

RealTime Transcriptions

TRANSCRIPTION OF THE

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY

MARIKANA

BEFORE TRIBUNAL

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE FARLAM (RETIRED) - CHAIRPERSON
MR TOKOTA SC
MS HEMRAJ SC

HELD ON

DAY 164

7 JANUARY 2014

PAGES 18910 TO 18966



© REALTIME TRANSCRIPTIONS

64 10th Avenue, Highlands North, Johannesburg
P O Box 721, Highlands North, 2037
Tel: 011-440-3647 Fax: 011-440-9119 Cell: 083 273-5335
E-mail: realtime@pixie.co.za
Web Address: <http://mysite.mweb.co.za/residents/pak06278>



<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18910</p> <p>1 [PROCEEDINGS ON 7 JANUARY 2014] 2 [09:05] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes. 3 Brigadier, you're still under oath. 4 ADRIAAN MARTHINUS CALITZ: Thank you, 5 Commissioner. 6 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR CHASKALSON SC (CONTD.): 8 Brigadier, I'm going to pick up with your movements around 9 scene 2. We closed yesterday with your clarifying the 10 events that are described in paragraphs 122 to 125 of the 11 statement, and you'll recall that your group had arrested 12 strikers northwest of scene 2 and Warrant-Officer Nong was 13 photographing the arrested strikers. Together with 14 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak you were instructing the POPS 15 members in koppie 3, that's in koppie 3 itself, to get out 16 of their Nyalas under protection and to engage with the 17 support of the task force, and then Lieutenant-Colonel 18 McIntosh saw Mr Mpumza apparently attacking a member and 19 shouted and headed off in the direction of the incident. 20 Now if I understand your evidence correctly, at the time 21 when you saw Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh running off alone 22 you thought that he was at risk and you had to respond 23 immediately. Is that correct? 24 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Korrek, mnr die 25 Voorsitter.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18912</p> <p>1 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I can't recall at this 2 stage. There might be there's two or three other members. 3 I need to look at their statements, so I can't recall if 4 they all went with me there. That I can't recall at the 5 moment, no. 6 MR CHASKALSON SC: When you went off, do 7 you recall whether most of your original team was with you 8 in the Nyala, or was the Nyala relatively empty? 9 BRIGADIER CALITZ: No, I think most of 10 them was there, that's why I'm saying I'm not sure if one 11 of the members – remember there was three police officials 12 that I testified in the beginning, I'm not sure if one of 13 them stayed in the, and joined us later. I can't recall 14 that at the moment. 15 MR CHASKALSON SC: And if I understood 16 your evidence correctly you were happy to leave the scene 17 where you'd been because the members and the other vehicles 18 who remained behind were sufficient to control the scene. 19 There wasn't a risk in leaving the scene. 20 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja, it was only my 21 vehicle leaving. If you went back to the photograph again 22 of yesterday you will see that there was sufficient TRT 23 members as well on the ground giving protection to those 24 people busy with the arrests. 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Now in the photographs</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18911</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: So you called Warrant- 2 Officer Nong back from his photographs and ordered him to 3 drive after Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh. Is that correct 4 too? 5 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek, mnr die 6 Voorsitter. 7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you get out of the 8 Nyala to call Warrant-Officer Nong? 9 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I don't think so. I 10 think I just stood in the door. If you look at the 11 photograph, the Nyala and the Canter is close by, so I 12 don't think it's a far distance. 13 MR CHASKALSON SC: So you could call to 14 Warrant-Officer Nong from your position? 15 BRIGADIER CALITZ: No, that is correct, 16 yes. 17 MR CHASKALSON SC: And did Warrant- 18 Officer Nong respond immediately to your call? 19 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja, he came to the 20 Nyala and then we drove to the direction of where Colonel, 21 or Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh were. 22 MR CHASKALSON SC: Now were any other 23 members of your Nyala outside the Nyala involved in the 24 arresting or securing of the strikers who were on the 25 ground at that point?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18913</p> <p>1 we see another armoured vehicle there, which is a Nyala, 2 and in some of the photographs we see a Casspir as well. 3 Were those vehicles also left behind? 4 BRIGADIER CALITZ: As far as I know it 5 was my vehicle driving off and then later on I testified I 6 think I was informed by Colonel Pitsi, who also joined us 7 at that stage where the body was found, who then informed 8 me about the situation of his Nyala. I think it was Papa5, 9 if I'm not mistaken. 10 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but Papa5 had 11 been with you up at the scene of the arrested strikers as 12 well. 13 BRIGADIER CALITZ: That is why I'm saying 14 I think he was left behind until all the strikers was in 15 the Canter. I'm not sure. I didn't observe their 16 movements at that time. 17 MR CHASKALSON SC: When you deal with 18 what happened when you reached the body of victim C – or 19 first of all, by the time you actually caught up with 20 Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh he was already at the body. 21 That's correct, is it not? 22 BRIGADIER CALITZ: When I climbed out of 23 the vehicle he was at a kneeling position at the body, yes. 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: And you quickly 25 established that both he and the SAPS member about whom he</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18914</p> <p>1 had been concerned were alright, but Mr Mpumza was in fact 2 dead.</p> <p>3 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I was told by Colonel 4 McIntosh that the person passed on, yes.</p> <p>5 MR CHASKALSON SC: But there was no SAPS 6 member who was at risk any longer?</p> <p>7 BRIGADIER CALITZ: SAPS member who was 8 what?</p> <p>9 MR CHASKALSON SC: Who was at risk any 10 longer. You'll recall Lieutenant-Colonel McIntosh went 11 off, we understood, or I understood from your evidence, 12 because he thought a SAPS member was being attacked.</p> <p>13 BRIGADIER CALITZ: That is what he told 14 me, yes.</p> <p>15 MR CHASKALSON SC: And when you got 16 there, there was no SAPS member who was still at risk or 17 injured?</p> <p>18 BRIGADIER CALITZ: No, as I testified, 19 the person passed on, so there was no other attack on that 20 members there at that moment.</p> <p>21 MR CHASKALSON SC: And from your 22 statement I understand that you realised that the death of 23 victim C was something that had to be reported to the JOC, 24 so you called Lieutenant-General Annandale at 16:47 to make 25 this report and this you say was the first time you found</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18916</p> <p>1 JOC. Then you say at about the same time Lieutenant- 2 Colonel Pitsi arrived at the scene. Now did he arrive in 3 his Nyala?</p> <p>4 BRIGADIER CALITZ: That is correct, yes.</p> <p>5 MR CHASKALSON SC: And did you actually 6 see his Nyala arrive at the scene?</p> <p>7 BRIGADIER CALITZ: He showed me the 8 Nyala. I also saw there was marks on the Nyala and 9 therefore later on I've instructed the Local Criminal 10 Record Centre to take photographs of that Nyala and they 11 said to him he must not remove his Nyala from that scene 12 there.</p> <p>13 MR CHASKALSON SC: But when you say 14 Lieutenant-Colonel Pitsi arrived at the scene, do you mean 15 that his Nyala actually arrived at the scene while you were 16 there, or that he came up to you while you were there and 17 then showed you his Nyala?</p> <p>18 BRIGADIER CALITZ: We were standing there 19 where the body's position was, so the Nyala obviously drove 20 to where we were and then he climbed out, told me and we 21 saw the Nyala.</p> <p>22 MR CHASKALSON SC: And you saw the Nyala 23 driving to where you were?</p> <p>24 BRIGADIER CALITZ: The Nyala was a few 25 metres away from mine, yes, but I didn't actually saw them</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18915</p> <p>1 out anything about the other shootings and deaths in the 2 operation.</p> <p>3 BRIGADIER CALITZ: That is correct, mnr 4 die Voorsitter.</p> <p>5 MR CHASKALSON SC: But it seems from your 6 statement that before you called Lieutenant-General 7 Annandale you had some exchanges with Captain Kidd and 8 Lieutenant-Colonel Pitsi at the scene of Mr Mpumza's body. 9 Am I correct in reading your statement that these exchanges 10 with Captain Kidd and Lieutenant-Colonel Pitsi happened 11 before you called?</p> <p>12 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja, again like 13 yesterday I can't say my statement is in sequence. I can't 14 remember really the time that Colonel Pitsi told me about 15 the Nyala. I know when we were at, standing at the 16 position where the body was lying, I was approached by 17 Colonel Pitsi and informed that his Nyala was shot at 18 during what we call I think incident 2, but when it 19 happened before or after I made the call, I can't really 20 tell you, but there were exchange, yes, because when I 21 arrived at the body I found the TRT members there, which I 22 saw for the first time.</p> <p>23 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, if we look at 126 24 and 127, 126 you talk about the TRT members and you explain 25 that you instructed Captain Kidd to make a report to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18917</p> <p>1 from where they were driving into my direction, no. But if 2 you look at the photographs of where we all were, I think 3 Papa5, it's indicated on your photograph, I presume that is 4 from the position where he left to come to me.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: Are you saying that you 6 didn't see the Nyala arrive? I take it you were presumably 7 looking at Colonel McIntosh and looking at the body and so 8 on. You didn't see the Nyala arrive, but after it had 9 arrived you saw it, you –</p> <p>10 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek.</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: You saw the damage marks 12 and so forth.</p> <p>13 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja. Nee, dit is 14 korrek, mnr die Voorsitter.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: And spoke to Colonel Pitsi?</p> <p>16 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I definitely spoke to 17 Colonel Pitsi.</p> <p>18 MR CHASKALSON SC: And when you arrived 19 in your Nyala had you seen any other Nyala at the scene of 20 victim C?</p> <p>21 BRIGADIER CALITZ: No, not that I can 22 recall. Like I said, I am not sure which time he arrived 23 or - I looked at him when they arrived, that is why I say 24 at about the same time, so I was busy with – remember there 25 was now a body who's dead, so I was talking to the TRT</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18918</p> <p>1 people. I was trying to calm everybody down, so my 2 attention was there, not at which vehicles was there. I 3 didn't really look at that, no.</p> <p>4 MR CHASKALSON SC: Would you not have 5 thought that it was important to call the JOC immediately 6 to report the death of Mr Mpumza?</p> <p>7 BRIGADIER CALITZ: The first opportunity, 8 that's why I said I called the JOC. I don't know if the 9 photographs indicated when I arrived there and when I 10 called what is the difference, but according to me after I 11 established what happened there with the facts then 12 immediately I called the JOC.</p> <p>13 MR CHASKALSON SC: So your conversation 14 with Lieutenant-Colonel Pitsi about the damage to the 15 Nyala, that was something that could have waited until 16 after you had called the JOC.</p> <p>17 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I've testified to you, 18 mnr die Voorsitter, dat ek het nie bewus gewees of ek voor 19 of na die oproep met Kolonel Pitsi gepraat het nie. Dit is 20 wat ek nou-nou vir u gesê het, so as ek voor die tyd met 21 hom gepraat het, het hy vir my dit gewys, of as ek na die 22 tyd – ek kan nie vir u sê presies wat het eerste 23 plaasgevind nie.</p> <p>24 MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you issue any 25 instructions about preserving the integrity of the crime</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18920</p> <p>1 Colonel Pitsi, who was there if not at the time of Captain 2 Kidd then shortly thereafter, was he not given 3 responsibilities about the crime scene?</p> <p>4 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Nee, ek het vir 5 Kaptein Kidd gesê omdat sy mense teenwoordig was en dat sy 6 lede betrokke was by die skietvoerval, so ek het aan hom 7 opdrag gegee en gesê hy moet uitvind wat daar plaasgevind 8 het en dat as die situasie, al die feite het, moet hy dit 9 aan die JOC deurgee.</p> <p>10 MR CHASKALSON SC: And then if I 11 understand your statement, Warrant-Officer Nong drove you 12 from victim C to your final position at koppie 3. Is that 13 correct?</p> <p>14 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is nou nadat ek 15 die oproep gemaak het – is ek met u? U bedoel toe ek na 16 koppie 3 toe gegaan het?</p> <p>17 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, you made the 18 call to Major-General Annandale. Did you make that from 19 the scene of victim C?</p> <p>20 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek, ja.</p> <p>21 MR CHASKALSON SC: And thereafter you 22 went in your Nyala to koppie 3. Is that correct?</p> <p>23 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek. Ek het 24 daar gesê "to the northern side," dit is noordwestelike 25 rigting. If you look at the photograph, there is an</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18919</p> <p>1 scene around Mr Mpumza's body?</p> <p>2 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is wat ek vir u 3 getuig het daar by, ek dink 126, toe Kaptein Kidd op die 4 toneel arriveer, "I instructed him to find out what 5 happened and to give the situation report to the JOC." Dit 6 is ook waar dan hulle daardie toneel bewaar het.</p> <p>7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Did you put anyone in 8 particular in charge of preserving that crime scene?</p> <p>9 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek het met Kaptein 10 Kidd gepraat, maar sy lede wat daar was, was genoegsaam. 11 Hulle het by die persoon gebly, asook ek dink Kolonel Pitsi 12 se Nyala was ook staties daar, as ek kan reg onthou, tot 13 later die aand.</p> <p>14 MR CHASKALSON SC: But I'm asking about 15 responsibility. Was there someone who was given 16 responsibility for preserving that crime scene, an 17 individual who is responsible if anything went wrong with 18 that crime scene?</p> <p>19 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek het met Kaptein 20 Kidd gepraat op daardie stadium.</p> <p>21 MR CHASKALSON SC: So Captain Kidd was 22 responsible for the integrity of that crime scene?</p> <p>23 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Hy was die senior op 24 die toneel, so dit is normaalweg hoe dit plaasvind, ja.</p> <p>25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Well, Lieutenant-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18921</p> <p>1 opening between the rocks where everybody was on the 2 ground, so my Nyala was positioned about, I would say a few 3 metres, 10, 20 metres in front of that, of koppie 3, yes.</p> <p>4 MR CHASKALSON SC: I wonder, maybe we 5 should look at a photograph so we can just point it out to 6 the Commissioners. To my mind the best photograph to show 7 the position of your Nyala in its final position is 8 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak's photograph 4552. I've just 9 lost a reference, a JJJ reference for that.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: It's already an exhibit?</p> <p>11 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, it is already an 12 exhibit in the JJJ-series, but I'm looking for its number. 13 It's JJJ10, 4552. JJJ10. Can we get JJJ10 up? 4552. We 14 want the file of 4552. There should be a folder of them. 15 Maybe to save time we can look at it later because we'll be 16 able to point it out later and we can talk – Brigadier, you 17 can see it and I can see it, so I think we're going to need 18 a better copy of this. We're going to need the one that's 19 actually called up on screen, but Brigadier, let's quickly 20 run through the movements. We'll go back to it once we've 21 got 4552 up on screen. Can I clarify with you that it was 22 Warrant-Officer Nong who drove you first from – well, 23 you've already confirmed that it was Nong who drove you 24 from the scene of the arrests to the northwest to the scene 25 of victim C. What I now want to clarify is that he again</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18922</p> <p>1 drove the Nyala from the scene of victim C to its final 2 position. Can you answer that question? 3 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Yes, the only answer I 4 can give you is it must have been him. I can't think of 5 anybody else that would climb in my Nyala – 6 MR CHASKALSON SC: Well you see, why I 7 ask – 8 BRIGADIER CALITZ: There was no 9 communication really there, but yes, it must have been him. 10 MR CHASKALSON SC: Why I ask, Brigadier, 11 is Warrant-Officer Nong was also taking photographs. So we 12 can plot some of his movements and the times of his 13 movements with reference to when he got out and took 14 photographs. So it's important for us to know if anybody 15 else at any stage was moving you around because we don't 16 want to say well, Warrant-Officer Nong was now in this 17 position having got out of the Nyala there and it turns out 18 somebody else was driving the Nyala. But to the best of 19 your knowledge he was the only person who drove your Nyala 20 that day? 21 BRIGADIER CALITZ: To the best of my 22 knowledge, yes. If there was another person jumping in and 23 driving, I mean where my concentration was, was not really 24 with the driver at that stage. But according to me, to the 25 best of my knowledge it must have been him, yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18924</p> <p>1 your Nyala on this? 2 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek het nou net 'n 3 pointer. As u agter u – dit sal my, so ek neem aan "body 4 C" sal in hierdie posisie wees – 5 CHAIRPERSON: Okay, I see. Thank you. 6 So it's actually the vehicle the closest to the bottom left 7 corner of the photograph? 8 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek, mnr die 9 Voorsitter. 10 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Do you say body 11 C is close to that? 12 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Hy is nie sigbaar in 13 hierdie "picture," of prentjie nie, maar ek neem aan hy is 14 so net op die, soos ek in ons taal op die Nyala se 6-uur, 15 agter, so net uit die foto uit op die, in die 16 noordwestelike rigting. 17 CHAIRPERSON: So what you're indicating I 18 think is just beyond the bottom left-hand corner of the 19 photograph. Is that right? 20 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I don't know if it can 21 be zoomed out more, or is this the furthest? 22 MR CHASKALSON SC: I think that's as far 23 as it goes. 24 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja, so it's just out 25 of picture, mnr die Voorsitter, op die linkerkantste</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18923</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: We've got the 2 photograph up, Brigadier. Do you have a pointer? 