:14 26504:19,25 26505:3 26505:11 26529:17 26531:1,10 26533:2 26533:23 26534:8 26590:16 officers 26476:2 26477:1 26484:11 officially 26493:23 officials 26516:17 26539:17 26541:12 officio 26580:8 offload 26481:20 oh 26457:18,18 26550:5,18 26576:25 26577:7 26584:13 okay 26463:2 26479:8 26497:12 26507:24 26508:4 26522:2 26529:23 26535:9 26538:25 26539:14 26541:21 26542:9 26545:2 26567:2 26569:3 26572:21 26576:10 26582:21 26585:6 Omphile 26577:6,8,25 26578:3,4,6 once 26469:3 26530:11 26532:25 OOO 26579:9</p>	<p>OOO34 26457:23 26458:16 OOO36 26458:6,8 opened 26464:4 opening 26466:15 opens 26464:10 operated 26531:24 operating 26504:8 26572:24 operation 26461:11,14 26474:15,17 26475:5 26475:7,10,13 26476:7,10,15,16,22 26476:25 26477:14 26477:18 26480:23 26481:14 26482:6,16 26483:4,12 26491:10 26503:17 26512:21 26513:2,16 26522:7 26528:4,5 26529:15 26536:17,22 26537:16 26573:10 26591:18 26592:5,6 26592:11,16,17 26593:11,25 26594:12 operational 26474:19 26477:11 26482:4,7 26482:14 26513:1 26528:11 26533:12 26537:3 26593:4,8,18 26593:19,23 operations 26490:14,15 26590:25 26591:1,3 operator 26522:4 26547:14 operators 26472:21 26489:18 26594:2 opinion 26480:20 26483:3,12 26484:21 opportunities 26499:19 26500:16 26501:1,23 26506:9 opportunity 26500:14 26501:9,11,24 26508:1 26527:5 26543:10 opposed 26522:10 option 26522:9,9 options 26545:13 26574:13,18 orally 26472:11,14 order 26466:4,5,23,25 26520:8,20,23,25 26521:1,10,17 26525:17,19 26530:1 26530:2,2,8 26539:23 26578:4 26581:5 26587:5,7 26588:20 26589:22 26590:5 26591:25 ordering 26549:8 ordinate 26537:8 organised 26576:16 orientate 26555:18,24 26561:22</p>	<p>orientating 26564:14 original 26505:25 26514:19 Oryx 26475:22 ought 26509:18 26516:2 outlined 26456:16 outlining 26522:11 outside 26500:25 overall 26474:19 26478:4 26482:5 26502:23 26532:11 26532:18 Overnight 26457:10 overrun 26521:17 o'clock 26594:17,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>P 26580:2 Padayachee 26492:18 page 26459:1,8,9,12 26460:16 26461:2,3,4 26463:4 26466:2 26546:9 26548:24 26549:2,4,7 26556:16 26556:18 26583:21 26583:21,21 26584:20 26593:3,17 pages 26545:16 pangas 26549:7,15 26585:14,19 Pappa 26461:16 26463:14 26465:7,20 para 26556:17,18 paragraph 26461:4,7,8 26461:9,12 26463:7 26464:3,4,9 26466:2 26484:8 26492:12,14 26493:16,16,17 26522:5 26548:22 26549:3,11 26566:3 26584:20 26585:8 26586:4,5,10,12,16 26586:18,23 26587:10,16 26588:5 26588:6,12,13,18 26589:15,21 26590:21,24 26591:9 26592:17 26593:4,17 26594:19 paragraphs 26583:16 26584:6,8,17 paramedics 26516:11 pardon 26460:19 26471:7 26492:13,14 part 26467:17 26477:4 26493:4 26505:25 26510:20 26520:16 26523:24 26543:18 26544:6 26563:12 26588:19 26589:2 participating 26502:5 particular 26504:3 26513:5 26519:10,11 26520:11 26527:21 26530:1 26533:17,18</p>	<p>26534:5 26535:25 26541:10 26543:17 26546:5 26554:16 26594:22 particularly 26457:24 26459:23 parties 26591:22 26592:22 pass 26556:21,23 26557:7 26558:3 26562:5,9,19 26563:14 26564:1,17 26564:19 26565:5,13 passed 26557:13 passing 26558:8 26562:22 26565:10 path 26506:25 26587:17 26588:12 patient 26517:21,21,22 Pax 26592:7 PC 26482:11 26491:5 peace 26592:1 penetrate 26500:18 Pentax 26470:11 26471:13 26472:2,3,4 26472:10,24 26473:12,13,21 26474:3 26483:23 peoples 26487:7 people's 26475:1 percussion 26541:8 perfectly 26558:7 performance 26523:10 performing 26531:6 26534:11 period 26525:10 26554:10,10 26555:13 26556:5 26559:17 26560:2 26573:7 26586:12 perished 26525:5 perishing 26525:23 permission 26461:24 26482:12 26497:20 persist 26548:15 person 26494:2,3 26499:15 26516:19 26517:6,19 26530:11 26533:18 26534:22 26535:20 26537:4 26543:3 personally 26455:6 26465:12 personnel 26500:18 26505:5 26515:7,9,11 26515:18,22 26516:8 26516:10 26525:14 26539:9 26556:22 persons 26488:9 26504:3 26574:6 perspective 26526:15 pertaining 26594:3 pertinently 26527:9 Phakoe 26593:21 phase 26518:17 phone 26480:18</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>26499:16,17,17 26513:7 phoned 26482:11 phones 26480:5 photo 26461:25 26472:1 26473:20 photograph 26458:21 26470:5,7,8 26471:15 26471:16,17,21 26472:25 26473:2,3 26492:22 26550:21 26550:22 26552:14 26558:7 26559:3 26568:3 photographs 26470:10 26470:17,17,20 26472:10,13,25 26473:7 26492:20 26495:24 26496:19 26497:10 photos 26465:8 26472:4,20,23 26473:15 26480:16 26480:17 physical 26503:3 pick 26492:11 picture 26459:16,17 26462:7,21 26471:13 26474:1 26495:3 26502:24 26551:14 26553:24 26563:8 pictures 26470:21 26473:25 26481:20 26495:13 26496:1,1 26497:6 Pillay 26496:22,25 26497:1,13 26547:9 26547:10 pinpoint 26524:1 pixels 26473:14 place 26465:17 26501:16 26503:3,3 26519:11 26530:5 26539:22 26541:13 26553:23 26554:13 26555:12 26571:7 26573:10,15,24 26574:2,14 26592:21 places 26464:14 26503:7 26519:13 26520:11 26592:23 26594:9 plan 26477:6 26481:7 26514:19 26518:16 26518:17 26519:3,19 26520:5,17 26574:7 26574:11,17 26575:1 planner 26477:13 26478:11 26481:7 planning 26574:2 plans 26481:10 platinum 26486:24 platoon 26591:10 plausibility 26512:18 please 26470:25 26492:10 26498:19</p>	<p>26498:22 26550:14 26550:15 26556:18 26577:14,19,20,23 Plooy 26491:16 pockets 26520:10 point 26461:23 26464:22,24 26482:21 26485:21 26488:18 26489:3,4,7 26492:16 26494:19 26494:22,25 26506:17,23 26510:18 26519:12 26522:25 26532:1 26536:17,22 26540:10 26547:22 26551:11 26552:1,2 26552:10,11,13 26553:3,9,10,11 26554:7,8 26555:10 26555:13,14 26556:2 26556:6,15 26557:20 26557:22,25 26558:1 26560:18 26562:3,4 26562:16 26563:4,17 26566:6,7,12 26567:5 26573:6 26580:7 pointed 26549:19 points 26456:17 26459:19,21 police 26462:13 26467:12,17,20 26469:8 26474:13 26475:22 26483:22 26499:6 26500:8 26501:24 26505:4 26512:21 26513:2 26516:9,17,23 26517:1,11 26520:8 26520:20,24,25 26521:1,11,17 26522:7 26523:3,23 26524:7,23 26525:4 26525:18,20,23 26526:14,16 26529:23 26530:8 26533:2,22 26534:8 26538:21 26539:17 26539:23 26541:12 26542:6 26545:9,10 26556:12 26570:13 26570:24 26574:20 26586:6,7 26587:6,7 26588:14,15,20 26589:1,5,5,5,6,7,7 26589:11,22 26590:4 26590:16 26593:11 policeman 26469:16 policemen 26466:8 26587:15 policing 26586:24 26587:1,11,13,15 26590:5 political 26591:22 26592:22 Pondoland 26489:20</p>	<p>poor 26462:14,17 poorer 26462:24 POP 26474:24 26484:16 26490:22 26490:25 26491:17 26491:22 26492:1 26520:17 26531:1,6,6 26581:17,21,22 26587:19,21 26589:11 26590:7,13 26591:10 26592:3,10 26592:13 26593:13 26594:14 portion 26552:6 26559:2 posed 26562:11 position 26464:24 26474:8 26476:11 26494:14 26498:3 26505:20 26510:2 26511:18,19 26514:7 26523:4,20 26526:17 26540:16 26546:2 26547:14 26590:9 positive 26519:3,12 26564:16 26565:4 possibility 26474:10 26514:9,12 26570:12 26574:22,22 possible 26454:15,21 26454:23 26455:22 26456:8,23 26474:14 26480:17 26501:18 26558:7 26563:23 26565:9 26573:22 possibly 26476:15 26480:4 26483:11 26496:1 26501:6 26503:9 26514:20 26540:20 26548:24 26569:8 26591:6 power 26480:21 26482:5 26507:21 26508:6,8,9,19,25 26509:2,3,4,19,24 26510:7,10,14,16 26511:9 26551:3,7 26556:12 26560:4 26561:25 26563:9 26566:14 26567:5 powers 26534:15 PPP 26579:11,22 PPP1 26579:7,13,24 PPP2 26579:19 practical 26536:22 26583:7 practice 26570:25 practises 26494:2,4 precise 26524:1 26553:1 precisely 26493:2 prefer 26594:19 prejudiced 26456:24 premise 26562:14 preparation 26573:15 26578:12</p>	<p>prepared 26455:9,14 26455:25 26457:11 26476:9 26575:7,25 26576:22,22 26577:14 preparing 26578:17 present 26532:14 26542:1 26544:3 presentation 26459:1,4 26459:9,11 presented 26574:11 presently 26589:23 26590:4 presumably 26467:4 26480:3 26570:1 presume 26461:18 presupposes 26536:10 Pretoria 26594:15 Pretorius 26472:22 26480:15 Pretorius's 26480:18 prevailed 26508:3 previous 26480:18 previously 26473:4 26542:18 primarily 26500:3 26521:1,3 prior 26465:14 26468:11 26475:6 prisoners 26535:1 privacy 26523:15 private 26475:21 26537:22 26544:16 privilege 26473:13 proactive 26499:25 26500:5 probable 26456:22 probably 26533:18 26554:21 26555:25 probe 26544:9 problem 26457:2,4 26506:14 26530:25 26549:2 26552:18,20 26554:22,23 26557:22 26558:10 26558:21 26559:16 26559:23 26560:15 26561:11,11 problems 26497:22 procedures 26504:9 proceed 26456:8 26460:12 26488:17 26496:8 26498:9 26509:9 26554:7 26558:18 26563:6,8 26576:3 26578:19 proceeded 26509:4 26540:15 26551:13 26552:5 26553:10,14 26555:2 26559:1 proceeding 26563:7 26567:9 PROCEEDINGS 26454:1 profile 26591:14 prominent 26593:21</p>	<p>promoted 26586:13 26589:16 proper 26502:16 26534:11 properly 26504:15 property 26519:16 26520:3 26591:24 26593:10 26594:10 propose 26482:24 proposes 26545:12 proposing 26518:6 26594:18 proposition 26489:15 26555:6 propositions 26512:18 protect 26466:10 26520:17,24 26521:5 26521:9 26574:20 protection 26521:8,18 protesters 26466:18 provide 26572:14 provided 26466:1 26527:24 26572:15 26578:23 providing 26464:6 province 26485:24 26486:24 26525:19 26588:22 26591:11 26592:9 Provincial 26481:16 public 26499:19 26520:8,20,23,25 26521:1,10,17 26523:10 26525:17 26525:19 26529:25 26530:2,2,3,8 26534:7 26539:23 26587:5,7 26588:20 26589:1,22 26590:4,5 purely 26529:4,6 26535:18 purpose 26513:7 purposes 26482:25 26535:11 put 26454:14,20 26458:7 26460:16 26461:22 26468:11 26469:12 26471:3 26480:20 26488:13 26489:1,2,12,16 26490:10 26495:6,7 26496:23 26504:13 26505:7,18 26511:18 26516:24 26518:17 26520:23 26526:2,11 26526:16 26530:14 26532:24 26533:23 26534:2 26545:21 26547:14,21 26552:22 26556:17 26560:18 26563:1,17 26563:22 26565:11 26565:25 26571:24 26572:11 26573:10 26573:24 putting 26458:18</p>
---	---	--	--	---

26488:16,19 26535:5 26554:24 26555:6 26557:23 26560:7,14 26574:18,20 pyrotechnical 26541:8	RDOs 26593:18,24 reach 26556:14 reached 26497:10 read 26466:3 26481:3 26549:16 26556:3 26583:3,17 reading 26545:11 26550:1 reads 26546:16 26549:4,11 26577:8 26585:16 ready 26457:1 26492:23 26498:5 26575:13,15 realise 26501:4 realised 26466:9 26474:8 26507:2,3 26508:12,18 26510:10 26569:14 26569:25 26570:17 26570:21 26571:9 reality 26521:15 really 26469:8 26481:22 26483:24 26486:1 26488:13 26491:5,14 26492:10 26529:13 rear 26511:9 26520:25 reason 26454:16 26491:13 26512:11 26515:6 26518:8 26520:16 26528:15 26551:23 26552:12 26555:17 26561:12 26562:13,14 reasonably 26545:25 reasons 26455:21 26456:9,18 26493:8 26531:13 26545:1 recall 26502:13 26510:4 26511:21,22 26526:5,6,22 26552:21 26579:10 receive 26472:22,22 26498:4 26572:16 26575:25 received 26587:2 receiving 26492:16 recollection 26557:15 26560:5 recommendations 26480:9 26487:21 26515:25 record 26460:4 26549:14 26554:8 26556:4 records 26521:20 recruited 26501:17 