3 CHAIRPERSON: Can we be told where north 4 was? I think I can work it out by reference to the shadows 5 of the vehicles, but that would be a rough guess really. 6 Do we know where north is? 7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Broadly, Chairperson, 8 north is on the left-hand side of this photograph. 9 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Yes. 10 MR CHASKALSON SC: This is later in the 11 afternoon, so the shadows are cast from west to east. 12 CHAIRPERSON: So if one looks, the 13 picture we're looking at now, there are two vehicles near 14 the foot of the page in the middle. The shadows are behind 15 them. So I take it that's an easterly direction, the 16 direction of the shadows. 17 MR CHASKALSON SC: That's slightly sort 18 of – it's probably east-northeast, or east-east-northeast. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Anyway, you're saying north 20 is on the left-hand side. Do we know where body C was? 21 BRIGADIER CALITZ: I think body C at this 22 stage, mnr die Voorsitter, is agter waar my Nyala 23 geposisioneer is aan sy, op sy 6-uur, aan die agterkant van 24 die voertuig. 25 CHAIRPERSON: But can you perhaps show me</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18925</p> <p>1 onderste hoek van hierdie foto, net buite beeld. 2 CHAIRPERSON: But close to the bottom 3 left-hand corner of the photograph, but off the picture, 4 beyond the frame, as it were. 5 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek, mnr die 6 Voorsitter. 7 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 8 [09:25] MR CHASKALSON SC: Brigadier, I just want 9 to take issue with that because I think body C is in fact a 10 bit more – I would put body C broadly on a line, if you 11 take this line of the shadow in one direction through your 12 vehicle and you run that run in the opposite direction I 13 would put body C closer to that line, but we've got other 14 photographs and that's where we can – 15 CHAIRPERSON: It's not material, it's in 16 that vicinity. 17 MR CHASKALSON SC: 18 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Mnr die Voorsitter, ek 19 dink op die vorige foto waar ons Nyalas bymekaar was waar u 20 die rooi kolletjie, ek dink ons - 21 MR CHASKALSON SC: That is correct. 22 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek dink as ons na 23 daardie foto kan gaan dan kan ek op daardie foto vir die 24 Voorsitter wys waar my Nyala op hierdie foto is. So dit 25 sal miskien dalk 'n aanduiding wees.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18926</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: That's JJJ178 GW. 2 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dis net as dit, as dit 3 van belang is dan kan ons op daai - 4 CHAIRPERSON: We saw that photograph 5 yesterday and if it's important - 6 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Oh nee, nee ek wil net 7 vir u wys. 8 CHAIRPERSON: If you particularly want it 9 obviously I won't stop you. But I don't think it's 10 necessary, because I'm just trying to understand how it 11 fits together. 12 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dan is ek tevrede, mnr 13 die Voorsitter. 14 CHAIRPERSON: Because you did say in your 15 statement that you positioned your Nyala, this is paragraph 16 129, when you got to koppie 3 in front on the northern side 17 that's why I wanted to know where the northern side was. 18 But now I understand that and I understand where more or 19 less where body C was. 20 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek. 21 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, I think I can follow 22 and if I can't follow it I'll let you know. 23 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dankie, mnr die 24 Voorsitter. 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: And, Brigadier, you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18928</p> <p>1 regs, dit is waar ek die persone gesien, tussen daardie 2 daar was ek nie bewus van enige persone wat, want dit is 3 die middel kol waar die persone net gearresteer was. Ek 4 weet, ek's nie bewus van een van hulle wat miskien dalk 5 heen gegaan het terwyl hulle daar besig was met die mediese 6 personeel. 7 CHAIRPERSON: So the position is then 8 those bodies if one can call them that which are close to 9 the Cantors, there's two Cantors parallel with each other 10 and immediately above them on the photograph the whole 11 group of, what looks like bodies, those are the arrested 12 people are they? 13 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is die persone wat 14 op die grond lê selfde posisie as die vorige ses wat ons - 15 CHAIRPERSON: And then if one goes up, 16 slightly to the right there's a group of other people lying 17 on the ground to the right. Are those the dead bodies? 18 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, if I can 19 help you there are no dead bodies at this scene at all. 20 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek dink, ja ek het - 21 CHAIRPERSON: Oh I see. Alright so - 22 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Nie op hierdie foto 23 nie, mnr die Voorsitter. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Not on this, okay. 25 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Boontoe.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18927</p> <p>1 mentioned before the photo came up that there was, I think 2 you said a passage or an opening or a clearing into the 3 koppie, can you just mark that so that the Commissioners 4 can see what you are referring to. 5 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek het verwys my 6 voertuig het gestop voor die rotse, daar's 'n opening 7 tussen die rotse het ek gesê. So as u sien waar net links 8 of regs voor my voertuig staan daar twee oprit trokke wat 9 ons na verwys as Cantors, dit is die opening dan as u met 10 my Nyala reguit vorentoe sal ry sal jy dan daardie opening 11 in ry, dit is die opening waarna ek verwys het. 12 MR CHASKALSON SC: And if you were to 13 drive straight through that opening you would have reached 14 the place which doubled as an arrest collection point and a 15 field hospital? 16 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek kan sê agter die 17 tweede groep voertuie wat daar staan sal u sien daar is 'n 18 groep persone, as ons miskien in zoom sal u sien dit is die 19 gearresteerdes wat dan agter daai voertuie, as jy nog nader 20 gaan daar kan u van hulle sien waar hulle dan, soos - 21 CHAIRPERSON: Are there also bodies 22 there? 23 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Mnr die Voorsitter, 24 die persone wat gedood is tussen die rotse, ek dink dis 25 meer in 'n suidelike, as ons nou na die foto kyk boontoe en</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18929</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: When I say at the 2 scene I mean this photograph, at what is photographed here. 3 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja. 4 MR CHASKALSON SC: Now, Brigadier, 5 yesterday at the end of the day when you were clarifying 6 that time when you moved off after Lieutenant Colonel 7 Macintosh you said in answer to the Chairperson that the 8 photographing of the arrested persons, your radio exchange 9 with Lieutenant Colonel Vermaak about engaging inside the 10 koppie and Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh's flight to the 11 scene of victim C happened more or less at the same time, 12 maybe not exactly at the same time, do you recall that? 13 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ja, ek het verwys na 14 die transkripsie en ek het gesê as ons gaan kyk na die, 15 waar ons was het ek verwys as L25 tot het ons na 'n tyd 16 verwys 13:28 in die gesprek in en dit is waar Kolonel 17 Vermaak vir my aangedui het dat die persone is encircle. 18 So dit is, tussen waar ons was 1125 in die gesprek in na 19 13:28 so ongeveer, as ek na die recording kyk twee, drie 20 minute. Dit kan omtrent die - 21 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, I'm not, I'm 22 not following. You're saying it's approximately two or 23 three minutes - 24 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek sê as ek na die 25 transkripsie kyk wat voor my is. Ek het dit nie self op my</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18930</p> <p>1 horlosie dopgehou, ek bedoel ek was nie op daardie stadium 2 tyd gehou nie. Ek sê dit kan maklik uitgewerk word volgens 3 die transcript voor ons.</p> <p>4 MR CHASKALSON SC: You see if we go back 5 to your statement and can we call up the statement, 6 paragraph 124 and 5. Your statement suggests that you had 7 literally just got off the radio when you or you had just 8 been community with Lieutenant Colonel Vermaak when at that 9 moment I shouted at Warrant Officer Nong to quickly come to 10 the Nyala. So your statement conveys is that immediately 11 after you had issued your instruction to the POPS members 12 to engage at the koppie on the radio you called Warrant 13 Officer Nong to come back to the Nyalas so that he could 14 drive you to Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh. Is that how you 15 remembered it?</p> <p>16 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Mnr die Voorsitter, 17 nee ek het gister vir u getuig dat die verklaring is nou 18 nie in sequence geskryf soos wat u telkens vir my gevra het 19 nie. Ek het gesê en ek dink, mnr die Voorsitter, het gesê 20 daar was twee of drie insidente wat min of meer dieselfde 21 tyd plaasgevind het. So ek het u gevra ook op 'n stadium 22 dat u het die tyd lyne, ek het nie die timelines voor my 23 nie. Dit is maklik daar volgens te kan sien watter een het 24 voor watter een plaasgevind. Ek het wel getuig dit is min 25 of meer -</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18932</p> <p>1 tyd plaasgevind, ek kan nie onthou toe ons oppad was en 2 gery het laat ek dit gesê het of toe ons nog staties was 3 nie. Maar dit was soos ek dit onthou het, het dit ongeveer 4 in daardie selfde tyd gebeur. Soos ek sê in die transcript 5 kan ons sien dat 13 minute 28 volgens myne het, in die 6 transcript in het Kolonel Vermaak vir my daardie opdrag 7 gegee. So ek het gevra dat 'n ou kan kyk, ek dink die 8 skiet voorval was rondom 16:20 gewees wat ek op die tydlyn 9 vasgestel het. So ek weet nie of die tye ooreenstem nie.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: It looks then as if 11 paragraph 124 is in the wrong position in your statement.</p> <p>12 BRIGADIER CALITZ: It can maybe be just 13 exchange with 125, daarom het ek gesê, mnr die Voorsitter, 14 dit is nie in sequence.</p> <p>15 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I'm just trying to 16 ascertain what the position is. It looks from what you now 17 tell us that 124 is wrongly placed in the statement, you 18 say it should actually come after 125, is that right, in 19 other words 125 should be 124 and 124 be 125, would that be 20 more accurate?</p> <p>21 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Mnr die Voorsitter, ja 22 ek kan saam met u stem, ek sê soos ek onthou het op daardie 23 stadium het, dit is hoe ek die gebeure onthou het en dit is 24 hoe ek die verklaring geskryf het.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: That's why I asked you when</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18931</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: But, Brigadier, but it does 2 look, if you look at paragraph 124 and 125 together that 3 when you made your statement the way you remembered it was 4 that you shouted to Nong, Warrant Officer Nong to come to 5 the Nyala so that, and then you went off in the direction 6 of Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh. That expression at that 7 moment clearly it refers back to what you say in 124 and 8 124 deals with the report you heard over the radio from 9 Lieutenant Colonel Vermaak that the armed strikers were 10 encircled which is on the koppie and you then gave 11 instruction to all the POP members in the Nyalas to get out 12 of their vehicles and do various things, to disperse the 13 group into smaller pockets, encircle them, disarm them and 14 arrest them. But the use of the expression at that moment, 15 op daardie oomblik creates the clear impression that as you 16 remembered it then when you made the statement you thought 17 that those two things had happened more or less 18 simultaneously. That does seem correct, doesn't it?</p> <p>19 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Ek dink ons kan 125 20 link met 123, Kommissaris, waar ek dan toe Macintosh 21 uitgespring het en gehardloop het kan ek onthou het ek 22 geskree weet wag eers vir die, vir dingies en hy het gesê 23 nee die persoon is onder aanval en hy het gehardloop. Ek 24 het op daardie stadium toe, at that moment het ek vir Nong 25 geskreeu. Ek dink die radio gesprek het in daardie selfde</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18933</p> <p>1 you made your statement is that how you remembered it.</p> <p>2 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek, mnr die 3 Voorsitter.</p> <p>4 MR CHASKALSON SC: You see, Brigadier, 5 the statement is very misleading in that respect because 6 these two events didn't happen even remotely close to one 7 another in the broader scheme of the operation. They 8 happened a long time apart from one another. Your 9 communication with Lieutenant Colonel Vermaak was as you 10 mentioned at 13:48 on the transcript of the Protea Coin 11 chopper that was at ETV time 16:11:18. The episode with 12 Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh running out of the Nyala 13 happened more than eight and a half minutes later because 14 Mr Mpumza was only killed at 16:19:47 and that is a time 15 that we take from Captain Ryland's video, if I can give you 16 the reference to Captain Ryland's video. It's Captain 17 Ryland's video 26, which is exhibit I2 one minute into that 18 video, you hear the shoots that killed victim C, Mr Mpumza. 19 So you're talking about incidents that were eight minutes, 20 eight and a half minutes apart at least because until, 21 Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh could only have run out after 22 victim C had been killed but that's not the only misleading 23 aspect about your evidence in relation to the incident with 24 Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh. In fact there's much more 25 misleading aspects and most of the version that you're</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18934</p> <p>1 putting forward in this commission today can be shown to be 2 incorrect with reference to the video footage. I'm going 3 to say out all of the inaccuracies so you can consider them 4 all before I ask you to respond to each one.</p> <p>5 CHAIRPERSON: Before you do that, can I 6 ask you a question, Mr Chaskalson. I asked the Brigadier 7 whether 124 and 125 were in the wrong order and I 8 understood him to say that 125 in 124 and 124 should be 9 125, if that's correct that means that the Vermaak 10 incident, report by Vermaak and the instruction to the POP 11 members in the Nyalas to get out of their vehicles on 12 koppie 3 took place after the events described in paragraph 13 125. Now you say, I understand you to say that's not 14 correct but in fact the, those incidents took place 15 something like ten minutes before.</p> <p>16 MR CHASKALSON SC: Eight and a half 17 minutes before.</p> <p>18 CHAIRPERSON: Eight and a half. May I 19 ask you this, now if that's correct, obviously we don't 20 know if that's so. Do you, are you able to tell us from 21 your timeline and the examination of the videos and so on 22 at what time the events in the second sentence of 23 paragraph, the event in the second sentence of 122 24 occurred, that's Warrant Officer Nong getting out of the 25 Nyala at the place that the arrests took place to take</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18936</p> <p>1 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's JJJ63674, 2 JJJ63674.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: And what time is that? 4 MR CHASKALSON SC: That's at ETV time 5 16:12:20.</p> <p>6 CHAIRPERSON: 16:12 - 7 MR CHASKALSON SC: 20.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: 20. 9 MR CHASKALSON SC: So that's roughly a 10 minute after the exchange between Lieutenant Colonel 11 Vermaak and Brigadier Calitz.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: Now we come to the next 13 point. The next point is the report from Lieutenant 14 Colonel Vermaak followed by the instruction given by the 15 witness which is the first two sentences, in fact it's the 16 two sentences of paragraph 124 what is ETV time of that? 17 MR CHASKALSON SC: Lieutenant Colonel 18 Vermaak is 16:13:21.</p> <p>19 CHAIRPERSON: 16:13:21, in other words a 20 minute after the first photograph.</p> <p>21 MR CHASKALSON SC: And the witness's 22 reply is 16:13:31, so it's 16:13:21 to 16:13:31.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I understand, and 24 then the last one I want is the – 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Sorry, Chairperson,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18935</p> <p>1 photographs of the arrested strikers. In other words did 2 those, that incident that's dealt with effectively in 3 paragraph 122, the arrest of some of the strikers, making 4 them lie down, they them being photographed by Warrant 5 Officer Nong, do we know at what time that happened? Are 6 you –</p> <p>7 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, we can give you 8 the time of the very first photograph that Warrant Officer 9 Nong took.</p> <p>10 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, what's that? 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: Well I'll have to look 12 for it.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: I just want to understand 14 what you're putting and the way I propose doing it is to 15 annotate my copy of the witness's statement with the 16 relevant times against some of the key paragraphs. So what 17 I'm looking for at the moment is to write a time, an ETV 18 time I take it against the second sentence of paragraph 19 122.</p> <p>20 MR CHASKALSON SC: The first photograph 21 taken by Warrant Officer Nong is JJJ, not the first 22 photograph taken by him but the first photograph taken by 23 him at the scene to the north west of the koppie where the 24 strikers are being arrested.</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18937</p> <p>1 while I'm doing this just in case any of the parties want 2 to check this, can I give the references to the video.</p> <p>3 CHAIRPERSON: Of course. 4 MR CHASKALSON SC: That's at 13:57 is 5 the, is the communication from Lieutenant Colonel Vermaak 6 on the Protea Coin video, 14:09 from, is the answer from 7 Brigadier Calitz.</p> <p>8 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, and what's the 9 exhibit order? Well it doesn't matter.</p> <p>10 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's the Protea Coin 11 video.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: If they want it, they'll 13 ask you for it and then 125 is shouting, he shouts to 14 Warrant Officer Nong to quickly come to the Nyala so they 15 could go off to, they could drive off to where Lieutenant 16 Colonel Macintosh was attending to the striker, this is 17 victim body C, what do we know when that was? 18 MR CHASKALSON SC: We don't know when the 19 instruction came –</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Do we know – 21 MR CHASKALSON SC: We know when Mr Mpumza 22 was killed.</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: That was? 24 MR CHASKALSON SC: And that was at ETV 25 time 16:19:47.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18938</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: 16:19:47. 2 MR CHASKALSON SC: And that – 3 CHAIRPERSON: I understand. So when, so 4 the statement in 125 on his arrival, that's Nong's arrival 5 back at the Nyala we drove to where Macintosh attending to 6 the striker he's lying on the ground, Macintosh informed me 7 he died. So that information was given by Colonel 8 Macintosh after Mpumza, Mr Mpumza died. So obviously given 9 after 16:19:47? 