red 26546:6 refer 26461:4 26475:2 26561:1 26584:2,8 26587:19 26588:6 reference 26522:18 26549:19 26550:9 26584:15 referred 26549:23	26550:3 26567:1 26568:8 26581:14 26592:7 referring 26460:20 26471:18,20 26491:8 26493:23 26554:18 26581:10 26589:6 refers 26584:25 reform 26518:22 refreshing 26568:7 refuel 26481:19 regard 26454:24 26462:16 26522:1 regarding 26461:22 regroup 26519:15 regrouping 26519:11 26519:22 26520:1,5 reinforce 26525:18 reinforcement 26525:14 reinforcements 26525:3 related 26499:6 26510:21 26511:18 26513:22 26522:7 relates 26502:11 relating 26457:11 relation 26492:15,22 26499:20 26501:12 26505:14 26509:23 26511:24 26517:10 26518:16 26521:20 26522:6 26525:14 26526:13,17,20,21 26527:10,18 26534:16 26537:21 26571:17 relevance 26488:18 26489:7 relevant 26455:16 26471:2 26475:18 26534:10 reliable 26501:23 reliably 26502:2 remember 26465:18 26471:25 26485:7 26490:16 26491:5 26499:9,10 26502:18 26502:19 26504:16 26505:15 26509:20 26511:25 26513:23 26513:25 26514:4,5 26516:3,4 26518:18 26518:20 26521:22 26525:5,7 26527:23 26540:6,7 26541:2 26543:13 26549:20 26551:19,22 26552:12 26554:15 26555:19 26556:3 26561:13,17 26568:1 26568:8 26571:15,18 26584:16 remind 26476:1 26477:3 26483:21 removing 26504:19	26539:24 render 26533:25 26534:9 reorganisation 26464:7 reorientate 26507:15 repeat 26463:9 26469:2 26483:7 repeated 26466:10,25 26468:4,7 26469:10 repeatedly 26461:17 26463:19 26520:16 repeating 26469:16 26470:1 report 26464:7 26471:16 26472:6,14 26474:11 26476:5 26479:6 26480:10,13 26482:11 26495:8,9 26528:13 reported 26481:6 26515:10 26526:13 reporting 26464:6 reports 26512:13 26513:19 26571:23 reputational 26455:10 request 26454:14,20 26461:22 26462:2 26480:16 26571:25 requested 26571:23 requests 26572:11 26573:11 require 26491:2 26584:7,18 required 26500:3 26515:11 26516:23 26536:25 26540:19 26541:18 26573:16 26573:25 26574:16 26584:22 requiring 26541:3 resemblance 26574:10 26574:17 reserve 26580:25 reserved 26579:23 residence 26594:9 resist 26520:11 resistance 26520:10 resources 26573:7,11 26573:12 26574:2,16 respect 26533:21 26544:9 respond 26455:4 26514:19 responded 26521:21 responding 26512:14 26545:9,18 response 26515:8 responses 26499:13 responsibilities 26517:10 responsibility 26476:3 26476:9,20,22 26480:8 26481:13 26516:24 26517:11 26528:15 26543:12 26544:14,18,21,25	responsible 26505:8 26530:4 26543:19 26544:24 26593:20 rest 26488:11 26573:13 26573:22 result 26578:17 resume 26574:1 resumed 26498:20 resumes 26454:5 26496:10,11 26518:9 26518:10 26548:2,3 26576:19,20 resuming 26576:23 resuscitate 26517:16 re-examination 26496:24 26497:2,14 26498:9 26499:1,3 26518:15 26522:13 26548:8 26578:15 Richmond 26591:10,12 26591:21 rifle 26521:4 right 26454:12 26467:1 26472:6 26488:14 26494:13,17,20 26495:18 26498:22 26505:2 26506:1,25 26508:15,17,20,25 26509:3,16 26518:24 26529:21 26531:11 26531:15,19,21 26536:4,23 26537:10 26552:15 26555:14 26557:10 26558:16 26558:18 26559:2 26560:3 26562:22 26563:12 26567:11 26567:14 26568:4,13 26568:19,22,23,25 26569:1 26570:20,23 26571:5,12,19 26577:20,20 26579:11 26584:1 26585:20 26589:22 rights 26456:1 right-hand 26552:14 26554:12 26555:4 26563:8 26567:25 riot 26490:20 26588:14 26590:13 riotous 26590:17 riots 26589:8 26592:13 risk 26502:6 26562:11 risks 26455:10 ritual 26495:13 rituals 26493:4 26523:10 riverbed 26518:18,19 26518:23 road 26507:2 26508:16 26509:2 26556:11 26557:11,12,12 26558:18 26563:18 26563:19,25 roads 26594:5 rock 26489:18 26594:2
Q QQQ1 26580:10 QQQ2 26580:11 QQQ3 26580:18 quality 26500:2 quarrel 26554:13 quarter 26518:7 26591:6 question 26472:14 26474:16,24 26483:3 26483:8 26486:1 26494:16,17 26496:1 26527:18 26535:11 26536:9 26540:25 26542:18 26544:22 26545:17,20,21 26547:21 26566:18 26567:3 26571:6,7,14 26571:17 26575:16 26576:8 26578:9 questioning 26518:25 26521:22 26525:6 26562:4 questions 26492:15 26497:10,11 26504:11,16 26509:20 26510:2,4 26510:21 26511:18 26511:23 26512:7 26513:22,24 26518:18 26526:2 26543:21 26550:11 26550:14 26553:18 26560:6 26572:19,20 26575:3,11,25 quicker 26584:10 quickly 26481:20 26496:4 quite 26469:12 26473:5 26505:14 26550:4 26552:4	R r 26580:2 26585:18 radio 26461:11,12,15 26463:7 26464:17 26468:24 26477:13 26481:4 26483:22 26514:14 raise 26498:22 26577:19,20 raised 26545:6 Rand 26593:8 range 26553:13 rank 26478:12 26479:21 26505:12 26528:6 26530:10 26589:16 ranks 26505:5 26586:11			

<p>rocks 26542:6,19,24 26594:6</p> <p>role 26520:17</p> <p>roll 26582:5</p> <p>roll-out 26502:17</p> <p>roughly 26568:16</p> <p>round 26472:13 26552:23 26556:10</p> <p>rounds 26517:12</p> <p>route 26506:12 26507:4,8 26509:13 26553:13 26555:2 26556:6,6,7,7,8 26557:3,4,14,16,16 26557:17,21 26562:20,25 26563:1 26563:14</p> <p>routes 26505:19 26506:15 26509:11 26565:22,24</p> <p>Roux 26545:22</p> <p>RRR1 26579:25</p> <p>RRR2 26580:1,2</p> <p>rugged 26524:15</p> <p>rule 26580:8</p> <p>run 26513:2</p> <p>running 26512:20</p> <p>Rustenburg 26588:20 26589:1,22,24 26590:5,8 26594:14</p> <p>R5 26521:4 26572:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>sad 26454:2</p> <p>safety 26482:13</p> <p>salaries 26594:3</p> <p>SALMON 26454:7 26496:14</p> <p>sangoma 26492:23 26493:1,4,11,16,17 26494:2,15</p> <p>sangomas 26493:7,14 26493:23,24</p> <p>SAP 26590:14</p> <p>SAPS 26454:16 26455:24 26457:22 26457:24 26459:19 26459:22 26497:21 26498:5 26505:12 26554:4 26594:11</p> <p>Saturday 26525:17</p> <p>save 26517:21 26583:6 26586:6</p> <p>saw 26457:8 26465:4 26466:11,13,16 26467:3,4,5,14 26468:5,14 26469:8 26473:4,12 26476:11 26480:14 26483:5,9 26483:10 26508:8 26539:3 26540:14 26549:5 26558:7 26566:19 26567:3,21 26567:22,24 26568:2 26568:12,20 26569:5 26570:4,21 26571:9</p>	<p>saying 26462:18 26465:12 26477:12 26486:11 26494:13 26494:14 26519:19 26532:16 26542:18 26564:18 26565:8</p> <p>says 26455:5 26457:24 26459:22 26461:9 26463:6,18 26465:11 26485:22 26489:14 26536:10 26546:21 26547:15 26559:20 26559:21 26560:12 26566:3</p> <p>scenario 26517:10 26530:1</p> <p>scene 26470:13 26474:14 26477:2 26479:4,4 26482:13 26483:5,10,10 26504:15 26505:2 26515:7,9 26517:5,25 26530:1,2,7,9,11,11 26530:12,13 26532:25 26534:25 26535:15 26537:4,13 26537:14,18 26538:15 26539:10 26539:21 26545:25 26552:19 26553:15 26554:11 26555:5 26556:8 26557:6 26563:13</p> <p>scenes 26476:2 26516:11</p> <p>Scott 26460:25 26461:1 26461:7 26463:6 26464:3,18 26465:11 26477:12 26478:12 26481:3,10 26502:24</p> <p>Scott's 26481:21</p> <p>scraps 26594:6</p> <p>screen 26458:18,25 26460:16 26462:4 26471:3 26473:22,23 26473:24 26522:5 26545:16 26547:2,15 26548:12,20 26549:1 26549:11 26550:15 26556:18</p> <p>scroll 26524:20</p> <p>search 26503:8 26504:9 26522:8,19</p> <p>searches 26504:2</p> <p>seat 26498:12 26540:18</p> <p>seated 26498:25 26578:2</p> <p>secluded 26523:9</p> <p>second 26459:1,8 26469:5 26478:23 26508:9,19 26546:10 26546:16 26551:10 26551:11,20 26552:2 26552:10,11,20 26553:3,9,10,11 26554:9,24 26555:1</p>	<p>26555:12 26556:5 26557:24 26558:24 26558:25 26559:14 26559:16,19 26561:1 26561:13 26562:12 26562:15 26563:3 26567:10 26577:9 26579:14 26583:21</p> <p>secondly 26521:1,15 26526:12 26533:5 26545:9</p> <p>seconds 26471:14 26473:3</p> <p>section 26467:20 26521:4 26551:2,6</p> <p>secure 26504:2 26517:20</p> <p>securing 26516:18</p> <p>see 26455:20 26459:13 26461:6 26462:5,8,8 26462:11,25 26464:4 26465:16 26467:7,20 26468:1 26473:5,8 26475:10 26480:10 26485:14 26488:18 26488:23 26489:6 26493:7,11 26495:6 26496:18 26498:10 26505:8 26506:8 26507:7 26509:18 26510:2,25 26511:15 26529:19 26540:11 26545:11 26546:10 26546:13 26550:2,5,5 26550:14,15,18,18 26551:3 26554:8 26557:23 26558:10 26560:14 26561:7 26562:15 26563:18 26563:19 26565:1 26566:7,14 26567:18 26568:11,15 26570:4 26570:4,8 26573:9 26576:7 26586:10 26588:4 26591:14,16 26594:16</p> <p>seeing 26466:14 26468:11 26473:7,17 26474:20 26545:17 26570:6</p> <p>seeking 26469:25 26482:21 26582:8</p> <p>seen 26479:7 26510:22 26511:5 26523:19 26537:15</p> <p>sees 26551:25</p> <p>seizure 26522:8,19</p> <p>self 26537:22</p> <p>self-defence 26544:2</p> <p>send 26472:3,10,11 26480:17 26484:9</p> <p>senior 26476:2 26477:1 26479:21 26480:1 26481:1 26504:23 26528:12 26529:16 26531:10 26532:7</p>	<p>26533:1,7,18 26534:22</p> <p>seniority 26534:14,20 26535:18</p> <p>seniors 26480:9 26483:18</p> <p>sense 26466:13 26468:17 26502:20 26541:20 26543:22 26573:6</p> <p>sensitise 26491:7</p> <p>sent 26471:23 26472:1 26472:2,3,20 26474:21 26475:2 26485:3 26486:22 26491:17,19 26492:21 26495:17 26495:18,20 26525:17</p> <p>sentence 26584:23 26585:16 26593:23</p> <p>separate 26455:13</p> <p>sequence 26580:23</p> <p>Sergeant 26492:17,21 26495:10</p> <p>series 26547:8 26579:23</p> <p>serious 26483:11 26491:7</p> <p>seriously 26473:6,9 26474:4,9 26475:12 26476:1 26483:11</p> <p>seriousness 26485:5</p> <p>serve 26516:10</p> <p>service 26589:6</p> <p>services 26539:17</p> <p>set 26457:11 26586:11 26586:16 26590:25</p> <p>sets 26582:4</p> <p>Setswana 26575:22,23</p> <p>seven 26481:21 26506:9</p> <p>shading 26485:24 26568:16,21</p> <p>share 26476:9,20,21 26491:20</p> <p>shared 26480:15</p> <p>sharp 26594:8</p> <p>shoot 26527:19,19</p> <p>shooting 26465:21 26467:10 26513:19 26540:14 26541:2,3 26545:8 26553:19,20 26553:23 26554:6,22 26555:6 26556:6 26562:1,10 26592:20</p> <p>short 26468:25 26498:2 26575:13</p> <p>shorter 26556:7</p> <p>shortest 26555:20</p> <p>shortly 26571:24</p> <p>shot 26476:24 26477:3 26514:22 26538:14 26544:3 26546:19,20 26553:19 26591:23</p> <p>shotgun 26572:9</p>	<p>shotguns 26521:12</p> <p>shots 26514:16,17,18 26555:11 26569:19 26569:21,22 26570:1 26570:17,21 26571:1 26571:3,6</p> <p>shouldn't 26505:8</p> <p>shouted 26549:8</p> <p>show 26470:10,13,17 26553:2</p> <p>showed 26568:1</p> <p>shown 26470:16,22 26471:6</p> <p>shows 26470:8 26568:3</p> <p>side 26455:14 26530:17 26530:22 26531:23 26533:10 26553:20 26567:21,25</p> <p>Sifiso 26591:14</p> <p>sight 26514:13 26527:3 26544:13 26555:19</p> <p>sign 26467:14</p> <p>signature 26583:22</p> <p>significant 26476:13 26486:23</p> <p>signs 26461:16 26463:14 26467:5</p> <p>Similarly 26530:11</p> <p>simple 26527:18 26563:13</p> <p>simply 26466:22 26469:16 26476:12 26480:10 26501:24 26533:9 26562:4 26563:11</p> <p>Sinclair 26475:20</p> <p>sir 26504:17 26543:4</p> <p>sit 26483:24 26576:13 26594:18</p> <p>situation 26475:14 26482:11 26491:9,10 26493:10 26531:13 26536:18 26540:21 26542:15,18,23 26543:17</p> <p>situational 26464:6</p> <p>situations 26475:4</p> <p>six 26506:9</p> <p>skill 26516:23</p> <p>skipping 26580:6</p> <p>sky 26474:20 26476:12 26476:23 26480:8,11 26484:1</p> <p>sleep 