10 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's more than that, 11 Chairperson, because the incident involving the death of 12 victim C was almost instantaneous in the attack as it's 13 described by the – 14 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, yes I understand 15 that. But what we're concerned with is what Lieutenant 16 Macintosh is informing the witness that the person had 17 died, he couldn't have informed him if he died before he 18 died. 19 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, but – 20 CHAIRPERSON: Some time after 16:19, 21 there may have been instantaneously after, it may have been 22 a minute later but it was – 23 MR CHASKALSON SC: No, I'm making a 24 different point, Chairperson, that if Lieutenant Colonel 25 Macintosh saw the attack which is what triggered his</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18940</p> <p>1 scene of the arrests to the Northwest. The Casspir and 2 Papa5 responded to the shooting of Mr Mpumza much quicker 3 than your vehicle. The Casspir is not visible on the 4 Protea Coin video at the time of the shooting of Mr Mpumza 5 which is 22:17 on the Protea Coin video. It seems to have 6 been moving off from the scene when the shooting took 7 place, but it then changed direction and drove in the 8 direction of Mr Mpumza's body, which we see from 22:27 to 9 24:07 on the Protea Coin video. And in fact at 22:01 on 10 the Protea Coin video, which is eTV 16:20:31, 16:20:31, it 11 stopped near Victim C where it stayed for 35 seconds. It 12 starts moving off again at eTV time 16:21:06. We also see 13 it driving slowly behind the body in the direction towards 14 the body on Captain Ryland's video at 26 - that's exhibit 15 I2 – at 1:38 into the video, which is eTV 16:20:25. And we 16 see it apparently stationary behind Colonel Macintosh, 17 who's already at the body, 37 seconds later, on the same 18 video, Captain Ryland's video, 26 I2 exhibit, at two 19 minutes, 15 seconds. That's eTV 16:21:02, before it pulls 20 off slowly from the scene at 2:19 into the video, which is 21 eTV time 16:21:06. So the Casspir was the first vehicle to 22 respond. It stopped. It was stationery while Colonel 23 Macintosh was already there and presumably was satisfied 24 that there was no problem and moved off. 25 The second vehicle to respond was Papa5. It</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18939</p> <p>1 decision to get out of the Nyala that would have happened 2 almost simultaneously with the death of victim C because 3 victim C was shot immediately he jumped up and tried to 4 attack Constable Sebatchane. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Well after that of 6 Lieutenant Colonel Macintosh arriving there the, Nong 7 coming back to the Nyala, driving the Nyala as described in 8 the statement. Anyway, thank you, you've given me the 9 relevant times that I want to enable me I hope to follow 10 the evidence. 11 MR CHASKALSON SC: Brigadier, I said I 12 was going to set out all of the inaccuracies so that you 13 could hear what my concerns were before I asked you to 14 respond to any one of them. So here they are. The first 15 inaccuracy is that your team did not respond immediately to 16 the death, to the departure of Lieutenant Colonel 17 Macintosh. After the death of Mr Mpumza Warrant Officer 18 Nong continued to photograph suspects and in fact took 19 another seven mug shots. Your vehicle was in fact the last 20 of the armoured vehicles to leave the scene of the arrests 21 to the north west. It only started moving from its 22 position at 16:22:52 ETV time where we see it on the Protea 23 Coin video at 25:22. So this was more than three minutes 24 after the death of Mr Mpumza. 25 [09:45] The two other armoured vehicles that were at the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18941</p> <p>1 moved off the scene to the Northwest at Lonmin chopper, 2 24:19, which is eTV 16:21:49 and more than a minute before 3 your Nyala moved off. It headed straight to the body of 4 victim C, where it stopped around Lonmin chopper, 25:18, 5 which was eTV, 16:22:48, and it stayed at the body of 6 victim C for a lengthy period. 7 Your vehicle, as I've already indicated, started 8 moving only at 16:22:52, which is Protea Coin video, 25:22. 9 Now, not only was your vehicle the last to leave, but it 10 didn't even head in the direction of the body of Mr Mpumza. 11 It didn't drive to Mr Mpumza at all. It drove straight to 12 koppie 3 in its final position to the Northwest of the 13 koppie, which it reached at Protea Coin video at 26:10, eTV 14 26:23:40. It seems that if you did in fact go to the scene 15 of victim C's body at all it wasn't in the immediate 16 aftermath of the shooting, but it was much, much later. It 17 also seems that at least someone inside your vehicle knew 18 that koppie 3 was a massive crime scene that needed to be 19 attended, because Warrant Officer Nong was out of the 20 vehicle taking photographs of suspects less than two 21 minutes after the vehicle arrived at scene 2 at eTV time, 22 16:25:22, where we see this first photograph, which is 23 JJJ83, 36966. And he was photographing dead bodies by eTV 24 time, 16:37:50. That's 10 minutes before your call to 25 Major-General Annandale, JJJ8, 3729.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18942</p> <p>1 So those are the inaccuracies. I've got a range 2 of concerns about the inaccuracies, which I want to put to 3 you. I'm happy to put them to you now, so you know where 4 I'm going, or if you want to respond to the inaccuracies 5 first you can do that, it's your choice. Do you want to 6 hear my concerns? 7 BRIGADIER CALITZ: U kan voortgaan, Mnr 8 die Voorsitter. 9 MR CHASKALSON SC: You see my concern 10 about these misleading statements and inaccuracies is I'm 11 worried that they may have been deliberate. First, if we 12 read your statement, your affidavit, there's a clear 13 suggestion that the exchange with Lieutenant-Colonel 14 Vermaak and the incident with Lieutenant-Colonel Macintosh 15 happened at the same time. That's one understands if one 16 reads the affidavit. In truth, as we know, they didn't 17 happen in anything like the same time, they happened 8 and 18 a half minutes apart. And at the time of your exchange 19 with Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, which was 8 and a half 20 minutes earlier, it seems to us that only one person had 21 been killed at scene 2. That's victim N. So he was the 22 first victim killed at scene 2, and who's body was reported 23 by Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak Protea Coin chopper 12:02. 24 which is eTV time, 16:09:32. 1.46 seconds before your 25 exchange with Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak. That's when</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18944</p> <p>1 the koppie, because if it happened simultaneously you were 2 facing an immediate crisis about the safety of Lieutenant- 3 Colonel Macintosh, and you had to respond to that, you 4 couldn't do anything else, but if incidents happened 8 and 5 a half minutes apart, we have to ask the question, should 6 you have taken a more active response and attempted to 7 command and control the operation that was about to unfold 8 at the koppie. 9 You just ordered your POPS members to get out of 10 their Nyalas under protection and to engage the strikers 11 who had regrouped at the koppie that were now surrounded. 12 You understood that the militant group had reformed in the 13 line behind koppie 2, before they were dispersed by the 14 water canons and had now regrouped inside the koppie. So 15 you knew that inside the koppie was the militant group, or 16 at any rate large numbers of the militant group. So you 17 knew that the strikers who your POPS members were going to 18 engage would include many members of the militant group and 19 you'd been informed that these members were armed. Some of 20 them with firearms, others with traditional weapons. You 21 knew that the terrain at the koppie was difficult terrain 22 and that there'd be lots of places militant strikers to 23 conceal themselves. In particular, you must have known 24 that the terrain at the koppie was much more complicated 25 than the open area where you'd arrested people to the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18943</p> <p>1 Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak reported to the JOC that there 2 were two bodies at the small koppie. One of those two 3 bodies that appears at that time was victim N, the other 4 body was a body of a man who was still alive and survived. 5 Now that was what the situation was when you had your 6 exchange with Lieutenant-Colonel Vermaak, only one person 7 had been killed. 8 By the time of the incident with Lieutenant- 9 Colonel Macintosh, all 14 victims at scene 2 had been 10 killed and another three had been fatally wounded. And 11 your version is that all of this happened without your 12 knowledge in an operation that you were supposed to be 13 commanding. What's clear is that it happened without any 14 apparent attempt on your part to control the processes that 15 actually led to the deaths of the victims. And it also 16 happened, after you'd ordered your men to engage the 17 strikers in the koppie and you had then not taken any steps 18 to control what happened in that engagement. 19 Now, if your radio exchange with Lieutenant- 20 Colonel Vermaak and the incident with Lieutenant-Colonel 21 Macintosh happened more or less simultaneously, I could 22 understand why you didn't take a more active response, as 23 operational commander, to the report from Lieutenant- 24 Colonel Vermaak and to your duty as operational commander 25 to control the engagement that was about to take place in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18945</p> <p>1 Northwest and where you were still positioned. And from 2 what you'd witnessed at scene 1, you must have realised 3 that it was going to be a highly risky operation for the 4 POPS members. 5 You also knew that some of the members needed to 6 be controlled on the use of force, because after the 7 dispersion of the line behind koppie 2, they'd been 8 shooting rubber bullets at strikers from inside the Nyalas 9 in circumstances where this was not justified. That was 10 your testimony on day 154, at page 17345. And from your 11 position to the north or the northwest, you'd have no way 12 of seeing what happened when your members got out of the 13 armoured vehicles to engage the strikers on the koppie and 14 no way of controlling what happened there. And before you 15 respond, I want to refer to an answer you gave to me in 16 cross-examination on day 158, 29 November, when you were 17 explaining why you moved from your position at scene 1 in 18 response to incident 2. The reference is 18026, line 23. 19 I asked you, "Can you remember why you did that?" That was 20 moving away from your starting position, and you said, "mnr 21 die Voorsitter, ek het die opdrag gegee vir my openbare 22 orde, die Papa Nyalas, om te gaan disperse. Ek het 23 dopgehou op hierdie stadium tot ek sien die persone op die 24 koppie het uit beweeg en ek het gesien daar is 'n punt wat 25 vorm na my, na die klein kraal se rigting. Toe het ek</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18946</p> <p>1 opdrag gegee vir die dispersion action en daarna, soos 2 enige bevelvoerder moet dan nader beweeg om te gaan sien en 3 self te vergewis wat daar aan die gang is.” 4 So as an operational commander, on your own 5 terms, I'd have expected you, before ordering people to 6 engage the militant group in the most difficult terrain of 7 the operation to move to a position where you would be able 8 self te vergewis wat daar aan die gang is and to exercise 9 some control over what happened. So those are my concerns. 10 MR SEMENYA SC: Mr Chairperson, even 11 before Mr Semanya, can we just get that reference of the 12 last transcript page, please. 13 MR CHASKALSON SC: It's 18027, lines 4 to 14 12. 15 CHAIRPERSON: Is there anywhere that what 16 you said has been translated - the Afrikaans section you 17 read has been translated. Can you perhaps give us a 18 translation, or if necessary give me the page and I'll – 19 MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, I gave 20 the order for my public order, the Papa Nyalas to go and 21 disperse. I observed – ek het doggehou – I observed at 22 that stadium or I kept – 23 CHAIRPERSON: Stage, I observed that 24 stage – 25 MR CHASKALSON SC: Until I saw that the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18948</p> <p>1 should allow this narrative, but I thought in fairness to 2 the witness, to give him a chance to understand the thrust 3 of the criticism to take it all in one, and then obviously 4 we'll proceed to deal with it piece by piece. So the point 5 you're raise is correct, but in fairness to Mr Chaskalson, 6 he did what he did at the request of the witness. And I 7 can understand why the witness would like to get the whole 8 picture before he answers, but Mr Chaskalson, you've heard 9 the exchange between Mr Semanya and myself, is there any 10 more narrative you want to give or if the time now comes to 11 take the pieces one by one, give the witness a chance to 12 deal with it, unless the witness himself chooses to give a 13 general reply first before dealing with the details. It's 14 his evidence after all. 15 MR CHASKALSON SC: In fairness to the 16 witness, there's one other concern that I will be, would be 17 questioning him on. 18 CHAIRPERSON: Sorry, Mr Chaskalson. 19 Brigadier, would you like to hear Mr Chaskalson's other 20 concern, in other words, to get the whole picture of his 21 concerns before we get into the details, one by one. 22 BRIGADIER CALITZ: As dit 'n kort concern 23 is. Met die vorige een het ek gedog daar's miskien net 24 ietsie. Ek het self deurmekaar geraak met die tye en die 25 goed soos ek probeer byhou en skryf. So ek dink ons kan</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18947</p> <p>1 people on the koppie had moved out and I saw that there was 2 a point that formed na my. 3 CHAIRPERSON: Towards me, I would think. 4 MR CHASKALSON SC: Towards me in the 5 direction of the small kraal. 6 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Then I gave 7 instruction for the dispersion action and thereafter, as 8 any commander must do, I then moved closer to go and see 9 and to inform myself what was on the go there. 10 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I was going to 11 raise the concern that Mr Chaskalson has clearly made 12 assertions that may be or may not be correct, which are a 13 long narrative. I think he has referred us to elements of 14 the statement which he contends are misleading. Others to 15 which he says are inaccuracies and others are concerns, 16 just so that I'm not befuddled by this, can I invite him to 17 break each inaccuracy, so that we have a response? 18 CHAIRPERSON: I understood him – the way 19 it works was he said he was going to explain to the witness 20 what, what they were based on, to enable the witness to 21 answer, and he then said to the witness, do you want me to 22 break it up or do you want me to give it all now, and the 23 witness said, gaan maar voort. But it may well be that 24 when he re-traverses the ground, he must do it section by 25 section, but I thought, to be fair, I wondered whether I</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18949</p> <p>1 dit aanhoor en dan miskien terug gaan en dan kan ek punt 2 vir punt – 3 CHAIRPERSON: Is it a short concern, Mr 4 Chaskalson? 5 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, it is a short 6 concern and it colours where this questioning goes in a 7 separate direction. The concern is that if one reads the 8 statement, the episode in relation to Colonel Macintosh and 9 victim C looms large, but if one looks at the video, it 10 seems not to have bothered you too much at the time. You 11 claim that your Nyala drove straight after Lieutenant- 12 Colonel Macintosh, but it didn't, it drove straight to the 13 koppie in scene 2 and it reached scene 2 at approximately 14 23 minutes before your call to Major-General Annandale. 15 You knew that the death of suspect in an operation was 16 something that had to be reported to the JOC, and you're 17 asking this Commission to accept your statement that 18 despite the fact that your Nyala was at scene 2 by 19 16:23:40, that's 23 minutes before your call to Major- 20 General Annandale, where there was a massive crime scene in 21 front of you and if you had asked anyone there, you would 22 have found out that many people had been killed in that 23 koppie. It took you another 23 minutes before you first 24 found out that anyone other than victim C had been killed. 25 So those are the concerns.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18950</p> <p>1 CHAIRPERSON: The witness has said and I 2 can quite understand what he said, but his difficulty in 3 getting it all down, and he says he got a bit confused. 4 Now, you seem to me to be reading from notes. 5 MR CHASKALSON SC: I am. What I propose 6 to is put each paragraph – 7 CHAIRPERSON: What I was wondering 8 whether it wouldn't be fairer to the witness if we adjourn 9 – I'm in Mr Semenya's hands, it's his witness, whether we 10 shouldn't adjourn now. Whether the notes that you were 11 reading from shouldn't be photostatted quickly and given to 12 the witness. And then I think he will be better able to 13 deal with the points that you're making. It's very 14 important allegations you're making, very important point 15 in the case, as I understand it, and we have to be fair to 16 all concerned and that seems to me to be a fair way. Well, 17 let's see what he says. Would you prefer us to do that, 18 Brigadier? 19 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Mnr die Voorsitter, 20 dit sal definitief help as ek kan sien die tye, laat ek dit 21 net kan vergelyk met die transcript wat voor my is en die 22 getuienis. 23 CHAIRPERSON: He was talking quite fast 24 and you were making notes, but you say you understandably 25 weren't able to keep up.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18952</p> <p>1 witness Mr Chaskalson has dealt with various references and 2 minutia of a whole host of issues that he has identified to 3 constitute, inconsistencies which he says they point to 4 areas of concern about the conduct of the witness and the 5 witness has not had an opportunity to look at the 6 background documents that inform the challenge which Mr 7 Chaskalson is making. 8 CHAIRPERSON: And the video as well. 9 MR SEMENYA SC: And the videos as well, 10 and we submit that a witness of the brigadier's stature 11 surely requires to give informed and advised answers in 12 relation to that material. Our estimate is that he will 13 require a whole good number of hours to do so. It is not 14 possible for him to do it whilst they we standing down 15 today. Happily we are not sitting tomorrow because of the 16 commitments of this facility we have with the municipality 17 and we were proposing to use tomorrow, together with our 18 own expert in examining this technical material that 19 informs the cross-examination and which in turn will inform 20 and enable the witness to be able to speak accurately on 21 the issues that are raised with him. 22 There was a suggestion that we could interpose 23 somebody else in further cross-examination for the day and 24 that too raises the same concerns we have about whether or 25 not it would be fair to the witness to handle them today.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18951</p> <p>1 BRIGADIER CALITZ: Dit is korrek. 2 CHAIRPERSON: Are you happy with that 3 suggestion of mine, Mr Semenya? 4 MR SEMENYA SC: I am indeed, Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON: Then we'll take a short – 6 MR CHASKALSON SC: Chairperson, may it be 7 a bit longer than a short adjournment, because I've got 8 handwritten annotations on follow-up questions, so what 9 I'll have to do is to essentially reproduce what I have 10 read to the Brigadier, which may take a while. 