26572:24,25 26573:19</p> <p>sleeping 26499:18</p> <p>slide 26458:21,23 26459:3,5,10 26471:9 26546:2 26568:14,16</p> <p>slides 26457:11 26458:14 26471:5</p> <p>slightly 26568:16</p> <p>slipped 26580:25</p> <p>slot 26582:13</p> <p>small 26468:6 26510:7 26510:10,14,16</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>26511:9 smaller 26500:22 SMS 26484:9 26486:22 26490:10 26491:17 soft 26557:19 solicit 26499:13 solution 26500:11 solve 26457:2 solved 26457:7 somebody 26464:25 26500:23 26503:22 26574:3 soon 26456:8 26572:15 26572:16 26573:22 sorry 26454:2 26458:17 26460:17 26473:2 26482:1 26484:10 26488:8 26490:9 26492:8,13 26494:24 26495:3 26497:25 26498:17 26511:13 26522:12 26529:7 26530:6,15 26542:11 26548:12 26559:25 26566:17 26575:21 26579:21 26593:6 sort 26500:11 26551:2 26574:15 26582:20 sorted 26582:16 Sothos 26486:7 26487:2 26488:3 sought 26543:6 sounds 26462:20 26512:13 sources 26501:19 26502:8 South 26485:11,16 26486:9,14,16 26589:5 26590:18 26593:14 speak 26486:21 26512:3,5 26575:19 26579:3 26582:9 26586:4,19 26591:9 26593:22 speaking 26470:23 26512:8 26513:15 spears 26549:15,15 special 26490:14 26503:4 26520:13 26586:22 specialisation 26503:14 specialisations 26533:5 specialised 26503:11 26503:23 specialist 26533:7 specialists 26532:21 specific 26454:19 26464:19 26468:2 26474:22 26499:24 26500:12 26501:7 26502:3,9 26503:18 26519:23 26527:9 specifically 26491:12 26492:1 26503:1</p>	<p>26523:25 26529:8,9 26538:22 26544:1,4 26544:18 26546:1 26561:21 26574:19 specifics 26526:10 spending 26482:2 spent 26481:21 split 26561:18 spoke 26515:20,22 26575:15 spoken 26576:12 spot 26551:14,20,20 26553:13 26554:17 26554:20,24 26555:2 spots 26550:23 square 26568:16,21 stabilise 26591:11 stage 26464:15 26472:13 26473:17 26475:10 26480:14 26483:1,13 26487:13 26490:16 26502:12 26502:18 26506:14 26508:7 26511:21 26512:16 26513:9 26514:18 26518:5,16 26519:19 26522:10 26526:21 26528:25 26529:3 26535:20 26537:17 26563:25 26566:9 staggering 26573:8 stampedes 26514:20 stand 26454:17 26465:11,20 26498:19 26529:19 26575:13 26577:14 standard 26504:8 standing 26461:10 26465:4 26469:9 26476:4 26477:2 stands 26546:16 start 26454:4 26461:8 26519:15 26545:17 26546:2,9 26578:11 26578:22 26582:23 started 26513:16 26553:11 26555:6 26570:6 26584:12 26589:24 starting 26464:23 26504:18 state 26586:12 26589:16 26592:9 stated 26456:9 statement 26460:23 26465:24 26468:12 26481:3,5 26484:6,8 26487:16 26490:3 26492:13 26521:25 26522:11 26526:12 26526:13,16,25,25 26545:12 26546:18 26546:23 26547:12 26547:13,20 26548:10,14,17,22</p>	<p>26550:2 26556:16 26557:6 26564:16 26565:4,4,4,18,25 26566:3 26577:7 26579:5,14 26580:1,3 26580:10,10,14 26582:24 26583:9,18 26584:1 26585:25 26586:4 statements 26526:20 26527:1,17,24,25 26546:17 26547:21 station 26507:21 26508:9,9,19,25 26509:2,3,4,20,24 26510:7,11,14,16 26511:10 26530:6,7 26551:3,7 26556:12 26560:5 26561:25 26563:9 26566:14 26567:5 26588:15 stations 26508:6 statistics 26490:1 Stay 26507:22 stayed 26561:19 STF 26529:1 sticking 26551:3,6 sticks 26585:19 Stilfontein 26490:17 stood 26557:10 26563:18 26564:25 26566:1 stop 26475:5,6 26476:7 26476:22 26477:8,18 26480:22 26481:14 26482:5,16 26488:17 26489:7 26533:25 26534:8,9 26549:8 26555:24 stopped 26476:10,25 26483:5,12 26510:8 26537:17 26550:24 26553:10 26555:1 stopping 26555:17 store 26571:17 straight 26555:25 26563:12 straightforward 26506:13 stranger 26523:25 strangers 26523:19 strategic 26523:4 strike 26485:10 26487:11 26507:12 26593:18,24 26594:2 strikers 26468:5,15 26513:11 26519:13 26536:14,15 26566:8 26566:10 26567:23 26568:13 26569:15 26570:1,12 26571:2,9 strikes 26487:8 structure 26501:18 26505:4 stumble 26484:19 26487:18 26490:3</p>	<p>stun 26540:2,12,24 26541:3,5,8 26542:2 26542:13,20 26543:4 26543:5 subject 26457:12 26458:2 26460:6,9 26543:22 26584:1 submitted 26545:12 26571:23,25 subsequent 26525:23 26537:13 subsequently 26470:11 sub-paragraph 26461:9 sub-quality 26499:9 succeeded 26589:18 successfully 26491:3 sufficient 26491:23 suggest 26469:25 26512:7 26557:3 26558:2 suggested 26514:1 26555:3 26557:17 suggesting 26488:20 26526:3 suit 26547:23 26548:1 suitable 26556:7 suitably 26517:19 Sun 26491:16 Sunday 26495:9 26501:13 26525:18 supervised 26504:16 26505:5 supervising 26528:22 supervision 26505:9 supervisor 26505:4,11 supervisory 26505:12 supplementary 26580:14 supply 26572:11 support 26482:23 26503:17 26539:17 26547:17 suppose 26482:3 26555:24 supposed 26481:2,24 26573:23 sure 26464:21 26472:21 26474:1 26475:9 26488:16 26489:2 26495:25 26497:2 26511:7,10 26519:9 26520:1 26550:4 26562:24 surely 26491:22 26552:15 26562:23 surprise 26524:6 surrounding 26510:16 suspects 26503:7 26592:15 sustain 26517:22 SWAT 26586:19,22 Swaziland 26486:3 swear 26498:19,20 26577:12,21,23 sweep 26539:20</p>	<p>sweeping 26503:3 sympathy 26455:7 s.u.o 26499:2 26518:14 26548:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 26582:12 tactical 26490:4 26491:2 26503:4 26516:1,1,9 26520:9 26520:12 26522:9 26525:3 26587:1 take 26455:1 26456:14 26458:17 26466:5 26470:3,11 26471:22 26472:4,24 26473:25 26477:19 26479:1 26482:12 26484:25 26485:4 26488:1 26489:4,15,20,23 26493:15 26495:6 26496:2,6 26501:24 26506:13,21 26507:23 26509:13 26518:7,8 26529:21 26531:1,14,20 26534:1,12,19 26537:4 26539:22 26540:9 26543:12 26544:14,18,21 26547:16 26548:1 26556:10 26557:14 26563:3 26575:2,24 26576:18 26577:15 26578:15 26579:14 26583:3 26592:25 26593:1 26594:21,24 taken 26458:21 26459:17 26462:21 26467:12 26471:13 26471:21 26473:2 26480:16 26494:25 26495:13,24 26503:2 26503:3 26563:23 26570:11 takes 26489:4,5 26530:2,9,12 talk 26528:1 26587:17 talked 26465:19 26469:13 26500:2 26569:4 talking 26458:5 26465:9 26485:22 26486:10 26493:22 26538:17 26543:22 talks 26565:5 target 26570:25 task 26475:3 26484:19 26487:18 26490:3,13 26490:18,22 26491:8 26491:21 26492:2 26503:4 26520:13 26528:22 tea 26518:5,8 team 26455:24 26457:22 26511:4</p>
--	--	---	---	--

<p>26543:13 26547:17 teargas 26510:23,25 26511:5,15 26521:12 technical 26516:22 26586:24 technician 26471:2 technique 26586:22 tell 26475:4 26495:5 26500:13 26515:5 26534:16 26566:11 26568:12 26578:3 26584:9,14 telling 26455:21 26476:7 26569:24 26572:13 tells 26469:17 26494:10 26567:13 tempore 26522:17 terminological 26536:21 terms 26500:10 26501:9 26503:16 26506:12 26514:14 26516:20,22 26519:25 26520:22 26526:15 26528:21 26528:22 26541:4 26553:1 26564:12,14 26574:13,17 terrain 26524:16 26542:25 test 26512:17 testified 26463:9 26470:5 26474:7 26481:19 26492:15 26511:2 26512:23 26513:19 26514:18 26515:10 26516:16 26531:16 26539:2 26540:13 26542:3 26543:12 26554:16 26555:21 26570:7,9 26572:23 testify 26524:8 26556:13 testifying 26477:12 testimony 26465:10 26530:5 thank 26454:22 26456:25 26463:3 26470:2,15 26492:7 26492:11 26497:18 26498:7,13,25 26548:9 26549:17 26550:13,17 26566:5 26569:13 26571:13 26572:18 26575:2,5,8 26575:10 26576:16 26578:1,8,10,21,21 26579:20 26580:3 26582:20 26584:5 26585:23 26595:1 that'll 26454:25 26579:13 that's 26456:13,21 26462:18 26477:25</p>	<p>26478:24 26484:24 26485:15 26488:25 26489:11,13,16,18 26493:9 26500:1 26507:4,20 26509:4 26509:12 26522:24 26529:13 26532:16 26533:7 26537:24 26538:16 26539:4 26540:2,25 26544:8 26545:14 26548:25 26549:10 26550:17 26551:4 26555:6 26556:2 26558:10 26559:8,12,19,22 26561:14 26564:21 26564:25 26565:12 26567:12 26568:17 26571:2,7,13 26573:1 26573:20 26576:23 26578:18 26579:11 26580:18,20 26581:7 26583:7 26585:6 26587:20 there's 26461:23 26462:9 26469:8 26476:4 26477:9 26481:6 26482:4,5 26483:2,23 26487:24 26494:14 26495:3 26504:11 26514:11 26530:5 26547:18 26549:2,6,11 26551:23 26554:5,6 26559:10,18 26560:22 26562:13 26567:21 26568:3 26569:20 26583:16 they're 26476:4 26485:22 26493:4,10 26493:14 26496:3 26503:14 26514:16 26573:23 they've 26494:1 thing 26459:6 26463:18 26491:8 26493:13,19 26500:11 26505:2 26538:9 26555:23 26564:22 26565:21 26581:1 things 26454:20 26461:11 26463:12 26485:23 26500:13 26501:4,7 26507:23 26514:2 26516:24 26520:7 26526:15 26527:14 26529:17 26529:19 26533:3 26534:23 26555:16 26556:1 26567:21 26571:16,21 26572:6 26572:8,10 26573:15 26573:24 26574:1,3 26575:1 26583:4 think 26455:11,22 26456:13 26457:3</p>	<p>26463:25 26464:18 26466:22 26470:11 26470:17,24 26471:5 26472:5 26474:24 26476:3,6,19 26477:1 26477:11,16,22 26479:6,15 26481:5,7 26481:8,10 26483:24 26485:6,7,14 26487:24 26489:19 26492:13 26493:10 26493:23 26496:25 26497:18 26498:18 26510:1 26517:2 26519:8,18 26521:24 26522:13 26529:24 26529:25 26530:17 26531:18 26540:2 26545:24 26546:8 26551:20 26552:5,24 26553:24 26555:17 26560:5,9,11 26568:2 26568:6,8,20 26569:7 26572:9 26582:19 26587:19 thinking 26462:15,16 26479:3 26555:11 thinks 26494:10 third 26554:17,20,20 26554:21 26558:17 26558:21 26559:3,20 26559:22 26561:12 26591:6 thought 26478:4 26485:25 26495:4 26512:6 26516:25 26523:1 26524:4 26536:13 26537:3 26542:17 26543:7 26547:25 26564:19 26565:13 26576:21 26578:16 26582:8 threat 26502:5 three 26515:14,14,16 26515:16,17,20,22 26539:4 26549:5,14 26551:15 26570:7 26572:24 till 26493:6 26594:18 26594:25 timed 26546:2 times 26464:19 26491:11 26515:13 26553:1 26561:18 timing 26466:13 26470:6 title 26458:9 26459:12 today 26590:9 TOKOTA 26482:1 told 26454:11 26465:14 26470:6 26499:14 26503:20,25 26509:3 26512:23 26538:25 26571:8 26576:1 tomorrow 26581:1 26594:25</p>	<p>tools 26503:16 toordokter 26493:19 26494:16 top 26549:4 26551:6,14 26563:7 topic 26484:5 26520:15 26521:20 touch 26480:4 township 26490:17,23 traditional 26494:3 traffic 26469:15 train 26516:8,9 trained 26474:24 26491:8 26503:21 26517:19 26587:3,8 26587:14 26588:3 training 26505:1 26516:20 26532:6 26586:17,22,25 26587:2 26588:14 26590:15 transcript 26515:13 26545:16 26561:8 transcription 26464:13 transferred 26590:7,18 translate 26576:14 translation 26466:1 26485:6 26575:24 transporting 26501:6 26507:8 travel 26552:1 26554:10 travelled 26555:25 traversable 26507:3 traversed 26522:15 treat 26499:4 trees 26523:15 26594:5 trial 26593:20 tried 26500:23 26517:22 26532:16 26555:18 26561:22 26573:12 trouble 26578:18 troubles 26487:7 troublesome 26594:7 TRT 26464:4,10 26466:14 26474:23 26491:6 26492:3 26503:5 26520:12,17 26520:23 26566:15 26566:19,23 26567:22 26568:12 26569:5 true 26464:18 26493:9 26562:5 26563:15 26573:1 trusting 26576:16 truth 26498:21,22,22 26577:22,22,23 try 26484:1 26507:15 26512:5,11 26517:16 26517:21 26520:11 26573:21 trying 26500:10 26501:21 26503:10 26509:9,12 26512:8</p>	<p>26512:17 26516:13 26528:24 26529:24 26530:14 26532:6,23 26534:15 26538:24 26544:9 26553:16 26560:15,16 26573:10 