11 CHAIRPERSON: Alright, I understand your 12 point. You don't want him to see your follow-up questions. 13 So we'll take an adjournment now. We'll take an early tea 14 adjournment I think, and when you're ready, you'll let us 15 know. We will now take the premature tea adjournment. 16 [COMMISSION ADJOURNS COMMISSION RESUMES] 17 [10:42] CHAIRPERSON: The Commission resumes, we 18 had some discussions in Chambers with the representatives 19 and the evidence leaders and the SAPS and I understand that 20 an agreement has been reached in regard to the future 21 handling of the aspect of cross-examination we're now busy 22 with. Mr Semenya, do you wish to speak to us on the 23 matter? 24 MR SEMENYA SC: Thank you, Chair. Chair 25 and the Commissioners, we submit that in fairness to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18953</p> <p>1 We would then be asking for a stand down of this hearing to 2 Thursday, one, to enable us to look at this material and to 3 familiarise the witness with that material and for him to 4 be able to speak and give considered answers to it. We 5 would also, if Chair and Commissioners, you were minded to 6 grant us the indulgence, invite the legal team because, the 7 legal teams, because Mr Chaskalson has offered to use the 8 time for today in illustrating to, at least the SAPS' legal 9 team as well as those that may want to stay behind, how he 10 comes to the conclusions that he has, so that we can also 11 follow the cross-examination, where it is going. 12 If Chair and Commissioners, you are so minded, we 13 would ask the matter to stand down until Thursday. We'll 14 use the rest of today with our experts looking at the 15 material, the video material that Mr Chaskalson intends to 16 use. 17 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr Semenya. 18 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman? 19 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr Bizos? 20 MR BIZOS SC: I am sorry to interrupt, I 21 am concerned by the content of this application. The 22 witness is under cross-examination. My learned friend 23 claims the right to consult with him and advise him as to 24 what answers to give. It is not permissible. 25 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, Mr Bizos, I'm</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18954</p> <p>1 sorry.</p> <p>2 MR BIZOS SC: What does he mean when he</p> <p>3 says that he wants to advise him, Mr Chairman?</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: This is a matter that was</p> <p>5 discussed in chambers, perhaps Mr Semenya can answer</p> <p>6 himself. My understanding is that it is felt that the</p> <p>7 witness will not have the technical expertise to summon up</p> <p>8 the videos in question and zoom in on the particular</p> <p>9 portions that are going to be relied on by Mr Chaskalson,</p> <p>10 so it is only fair that he should be shown those videos,</p> <p>11 someone must show it to him. The matter was discussed in</p> <p>12 chambers, there is no question of him being told what</p> <p>13 answers to give. Mr Chaskalson was quite happy to accept</p> <p>14 that the person who would be present while this would</p> <p>15 happen will be Mr Semenya, who as you know is an advocate</p> <p>16 with the highest integrity, in whom we have full confidence</p> <p>17 and there is no question at all that Mr Semenya would do</p> <p>18 anything improper. He himself was originally concerned</p> <p>19 that he couldn't speak to the witness because he was under</p> <p>20 cross-examination and it seems to us that that problem was</p> <p>21 removed on the basis which I've explained to you, but what</p> <p>22 – let him explain further, but I think I've captured some</p> <p>23 of it anyway. Mr Semenya, would you like to deal with it?</p> <p>24 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, I can –</p> <p>25 CHAIRPERSON: Would you like to satisfy,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18956</p> <p>1 time. I oppose the application for a stand down, the</p> <p>2 witness should not be assisted either by an expert or by</p> <p>3 counsel, it is contrary to the long standing rules that if</p> <p>4 you are under cross-examination and more particularly in</p> <p>5 relation to what you have said in your statement, it is not</p> <p>6 a matter for consultation or advice, Mr Chairman. It is</p> <p>7 completely irregular in my submission and I oppose the</p> <p>8 application and I appeal for the witness not to have to</p> <p>9 answer, if he has got to go and look at the documents, they</p> <p>10 have been identified by my learned friend, he is the</p> <p>11 brigadier and he can look after himself. We cannot have,</p> <p>12 we can't have in perpetuity people being assisted in what</p> <p>13 evidence they have to give, particularly as has happened</p> <p>14 here this morning. They have been exposed to</p> <p>15 contradictions between their evidence and what the evidence</p> <p>16 shows and what they have said in their statements. It is</p> <p>17 completely irregular and we strongly object to the</p> <p>18 application for the stand down of further cross-</p> <p>19 examination, Mr Chairman.</p> <p>20 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya? I must confess</p> <p>21 I didn't quite understand –</p> <p>22 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair –</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: - the expert's role to be</p> <p>24 as Mr Bizos has explained it, but perhaps you could explain</p> <p>25 it fully for the benefit of everybody so that there can be</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18955</p> <p>1 - Mr Bizos I understand your concern.</p> <p>2 MR SEMENYA SC: I can satisfy Mr Bizos</p> <p>3 that I intend to do nothing unethical of the kind he is</p> <p>4 suggesting. I am not going to be advising the witness on</p> <p>5 anything and if I have articulated myself that way it was</p> <p>6 completely not what I intend to convey. What I intended to</p> <p>7 convey is that there would be an expert who will manipulate</p> <p>8 the material for the benefit of the witness, not for us or</p> <p>9 the witness to be given answers by anybody. I can assure</p> <p>10 you, Mr Bizos, I have absolutely no intention to do</p> <p>11 anything unethical. I haven't done it in the past.</p> <p>12 CHAIRPERSON: I understand you are going</p> <p>13 to be present.</p> <p>14 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, with respect,</p> <p>15 to use the word "advise", I have known Mr Semenya for a</p> <p>16 long time and I have no personal quarrel and I accept his</p> <p>17 integrity, but to say that for the witness to consult with</p> <p>18 an expert, what is the expert going to advise him on? He</p> <p>19 is under cross-examination, Mr Chairman, he is under cross-</p> <p>20 examination. The cross-examination relates to facts that</p> <p>21 were in his statement, why does he need a consultation with</p> <p>22 an expert as to what answers to give?</p> <p>23 CHAIRPERSON: I don't –</p> <p>24 MR BIZOS SC: I am ready, Mr Chairman, to</p> <p>25 cross-examine the witness, we've been waiting for a long</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18957</p> <p>1 no suspicion even of any improprieties. I personally am</p> <p>2 satisfied there won't be, but it is very important –</p> <p>3 MR SEMENYA SC: If –</p> <p>4 CHAIRPERSON: It is very important for a</p> <p>5 commission of this kind, that the Commission should retain</p> <p>6 its credibility throughout and obviously nothing would</p> <p>7 happen which creates even a suspicion. We must be like</p> <p>8 Caesar's wife, but perhaps you can explain more fully, Mr</p> <p>9 Semenya.</p> <p>10 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the –</p> <p>11 CHAIRPERSON: One thing I should make, a</p> <p>12 point I should make clear before you answer, Mr Semenya,</p> <p>13 and that is that the idea for proceeding this way came from</p> <p>14 us. It is not an application you were bringing actually, I</p> <p>15 think you may have put it that way but it is not actually,</p> <p>16 you submitted it should happen, it is not really an</p> <p>17 application brought by the police, as I understand it and I</p> <p>18 think that's the important point to make but I think, - but</p> <p>19 that's not the concern really of Mr Bizos. Mr Bizos is</p> <p>20 concerned and I can imagine it is a concern, it might well</p> <p>21 be shared by other people outside, looking at the</p> <p>22 activities of this Commission, but somehow things are being</p> <p>23 done which are inappropriate and would undermine some of</p> <p>24 the credibility of the Commission, so perhaps you can relay</p> <p>25 those concerns.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18958</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: Chair, the expert we 2 intend to call is certainly not one who is going to tell 3 the witness what answers to give to which questions. What 4 we intend to have him look at is, for instance the evidence 5 leaders would say, we can tell that Papa1 moved from this 6 point to this point and we come to that conclusion based on 7 looking at this video material, that video material, that 8 photograph, that, whatever instrument they use to come to 9 that conclusion. The expert is helping us also understand 10 the correctness of the inferences which the evidence 11 leaders are doing. It is nothing to do with what answers 12 must be given.</p> <p>13 CHAIRPERSON: I suppose my understanding 14 was, as it was explained to us by Mr Chaskalson, is that it 15 is not enough just to look at a particular video clip which 16 Mr Chaskalson has given a reference, it is necessary to 17 zoom in on particular portions of a particular clip and 18 magnify it to the greatest possible extent. So what looks 19 like an ant, as it was put to me on the main video, then 20 becomes, if this is so, becomes clearly this witness's 21 Nyala, so that's the kind of thing that's required. So it 22 is not enough to say, here is a list of videos, go into a 23 room and look at them. That won't help the witness because 24 he will require assistance of the kind I've mentioned. 25 That's my understanding, I take it that's yours as well.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18960</p> <p>1 Chaskalson? 2 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Chaskalson, - sorry, I 4 see Ms Lewis wants to say something. Do you want to say 5 something before Mr Chaskalson has given further 6 explanation? 7 MS LEWIS: I think so, yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON: Alright. 9 MS LEWIS: Mr Chair, on behalf of the 10 families we would support certainly in principle the 11 objection by Mr Bizos and the suggestion would be for the 12 process to be done in public. If Mr Chaskalson is going to 13 take the parties through the relevant videos and explain 14 how he draws the particular conclusions, could that not be 15 done in the presence of the witness and then that would 16 enable him to also or to have the explanation that he seeks 17 - 18 CHAIRPERSON: So what you are saying - 19 MS LEWIS: - be given to him. 20 CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry to interrupt you, 21 what you're saying in effect is that when, this invitation 22 has been extended to the parties to be present once we 23 adjourn, it is not desirable that we should stay because 24 what's put up may well be rebutted. It is probably best 25 that we not be present while this happens, - we, the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18959</p> <p>1 MR SEMENYA SC: And also, Chair, Mr 2 Chaskalson can do it in an open auditorium, it will take 3 him, as he says, another additional day or two just to 4 illustrate those six minutes in substance. We think it is 5 better it is done and we're inviting Mr Bizos as well to be 6 present to see that this has nothing to do with informing 7 the witness or advising him about the possible questions 8 that would arise.</p> <p>9 CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I didn't understand 10 that the witness would be present this afternoon if it was 11 done here in Chamber, am I right? 12 MR SEMENYA SC: No, Chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON: He would be excused, in 14 fact only parties would remain, counsel would remain, the 15 parties, legal representatives would remain. 16 MR SEMENYA SC: Indeed, Chair. 17 CHAIRPERSON: The witness will go 18 privately with you and your experts and be simply shown the 19 relevant video clips with the necessary zooming in that's 20 to be done, is that also correct? 21 MR SEMENYA SC: It can also be done 22 without the legal team, just the expert in illustrating the 23 conclusions which the evidence leaders have done. 24 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, is there 25 anything you want to add further before I call on Mr</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18961</p> <p>1 commissioners be present, but your suggestion is that the 2 witness should stay and all the parties' representatives 3 would be present and they would be able to satisfy their 4 clients that everything be done correctly, that's your 5 case? Alright, that's the suggestion that Advocate Hemraj 6 has always been making to me. Mr Chaskalson, how do you 7 react to that? 8 MR CHASKALSON SC: Mr Chairperson, I 9 would be quite happy with that suggestion, I've got no 10 difficulty. I think in fairness to the brigadier he needs 11 as much time, he is entitled to as much time as he needs to 12 understand the basis of my concerns and I've got no 13 difficulties with him being present when we take the legal 14 representatives through the underlying reasoning. It seems 15 a sensible compromise to deal with the difficult situation. 16 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Semenya, are you happy 17 with that? 18 MR SEMENYA SC: I am, Chair. 19 CHAIRPERSON: Mr Bizos, are you now 20 satisfied? 21 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, I have an 22 alternative solution which is in accordance with the rules 23 of our profession. I understand Mr Semenya's difficulties, 24 our rules make it easy for him. He can say that I want to 25 see the videos in order to re-examine the witness in case</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18962</p> <p>1 Mr Chaskalson misunderstood it and put it correctly and let 2 the witness answer. This is how the business of courts and 3 commissions are done, not by having private arrangements. 4 CHAIRPERSON: What's proposed, sorry Mr 5 Bizos, what is proposed now by Mr Lewis which is accepted 6 by Mr Chaskalson and Mr Semanya is, there won't be a 7 private arrangement, we will have it in public. You will 8 be here, the representatives of all the other parties will 9 be here, if you choose to stay, you will be satisfied that 10 everything is done. The problem with the suggestion you 11 make is this, but if the witness isn't present while this 12 happens and the witness is simply given a list of videos to 13 go and look at without being told what to zoom in and 14 where, he may well be giving answers in cross-examination 15 and agreeing to things on an uninformed basis and he may be 16 making answers. Now it may well be that humpty dumpty can 17 be put together again when Mr Semanya re-examines, but 18 damage may well have been done in cross-examination which 19 the king's men weren't able to put right in the story that 20 I referred you to. 21 So I would thought, think myself that Ms Lewis' 22 suggestion is the best one to adopt and I can't see any 23 prejudice to anybody. Remember we're concerned about 24 prejudice in three ways. One is the credibility of the 25 Commission and its ultimate findings. One is the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18964</p> <p>1 that ground again later on, on Thursday. 2 [11:02] That's the first point, but the second point is, 3 I understand from Mr Semanya and Mr Pretorius that the 4 witness has not had the opportunity to go through the 5 bundle of documents to which you referred which you're 6 going to rely in cross-examination and I was told that on 7 that basis they would not agree to the suggestion I made, 8 based upon what you told me during the adjournment, that we 9 interpose your cross-examination at this stage. That is 10 the response I got, which is – and I've seen the bundle of 11 documents that you're going to rely on and the witness can 12 in fact spend tomorrow reading your documents in 13 preparation for your cross-examination on the non-sitting 14 day and he can be present this afternoon, the rest of the 15 morning as well, while the videos are gone through, so that 16 we don't have to spend time in Commission looking at all 17 those videos in great detail. That needs to be the way 18 forward. 19 MR BIZOS SC: Mr Chairman, with respect, 20 we will accept any ruling that the Commission may make, but 21 I want to associate myself with the remark made that we 22 don't want any private discussions. I don't want to be 23 present at anything that Mr Semanya does. I trust him to 24 make, or his attorney to make available the information and 25 let the witness, who is under oath, work out for himself</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18963</p> <p>1 perception outside the public, they must be satisfied that 2 fair play has been observed, but there is also fair play to 3 the witness, so all those factors have to be borne in mind, 4 so it seems to me that your intervention in fact led to Ms 5 Lewis' proposal which, I think has found general favour and 6 I think that's the way we should proceed. 7 MR BIZOS SC: Well, Mr Chairman, the 8 final concern that I have has not been dealt with but time 9 is of the essence and if we offer to cross-examine the 10 witness but not on any of the subjects that were dealt 11 with, we can make very useful time of the time available, 12 three quarters of a day having regard to the limitations 13 that we have had and the limitations of that should not be 14 squandered, Mr Chairman. 15 CHAIRPERSON: I'm very conscious to that 16 point but there are two factors that we have to borne in 17 mind there. Firstly, yes, the time that's being spoken 18 about would be time that will be spent today, which would 19 obviously not have to be used in the Commission later 20 because we wouldn't have to have all the videos shown in 21 detail in the Commission. Secondly, tomorrow we're not 22 sitting as Mr Semanya points out because this chamber is 23 not available. So we would only be losing the three 24 quarters of a day, losing in one sense but in fact saving 25 on it in another because we wouldn't then have to cover</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18965</p> <p>1 what his answers are going to be and that he will answer 2 the questions without any discussion with any legal 3 representative. 4 CHAIRPERSON: The way I propose dealing 5 with the matter will address that concern. We accept Ms 6 Lewis's proposal that the witness be present when Mr 7 Chaskalson takes the police representatives and the 8 parties' representatives through the relevant videos. 9 Nothing will be told to the witness as to what he's to say. 10 No advice will be given to him. He will merely understand, 11 as everyone else will understand, what the thrust is of the 12 criticism, what he has to deal with by way of the video and 13 other material which is available. There's also audio 14 material apparently which is going to be referred to. So 15 I'm pleased that you raised your objection because I think 16 it helps us to clarify the way forward in a way which I 17 believe will not undermine the credibility of the 18 Commission, satisfy all reasonable people that fairness is 19 being observed to all concerned. So that is what will 20 happen and at this point therefore we will adjourn until 21 Thursday – oh, sorry, I beg your pardon, Mr Chaskalson, 22 you've got another point. 23 MR CHASKALSON SC: I do. Well, I don't 24 want to have to run through the same process on my next 25 topic of cross-examination, but it may be that's something</p>