Tswana 26576:8 Tswanas 26484:17 26485:15,15,17,22 26486:12,18 26487:17 26488:3 Tuesday 26495:25 Tugela 26592:18,23 turn 26507:2 26508:13 26508:14,15 26509:3 26509:16,16 26545:22 26558:18 26559:2 26593:3,16 turned 26507:4 26508:14 26557:10 Twala 26499:16 twice 26469:6 26475:24 26512:5 two 26454:18 26456:17 26463:12 26466:7 26470:10,16 26472:24 26515:17 26515:21 26520:7 26528:17,17,18 26533:3 26539:3 26540:17 26545:5 26555:16 26557:24 26561:18 26564:2 26566:25 26567:3,21 26572:7,24 26582:14 26583:16 26584:1,6 26584:17 26588:8 26591:22 type 26502:21 26504:5 26505:9 26521:8 26533:14,21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>UDM 26591:13,14,22 ultimately 26512:20 26524:5 unable 26455:18 26502:3 unanswerable 26580:8 uncoiled 26564:11 understand 26457:24 26458:15,22 26459:19,22 26469:14 26470:1,4 26472:9 26482:20 26489:5 26492:15 26497:5 26498:3,8 26503:22 26508:2 26509:5 26510:6 26516:12,13 26517:2 26517:9 26518:7 26519:2 26527:21 26528:17,25 26530:15 26532:2,7,8 26532:15,24</p>
---	---	---	--	--

26533:20 26534:13 26534:17 26535:7 26536:20 26538:5,24 26540:22 26546:6 26549:17 26554:4 26557:20 26560:17 26562:12 26564:16 26565:9,12 26576:24 26576:25 26578:14 26578:19	users 26502:2 usual 26575:6 utilise 26528:21 26530:10 26544:2 utilised 26521:13 26543:25 utilising 26503:5,16 26521:6,11 utmost 26484:1	26466:12 26470:9 26471:9 26482:3,19 26490:20 26495:4 26497:7,9 26499:12 26503:21 26507:23 26509:5 26516:21 26517:9 26522:3,5 26528:16 26532:5,8 26532:18 26533:19 26534:17 26538:5 26547:21 26548:9,21 26550:16 26554:25 26556:15 26560:23 26566:6 26571:14 26576:10 26577:13 26578:2 26584:7 26585:11 26594:22 wanted 26455:8 26495:3 26508:2 26550:17 26571:13 26571:16 26572:19 wants 26472:5 26572:20 warned 26475:1 26484:14 warning 26470:12 26514:17 warrant 26492:17 26504:14,19,25 26505:3,11 wasn't 26459:22 26462:16 26469:25 26475:19 26477:4 26522:15 26557:7 26562:14 26578:17 water 26456:15 26461:18 26463:19 26463:23 26464:1,9 26464:14,16,17,22 26465:5,9,13,18,19 26466:20 26553:25 26553:25 way 26456:24 26474:7 26474:9 26480:20 26485:7 26488:25 26493:22 26497:13 26500:21 26501:8 26521:13 26522:16 26528:23 26539:24 26550:6 26555:5,21 26563:9 26565:12 26583:7 ways 26588:3 weapons 26491:12 26503:6 26520:22 26521:2,14 26529:17 26549:9 26586:22 26587:3 26592:2 week 26454:18 26491:19 weight 26456:10 went 26518:17 26546:16 26562:2 26563:22 26570:6 26591:25 weren't 26478:25	26488:14,15 26530:19 26531:5 Wesley 26576:10,12 26579:21 26580:4 west 26519:6,12 26536:13 westerly 26519:4 26567:23 26569:8 western 26530:22 we'd 26577:12 we'll 26496:24 26516:8 26516:9 26518:8 26548:1 26576:18 26581:2,3 26582:20 26594:24 we're 26454:9 26455:18 26458:5 26470:16 26494:9,11 26497:1 26519:9 26564:25 26568:13 26571:6 26576:23 26580:6 26582:5 we've 26456:17 26457:21 26460:3 26470:6 26474:12 26478:24 26494:25 26495:24,25 26497:21 26545:24 26546:4,5 26548:19 26556:14 26571:7 26579:23 26582:3 what's 26458:25 26496:25 26497:5 26549:1 26565:17 26578:4,14 26584:21 26585:2 whilst 26509:19 26532:18 26574:24 White 26545:12 who'll 26534:7 wire 26563:24 26564:4 26564:7,9 26574:18 26574:20 wish 26454:11 26470:24 26482:23 26577:16 witch 26494:15 witchcraft 26494:4 witchdoctor 26484:13 26491:2 26492:12 26493:18 26494:3 witness 26455:11,15,23 26455:25 26456:9 26457:13 26458:2 26460:6 26469:13 26482:23 26488:14 26488:16,21 26489:14 26494:12 26494:18 26522:16 26522:22 26550:9,12 26560:7,10 26575:11 26575:13,17 26576:24 26577:1,4,5 26577:11 26578:9 26582:18 26584:13 witnesses 26455:17	26474:13 26526:20 26527:1 26572:23 witness's 26469:14 26547:7 word 26468:18 26490:8 26490:9 26493:16,17 26493:17,18 26494:9 26498:4 26529:13 26532:9,19 26539:1 26550:5 26585:13,14 words 26455:21 26459:9 26461:8 26463:17 26468:10 26469:19 26493:25 26493:25 26494:12 26494:18 26566:9 work 26517:17 26533:6 26535:4 26573:15 26587:14 26594:4 worked 26533:4 26590:16 26592:8 working 26484:24 26486:7 26487:6 26534:3 worried 26491:5 worry 26456:24 worthwhile 26482:2 wouldn't 26558:3 26570:24 would've 26551:24 26552:8 26555:5 26558:2 wounded 26517:13 26526:4 writing 26527:5 26549:6,12 wrong 26469:22 26507:4 26534:16 26535:12 26536:11 26536:11 26537:11 26545:3 26555:11,13 wrongly 26548:20
understanding 26469:21 26489:14 26514:24 26515:2 26519:9,11 26520:19 26543:25 26554:9 26589:12	vantage 26523:20 various 26470:9 26493:8 26526:20 26539:17,25 26571:21 vasloop 26490:9 vast 26485:9 26487:10 26489:10 vehicle 26501:5 26524:12 26540:16 26540:17 26546:5 26550:24 26553:2,2 26557:19 vehicles 26465:20 26511:6,8 26556:20 26556:22 26562:1,2 26591:24 26594:6 Venter 26492:17,21 26495:10 verified 26501:7 verify 26501:20 verifying 26501:2 Vernacular 26575:20 26575:22 viable 26563:14 vicinity 26552:13 26555:11 26570:18 video 26463:25 26464:13 26465:16 26470:16 26472:16 view 26483:4,15 26499:19 26536:22 26563:23 26573:6 viewing 26534:7 26543:1 violence 26591:12 virtual 26581:4 vision 26510:3,11,18 visit 26493:4 visual 26503:8 26555:21 Vodacom 26521:25 volatile 26591:12	V	X	X 26579:23 Xhosas 26485:20 26488:3 Xhosa-speaking 26487:11 26489:11
understood 26463:5 26494:20 26496:17 26553:17 26570:16	wait 26471:1 26496:24 26576:24 waited 26561:21 waiting 26454:5 waive 26456:1 walking 26566:16 wall 26510:11,13,15 want 26454:14 26458:7 26458:8 26461:3,22 26461:23 26462:5	W	Y	year 26487:4 26593:19 years 26484:15 26491:16 yesterday 26484:15 26492:16 you'll 26461:6 26464:4 26489:3 26550:20 26575:3,7 26576:7 26582:17 you're 26454:6 26455:21 26460:17 26462:18 26465:12 26482:21 26484:20 26486:10 26492:4,5 26492:16 26493:23
undertook 26588:7 unduly 26482:20 unfair 26477:1 unfortunately 26573:16,23 26574:3 uniform 26534:5 unit 26490:15 26503:11 26503:23 26525:18 26525:20,20,22 26528:13 26529:5 26530:8,9 26532:13 26532:14,21 26535:25 26543:18 26544:6,23 26556:22 26588:15,20,21 26589:1,2,2,17,21,24 26590:1,4,8,13,14,19 26592:4 26593:14 26594:15 units 26468:1 26490:4 26491:2 26503:4 26515:17 26516:1,9 26520:9,12,24,25 26521:1 26525:3 26532:10 26588:21 26589:11,11 26592:8 unnatural 26474:7 unrest 26484:17 26486:21 26487:4 26491:14 26492:5 26493:12,13 26593:9 unrests 26484:12 unsigned 26579:14 untested 26501:19 untrammelled 26510:18 upper 26569:8 upwards 26559:1 use 26465:5 26492:2,3 26492:5 26493:15,17 26493:17,18 26514:15 26521:1,3 26529:12 26540:5,12 26540:24 26541:18 26542:2,7,13,15,16 26542:20 26543:8,10 26587:3	unrests 26484:12 unsigned 26579:14 untested 26501:19 untrammelled 26510:18 upper 26569:8 upwards 26559:1 use 26465:5 26492:2,3 26492:5 26493:15,17 26493:17,18 26514:15 26521:1,3 26529:12 26540:5,12 26540:24 26541:18 26542:2,7,13,15,16 26542:20 26543:8,10 26587:3			

<p>26494:17 26496:12 26497:24 26498:3,9 26518:11 26529:4,10 26529:14,16,16 26532:16 26534:2 26542:12 26548:4 26554:18 26560:7 26563:7 26565:8 26572:13 26575:3,9 26575:14 26578:2 you've 26455:21 26456:18 26457:5 26473:13 26474:1 26491:25 26507:12 26531:13 26560:12 26560:24 26571:8 26576:14 26583:17 26591:2</p>	<p>12:50 26545:15 13 26466:7 26522:5 13th 26482:10 26525:12,25 26526:1 26526:1 13:57 26548:3 14 26546:2 14th 26484:9 26495:25 26496:19 14:17 26561:16 14:30 26502:12,17 26574:11 148 26568:14 15 26484:15 15:27 26473:2 15:32 26576:20 15:51 26590:20 15:56:29 26470:8 15:56:50 26471:14 15:58 26567:6 15:58:05 26551:4 26559:11 26561:1,10 150 26546:21 26549:23 26550:9 16 26525:10 26580:24 26581:10 16th 26459:21 26461:25 26470:18 26523:3 26524:11 26574:11 16:02 26567:6 16:02:05 26551:4 26559:12 26561:10 16:03 26553:11 16:03:05 26551:10,22 26559:18 26561:3 16:03:23 26546:2 16:05 26559:21 16:07 26551:10 26553:6,12 16:07:05 26551:22 26559:18 26561:3 16:08 26552:24 16:08:05 26559:21 16:11:05 26559:22 16:12:35 26559:20 16:54 26560:5 17th 26458:22 26459:18 18 26477:10 26573:7 26582:10 19 26461:8,9 19.1 26461:4,9,13 26463:7 26464:9 19.2 26464:5 19.3 26464:5 197 26545:16 1985 26586:7 1986 26590:16 1997 26586:19 26588:19 26589:1,10 1998 26588:7</p>	<p>26554:1 26586:4,24 26587:2 26592:7 2.2 26586:10 2.2.5 26586:12 20 26471:14 26573:7 200 26593:1 2001 26591:9,19 2005 26586:13 26589:16 2008 26593:4,7 2009 26587:21 26588:5 26590:7 2011 26474:21 2012 26458:22 26459:18,21 26474:21 26579:17 26582:2 26585:4 26593:17,23 2013 26580:15 2014 26454:1 22 26585:5 24 26477:16 24150 26545:16 24151 26546:9 25 26477:10 27 26583:21 28th 26585:4,5 29 26582:2 29th 26580:14 29/11 26580:20</p>	<p>4544 26553:24</p> <hr/> <p>5</p> <hr/> <p>5 26546:21 5-0 26547:12 50 26548:20 26549:5 26550:3 500 26462:22 26479:24 51 26550:6 54 26548:20 57:26 26473:2</p> <hr/> <p>6</p> <hr/> <p>6 26593:4 66 26556:17,18 26566:3</p> <hr/> <p>7</p> <hr/> <p>7 26466:2 26546:2 26548:22 26549:3 26584:20</p> <hr/> <p>8</p> <hr/> <p>86 26588:14</p> <hr/> <p>9</p> <hr/> <p>9 26484:8 26493:17 26521:2 26525:10 26550:16,17 26594:25 9A 26550:18,18 26558:15 9B 26550:16 92 26460:16 26461:4 26463:4</p>
<hr/> <p>Z</p> <hr/> <p>Zambia 26486:3 zoom 26459:20 26462:8 26473:13 26474:3 zooming 26473:16,19 26474:1 Zulus 26488:3</p>	<p>0</p> <hr/> <p>000 26488:12,21 09:29 26454:2 09:48 26466:16</p>	<hr/> <p>3</p> <hr/> <p>3.10 26587:10 3.15 26587:19 26588:5 26588:8 3.4 26586:18 3.5 26586:24 3.7 26587:5 26588:6,8 30th 26579:16 26580:3 26580:10 300 26499:15 36 26458:17</p>	<hr/> <p>9</p> <hr/> <p>9 26484:8 26493:17 26521:2 26525:10 26550:16,17 26594:25 9A 26550:18,18 26558:15 9B 26550:16 92 26460:16 26461:4 26463:4</p>
<hr/> <p>0</p> <hr/> <p>000 26488:12,21 09:29 26454:2 09:48 26466:16</p> <hr/> <p>1</p> <hr/> <p>1 26458:23 26474:14 26479:4 26483:6,10 26483:10 26505:15 26506:7,10,18 26507:8 26509:19 26510:18 26511:19 26512:15,19 26514:8 26515:6,9 26532:9 26545:24 26546:10 26552:15,19 26553:15 26554:11 26554:12 26555:4,5 26555:19,22 26556:8 26556:13,22 26557:5 26557:6,7,12 26558:4 26558:9,19 26559:3 26561:23,24 26562:6 26562:9,20,21 26563:7,12,13,15,20 26563:21 26564:1,17 26564:19 26565:2,6 26565:10,14 1A 26582:23 10 26454:1 26504:11 10:08 26477:21 10:28 26490:24 11 26466:2 26556:16,18 11th 26525:24 11:05 26496:11 11:25 26509:11 12:11 26518:10 12:31 26530:15</p>	<hr/> <p>2</p> <hr/> <p>2 26458:21 26465:22 26492:13 26499:15</p>	<hr/> <p>4</p> <hr/> <p>4 26464:24 26488:12,21 26492:14 26493:16 26584:23 26587:16 26588:12 26594:17 4.1 26588:13 4.3 26588:18 4.5 26589:15 4.6 26590:7 4.7 26590:21,24 26593:4 4.7.10 26593:17 4.7.11 26584:20 4.7.12 26585:8 26594:19 4.7.3 26591:9 4.7.4 26592:17 4.7.6 26593:6,7 45 26473:3 4522 26461:25 26462:3 454 26553:24 4541 26471:3 26472:25 4542 26473:1</p>	<hr/> <p>9</p> <hr/> <p>9 26484:8 26493:17 26521:2 26525:10 26550:16,17 26594:25 9A 26550:18,18 26558:15 9B 26550:16 92 26460:16 26461:4 26463:4</p>