Page 18966

1 that I can address by just giving a list of references,
2 detailed references to Mr Semanya who can allow, or give
3 that list to the Brigadier and the Brigadier can just
4 listen to the videos with reference to that list of
5 references. That's not something that needs to be
6 manipulated. It's an issue of listening to a poor quality
7 audio on a video –

8 CHAIRPERSON: What you're stating
9 therefore is that the exercise that's now going to be
10 performed in our absence, the absence of the Commissioners,
11 but in the presence of the representatives of the parties
12 and the witness will be confined to the video material.
13 You've got certain audio material you're going to refer to
14 in cross-examination. The witness will merely be given a
15 list of the material, audio material you're going to refer
16 to. I don't know if he's got a recording of them, but
17 presumably if he hasn't, that can be arranged. That's what
18 you're proposing?

19 MR CHASKALSON SC: Yes, Chairperson, he
20 does have the recording. It's the Protea Coin video.

21 CHAIRPERSON: Ja, alright. Well, on that
22 basis then we will adjourn until Thursday morning at 9
23 o'clock.

24 [COMMISSION ADJOURNED]

25 .

A	<p>allow 18948:1 18966:2</p> <p>alright 18914:1</p> <p>18928:21 18951:11</p> <p>18960:8 18961:5</p> <p>18966:21</p> <p>alternative 18961:22</p> <p>Annandale 18914:24</p> <p>18915:7 18920:18</p> <p>18941:25 18949:14</p> <p>18949:20</p> <p>annotate 18935:15</p> <p>annotations 18951:8</p> <p>answer 18922:2,3</p> <p>18929:7 18937:6</p> <p>18945:15 18947:21</p> <p>18954:5 18956:9</p> <p>18957:12 18962:2</p> <p>18965:1</p> <p>answers 18948:8</p> <p>18952:11 18953:4,24</p> <p>18954:13 18955:9,22</p> <p>18958:3,11 18962:14</p> <p>18962:16 18965:1</p> <p>ant 18958:19</p> <p>anybody 18922:5,14</p> <p>18955:9 18962:23</p> <p>anyway 18923:19</p> <p>18939:8 18954:23</p> <p>apart 18933:8,20</p> <p>18942:18 18944:5</p> <p>apparent 18943:14</p> <p>apparently 18910:18</p> <p>18940:16 18965:14</p> <p>appeal 18956:8</p> <p>appears 18943:3</p> <p>application 18953:21</p> <p>18956:1,8,18</p> <p>18957:14,17</p> <p>approached 18915:16</p> <p>approximately</p> <p>18929:22 18949:13</p> <p>area 18944:25</p> <p>areas 18952:4</p> <p>armed 18931:9</p> <p>18944:19</p> <p>armoured 18913:1</p> <p>18939:20,25</p> <p>18945:13</p> <p>arranged 18966:17</p> <p>arrangement 18962:7</p> <p>arrangements 18962:3</p> <p>arrest 18927:14</p> <p>18931:14 18935:3</p> <p>arrested 18910:11,13</p> <p>18913:11 18928:11</p> <p>18929:8 18935:1,24</p> <p>18944:25</p> <p>arresting 18911:24</p> <p>arrests 18912:24</p> <p>18921:24 18934:25</p> <p>18939:20 18940:1</p> <p>arrival 18938:4,4</p> <p>arrive 18916:2,6</p> <p>18917:6,8</p> <p>arrived 18915:21</p> <p>18916:2,14,15</p> <p>18917:9,18,22,23</p> <p>18918:9 18941:21</p> <p>arriveer 18919:4</p> <p>arriving 18939:6</p> <p>articulated 18955:5</p> <p>ascertain 18932:16</p> <p>asked 18932:25</p> <p>18934:6 18939:13</p> <p>18945:19 18949:21</p> <p>asking 18919:14</p> <p>18949:17 18953:1</p> <p>asook 18919:11</p> <p>aspect 18933:23</p> <p>18951:21</p> <p>aspects 18933:25</p> <p>assertions 18947:12</p> <p>assistance 18958:24</p> <p>assisted 18956:2,12</p> <p>associate 18964:21</p> <p>assure 18955:9</p> <p>attack 18914:19</p> <p>18938:12,25 18939:4</p> <p>attacked 18914:12</p> <p>attacking 18910:18</p> <p>attempt 18943:14</p> <p>attempted 18944:6</p> <p>attended 18941:19</p> <p>attending 18937:16</p> <p>18938:5</p> <p>attention 18918:2</p> <p>attorney 18964:24</p> <p>audio 18965:13</p> <p>18966:7,13,15</p> <p>auditorium 18959:2</p> <p>available 18963:11,23</p> <p>18964:24 18965:13</p>	B	<p>back 18911:2 18912:21</p> <p>18921:20 18930:4,13</p> <p>18931:7 18938:5</p> <p>18939:7</p> <p>background 18952:6</p> <p>based 18947:20</p> <p>18958:6 18964:8</p> <p>basis 18954:21</p> <p>18961:12 18962:15</p> <p>18964:7 18966:22</p> <p>bedoel 18920:15</p> <p>18930:1</p> <p>beeld 18925:1</p> <p>befuddled 18947:16</p> <p>beg 18965:21</p> <p>beginning 18912:12</p> <p>behalf 18960:9</p> <p>belang 18926:3</p> <p>believe 18965:17</p> <p>benefit 18955:8</p> <p>18956:25</p> <p>besig 18928:5</p> <p>best 18921:6 18922:18</p> <p>18922:21,25</p> <p>18960:24 18962:22</p> <p>betrokke 18920:6</p> <p>better 18921:18</p> <p>18950:12 18959:5</p>	<p>bevelvoerder 18946:2</p> <p>bewaar 18919:6</p> <p>beweeg 18945:24</p> <p>18946:2</p> <p>bewus 18918:18</p> <p>18928:2,4</p> <p>beyond 18924:18</p> <p>18925:4</p> <p>bit 18925:10 18950:3</p> <p>18951:7</p> <p>Bizos 18953:18,19,20</p> <p>18953:25,25 18954:2</p> <p>18955:1,2,10,14,24</p> <p>18956:24 18957:19</p> <p>18957:19 18959:5</p> <p>18960:11 18961:19</p> <p>18961:21 18962:5</p> <p>18963:7 18964:19</p> <p>bodies 18927:21</p> <p>18928:8,11,17,19</p> <p>18941:23 18943:2,3</p> <p>body 18913:7,18,20,23</p> <p>18915:8,16,21</p> <p>18917:7,25 18919:1</p> <p>18923:20,21 18924:3</p> <p>18924:10 18925:9,10</p> <p>18925:13 18926:19</p> <p>18937:17 18940:8,13</p> <p>18940:14,17 18941:3</p> <p>18941:5,10,15</p> <p>18942:22 18943:4,4</p> <p>body's 18916:19</p> <p>boontoe 18927:25</p> <p>18928:25</p> <p>borne 18963:3,16</p> <p>bothered 18949:10</p> <p>bottom 18924:6,18</p> <p>18925:2</p> <p>break 18947:17,22</p> <p>brigadier's 18952:10</p> <p>bringing 18957:14</p> <p>broader 18933:7</p> <p>broadly 18923:7</p> <p>18925:10</p> <p>brought 18957:17</p> <p>buite 18925:1</p> <p>bullets 18945:8</p> <p>bundle 18964:5,10</p> <p>business 18962:2</p> <p>busy 18912:24</p> <p>18917:24 18951:21</p> <p>byhou 18948:25</p> <p>bymekaar 18925:19</p>	<p>Caesar's 18957:8</p> <p>Calitz 18910:4,24</p> <p>18911:5,9,15,19</p> <p>18912:1,9,20 18913:4</p> <p>18913:13,22 18914:3</p> <p>18914:7,13,18</p> <p>18915:3,12 18916:4,7</p> <p>18916:18,24</p> <p>18917:10,13,16,21</p> <p>18918:7,17 18919:2,9</p> <p>18919:19,23 18920:4</p> <p>18920:14,20,23</p> <p>18922:3,8,21 18923:9</p> <p>18923:21 18924:2,8</p> <p>18924:12,20,24</p> <p>18925:5,18,22</p> <p>18926:2,6,12,20,23</p> <p>18927:5,16,23</p> <p>18928:13,20,22,25</p> <p>18929:3,13,24</p> <p>18930:16 18931:19</p> <p>18932:12,21 18933:2</p> <p>18936:11 18937:7</p> <p>18942:7 18948:22</p> <p>18950:19 18951:1</p> <p>call 18911:8,13,18</p> <p>18915:18,19 18918:5</p> <p>18920:18 18928:8</p> <p>18930:5 18941:24</p> <p>18949:14,19 18958:2</p> <p>18959:25</p> <p>called 18911:1</p> <p>18914:24 18915:6,11</p> <p>18918:8,10,12,16</p> <p>18921:19 18930:12</p> <p>calm 18918:1</p> <p>canons 18944:14</p> <p>Canter 18911:11</p> <p>18913:15</p> <p>Cantors 18927:9</p> <p>18928:9,9</p> <p>can't 18912:1,3,4,13</p> <p>18915:13,13,19</p> <p>18922:4 18956:12</p> <p>18962:22</p> <p>Captain 18915:7,10,25</p> <p>18919:21 18920:1</p> <p>18933:15,16,16</p> <p>18940:14,18</p> <p>captured 18954:22</p> <p>case 18937:1 18950:15</p> <p>18961:5,25</p> <p>Casspir 18913:2</p> <p>18940:1,3,21</p> <p>cast 18923:11</p> <p>caught 18913:19</p> <p>Centre 18916:10</p> <p>certain 18966:13</p> <p>certainly 18958:2</p> <p>18960:10</p> <p>Chair 18947:10</p> <p>18951:4,24,24</p> <p>18953:5,12 18954:24</p> <p>18956:22 18957:10</p> <p>18958:1 18959:1,12</p> <p>18959:16 18960:2,9</p>
		C	<p>C 18913:18 18914:23</p> <p>18917:20 18920:12</p> <p>18920:19 18921:25</p> <p>18922:1 18923:20,21</p> <p>18924:4,11 18925:9</p> <p>18925:10,13</p> <p>18926:19 18929:11</p> <p>18933:18,22</p> <p>18937:17 18938:12</p> <p>18939:2,3 18940:11</p> <p>18941:4,6 18949:9,24</p>		

18961:18 Chairman 18953:18 18954:3 18955:14,19 18955:24 18956:6,19 18961:21 18963:7,14 18964:19 challenge 18952:6 chamber 18959:11 18963:22 chambers 18951:18 18954:5,12 chance 18948:2,11 changed 18940:7 charge 18919:8 Chaskalson's 18948:19 check 18937:2 choice 18942:5 choose 18962:9 chooses 18948:12 chopper 18933:11 18941:1,4 18942:23 circumstances 18945:9 claim 18949:11 claims 18953:23 clarify 18921:21,25 18965:16 clarifying 18910:9 18929:5 clear 18931:15 18942:12 18943:13 18957:12 clearing 18927:2 clearly 18931:7 18947:11 18958:20 clients 18961:4 climb 18922:5 climbed 18913:22 18916:20 clip 18958:15,17 clips 18959:19 close 18911:11 18924:11 18925:2 18928:8 18933:6 closed 18910:9 closer 18925:13 18947:8 closest 18924:6 Coin 18933:10 18937:6 18937:10 18939:23 18940:4,5,9,10 18941:8,13 18942:23 18966:20 collection 18927:14 Colonel 18911:20 18913:6 18914:3 18915:14,17 18916:2 18917:7,15,17 18920:1 18929:6,9,10 18930:8,14 18931:6,9 18933:9,12,21,24 18936:10,14,17 18937:5,16 18938:7 18938:24 18939:6,16 18940:16,22 18943:9 18943:20,24 18944:3 18949:8,12	colours 18949:6 come 18917:4 18930:9 18930:13 18931:4 18932:18 18936:12 18937:14 18958:6,8 comes 18948:10 18953:10 coming 18939:7 command 18944:7 commander 18943:23 18943:24 18946:4 18947:8 commanding 18943:13 commission 18910:2 18934:1 18949:17 18951:16,16,17 18957:5,5,22,24 18962:25 18963:19 18963:21 18964:16 18964:20 18965:18 18966:24 Commissioner 18910:5 commissioners 18921:6 18927:3 18951:25 18953:5,12 18961:1 18966:10 commissions 18962:3 commitments 18952:16 communication 18922:9 18933:9 18937:5 community 18930:8 completely 18955:6 18956:7,17 complicated 18944:24 compromise 18961:15 conceal 18944:23 concentration 18922:23 concern 18942:9 18947:11 18948:16 18948:20,22 18949:3 18949:6,7 18952:4 18955:1 18957:19,20 18963:8 18965:5 concerned 18914:1 18938:15 18950:16 18953:21 18954:18 18957:20 18962:23 18965:19 concerns 18939:13 18942:2,6 18946:9 18947:15 18948:21 18949:25 18952:24 18957:25 18961:12 conclusion 18958:6,9 conclusions 18953:10 18959:23 18960:14 conduct 18952:4 confess 18956:20 confidence 18954:16 confined 18966:12 confirmed 18921:23 confused 18950:3 conscious 18963:15 consider 18934:3	considered 18953:4 Constable 18939:4 constitute 18952:3 consult 18953:23 18955:17 consultation 18955:21 18956:6 CONTD 18910:7 contends 18947:14 content 18953:21 continued 18939:18 contradictions 18956:15 contrary 18956:3 control 18912:18 18943:14,18,25 18944:7 18946:9 controlled 18945:6 controlling 18945:14 conversation 18918:13 convey 18955:6,7 conveys 18930:10 copy 18921:18 18935:15 corner 18924:7,18 18925:3 correct 18910:23 18911:3,15 18913:21 18915:3,9 18916:4 18920:13,22 18925:21 18931:18 18934:9,14,19 18947:12 18948:5 18959:20 correctly 18910:20 18912:16 18961:4 18962:1 correctness 18958:10 couldn't 18938:17 18944:4 18954:19 counsel 18956:3 18959:14 course 18937:3 courts 18962:2 cover 18963:25 creates 18931:15 18957:7 credibility 18957:6,24 18962:24 18965:17 crime 18918:25 18919:8,16,18,22 18920:3 18941:18 18949:20 Criminal 18916:9 crisis 18944:2 criticism 18948:3 18965:12 cross 18955:19 18956:18 cross-examination 18910:7 18945:16 18951:21 18952:19 18952:23 18953:11 18953:22 18954:20 18955:19,20 18956:4 18962:14,18 18964:6	18964:9,13 18965:25 18966:14 cross-examine 18955:25 18963:9 C's 18941:15 <hr/> D <hr/> daai 18926:3 18927:19 daar 18919:3,10,12 18920:7,24 18927:8 18927:17,17,20 18928:2,5 18930:20 18930:23 18945:24 18946:3,8 daardie 18919:6,20 18925:23,23 18927:10 18928:1 18930:1 18931:15,24 18931:25 18932:4,6 18932:22 daarna 18946:1 daarom 18932:13 daar's 18927:6 18948:23 dalk 18925:25 18928:4 damage 18917:11 18918:14 18962:18 dan 18919:6 18925:23 18926:3,12 18927:9 18927:10,19,20 18931:20 18946:2 18949:1,1 Dankie 18926:23 dat 18918:18 18920:5,8 18929:17 18930:17 18930:22 18932:5,7 day 18922:20 18929:5 18945:10,16 18952:23 18959:3 18963:12,24 18964:14 dead 18914:2 18917:25 18928:17,19 18941:23 deal 18913:17 18948:4 18948:12 18950:13 18954:23 18961:15 18965:12 dealing 18948:13 18965:4 deals 18931:8 dealt 18935:2 18952:1 18963:8,10 death 18914:22 18918:6 18938:11 18939:2,16,17,24 18949:15 deaths 18915:1 18943:15 decision 18939:1 definitely 18917:16 definitief 18950:20 deliberate 18942:11 departure 18939:16 described 18910:10 18934:12 18938:13	18939:7 desirable 18960:23 despite 18949:18 detail 18963:21 18964:17 detailed 18966:2 details 18948:13,21 deurgee 18920:9 deurmekaar 18948:24 didn't 18913:15 18916:25 18917:6,8 18918:3 18933:6 18941:10,11 18942:16 18943:22 18949:12 18956:21 18959:9 died 18938:7,8,17,17 18938:18 dieselfde 18930:20 difference 18918:10 different 18938:24 difficult 18944:21 18946:6 18961:15 difficulties 18961:13,23 difficulty 18950:2 18961:10 dinges 18931:22 dink 18919:3,11 18925:19,20,22 18927:24 18928:20 18930:19 18931:19 18931:25 18932:7 18948:25 direction 18910:19 18911:20 18917:1 18923:15,16 18925:11,12 18931:5 18940:7,8,13 18941:10 18947:5 18949:7 dis 18926:2 18927:24 disarm 18931:13 discussed 18954:5,11 discussion 18965:2 discussions 18951:18 18964:22 disperse 18931:12 18945:22 18946:21 dispersed 18944:13 dispersion 18945:7 18946:1 18947:7 distance 18911:12 dit 18911:5 18917:10 18917:13 18918:19 18918:21 18919:2,5 18919:24,24 18920:8 18920:14,20,23,24 18924:3,8 18925:5,24 18926:2,2,20 18927:9 18927:11,18 18928:1 18928:2,13 18929:16 18929:18,20,25 18930:2,23,24 18932:2,3,3,14,23 18932:23 18933:2 18948:22 18949:1
--	--	--	--	---

18950:20,20 18951:1 documents 18952:6 18956:9 18964:5,11 18964:12 doing 18935:14 18937:1 18958:11 don't 18911:9,12 18918:8 18922:15 18924:20 18926:9 18934:19 18937:18 18951:12 18955:23 18964:16,22,22 18965:23 18966:16 door 18911:10 dopgehou 18930:1 18945:23 18946:21 doubled 18927:14 draws 18960:14 drie 18929:19 18930:20 drive 18911:3 18927:13 18930:14 18937:15 18941:11 driver 18922:24 driving 18913:5 18916:23 18917:1 18922:18,23 18939:7 18940:13 drove 18911:20 18916:19 18920:11 18921:22,23 18922:1 18922:19 18938:5 18940:7 18941:11 18949:11,12 dummy 18962:16 duty 18943:24	enable 18939:9 18947:20 18952:20 18953:2 18960:16 encircle 18929:17 18931:13 encircled 18931:10 engage 18910:16 18930:12 18943:16 18944:10,18 18945:13 18946:6 engagement 18943:18 18943:25 engaging 18929:9 enige 18928:2 18946:2 entitled 18961:11 episode 18933:11 18949:8 essence 18963:9 essentially 18951:9 established 18913:25 18918:11 estimate 18952:12 eTV 18933:11 18935:17 18936:4,16 18937:24 18939:22 18940:10,12,15,19,21 18941:2,5,13,21,23 18942:24 event 18934:23 events 18910:10 18933:6 18934:12,22 everybody 18918:1 18921:1 18956:25 evidence 18910:20 18912:16 18914:11 18933:23 18939:10 18948:14 18951:19 18956:13,15,15 18958:4,10 18959:23 exactly 18929:12 examination 18934:21 18955:20 18956:19 examining 18952:18 exchange 18915:20 18929:8 18932:13 18936:10 18942:13 18942:18,25 18943:6 18943:19 18948:9 exchanges 18915:7,9 excused 18959:13 exercise 18946:8 18966:9 exhibit 18921:10,12 18933:17 18937:9 18940:14,18 expected 18946:5 expert 18952:18 18955:7,18,18,22 18956:2 18958:1,9 18959:22 expertise 18954:7 experts 18953:14 18959:18 expert's 18956:23 explain 18915:24 18947:19 18954:22	18956:24 18957:8 18960:13 explained 18954:21 18956:24 18958:14 explaining 18945:17 explanation 18960:6,16 exposed 18956:14 expression 18931:6,14 extended 18960:22 extent 18958:18	F	facility 18952:16 facing 18944:2 fact 18914:1 18925:9 18933:24 18934:14 18936:15 18939:18 18939:19 18940:9 18941:14 18949:18 18959:14 18963:4,24 18964:12 factors 18963:3,16 facts 18918:11 18955:20 fair 18947:25 18950:15 18950:16 18952:25 18954:10 18963:2,2 fairer 18950:8 fairness 18948:1,5,15 18951:25 18961:10 18965:18 familiarise 18953:3 families 18960:10 far 18911:12 18913:4 18924:22 fast 18950:23 fatally 18943:10 favour 18963:5 feite 18920:8 felt 18954:6 field 18927:15 file 18921:14 final 18920:12 18921:7 18922:1 18941:12 18963:8 find 18919:4 findings 18962:25 firearms 18944:20 first 18913:19 18914:25 18915:22 18918:7 18921:22 18935:8,20,21,22 18936:15,20 18939:14 18940:21 18941:22 18942:5,11 18942:22 18948:13 18949:23 18964:2 Firstly 18963:17 fits 18926:11 flight 18929:10 folder 18921:14 follow 18926:21,22 18939:9 18953:11 followed 18936:14 following 18929:22 follow-up 18951:8,12	foot 18923:14 footage 18934:2 force 18910:17 18945:6 formed 18947:2 forth 18917:12 forward 18934:1 18964:18 18965:16 foto 18924:15 18925:1 18925:19,23,23,24 18927:25 18928:22 found 18913:7 18914:25 18915:21 18949:22,24 18963:5 frame 18925:4 friend 18953:22 18956:10 front 18921:3 18926:16 18949:21 full 18954:16 fully 18956:25 18957:8 further 18952:23 18954:22 18956:18 18959:25 18960:5 furthest 18924:21 future 18951:20	G	gaan 18925:23 18927:20 18929:14 18945:22 18946:2 18947:23 18949:1 gang 18946:3,8 gearrester 18928:3 gearresterdes 18927:19 gebeur 18932:4 gebeure 18932:23 gebly 18919:11 gedog 18948:23 gedood 18927:24 gegaan 18920:16 18928:5 gegee 18920:7 18932:7 18945:21 18946:1 gehardloop 18931:21 18931:23 gehou 18930:2 gemaak 18920:15 general 18948:13 18949:20 18963:5 genoegsaam 18919:10 geposisioneer 18923:23 gepraat 18918:19,21 18919:10,20 geraak 18948:24 gery 18932:2 gesien 18928:1 18945:24 geskree 18931:22 geskreeu 18931:25 geskryf 18930:18 18932:24 gespreek 18929:16,18 18931:25 gestop 18927:6 gesê 18918:20 18920:5	18920:7,24 18927:7 18929:14 18930:19 18930:19 18931:22 18932:2,13 getting 18934:24 18950:3 getuienis 18950:22 getuig 18919:3 18930:17,24 gevra 18930:18,21 18932:7 gewees 18918:18 18932:8 gewys 18918:21 gister 18930:17 give 18919:5 18922:4 18933:15 18935:7 18937:2 18946:17,18 18947:22 18948:2,10 18948:11,12 18952:11 18953:4,24 18954:13 18955:22 18956:13 18958:3 18966:2 given 18919:15 18920:2 18936:14 18938:7,8 18939:8 18950:11 18955:9 18958:12,16 18960:5 18960:19 18962:12 18965:10 18966:14 giving 18912:23 18962:14 18966:1 go 18921:20 18930:4 18937:15 18941:14 18946:20 18947:8,9 18956:9 18958:22 18959:17 18962:13 18964:4 goed 18948:25 goes 18924:23 18928:15 18949:6 going 18910:8 18921:17,18 18934:2 18939:12 18942:4 18944:17 18945:3 18947:10,19 18953:11 18954:9 18955:4,12,18 18958:2 18960:12 18964:6,11 18965:1 18965:14 18966:9,13 18966:15 good 18952:13 grant 18953:6 great 18964:17 greatest 18958:18 groep 18927:17,18 grond 18928:14 ground 18911:25 18912:23 18921:2 18928:17 18938:6 18947:24 18964:1 group 18910:11 18928:11,16 18931:13 18944:12
---	---	--	----------	--	--	----------	---	--

18944:15,16,18 18946:6 guess 18923:5 GW 18926:1	18966:16 hierdie 18924:4,13 18925:1,24 18928:22 18945:23 highest 18954:16 highly 18945:3 hoe 18919:24 18932:23 18932:24 hoek 18925:1 hom 18918:21 18920:6 hope 18939:9 horlosie 18930:1 hospital 18927:15 host 18952:2 hours 18952:13 hulle 18919:6,11 18927:20,20 18928:4 18928:5 humpty 18962:16 hy 18918:21 18919:23 18920:7,8 18924:12 18924:13 18931:22 18931:23	individual 18919:17 indulgence 18953:6 inferences 18958:10 inform 18947:9 18952:6,19 information 18938:7 18964:24 informed 18913:6,7 18915:17 18938:6,17 18944:19 18952:11 informing 18938:16 18959:6 informs 18952:19 injured 18914:17 inside 18929:9 18941:17 18944:14 18944:15 18945:8 insidente 18930:20 instantaneous 18958:4 instantaneously 18938:12 18938:21 instructed 18915:25 18916:9 18919:4 instructing 18910:14 instruction 18930:11 18931:11 18934:10 18936:14 18937:19 18947:7 instructions 18918:25 instrument 18958:8 integrity 18918:25 18919:22 18954:16 18955:17 intend 18955:3,6 18958:2,4 intended 18955:6 intends 18953:15 intention 18955:10 interpose 18952:22 18964:9 interrupt 18953:20 18960:20 intervention 18963:4 invitation 18960:21 invite 18947:16 18953:6 inviting 18959:5 involved 18911:23 involving 18938:11 irregular 18956:7,17 isn't 18962:11 issue 18918:24 18925:9 18966:6 issued 18930:11 issues 18952:2,21 it's 18911:12 18917:3 18921:10,13 18922:14 18923:18 18924:6,24 18942:5 18946:13 18948:13 18950:9,13 18966:6 18966:20 I'd 18946:5 I'll 18926:22 18935:11	18946:18 18951:9 I'm 18910:8 18912:10 18912:10,12 18913:9 18913:13,15 18919:14 18921:12 18926:10 18929:21 18929:21 18932:15 18934:2 18935:17 18937:1 18938:23 18942:3,4,10 18947:16 18950:9 18953:25 18960:20 18963:15 18965:15 I've 18916:9 18918:17 18921:8 18941:7 18942:1 18951:7 18954:21,22 18958:24 18961:9,12 18964:10 I2 18933:17 18940:15 18940:18	18937:22 18942:21 18942:22 18943:7,10 18949:22,24 kind 18955:3 18957:5 18958:21,24 king's 18962:19 klein 18945:25 kneeling 18913:23 knew 18941:17 18944:15,17,21 18945:5 18949:15 know 18913:4 18915:15 18918:8 18922:14 18923:6,20 18924:20 18926:17 18926:22 18934:20 18935:5 18937:17,18 18937:20,21 18942:3 18942:16 18951:15 18954:15 18966:16 knowledge 18922:19 18922:22,25 18943:12 known 18944:23 18955:15 kol 18928:3 kolletjie 18925:20 Kolonel 18918:19 18919:11 18929:16 18932:6 Kommissaris 18931:20 koppie 18910:15,15 18920:12,16,22 18921:3 18926:16 18927:3 18929:10 18930:12 18931:10 18934:12 18935:23 18941:12,13,18 18943:2,17 18944:1,8 18944:11,13,14,15,21 18944:24 18945:7,13 18945:24 18947:1 18949:13,23 korrek 18910:24 18911:5 18917:10,14 18920:20,23 18924:8 18925:5 18926:20 18933:2 18951:1 kort 18948:22 kraal 18945:25 18947:5 kyk 18927:25 18929:14 18929:19,25 18932:7
<hr/> H <hr/> half 18933:13,20 18934:16,18 18942:18,19 18944:5 handle 18952:25 handling 18951:21 hands 18950:9 handwritten 18951:8 happen 18933:6 18942:17 18954:15 18957:7,16 18965:20 happened 18913:18 18915:10,19 18918:11 18919:5 18929:11 18931:17 18933:8,13 18935:5 18939:1 18942:15,17 18943:11,13,16,18,21 18944:1,4 18945:12 18945:14 18946:9 18956:13 happens 18960:25 18962:12 Happily 18952:15 happy 18912:16 18942:3 18951:2 18954:13 18961:9,16 hasn't 18966:17 haven't 18955:11 head 18941:10 headed 18910:19 18941:3 hear 18933:18 18939:13 18942:6 18948:19 heard 18931:8 18948:8 hearing 18953:1 heen 18928:5 help 18928:19 18950:20 18958:23 helping 18958:9 helps 18965:16 Hemraj 18961:5 het 18918:18,19,20,21 18918:21,22 18919:3 18919:6,9,11,19 18920:4,6,8,8,15,16 18920:23 18924:2 18927:5,6,7,11 18928:5,20 18929:13 18929:14,15,15,17,25 18930:17,18,19,19,21 18930:21,22,22,23,24 18931:21,21,21,22,23 18931:24,24,25 18932:2,2,3,3,5,6,7,9 18932:13,22,23,23,24 18945:21,22,24,24,25 18946:21 18948:23 18948:24 he's 18938:6 18965:9	<hr/> I <hr/> idea 18957:13 identified 18952:2 18956:10 ietsie 18948:24 illustrate 18959:4 illustrating 18953:8 18959:22 imagine 18957:20 immediate 18941:15 18944:2 immediately 18910:23 18911:18 18918:5,12 18928:10 18930:10 18939:3,15 important 18918:5 18922:14 18926:5 18950:14,14 18957:2 18957:4,18 impression 18931:15 improper 18954:18 improprieties 18957:1 inaccuracies 18934:3 18939:12 18942:1,2,4 18942:10 18947:15 inaccuracy 18939:15 18947:17 inappropriate 18957:23 incident 18910:19 18915:18 18933:23 18934:10 18935:2 18938:11 18942:14 18943:8,20 18945:18 incidents 18933:19 18934:14 18944:4 include 18944:18 inconsistencies 18952:3 incorrect 18934:2 indicated 18917:3 18918:9 18941:7 indicating 18924:17	<hr/> J <hr/> ja 18911:19 18912:20 18915:12 18917:13 18919:24 18920:20 18924:24 18926:21 18928:20 18929:3,13 18932:21 18966:21 JANUARY 18910:1 JJJ 18921:9 18935:21 JJJ-series 18921:12 JJJ10 18921:13,13,13 JJJ178 18926:1 JJJ63674 18936:1,2 JJJ8,3729 18941:25 JJJ83 18941:23 JOC 18914:23 18916:1 18918:5,8,12,16 18919:5 18920:9 18943:1 18949:16 joined 18912:13 18913:6 jumped 18939:3 jumping 18922:22 justified 18945:9 ju 18927:10,19	<hr/> K <hr/> kan 18918:22 18919:12 18925:23,23 18926:3 18927:16,20 18929:20 18930:2,23 18931:19,21 18932:1 18932:5,7,22 18942:7 18948:25 18949:1 18950:20,21 Kaptein 18919:3,9,19 18920:5 keep 18950:25 kept 18946:22 key 18935:16 Kidd 18915:7,10,25 18919:3,10,20,21 18920:2,5 killed 18933:14,18,22	<hr/> L <hr/> laat 18932:2 18950:20 large 18944:16 18949:9 leaders 18951:19 18958:5,11 18959:23 learned 18953:22 18956:10 leave 18912:16 18939:20 18941:9 leaving 18912:19,21 led 18943:15 18963:4 lede 18919:10 18920:6

<p>object 18956:17 objection 18960:11 18965:15 observe 18913:15 observed 18946:21,21 18946:23 18963:2 18965:19 obviously 18916:19 18926:9 18934:19 18938:8 18948:3 18957:6 18963:19 occurred 18934:24 offer 18963:9 offered 18953:7 Officer 18911:2,18 18930:9,13 18931:4 18934:24 18935:5,8 18935:21 18937:14 18939:17 18941:19 officials 18912:11 oh 18926:6 18928:21 18965:21 okay 18924:5 18928:24 om 18945:22 18946:2 omdat 18920:5 omtrent 18929:20 once 18921:20 18960:22 onder 18931:23 onderste 18925:1 ongeveer 18929:19 18932:3 ons 18924:14 18925:19 18925:20,22 18926:3 18927:9,18,25 18928:14 18929:14 18929:15,15,18 18930:3 18931:19 18932:1,2,5 18948:25 onthou 18919:12 18931:21 18932:1,3 18932:22,23 ook 18919:6,12 18930:21 oomblik 18931:15 ooreenstem 18932:9 op 18919:3,20,23 18923:23 18924:14 18924:14,15,25 18925:19,23,24 18926:3 18928:14,22 18929:25 18930:1,21 18931:15,24 18932:8 18932:22 18945:23 18945:23 opdrag 18920:7 18932:6 18945:21 18946:1 open 18944:25 18959:2 openbare 18945:21 opening 18921:1 18927:2,6,9,10,11,13 operation 18915:2 18933:7 18943:12 18944:7 18945:3 18946:7 18949:15</p>	<p>operational 18943:23 18943:24 18946:4 oppad 18932:1 opportunity 18918:7 18952:5 18964:4 oppose 18956:1,7 opposite 18925:12 oprit 18927:8 oproep 18918:19 18920:15 orde 18945:22 order 18934:7 18937:9 18946:20,20 18961:25 ordered 18911:2 18943:16 18944:9 ordering 18946:5 original 18912:7 originally 18954:18 ou 18932:7 outside 18911:23 18957:21 18963:1 o'clock 18966:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>page 18923:14 18945:10 18946:12 18946:18 Papa 18945:22 18946:20 Papa1 18958:5 Papa5 18913:8,10 18917:3 18940:2,25 paragraph 18926:15 18930:6 18931:2 18932:11 18934:12 18934:23 18935:3,18 18936:16 18950:6 paragraphs 18910:10 18935:16 parallel 18928:9 pardon 18965:21 part 18943:14 particular 18919:8 18944:23 18954:8 18958:15,17,17 18960:14 particularly 18926:8 18956:4,13 parties 18937:1 18959:14,15 18960:13,22 18961:2 18962:8 18965:8 18966:11 passage 18927:2 passed 18914:4,19 people 18912:24 18918:1 18928:12,16 18944:25 18946:5 18947:1 18949:22 18956:12 18957:21 18965:18 perception 18963:1 performed 18966:10 period 18941:6 permissible 18953:24</p>	<p>perpetuity 18956:12 person 18914:4,19 18922:19,22 18938:16 18942:20 18943:6 18954:14 personal 18955:16 personally 18957:1 persone 18927:18,24 18928:1,2,3,13 18929:17 18945:23 personeel 18928:6 persons 18929:8 persoon 18919:11 18931:23 photo 18927:1 photograph 18911:11 18912:21 18917:3 18920:25 18921:5,6,8 18923:2,8 18924:7,19 18925:3 18926:4 18928:10 18929:2 18935:8,20,22,22 18936:20 18939:18 18941:22 18958:8 photographed 18929:2 18935:4 photographing 18910:13 18929:8 18941:23 photographs 18911:2 18912:25 18913:2 18916:10 18917:2 18918:9 18922:11,14 18925:14 18935:1 18941:20 photostatted 18950:11 pick 18910:8 picture 18923:13 18924:13,25 18925:3 18948:8,20 piece 18948:4,4 pieces 18948:11 Pitsi 18913:6 18915:8 18915:10,14,17 18916:2,14 18917:15 18917:17 18918:14 18918:19 18919:11 18920:1 plaasgevind 18918:23 18920:7 18930:21,24 18932:1 plaasvind 18919:24 place 18927:14 18934:12,14,25,25 18940:7 18943:25 placed 18932:17 places 18944:22 play 18963:2,2 please 18946:12 pleased 18965:15 plot 18922:12 pockets 18931:13 point 18911:25 18921:5 18921:16 18927:14 18936:13,13 18938:24 18947:2</p>	<p>18948:4 18950:14 18951:12 18952:3 18957:12,18 18958:6 18958:6 18963:16 18964:2,2 18965:20 18965:22 pointer 18923:2 18924:3 points 18950:13 18963:22 police 18912:11 18957:17 18965:7 poor 18966:6 POP 18931:11 18934:10 POPS 18910:14 18930:11 18944:9,17 18945:4 portions 18954:9 18958:17 posisie 18924:4 18928:14 position 18911:14 18913:23 18915:16 18916:19 18917:4 18920:12 18921:7,7 18922:2,17 18928:7 18932:11,16 18939:22 18941:12 18945:11,17,20 18946:7 positioned 18921:2 18926:15 18945:1 possible 18952:14 18958:18 18959:7 prefer 18950:17 prejudice 18962:23,24 premature 18951:15 prentjie 18924:13 preparation 18964:13 presence 18960:15 18966:11 present 18954:14 18955:13 18959:6,10 18960:22,25 18961:1 18961:3,13 18962:11 18964:14,23 18965:6 preserving 18918:25 18919:8,16 presies 18918:22 presumably 18917:6 18940:23 18966:17 presume 18917:3 Pretorius 18964:3 principle 18960:10 private 18962:3,7 18964:22 privately 18959:18 probably 18923:18 18960:24 probeer 18948:25 problem 18940:24 18954:20 18962:10 proceed 18948:4 18963:6 proceeding 18957:13</p>	<p>PROCEEDINGS 18910:1 process 18960:12 18965:24 processes 18943:14 profession 18961:23 proposal 18963:5 18965:6 propose 18935:14 18950:5 18965:4 proposed 18962:4,5 proposing 18952:17 18966:18 Protea 18933:10 18937:6,10 18939:22 18940:4,5,9,10 18941:8,13 18942:23 18966:20 protection 18910:16 18912:23 18944:10 public 18946:20 18960:12 18962:7 18963:1 pulls 18940:19 punt 18945:24 18949:1 18949:2 put 18919:7 18925:10 18925:13 18942:2,3 18950:6 18957:15 18958:19 18960:24 18962:1,17,19 putting 18934:1 18935:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>quality 18966:6 quarrel 18955:16 quarters 18963:12,24 question 18922:2 18934:6 18944:5 18954:8,12,17 questioning 18948:17 18949:6 questions 18951:8,12 18958:3 18959:7 18965:2 quicker 18940:2 quickly 18913:24 18921:19 18930:9 18937:14 18950:11 quite 18950:2,23 18954:13 18956:21 18961:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>radio 18929:8 18930:7 18930:12 18931:8,25 18943:19 raise 18947:11 18948:5 raised 18952:21 18965:15 raises 18952:24 range 18942:1 rate 18944:16 reached 18913:18 18927:13 18941:13</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>18949:13 18951:20 react 18961:7 read 18942:12 18946:17 18951:10 reading 18915:9 18950:4,11 18964:12 reads 18942:16 18949:7 ready 18951:14 18955:24 realised 18914:22 18945:2 really 18915:14,19 18918:3 18922:9,23 18923:5 18957:16,19 reasonable 18965:18 reasoning 18961:14 rebutted 18960:24 recall 18910:11 18912:1,3,4,7,13 18914:10 18917:22 18929:12 Record 18916:10 recording 18929:19 18966:16,20 refer 18945:15 18966:13,15 reference 18921:9,9 18922:13 18923:4 18933:16 18934:2 18945:18 18946:11 18958:16 18966:4 references 18937:2 18952:1 18966:1,2,5 referred 18947:13 18962:20 18964:5 18965:14 referring 18927:4 refers 18931:7 reformed 18944:12 reg 18919:12 regard 18951:20 18963:12 regrouped 18944:11,14 regs 18927:8 18928:1 reguit 18927:10 relates 18955:20 relation 18933:23 18949:8 18952:12 18956:5 relatively 18912:8 relay 18957:24 relevant 18935:16 18939:9 18959:19 18960:13 18965:8 relied 18954:9 rely 18964:6,11 remain 18959:14,14,15 remained 18912:18 remark 18964:21 remember 18912:11 18915:14 18917:24 18945:19 18962:23 remembered 18930:15 18931:3,16 18933:1 remotely 18933:6</p>	<p>remove 18916:11 removed 18954:21 reply 18936:22 18948:13 report 18914:25 18915:25 18918:6 18919:5 18931:8 18934:10 18936:13 18943:23 reported 18914:23 18942:22 18943:1 18949:16 representative 18965:3 representatives 18951:18 18959:15 18961:2,14 18962:8 18965:7,8 18966:11 reproduce 18951:9 request 18948:6 require 18952:13 18958:24 required 18958:21 requires 18952:11 respect 18933:5 18955:14 18964:19 respond 18910:22 18911:18 18934:4 18939:14,15 18940:22,25 18942:4 18944:3 18945:15 responded 18940:2 response 18943:22 18944:6 18945:18 18947:17 18964:10 responsibilities 18920:3 responsibility 18919:15 18919:16 responsible 18919:17 18919:22 rest 18953:14 18964:14 resumes 18910:2 18951:16,17 retain 18957:5 re-examine 18961:25 re-examines 18962:17 re-traverses 18947:24 right 18924:19 18928:16,17 18932:18 18953:23 18959:11 18962:19 rigting 18920:25 18924:16 18945:25 risk 18910:22 18912:19 18914:6,9,16 risky 18945:3 rocks 18921:1 role 18956:23 rondom 18932:8 rooi 18925:20 room 18958:23 rotse 18927:6,7,24 rough 18923:5 roughly 18936:9 rubber 18945:8 rules 18956:3 18961:22</p>	<p>18961:24 ruling 18964:20 run 18921:20 18925:12 18925:12 18933:21 18965:24 running 18910:21 18933:12 ry 18927:10,11 Ryland's 18933:15,16 18933:17 18940:14 18940:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>saam 18932:22 safety 18944:2 sal 18924:3,4 18925:25 18927:10,10,17,18 18950:20 SAPS 18913:25 18914:5,7,12,16 18951:19 18953:8 satisfied 18940:23 18957:2 18961:20 18962:9 18963:1 satisfy 18954:25 18955:2 18961:3 18965:18 save 18921:15 saving 18963:24 saw 18910:18,21 18915:22 18916:8,21 18916:22,25 18917:9 18917:11 18926:4 18938:25 18946:25 18947:1 saying 18912:10 18913:13 18917:5 18923:19 18929:22 18960:18,21 says 18947:15 18950:3 18950:17 18952:3 18954:3 18959:3 scene 18910:9,12 18912:16,18,19 18913:11 18915:8 18916:2,6,11,14,15 18917:19 18919:1,8 18919:16,18,22 18920:3,19 18921:24 18921:24 18922:1 18928:19 18929:2,11 18935:23 18939:20 18940:1,6,20 18941:1 18941:14,18,21 18942:21,22 18943:9 18945:2,17 18949:13 18949:13,18,20 scheme 18933:7 screen 18921:19,21 se 18919:12 18924:14 18945:25 Sebatchane 18939:4 second 18934:22,23 18935:18 18940:25 18964:2 Secondly 18963:21</p>	<p>seconds 18940:11,17 18940:19 18942:24 section 18946:16 18947:24,25 securing 18911:24 see 18912:22 18913:1,2 18916:6 18917:6,8 18921:17,17 18922:6 18924:5 18927:4 18928:21 18930:4 18933:4 18939:22 18940:8,12,16 18941:22 18942:9 18947:8 18950:17 18951:12 18959:6 18960:4 18961:25 18962:22 seeing 18945:12 seeks 18960:16 seen 18917:19 18964:10 self 18929:25 18946:3,8 18948:24 selfde 18928:14 18931:25 18932:4 Semenya 18946:10,11 18947:10 18948:9 18951:3,4,22,24 18952:9 18953:17 18954:5,15,17,23,24 18955:2,15 18956:20 18956:22 18957:3,9 18957:10,12 18958:1 18959:1,12,16,21,24 18960:2 18961:16,18 18962:6,17 18963:22 18964:3,23 18966:2 Semenya's 18950:9 18961:23 senior 18919:23 sense 18963:24 sensible 18961:15 sentence 18934:22,23 18935:18 sentences 18936:15,16 separate 18949:7 sequence 18915:13 18930:18 18932:14 ses 18928:14 set 18939:12 seven 18939:19 shadow 18925:11 shadows 18923:4,11,14 18923:16 shared 18957:21 shooting 18940:2,4,6 18941:16 18945:8 shootings 18915:1 shoots 18933:18 short 18949:3,5 18951:5,7 shortly 18920:2 shot 18915:17 18939:3 shots 18939:19 shouldn't 18950:10,11 shouted 18910:19</p>	<p>18930:9 18931:4 shouting 18937:13 shouts 18937:13 show 18921:6 18923:25 18954:11 showed 18916:7,17 shown 18934:1 18954:10 18959:18 18963:20 shows 18956:16 side 18920:24 18923:8 18923:20 18926:16 18926:17 sien 18927:7,17,18,20 18930:23 18932:5 18945:23 18946:2 18950:20 sigbaar 18924:12 simply 18959:18 18962:12 simultaneously 18931:18 18939:2 18943:21 18944:1 sitting 18952:15 18963:22 situasie 18920:8 situation 18913:8 18919:5 18943:5 18961:15 six 18959:4 skiet 18932:8 skietvooral 18920:6 skryf 18948:25 slightly 18923:17 18928:16 slowly 18940:13,20 small 18943:2 18947:5 smaller 18931:13 solution 18961:22 somebody 18922:18 18952:23 soos 18924:14 18927:20 18930:18 18932:3,4,22 18946:1 18948:25 sorry 18920:17 18929:21 18936:25 18948:18 18953:20 18954:1 18960:3,20 18962:4 18965:21 sort 18923:17 speak 18951:22 18952:20 18953:4 18954:19 spend 18964:12,16 spent 18963:18 spoke 18917:15,16 spoken 18963:17 squandered 18963:14 staan 18927:8,17 stadium 18919:20 18930:1,21 18931:24 18932:23 18945:23 18946:22 stage 18912:2 18913:7 18922:15,24</p>
--	---	--	--	--

18923:22 18946:23 18946:24 18964:9 stand 18953:1,13 18956:1,18 standing 18915:15 18916:18 18952:14 18956:3 started 18939:21 18941:7 starting 18945:20 starts 18940:12 statement 18910:11 18914:22 18915:6,9 18915:13 18920:11 18926:15 18930:5,5,6 18930:10 18931:3,16 18932:11,17 18933:1 18933:5 18935:15 18938:4 18939:8 18942:12 18947:14 18949:8,17 18955:21 18956:5 statements 18912:3 18942:10 18956:16 staties 18919:12 18932:2 stating 18966:8 stationary 18940:16 stationery 18940:22 stature 18952:10 stay 18953:9 18960:23 18961:2 18962:9 stayed 18912:13 18940:11 18941:5 stem 18932:22 steps 18943:17 stood 18911:10 stop 18926:9 stopped 18940:11,22 18941:4 story 18962:19 straight 18927:13 18941:3,11 18949:11 18949:12 striker 18937:16 18938:6 strikers 18910:12,13 18911:24 18913:11 18913:14 18931:9 18935:1,3,24 18943:17 18944:10 18944:17,22 18945:8 18945:13 strongly 18956:17 subjects 18963:10 submission 18956:7 submit 18951:25 18952:10 submitted 18957:16 substance 18959:4 sufficient 18912:18,22 suggesting 18955:4 suggestion 18942:13 18951:3 18952:22 18960:11 18961:1,5,9 18962:10,22 18964:7	suggests 18930:6 suidelike 18927:25 summon 18954:7 support 18910:17 18960:10 suppose 18958:13 supposed 18943:12 sure 18912:10,12 18913:15 18917:22 surely 18952:11 surrounded 18944:11 survived 18943:4 suspect 18949:15 suspects 18939:18 18941:20 suspicion 18957:1,7 sy 18919:10 18920:5,5 18923:23,23 sê 18918:22 18927:16 18929:24 18930:2 18932:4,22	that's 18910:15 18912:10 18913:21 18918:8 18921:18 18923:15,17 18924:22 18925:14 18926:1,17 18932:25 18933:22 18934:9,13 18934:19,20,24 18935:2 18936:4,9 18937:4 18938:4 18940:14,19 18941:24 18942:15 18942:21,25 18949:19 18957:18 18957:19 18958:21 18958:21,25,25 18959:19 18961:4,5 18963:6,17 18964:2 18965:25 18966:5,9 18966:17 there'd 18944:22 there's 18912:2 18928:9,16 18933:24 18942:12 18948:16 18965:13 they'd 18945:7 they'll 18937:12 thing 18957:11 18958:21 things 18931:12,17 18957:22 18962:15 think 18911:9,10,12 18912:9 18913:6,8,14 18915:18 18917:2 18921:17 18922:4 18923:4,21 18924:18 18924:22 18925:9 18926:9,21 18927:1 18947:3,13 18950:12 18951:14 18954:22 18957:15,18,18 18959:4 18960:7 18961:10 18962:21 18963:5,6 18965:15 thought 18910:22 18914:12 18918:5 18931:16 18947:25 18948:1 18962:21 three 18912:2,11 18929:23 18939:23 18943:10 18962:24 18963:12,23 thrust 18948:2 18965:11 Thursday 18953:2,13 18964:1 18965:21 18966:22 time 18910:20 18913:16,19 18914:25 18915:14 18915:22 18916:1 18917:22,24 18920:1 18921:15 18929:6,11 18929:12 18933:8,11 18933:14 18934:22 18935:5,8,17,18	18936:3,4,16 18937:25 18938:20 18939:22 18940:4,12 18940:21 18941:21 18941:24 18942:15 18942:17,18,24 18943:3,8 18948:10 18949:10 18953:8 18955:16 18956:1 18961:11,11 18963:8 18963:11,11,17,18 18964:16 timeline 18934:21 timelines 18930:22 times 18922:12 18935:16 18939:9 today 18934:1 18952:15,25 18953:8 18953:14 18963:18 toe 18919:3 18920:15 18920:16 18931:20 18931:24 18932:1,2 18945:25 told 18914:3,13 18915:14 18916:20 18923:3 18954:12 18962:13 18964:6,8 18965:9 tomorrow 18952:15,17 18963:21 18964:12 toneel 18919:4,6,24 topic 18965:25 tot 18919:12 18929:15 18945:23 traditional 18944:20 transcript 18930:3 18932:4,6 18933:10 18946:12 18950:21 transkripsie 18929:14 18929:25 translated 18946:16,17 translation 18946:18 tried 18939:3 triggered 18938:25 trokke 18927:8 TRT 18912:22 18915:21,24 18917:25 trust 18964:23 truth 18942:16 trying 18918:1 18926:10 18932:15 turn 18952:19 turns 18922:17 tussen 18927:7,24 18928:1 18929:18 twee 18927:8 18929:19 18930:20 tweede 18927:17 two 18912:2 18923:13 18928:9 18929:22 18931:17 18933:6 18936:15,16 18939:25 18940:18 18941:20 18943:2,2 18959:3 18963:16	tyd 18918:20,22 18929:15 18930:2,21 18930:22 18932:1,4 tydlyn 18932:8 tye 18932:9 18948:24 18950:20
	T		U	
	taal 18924:14 take 18916:10 18917:6 18923:15 18925:9,11 18933:15 18934:25 18935:18 18943:22 18943:25 18948:3,11 18951:5,10,13,13,15 18958:25 18959:2 18960:13 18961:13 taken 18935:21,22,22 18943:17 18944:6 takes 18965:7 talk 18915:24 18921:16 talking 18917:25 18933:19 18950:23 task 18910:17 te 18930:23 18945:22 18946:2,3,8 tea 18951:13,15 team 18912:7 18939:15 18953:6,9 18959:22 teams 18953:7 technical 18952:18 18954:7 teenwoordig 18920:5 telkens 18930:18 tell 18915:20 18932:17 18934:20 18958:2,5 ten 18934:15 terms 18946:5 terrain 18944:21,21,24 18946:6 terug 18949:1 terwyl 18928:5 testified 18912:12 18913:5 18914:18 18918:17 testimony 18945:10 tevrede 18926:12 thank 18910:4 18924:5 18924:10 18925:7 18939:8 18951:24 18953:17		u 18918:20,22 18919:2 18920:15,15 18924:3 18924:3 18925:19 18926:7 18927:7,9,17 18927:18,20 18930:17,18,21,22 18932:22 18942:7 uit 18924:15,15 18945:24 uitgespring 18931:21 uitgewerk 18930:2 uitvind 18920:7 ultimate 18962:25 underlying 18961:14 undermine 18957:23 18965:17 understand 18910:20 18914:22 18920:11 18926:10,18,18 18934:13 18935:13 18936:23 18938:3,14 18943:22 18948:2,7 18950:2,15 18951:11 18951:19 18955:1,12 18956:21 18957:17 18958:9 18959:9 18961:12,23 18964:3 18965:10,11 understandably 18950:24 understanding 18954:6 18958:13,25 understands 18942:15 understood 18912:15 18914:11,11 18934:8 18944:12 18947:18 unethical 18955:3,11 unfold 18944:7 uninformed 18962:15 use 18931:14 18945:6 18952:17 18953:7,14 18953:16 18955:15 18958:8 useful 18963:11	
			V	
			van 18923:23 18925:1 18926:3 18927:20 18928:2,4,4 various 18931:12 18952:1 vasgestel 18932:9 vehicle 18912:21 18913:1,5,23 18924:6 18925:12 18939:19 18940:3,21,25 18941:7,9,17,20,21 vehicles 18912:17	

18913:3 18918:2 18923:5,13 18931:12 18934:11 18939:20 18939:25 18945:13 vergelyk 18950:21 vergewis 18946:3,8 verklaring 18930:17 18932:24 Vermaak 18910:14 18929:9,17 18930:8 18931:9 18932:6 18933:9 18934:9,10 18936:11,14,18 18937:5 18942:14,19 18942:23,25 18943:1 18943:6,20,24 Vermaak's 18921:8 version 18933:25 18943:11 verwys 18927:5,9,11 18929:13,15,16 vicinity 18925:16 victim 18913:18 18914:23 18917:20 18920:12,19 18921:25 18922:1 18929:11 18933:18 18933:22 18937:17 18938:12 18939:2,3 18940:11 18941:4,6 18941:15 18942:21 18942:22 18943:3 18949:9,24 victims 18943:9,15 video 18933:15,16,17 18933:18 18934:2 18937:2,6,11 18939:23 18940:4,5,9 18940:10,14,15,18,18 18940:20 18941:8,13 18949:9 18952:8 18953:15 18958:7,7 18958:15,19 18959:19 18965:12 18966:7,12,20 videos 18934:21 18952:9 18954:8,10 18958:22 18960:13 18961:25 18962:12 18963:20 18964:15 18964:17 18965:8 18966:4 vir 18918:20,21,22 18919:2 18920:4 18925:23 18926:7 18929:17 18930:17 18930:18 18931:22 18931:22,24 18932:6 18945:21 18946:1 18949:2 visible 18940:3 voertuie 18927:17,19 voertuig 18923:24 18927:6,8 volgens 18930:2,23 18932:5	voor 18918:18,20 18927:6,8 18929:25 18930:3,22,24 18950:21 Voorsitter 18910:25 18911:6 18915:4 18917:14 18918:18 18923:22 18924:9,25 18925:6,18,24 18926:13,24 18927:23 18928:23 18930:16,19 18932:13,21 18933:3 18942:8 18945:21 18950:19 voort 18947:23 voortgaan 18942:7 voortval 18932:8 voortoe 18927:10 vorige 18925:19 18928:14 18948:23 vorm 18945:25 <hr/> W <hr/> waar 18919:6 18923:22 18925:19,19,24 18927:7,20 18928:1,3 18929:15,16,18 18931:20 waarna 18927:11 wag 18931:22 waited 18918:15 waiting 18955:25 want 18921:14,25 18922:16 18925:8 18926:8 18928:2 18935:13 18936:24 18937:1,12 18939:9 18942:2,4,5 18945:15 18947:21,22 18948:10 18951:12 18953:9 18959:25 18960:4 18961:24 18964:21,22,22 18965:24 wanted 18926:17 wants 18954:3 18960:4 Warrant 18911:1,17 18930:9,12 18931:4 18934:24 18935:4,8 18935:21 18937:14 18939:17 18941:19 Warrant-Officer 18910:12 18911:8,14 18920:11 18921:22 18922:11,16 wasn't 18912:19 18941:15 wat 18918:20,22 18919:2,10 18920:7 18927:8,17,19,24 18928:2,4,13,14 18929:25 18930:18 18930:20 18932:8 18945:24 18946:3,8 18950:21	water 18944:14 watter 18930:23,24 way 18931:3 18935:14 18945:11,14 18947:18 18950:16 18955:5 18957:13,15 18963:6 18964:17 18965:4,12,16,16 ways 18962:24 weapons 18944:20 wees 18924:4 18925:25 weet 18928:4 18931:22 18932:9 wel 18930:24 went 18912:4,6,21 18914:10 18919:17 18920:22 18931:5 weren't 18950:25 18962:19 west 18923:11 18935:23 18939:21 we'll 18921:15,20 18948:4 18951:5,13 18951:13 18953:13 we're 18921:17,18 18923:13 18938:15 18951:21 18959:5 18962:23 18963:21 we've 18921:20 18923:1 18925:13 18955:25 what's 18935:10 18937:8 18943:13 18960:24 18962:4 whilst 18952:14 who's 18917:25 18940:17 18942:22 wife 18957:8 wil 18926:6 wish 18951:22 witness 18936:15 18938:16 18947:19 18947:20,21,23 18948:2,6,7,11,12,16 18950:1,8,9,12 18952:1,4,5,10,20,25 18953:3,22 18954:7 18954:19 18955:4,8,9 18955:17,25 18956:2 18956:8 18958:3,23 18959:7,10,17 18960:15 18961:2,25 18962:2,11,12 18963:3,10 18964:4 18964:11,25 18965:6 18965:9 18966:12,14 witnessed 18945:2 witness's 18935:15 18936:21 18958:20 wonder 18921:4 wondered 18947:25 wondering 18950:7 won't 18957:2 18958:23 18962:6 word 18930:2 18955:15 words 18932:19	18935:1 18936:19 18948:20 work 18923:4 18964:25 works 18947:19 worried 18942:11 wouldn't 18950:8 18963:20,25 wounded 18943:10 write 18935:17 wrong 18919:17 18932:11 18934:7 wrongly 18932:17 wys 18925:24 18926:7 <hr/> Y <hr/> yesterday 18910:9 18912:22 18915:13 18926:5 18929:5 you'd 18912:17 18943:16 18944:19 18944:25 18945:2,11 you'll 18910:11 18914:10 18951:14 you're 18910:3 18923:19 18924:17 18929:22 18933:19 18933:25 18935:14 18948:5 18949:16 18950:13,14 18951:14 18960:21 18964:5,11 18966:8 18966:13,15,18 you've 18921:23 18939:8 18948:8 18965:22 18966:13 <hr/> Z <hr/> zoom 18927:18 18954:8 18958:17 18962:13 zoomed 18924:21 zooming 18959:19 <hr/> 0 <hr/> 09:05 18910:2 09:25 18925:8 09:45 18939:25 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 18945:2,17 1.46 18942:24 1:38 18940:15 10 18921:3 18941:24 10:42 18951:17 11:02 18964:2 11:25 18929:18 12 18946:14 12:02 18942:23 122 18910:10 18934:23 18935:3,19 123 18931:20 124 18930:6 18931:2,7 18931:8 18932:11,17 18932:19,19 18934:7 18934:8,8 18936:16 125 18910:10 18931:2	18931:19 18932:13 18932:18,19,19 18934:7,8,9,13 18937:13 18938:4 126 18915:23,24 18919:3 127 18915:24 129 18926:16 13 18932:5 13:28 18929:16,19 13:48 18933:10 13:57 18937:4 14 18943:9 14:09 18937:6 18940:19 154 18945:10 158 18945:16 16:09:32 18942:24 16:11:18 18933:11 16:12 18936:6 16:12:20 18936:5 16:13:21 18936:18,19 18936:22 16:13:31 18936:22,22 16:19 18938:20 16:19:47 18933:14 18937:25 18938:1,9 16:20 18932:8 16:20:25 18940:15 16:20:31 18940:10,10 16:21:02 18940:19 16:21:06 18940:12,21 16:21:49 18941:2 16:22:48 18941:5 16:22:52 18939:22 18941:8 16:23:40 18949:19 16:25:22 18941:22 16:37:50 18941:24 16:47 18914:24 17345 18945:10 18026 18945:18 18027 18946:13 <hr/> 2 <hr/> 2 18910:9,12 18915:18 18941:21 18942:21 18942:22 18943:9 18944:13 18945:7,18 18949:13,13,18 2:19 18940:20 20 18921:3 18936:7,8 2014 18910:1 22:01 18940:9 22:17 18940:5 22:27 18940:8 23 18945:18 18949:14 18949:19,23 24:07 18940:9 24:19 18941:2 25:18 18941:4 25:22 18939:23 18941:8 26 18933:17 18940:14 18940:18 26:10 18941:13
---	--	---	---	---

26:23:40 18941:14
28 18932:5
29 18945:16

3

3 18910:15,15 18920:12
18920:16,22 18921:3
18926:16 18934:12
18941:12,18
35 18940:11
36966 18941:23
37 18940:17

4

4 18946:13
4552 18921:8,13,13,14
18921:21

5

5 18930:6

6

6-uur 18923:23
18924:14

7

7 18910:1

8

8 18942:17,19 18944:4

9

9 18966:22